Root responses of Siberian larch to different soil water conditions
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Abstract:
Decline of larch trees has been recently observed in eastern Siberia. We hypothesized that the decline might be caused by changes in soil water conditions of larch forests, especially wetting tendency due to recent climate change. Through pot experiments, we have investigated the effects of wet or dry soil water conditions on growth and abscission of roots in two larch species viz. Larix gmelinii that grows in boreal forests and Larix leptolepis that grows in temperate regions. The results showed that both the allocation of photosynthetic products to roots and the activity of roots decrease under wet conditions compared with drought conditions in L. gmelinii. However, the opposite results were obtained in L. leptolepis. The root response of L. gmelinii to wet conditions may be one of the causes of the recent decline in Siberian larch forests under elevated soil water conditions.
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INTRODUCTION

Larch (Larix) forests are the most widely distributed coniferous forest in the world (Kobak et al., 1996). The distribution of 10 Larix species throughout the cold temperate regions of the northern hemisphere has been described (More and White, 2002). Comparing with Pinus or Picea, which have a greater number of species, the distribution of Larix is considered to be rather simple. Most larch forests located in eastern Siberia are dominated by Larix gmelinii (Dahurian larch) and Larix cajanderi. These regions are characterized by continuous permafrost and a continental climate, low precipitation of about 100 mm year⁻¹, and surface soil moisture as low as 5% during the growing season of larch (Ohta et al., 2008). Larch trees are known to have a shallow root system (Dem’yanov, 1980), thought to be an adaptation to severe water and temperature conditions.

Recently, soil water conditions and water balance in larch forests have changed due to the variations in annual and/or monthly precipitation in eastern Siberia (Iwasaki et al., 2010; Ohta et al., 2008). Iwasaki et al. (2010) reported that annual precipitation (from June to May) in 2005–2006, and 2006–2007, exceeded the 26-year mean of 222 ± 68 mm (mean value ± standard deviation during 1982–2008) by 185 mm and 128 mm, respectively. Also, Ohta et al. (2008) showed that the soil water content in eastern Siberian larch forests varied seasonally between 5% and 30% from 1998 to 2005, but reached 45% in 2006. This increasing trend in soil water content would be expected to suggest the mitigation of severe drought stress for larch trees, however, yellowing and browning of larch (L. cajanderi) trees was observed in a disturbed forest near Yakutsk Sakha Republic, Russia (e.g. Iwasaki et al., 2010). We hypothesized that the decline might be caused by changes in soil water conditions of larch forests, especially wetting tendency.

In general, inhibition of the growth of plant roots by drought stress, among the myriad possible effects caused by changes in soil water conditions, has been reported in many plant species under various temperature conditions (e.g. Plaut et al., 1996; Huang, 1999; Manes et al., 2006; Torreano and Morris, 1998). However, some plant species are able to adapt their root systems to drought conditions by increasing their root/shoot (R/S) biomass ratio (e.g. Psarras and Merwin, 2000; Nguyen and Lamant, 1989) and the branching of fine roots expressed as fine root length and specific root length (SRL) (e.g. Chiante et al., 1999; Rodrigues et al., 1995; Jupp and Newman, 1987). Such plants adapt to drought conditions by increasing the surface area of roots or by branching of fine roots in order to maintain water uptake. In contrast, the response of roots to excessively wet conditions in plants that are adapted to drought conditions has not been fully investigated especially in cold regions, because humidification in cold regions has only been noticed recently.

The purpose of this study is to determine the effects of the current wetter conditions on the roots of L. gmelinii, a dominant, drought-tolerant tree species in eastern Siberia. The response of roots to wet conditions was detected by measuring root growth and abscission. The response of L. gmelinii to wet conditions was distinguished and defined by comparison to that of L. leptolepis, a dominant larch species in Japanese temperate forests.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Plant materials

Seedlings of L. gmelinii and L. leptolepis were purchased from the Forest and Wood Breeding Station in Hokkaido prefecture and the Forest Nursery Cooperative in Nagano prefecture, respectively. Mean seedling heights were 82.8 ± 1.9 cm for L. gmelinii and 43.5 ± 1.0 cm for L. leptolepis. Seedlings were planted in pots (27 cm in diameter and 30 cm in height) containing quartz sand on 21 May 2003 at the Inabu experimental forest, Nagoya University. Seedlings were grown outdoors under a rainproof roof. The annual mean, maximum, and minimum temperatures at Inabu are 11.3°C, 28.0°C, and −4.5°C, respectively. Seedlings were...
adapted to these conditions for 1 year until the start of treatment. Seedlings were watered once every 2 months during the adaptation and experimental periods with a nutrient solution of Hyponex (N/P/K = 10:3:3; Hyponex Japan) diluted 2000-fold (v/v) with water.

