Parthenocarpy in the Tomato (*Solanum lycopersicum* L.) Cultivar ‘MPK-1’ is Controlled by a Novel Parthenocarpic Gene
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Parthenocarpy is a trait where fruit set and growth are triggered without pollination and fertilization. In the tomato (*Solanum lycopersicum* L.), this trait is considered attractive as it reduces the cost and labor requirements for fruit setting. In this study, we investigated the inheritance of parthenocarpy in ‘MPK-1’—a parthenocarpic tomato cultivar derived from a cross between a variant from a self-fertilization posterity of ‘Severianin’, which exhibited strong parthenocarpy and a non-parthenocarpic cultivar. It was reported that ‘MPK-1’ contains a *pat-2* gene because ‘Severianin’ which has a *pat-2* gene is its only parthenocarpic ancestor. However, we found that parthenocarpy in ‘MPK-1’ is controlled by a novel parthenocarpic gene, not *pat-2*. This novel gene, which was designated as *Pat-k*, is semi-dominant and located on chromosome 1. We also showed that the size of the parthenocarpic fruit of ‘MPK-1’ is similar to that of the pollinated fruit at maturity. Thus, ‘MPK-1’ may be used as a new parthenocarpic resource for breeding.
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Introduction

Fruit set and growth in the tomato are usually triggered by pollination and fertilization, as is the case for many other plants. Tomato flowers are self-pollinated when shaken by the wind or flower-visiting insects. However, in a greenhouse there is little wind and few flower visiting insects, resulting in unstable fruit set. Therefore, growth regulators such as synthetic auxins or bumblebees (*Bombus* spp.) are needed to stabilize the fruit set. However, the application of synthetic auxins incurs additional financial and labor costs to farmers, and can induce the development of malformed fruit under high-temperature conditions (Hosoki and Asahira, 1978). In contrast, the use of bumblebees results in the production of high-quality fruit (Veltluis and Van Doorn, 2006), but also incurs a high cost and requires the maintenance of optimum temperatures to keep them active.

Parthenocarpy is a trait where fruit set and growth are triggered without pollination and fertilization. In the tomato, this trait is considered attractive as it reduces the financial and labor costs of fruit setting. There are currently five parthenocarpic tomato resources that are controlled by eight different parthenocarpic genes—‘Soressi’ and ‘Montfavet191’ (*pat*), ‘Severianin’ (*pat-2*), ‘RP75/59’ (*pat3/pat4*), ‘IL5-1’ (*pat4.1/pat5.1*), and ‘IVT-line1’ (*pat4.2/pat9.1*) (Gorguet et al., 2005, 2008). Genetic linkage maps have been constructed for five of these genes, *pat*, *pat4.1*, *pat4.2*, *pat5.1*, and *pat9.1* (Beraldi et al., 2004; Gorguet et al., 2008), and *pat-2* was recently mapped to chromosome 4 and found to encode a zinc finger homeodomain protein (Nunome et al., 2013).

The parthenocarpic cultivar ‘Severianin’, which has the *pat-2* gene, is a parthenocarpic tomato breeding resource used in Japan. Some parthenocarpic cultivars, such as ‘Renaissance’ (Sugahara et al., 2002), ‘Paruto’, and ‘House Paruto’ (SAKATA SEED CORPORATION, Japan) were developed using the *pat-2* gene and are commercially available. ‘MPK-1’ is a Japanese parthe-
nocarpic tomato cultivar that is commercially cultivated in Kyoto, under the name ‘Kyo-temari’. ‘MPK-1’ exhibits stable parthenocarpy and produces few seeds; therefore, the seedlings are vegetatively propagated from cuttings and lateral buds are taken from parent plants. Hosokawa et al. (2004) reported that ‘MPK-1’ was derived from a cross between a variant from a self-fertilization posterior of ‘Severianin’, which exhibited strong parthenocarpy and a non-parthenocarpic cultivar. It was reported that ‘MPK-1’ is a parthenocarpic tomato cultivar with the *pat-2* gene because ‘Severianin’ is its only parthenocarpic ancestor (Takisawa et al., 2012). However, seed formation in ‘MPK-1’ is severely inhibited and it has a very low seed germination rate (Takisawa et al., 2012), whereas ‘Severianin’ does not exhibit male or female sterility (Lin et al., 1983) and produces normal seeds through pollination. Thus, there are some differences between these two cultivars in terms of seed formation. Parthenocarpy in the tomato is reported to be strongly related to flower morphology and seed formation (Ampomah-Dwamena et al., 2002; Mazzucato et al., 1998). Therefore, ‘MPK-1’ may contain parthenocarpic gene(s) other than *pat-2*. We also investigated the morphology of ‘MPK-1’ flowers and fruit to characterize this parthenocarpic gene.

**Materials and Methods**

**Plant materials**

For the genetic analyses, we developed a population of F$_2$ plants (n = 98) derived from a cross between the non-parthenocarpic tomato cultivar ‘Micro-Tom’ (Tomato Growers Supply Company, USA) and the parthenocarpic cultivar ‘MPK-1’. For the progeny test, an F$_3$ line was developed from a single F$_2$ plant that was assumed to be heterozygous for parthenocarpy. Four cultivars were used for genotyping with polymerase chain reaction (PCR)-based markers for the *Pat-2* locus: the non-parthenocarpic cultivar ‘Micro-Tom’, and the parthenocarpic cultivars ‘MPK-1’, ‘Severianin’, and ‘Renaissance’. ‘MPK-1’ was also used to examine the development of parthenocarpic and pollinated fruit.

**Plant growth conditions**

‘MPK-1’ cuttings were planted in a 128-cell tray filled with vermiculite and placed in a growth chamber (NK System, Japan) at 25°C under a 12-h photoperiod in July 28, 2013. Seeds of the F$_2$ population and ‘Micro-Tom’ were sown on August 3, 2013, and seeds of the F$_3$ population were sown in April 2, 2015. The seeds were sown in a 128-cell tray and placed in a greenhouse. The seedlings were transplanted into containers in a greenhouse in September 3, 2013 and May 3, 2015, respectively. Plants were grown in a greenhouse on an Experimental Farm of Kyoto University, Takatsuki (34°51’N, 135°37’E) in autumn 2013 and spring 2015, respectively.

**Characterization of parthenocarpy and fruit development**

To evaluate the parthenocarpic phenotype, we observed the initial development of five flowers that had been emasculated a few days before anthesis. Based on this observation, the F$_2$ and F$_3$ plants were separated into the following three groups: no parthenocarpy—setting fruit but not growing fruit or dropping flowers; weak parthenocarpy—starting to grow fruit some days after anthesis; and strong parthenocarpy—starting to grow fruit before or at anthesis. All the mature fruits were checked for the absence of seeds.

We also compared the size of the parthenocarpic and pollinated fruit by measuring the diameter of 10 fruits at 0, 1, 2, 3, and 4 weeks post-anthesis, and at maturity with electronic calipers to evaluate the degree of parthenocarpy in ‘MPK-1’.

**DNA extraction**

The young leaves were taken from the plants and were reserved in a freezer at −40°C. DNA was extracted from frozen leaves using a Nucleon PhytoPure kit (GE Healthcare, UK) according to the manufacturer’s instructions with some minor modifications.

**PCR-based marker analysis to differentiate *Pat-2* alleles**

A PCR-based marker was used to distinguish between *Pat-2* alleles. The primers of the marker were designed using the sequence of the *Pat-2* locus: forward primer sequence (Pat-2_fwd), GGCATTAGGTGGTGTAAGGG; reverse primer sequence (Pat-2_rev), GATGAGCTTGCCCCACT (Nunome et al., 2013). We used a PCR machine (TAKARA BIO INC, Japan) in all PCR experiments. PCR reactions were performed in a total volume of 10 μL using BIOTAQ™ DNA polymerase (Bioline, UK) according to the manufacturer’s instructions with some minor modifications. Amplification was carried out under the following conditions: 94°C for 5 min; 30 cycles of 94°C for 30 s, 58°C for 45 s, and 72°C for 30 s; and a final extension step at 72°C for 7 min. The PCR products were run on 1.0% agarose gels and stained with ethidium bromide.