**Water treatment**

The experiment was carried out for 6 months from 24 May to 22 November 2004. For each treatment, 7 seedlings were used. For the wet treatment, 400 mL of water was supplied to seedlings every day. For the dry treatment, 400 mL of water was supplied to seedlings once a week during the initial 2 months, then the frequency was changed to once every 3 days, because the initial watering regime was too severe for *L. leptolepis* to survive. At each watering, 400 mL of stream water was supplied to a pot using an automatic watering timer (EY4200-H; Electric Industrial Co., Ltd.). Another 5 pots containing no seedlings were placed in a pot with quartz sand. Once in a 2-month period, the pots were cleaned from the sand medium. Then, each time the seedlings were submerged in the sand medium for the wet treatment, the abscised roots remaining in the sand medium were collected by floating them to the surface in water. The collected abscised roots were then oven-dried at 80°C for 48 h to measure dry weight.

(v) **Total root length and root length classified by diameter**

After the treatments had been conducted, three seedlings from each treatment were selected to measure total root length and root length classified by diameter. After seeding roots had been washed thoroughly, all roots, except the primary root, were cut into 20-mm lengths. The root pieces were placed on transparent film without overlapping, and images of all roots from a plant were scanned. Total root length and root length classified by root diameter were calculated from these images of the root systems using NIH Image (U.S. National Institute of Health) analysis software. Root diameter was classified into 10 classes at 0.25-mm intervals. Specific root length (SRL) was calculated by dividing total root length by root dry weight.

(vi) **Root-shoot ratio**

After treatments were finished (22 November 2004), all root systems were harvested, and above-ground plant parts and root systems were oven-dried at 80°C for 48 h before measuring dry weight. R/S ratios were calculated by dividing root dry weight by shoot dry weight.

**Statistical analysis**

Effects of treatments on each measurement were analyzed using one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) followed by Fisher’s least-significant difference (LSD) test.

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

Figure 1 shows the change in water conditions as both volumetric water content and pF values for wet and dry treatments on day 8 when watering was conducted weekly during the initial 2 months. Volumetric water content remained constant at over 20% in the wet treatment throughout the experiment. In the dry treatment, water content decreased considerably on the 2nd day after watering and fell to about 2% by the 7th day. The soil water level in the dry treatment nearly reached pF 4.2, which is defined...
as the wilting point, and achieved the same soil water level as that observed in eastern Siberia (Ohta et al., 2008).

Photosynthesis was suppressed in both species by drought conditions as shown in Figure 2. This result is a typical drought stress response caused by stomatal closure under dry conditions (Taiz and Zeiger, 2002). In our results, the decrease in photosynthesis manifested as reduction of root elongation rates as shown in Table I, particularly in *L. leptolepis*, indicating that this species is less adaptable to drought conditions. Generally, drought stress reduces root growth (e.g. Briske and Wilson, 1980; King and Bush, 1985; Stevenson and Laidlaw, 1985) as a result of suppression of growth of the elongation zone (Dubrovsky et al., 1998). The growing parts of a plant, such as meristems and the elongation zone, are much more sensitive to water stress than are its differentiated parts such as fine roots (Plaut, 1995). Therefore, reduction of the root elongation rate under the dry treatment was likely caused by suppression of root meristem activity or reduced growth of the elongation zone in the lower lateral roots.

Despite suppression of root elongation rate, the R/S ratio and total root length in *L. gmelinii* tended to be greater in the dry treatment than in the wet treatment (Table I). This may be attributable to the increased development of fine branching roots in the dry treatment, which showed an increasing tendency in fine root length and SRL in Table I. Development of lateral and fine roots under drought conditions has been observed in a number of plant species (Dubrovsky et al., 1998; Jupp and Newman, 1987; North and Nobel, 1994). The development of lateral roots increases the surface area of the root for water absorption. In addition, because hydraulic conductivity is higher in younger roots than in older roots (Frensch and Steudle, 1989; North and Nobel, 1992), the development of new lateral roots may increase root hydraulic conductivity. Therefore, a tendency toward increase in fine root length and SRL under drought conditions appears to contribute to drought adaptation in *L. gmelinii*.