**Genomic sequence analysis of the *Pat-2* locus in ‘MPK-1’**

The *Pat-2* gene has been reported to correspond to a putative gene Solyc04g080490 in the International Tomato Annotation Group (ITAG) release 2.40. We determined the genome sequence of ‘MPK-1’ spanning 2550 nucleotides, corresponding to 64653386 to 64650837 of SL2.50ch04, which would include the entire sequence of the Solyc04g080490 gene. Four overlapping genome DNA fragments were amplified using four sets of primers (F1: AGAGGCGAGGTCGAGTCA; reverse primer sequence (Pat-2_rev), GATGAGCTTGCCCCACT (Nunome et al., 2013). We used a PCR machine (TAKARA BIO INC, Japan) in all PCR experiments. PCR reactions were performed in a total volume of 10 μL using BIOTAQ™ DNA polymerase (Bioline, UK) according to the manufacturer’s instructions with some minor modifications. Amplification was carried out under the following conditions: 94°C for 5 min; 30 cycles of 94°C for 30 s, 58°C for 45 s, and 72°C for 30 s; and a final extension step at 72°C for 7 min. The PCR products were run on 1.0% agarose gels and stained with ethidium bromide.
TAG and R1: ACCATCCGTAACATTCCACCA; F2: GGCATAGTGGTGGTA and R2: GATGAGTCTGT TTGCCCACT; F3: TACCTAAACAGTGGCGCT and R3: TCTTGACTCAAACTTGGTGAACA; F4: GT GGGGCACAGACTCATCA and R4: TAAAGCGG CATCATGAGTGG. PCR reactions were performed in a total volume of 50 μL using BIOTAQ™ DNA polymerase (Bioline) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Amplification was carried out under the following conditions: 94°C for 5 min; 35 cycles of 94°C for 30 s, 58°C for 1 min, and 72°C for 2 min; and a final extension step at 72°C for 7 min. The PCR products were run on 1.0% agarose gels and stained with ethidium bromide to confirm their amplification, after which they were purified with an EZ-10 Spin Column DNA Gel Extraction Kit (BioBasic Inc, Canada). Sequencing was performed by the FASMAC sequencing service (Fasmac, Japan).

Simple sequence repeat (SSR) marker analysis

Primer data for the SSR markers reported by Shirasawa et al. (2010) and Ohyama et al. (2009) were obtained from the Tomato Marker Database (http://marker.kazusa.or.jp/Tomato/) and VegMarks (http://vegmarks.nivot.affrc.go.jp/), respectively. In total, we used 670 SSR markers from the Tomato Marker Database and 212 SSR markers from VegMarks to detect polymorphisms between ‘Micro-Tom’ and ‘MPK-1’. For the SSR markers from the Tomato Marker Database, the PCR reactions were performed in a total volume of 8 μL using BIOTAQ™ DNA polymerase (Bioline) according to the manufacturer’s instructions with some minor modifications. Amplification was carried out under the following conditions: 94°C for 5 min; 35 cycles of 94°C for 30 s, 58°C for 1 min, and 72°C for 2 min; and a final extension step at 72°C for 7 min. The PCR products were run on 12% polyacrylamide gels and stained with ethidium bromide for comparison. All the SSR markers used were successfully amplified.

Amplification of the Pat-2 locus in ‘Heinz 1706’

The sequence of the Pat-2 allele of ‘Severianin’ was determined using the tomato line ‘LS935’, which is a genetic resource that was obtained by backcrossing ‘Severianin’ with ‘Moneymaker’ (Nunome et al., 2013). The open reading frame (ORF) region of the Pat-2 locus in ‘Heinz 1706’ is 1651 bp in length (https://solgenomics.net/), whereas that of the pat-2 allele of ‘LS935’ is 617 bp in length. This difference is due to the deletion of a 1034-bp fragment, which was detected by the Pat-2_fwd and Pat-2_rev primers. This primer set amplifies a 1351-bp fragment in the Pat-2 allele and a 317-bp fragment in the pat-2 allele. The amplified fragments of ‘Severianin’ and ‘Renaissance’ were detected at the same position as the pat-2 fragment, whereas those of ‘Micro-Tom’ and ‘MPK-1’ were detected at the same position as the Pat-2 fragment (Fig. 1). These results indicate that parthenocarpy in ‘MPK-1’ is not caused by the pat-2 allele of ‘Severianin’. To investigate the existence of a small polymorphism, we determined the ORF sequence of the Pat-2 locus in ‘MPK-1’ using the four sets of primers. This showed that the sequence in ‘MPK-1’ was identical to that in ‘Heinz 1706’.

Analysis of the Pat-2 locus in ‘MPK-1’

The sequence of the pat-2 allele of ‘Severianin’ was determined using the tomato line ‘LS935’, which is a genetic resource that was obtained by backcrossing ‘Severianin’ with ‘Moneymaker’ (Nunome et al., 2013). The open reading frame (ORF) region of the Pat-2 locus in ‘Heinz 1706’ is 1651 bp in length (https://solgenomics.net/), whereas that of the pat-2 allele of ‘LS935’ is 617 bp in length. This difference is due to the deletion of a 1034-bp fragment, which was detected by the Pat-2_fwd and Pat-2_rev primers. This primer set amplifies a 1351-bp fragment in the Pat-2 allele and a 317-bp fragment in the pat-2 allele. The amplified fragments of ‘Severianin’ and ‘Renaissance’ were detected at the same position as the pat-2 fragment, whereas those of ‘Micro-Tom’ and ‘MPK-1’ were detected at the same position as the Pat-2 fragment (Fig. 1). These results indicate that parthenocarpy in ‘MPK-1’ is not caused by the pat-2 allele of ‘Severianin’. To investigate the existence of a small polymorphism, we determined the ORF sequence of the Pat-2 locus in ‘MPK-1’ using the four sets of primers. This showed that the sequence in ‘MPK-1’ was identical to that in ‘Heinz 1706’.

Segregation data for parthenocarpy in the F2 population

We conducted a segregation analysis for parthenocarpity in ‘MPK-1’ using the F2 population. The F2 population was clearly classified into three groups—no parthenocarpy, weak parthenocarpy, and strong parthenocarpy—according to the time at which the initial growth of unpollinated fruit occurred. Plants that ex-

**Fig. 1.** Polymerase chain reaction-based pat-2 genotyping. Lane: 1, ‘Micro-Tom’; 2, ‘MPK-1’; 3, ‘Renaissance’; 4, ‘Severianin’; M, 100-bp ladder.
hibited no parthenocarpy, weak parthenocarpy, and strong parthenocarpy had a segregation ratio of 27:47:24, which was not significantly different from the expected 1:2:1 ratio ($\chi^2 = 0.35$, $P = 0.84$). This suggests that each of the three groups of parthenocarpic phenotypes may correspond to different allelic combinations on a single parthenocarpic locus.

**Linkage analysis**

We used 882 SSR markers to detect polymorphisms between ‘Micro-Tom’ and ‘MPK-1’, 63 of which revealed a polymorphism. These markers were distributed across all chromosomes except chromosome 9 (Table 1). A linkage map constructed from these 63 SSR markers covered 1461 cM, with an average linkage distance of 23 cM between markers. To determine the map location of the parthenocarpic locus in ‘MPK-1’, a linkage analysis was conducted using the genotype data for the 63 SSR markers and the parthenocarpic segregation data with MAPMAKER ver. 3.0. This showed that the parthenocarpic locus was linked to TGS0486, which is located on chromosome 1. The map distance between TGS0486 and the novel parthenocarpic locus was 28.22 cM.

We developed an F3 population from an F2 plant with weak parthenocarpy that was heterozygous for the TGS0486 locus to confirm that the parthenocarpic locus was linked to TGS0486. This resulted in 26 plants that were heterozygous, and 14 and 8 plants that were homozygous for the ‘Micro-Tom’ allele and the ‘MPK-1’ allele of the TGS0486 locus, respectively (Table 2). Among the heterozygous plants, 1 plant exhibited strong parthenocarpy, 23 plants exhibited weak parthenocarpy, and 2 plants exhibited no parthenocarpy. Among the plants that were homozygous for the ‘Micro-Tom’ allele, 13 plants exhibited weak parthenocarpy and 1 exhibited no parthenocarpy, whereas all plants that were homozygous for the ‘MPK-1’ allele exhibited strong parthenocarpy. The linkage analysis for this F3 population showed that the parthenocarpic gene was linked to TGS0486.