While fine root length tended to be longer in the dry treatment in *L. gmelinii*, the degree of root abscission was significantly greater in the dry treatment than in the wet treatment (Figure 3). The opposite result was observed in *L. leptolepis* where root abscission was greater under the wet treatment than in the dry treatment. Root endodermis or exodermis is suberized by accumulation of lignin or suberin when roots are exposed to drought (Cruz et al., 1992); and in general, such suberization protects roots from drought. The suberized root, however, has decreased root hydraulic conductance (Nobel, 1991) and water absorption rates as compared with new, unsuberized roots (Claekson and Sanderson, 1974). Therefore, in this experiment, the roots of *L. gmelinii* were likely suberized by drought, but these suberized roots probably abscised when new roots were produced. Such dynamics in root development could explain the high respiration rate observed under the dry treatment. Figure 4 shows that the respiration rate of roots under the dry treatment was higher than under the wet treatment in *L. gmelinii*, and that the opposite result was found in *L. leptolepis*. Furthermore, drought-induced root abscission has been suggested to reduce the possibility of water loss from the root to soil (Huang and Nobel, 1992). Thus, higher levels of root abscission in *L. gmelinii* under

![Figure 2. Photosynthesis rate of (a) *L. gmelinii* and (b) *L. leptolepis* from before the start of treatment until September.](image_url)

Table I. Root elongation rate, total root length, specific root length, and root/shoot ratio of *L. gmelinii* and *L. leptolepis* under wet or dry water conditions (n = 3)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Root elongation rate (cm/month)</th>
<th>Total root length (m)</th>
<th>Specific root length (m/g)</th>
<th>Root/Shoot ratio</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>L. gmelinii</em></td>
<td>Wet</td>
<td>3.75 ± 0.62</td>
<td>400 ± 150</td>
<td>20.99 ± 1.26</td>
<td>0.82 ± 0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dry</td>
<td>2.81 ± 0.25</td>
<td>499 ± 86</td>
<td>23.32 ± 0.60</td>
<td>1.04 ± 0.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>L. leptolepis</em></td>
<td>Wet</td>
<td>2.29 ± 0.38</td>
<td>307 ± 108</td>
<td>24.17 ± 1.00</td>
<td>0.70 ± 0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dry</td>
<td>1.19 ± 0.26*</td>
<td>280 ± 58</td>
<td>20.44 ± 2.97</td>
<td>0.70 ± 0.09</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The mark * indicates significant difference between wet and dry conditions at p < 0.05.
the dry treatment could be a mechanism for the plant to avoid water loss by eliminating suberized roots.

Figure 5 shows the size distribution of root lengths after 6 months of treatment in *L. gmelinii* and *L. leptolepis*. Unlike *L. gmelinii*, drought conditions tended to decrease fine root length and SRL in *L. leptolepis*. Coarse root length, however, increased under the dry treatment in this species. According to Eissenstat et al. (2000), thick roots tend to have greater longevity than fine roots. Therefore, *L. leptolepis* may have developed thick roots with greater longevity under the dry treatment as a way of maintaining its root system. In addition, root abscission was considerably lower in *L. leptolepis* in the dry treatment than in the wet treatment. This may also be a mechanism for maintenance of the root system under drought conditions. In brief, the strategy of *L. leptolepis* under drought stress may be to maintain its root system by increasing average root diameter and by decreasing root abscission.

In summary, under drought, the roots of *L. gmelinii* exhibit greater development of fine roots and a higher level of abscission, as corroborated by higher respiration than under wet conditions, even though photosynthesis decreases under the dry treatment. These results suggest that in *L. gmelinii*, both allocation of photosynthetic products to roots and root activity decrease under wet conditions compared with drought conditions, or that wet conditions constitute a stress for roots genetically adapted to drought conditions. On the contrary, *L. leptolepis* showed higher production, abscission, and respiration rates of roots under wet conditions suitable for growth in plants adapted to more temperate and wetter regions. From these opposing results in two larch species under dry and wet conditions, wet conditions are apparently less favorable for *L. gmelinii* than drought conditions. The decreased tendency of *L. gmelinii* to produce fine roots under wet conditions might have negative adaptive effects, because fine roots are also an important organ for acquisition of nutrients.

In conclusion, *L. gmelinii* adapted to dry conditions changed allocation of photosynthetic products and then...
decreased fine roots under wetter soil water condition. That is, the recent elevations in soil water conditions in eastern Siberia may adversely affect the growth of larch trees, rather than the expected mitigation of water stress. It is suggested that the response of *L. gmelinii* roots to wet conditions, including decreases in respiration and production of fine roots, could be a cause of the recent decline in Siberian larch populations in eastern Siberia.
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