**Fruit and flower morphology, and fruit development**

The fruit of ‘MPK-1’ contain few seeds, even when the flowers are sufficiently pollinated (Fig. 2A). In most ‘MPK-1’ flowers (Fig. 2B), the sepals are fused making it difficult for the petals to open completely at anthesis, and the fused sepals are still observed at the time of harvest (Fig. 2C). The mean diameter of the parthenocarpic fruit was slightly shorter than that of the pollinated fruit between 1 and 3 weeks post-anthesis; however, the fruit were similar in size at 4 weeks post-anthesis and at maturity (Fig. 3).

**Discussion**

In this study, we investigated the gene that controls...
parthenocarpy in the tomato cultivar ‘MPK-1’. Initially, we analyzed the Pat-2 locus using a PCR-based marker with the cultivars ‘MPK-1’, ‘Severianin’, ‘Renaissance’, and ‘Micro-Tom’. We found that the amplified fragments of ‘Severianin’ and ‘Renaissance’ were detected at the same position as the pat-2 fragment, whereas the amplified fragment of ‘MPK-1’ was detected at the same position as the Pat-2 fragment (Fig. 1). In addition, we found that the ORF sequence of Pat-2 locus in ‘MPK-1’ was identical to that of ‘Heinz 1706’. These results suggest that parthenocarpy in ‘MPK-1’ is not controlled by the pat-2 allele but by some other unknown parthenocarpic gene (Table 2).

The segregation ratio of plants exhibiting no parthenocarpy, weak parthenocarpy, and strong parthenocarpy in the F₂ population fitted a 1:2:1 ratio, indicating that the parthenocarpic gene of ‘MPK-1’ is semi-dominant. A linkage analysis of the F₂ population showed that the parthenocarpic gene is located on chromosome 1, at a distance of 28.22 cM from the nearest SSR marker, TGS0486. A linkage analysis of the F₂ population confirmed that TGS0486 is linked to the parthenocarpic gene. However, the segregation ratio of plants exhibiting no parthenocarpy, weak parthenocarpy, and strong parthenocarpy in the F₂ population did not fit a 1:2:1 ratio because 13 of the 14 F₂ plants that were homozygous for the ‘Micro-Tom’ allele exhibited weak parthenocarpy, with only one having no parthenocarpy. The F₂ plants were grown from September to November, whereas the F₁ plants were grown from May to July, resulting in the growth temperature of the F₂ plants being higher than that of the F₁ plants. Parthenocarpy is enhanced by high temperatures in the tomato (Sato et al., 2001); therefore, it is possible that the high temperature caused weak parthenocarpy in the F₂ plants that were homozygous for the ‘Micro-Tom’ allele. On the other hand, it is reported that the expression of parthenocarpy in ‘Severianin’ is affected by not only pat-2, but also some other factors. Lin et al. (1984) reported that the expression of parthenocarpy in ‘Severianin’ is associated with a determinate growth habit and/or earliness, and Vardy et al. (1989) reported that the minor gene, mp, influences the expression of pat-2 in ‘Severianin’. Thus, the parthenocarpy of ‘MPK-1’ may also be affected by minor factors, leading to weak parthenocarpy in the F₂ plants that were homozygous for the ‘Micro-Tom’ allele. However, all F₂ plants that were homozygous for the ‘MPK-1’ allele exhibited strong parthenocarpy, indicating that the major gene that causes parthenocarpy in ‘MPK-1’ is located near TGS0486.

It has previously been reported that pat is localized on the long arm of chromosome 3; pat-2, pat4.1, and pat4.2 are localized on chromosome 4; pat5.1 is localized on chromosome 5; and pat9.1 is localized on chromosome 9 (Beraldi et al., 2004; Gorguet et al., 2008; Nunome et al., 2013). Therefore, this is the first report of a parthenocarpic gene on chromosome 1 in the tomato. It has also been reported that the flowers of ‘RP75/59’, which contain pat3/pat4, need to be emasculated to obtain seedless fruit (Gorguet et al., 2005). However, in ‘MPK-1’, seed formation was extremely inhibited even when the flowers were sufficiently pollinated. Therefore, it is assumed that the parthenocarpic gene of ‘MPK-1’ is different from pat-3/pat-4. Vardy et al. (1989) previously hypothesized that two recessive genes, pat-2 and mp, are involved in the expression of parthenocarpy in ‘Severianin’, and that mp influences the expression of pat-2 when it is in the homozygous form. However, the parthenocarpic gene of ‘MPK-1’ induced strong parthenocarpy by itself, so it is assumed that the parthenocarpic gene of ‘MPK-1’ is different from mp. Based on these findings, it can be concluded that the parthenocarpic gene of ‘MPK-1’ is a novel parthenocarpic gene. Consequently, we designated this novel parthenocarpic gene Pat-k. Lin et al. (1984) reported that the expression of parthenocarpy among nine lines of ‘Severianin’ varied significantly in winter cultivation. This may show that there are several parthenocarpic lines in ‘Severianin’. There are two possibilities in terms of the origin of Pat-k. First, ‘Severianin’, which was used as the parent of ‘MPK-1’, had pat-2 and Pat-k, and we identified one of them. Second, the parthenocarpic line having not pat-2, but Pat-k, among several parthenocarpic lines of ‘Severianin’ was used as the parent of ‘MPK-1’. ‘Severianin’, which was used as the parent of ‘MPK-1’, has been lost. Therefore, we currently cannot clarify where Pat-k is from. Isolation of Pat-k may lead to clarification of where this gene is derived from.

In pat tomato mutants, there are partial aberrations of the stamens and ovules, and fertilization is strongly inhibited even where the ovules appear normal due to abnormalities in the pollen tube–ovary interaction (Mazzucato et al., 1998, 2003), indicating that pat may result from the mutation of a putative gene with homeotic functions. SEPATALA (SEP) is a MADS box gene.
that interacts with other MADS box genes to control the development of each floral whorl in *Arabidopsis*. Ampomah-Dwamena et al. (2002) showed that constitutive downregulation of a SEP homolog from a tomato, *Tomato MADS-box* 29 (*TM29*), results in horticultural conversion and pistil infertility, coupled with parthenocarpic fruit formation. It has previously been reported that *pat-2* is a zinc finger homeodomain protein (ZF-HD) gene (Nunome et al., 2013), and Tan and Irish (2006) proposed that this gene family encodes a group of transcriptional regulators with unique biochemical activities that play overlapping regulatory roles in *Arabidopsis* floral development. In the present study, we found that the sepals of ‘MPK-1’ were fused, making it difficult for the petals to open completely at anthesis, and the fused sepals were still observed when the fruit were harvested. Fused sepals and the inhibition of seed formation were also observed in the breeding lines derived from ‘MPK-1’ and the F2 population, which exhibited strong parthenocarpy. In addition, it has previously been reported that seed formation in ‘MPK-1’ is extremely inhibited and that many ovules have abnormal microyles (Kataoka et al., 2004; Takisawa et al., 2012). Thus, *Pat-k* appears to have some horticultural function and the inhibition of seed formation may be caused by genetic pleiotropy of *Pat-k*.

In the parthenocarpic cultivar ‘Renaissance’, which has the *pat-2* gene, the parthenocarpic fruit weighs as much as pollinated fruit at maturity (Ohkawa et al., 2007). We also found that the parthenocarpic fruit of ‘MPK-1’ were similar in size to the pollinated fruit at maturity, which indicates that the *Pat-k* gene exhibits practical parthenocarpy. Thus, ‘MPK-1’ may be used as a new parthenocarpic resource for breeding.

In this study, we identified the novel parthenocarpic gene *Pat-k* in the tomato cultivar ‘MPK-1’, which may be valuable for the breeding of parthenocarpic tomato varieties. Furthermore, the isolation of *Pat-k* will increase our understanding of the parthenocarpic mechanism.
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