Loss Characteristics of Input-Series Output-Parallel SiC DC-DC Converter Used in Auxiliary Power Systems
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With regard to the auxiliary power systems used in public transport such as hybrid bus, trolleybus, light rail trams, and subways, riding the weight and volume of the power equipment need to be reduced in order to accommodate more passengers or provide a more comfortable space. A converter with a higher capacity density, smaller volume, and lower weight was developed to improve the vehicle layout design and transport capability. With advantages such as faster switching frequency, lower power losses, and higher working temperature, silicon carbide metal-oxide-semiconductor field-effect transistor (SiC MOSFET) devices can be used to promote the operation of auxiliary power converters. In most of the metro trains in China, such as Beijing, Shanghai, and Guangzhou, the power supply line is at a level of DC 1500 V. However, 3300 V SiC devices are rarely available in the market, series SiC MOSFETs are mostly available at levels of 600 V to 1200 V. In this research, a cascaded full-bridge topology with input-series output-parallel (ISOP) connected converter adapting 1700 V/300 A SiC MOSFET is proposed. An improved input voltage sharing control method is applied to improve the system rapidity and stability of the ISOP converter. The working characteristics, device losses, and system efficiency of a phase-shifted full-bridge (PSFB) converter with ISOP topology based on 1700 V/300 A SiC MOSFET are studied. Aiming at the application to auxiliary power systems, the other two types of converter topologies are also analyzed. The 1700 V/300 A (silicon-based insulated gate bipolar transistor) Si IGBT PSFB converter with ISOP topology and the 3300 V/400 A Si IGBT single unit PSFB converter are investigated of the control, weight, losses, efficiency, cost, and structure complexity. Simulation and experiment show the application of SiC devices exerting a positive effect on the volume reducing, weight decreasing and efficiency increasing.
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1. Introduction

There are strict standards on the weighty and volume of auxiliary power system applied to the tram, light railway transit (LRT) and metro train. Higher capacity density, smaller volume and slighter weight of the converter are beneficial to the vehicle layout design and transport capability. The power level of the latest domestic metro vehicle auxiliary inverter power system is generally 150 kW. In most Chinese cities like Beijing, Shanghai, Wuhan and Shenzhen, the subway power supply line was direct current power (DC) 1500 V system. The vehicle auxiliary power supply was consisted by DC 700 V bus, DC 110 V battery charger, three phase 380 Vac inverter and DC 24 V signal power module. The power density, volume and weight can be improved by the output filter and the transformer isolation. So the additional high-frequency DC-DC link is often used, which changes the output side in the transformer isolation. The fore-stage circuit applied to the auxiliary power system has been investigated in Ref. (6). A train auxiliary power supply system based on PQ droop control algorithm has been designed in Ref. (7). There was a modular redundant design on the DC-DC converter to reduce the single module power(8). The DC-DC converter with SiC MOSFET has been analyzed for the system loss and efficiency(9)(10). Soft-switching is the most common way to increase the switching frequency of the Si-based devices, thereby reducing device losses and ensuring system efficiency. Many literature have carried out related research based on a certain topology, including soft-switching circuit stability, soft-switching implementation and the efficiency of the circuit like introduced in Refs. (11)–(15). Wide bandgap devices bring the characteristics of high-frequency and low-loss to enhance the circuit performance. Many circuits with wide bandgap devices can be implemented under hard switches, reducing the design requirements for circuit parameters and
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simplifying control algorithms. The application of SiC MOSFETs in aeronautical static converters has been studied in Ref. (16). Due to the commercialization process in the industry, the present common module of the SiC devices is only at 1700 V level, which also limits the applications of the SiC devices in the subway auxiliary power supply system.

The input series output parallel (ISOP) phase shifted full bridge (PSFB) zero voltage switch (ZVS) DC-DC converter circuit topology using 1700 V SiC MOSFET suitable for DC 1500 V power supply is studied in this paper. The converter uses two power modules input series output parallel. Each single power module uses full bridge structure which can achieve high-frequency isolation and phase-shifted control. The control method of the ISOP double bridge system is studied, and the input voltage and output current sharing are guaranteed by the improved input voltage sharing control algorithm. With two-level converter using the traditional 3300 V Si devices and ISOP double bridge circuit structure using the ordinary 1700 V Si devices, the control characteristics, operating principles, loss and efficiency characteristics of the three structures are compared. Finally, the relationship between the loss and the operating frequency of the ISOP PSFB converter with SiC MOSFET is studied, and the design parameters of the converter in auxiliary power supply system are determined. The feasibility of the scheme is verified by simulation and experiment results.

2. DC-DC Converter for Auxiliary Power Supply

2.1 PSFB SiC DC-DC Converter

The converter in this paper is for 1500 V powered auxiliary power system (APS). There are generally two types to supply power to APS: centralized power supply and distributed power supply (17). There is also one structure such as the Guangzhou metro line 2 in Fig. 1 (18).

Tc stands for trailer and Mp stands for motor train in the Fig. 1. One Tc and two Mp form a power supply unit. The power supply is 1500 V high voltage DC bus. FV represents lightning arrester. Each high-voltage box is equipped with 3-position knife switch, which can be switched in three modes: pantograph position, grounding position and workshop power supply. F stands for fuse. There is an APS3 in carriage Tc. There is an APS2 and a traction inverter in Mp2 powering the engine M3 and M4. There is an APS3 and a traction inverter in Mp1 powering the engine M1 and M2.

The APS2 and APS3 structures in the power supply system of Fig. 1 are like Fig. 2 shows.

As Fig. 2 shows, APS3 (APS with charger) often concludes two stage circuits. The pre-stage circuit is a high power high voltage DC-DC converter which is supplied by the DC 1500 V bus. In general, the output is DC 600 V or DC 700 V and the power is greater than 50 kW. The rear-stage circuit concludes three parts. The first is a converter that charges the 110 V battery charger. The power is generally 15 kW. The second is a DC 24 V or DC 48 V power supply module which supplies the control unit power. The power is generally not more than 500 W. The last is a buck converter which supplies DC 24 V for control circuit.

The DC-DC converter can convert the DC 1500 V input into the DC 700 V output by bridge circuit, step-down transformer, diode rectifier and the LC filter. The half-bridge circuit can supply the DC 110 V with the DC 700 V input. The three-phase inverter generally uses bridge circuit topology, which converts the DC 700 V input into the AC 380 V output.

The high-voltage high-power DC-DC converter uses two-level structure in common. For DC 1500 V power supply, 3300 V IGBT can meet the requirements. The conventional DC-DC converter structure is IGBT phase-shifted full bridge converter. For 1700 V SiC switching devices, the traditional two levels cannot meet the voltage pressure requirements. In Fig. 3, there are two PSFB converters in series or parallel structure.

The input-series structure can reduce the voltage stress of the devices, and the output-parallel structure can reduce the current stress of the output rectifier. With the input-series structure, 1700 V SiC MOSFET can be used in the full bridge structure. There are two input capacitors and output filter
inductors and capacitors. And the resonant inductor is also given to make the switching realize ZVS.

Each power module is in full bridge structure and phase-shifted control in Fig. 3. The two full bridge modules share the input voltage so that the 1700 V switching devices can meet the 1500 V power supply requirements. And the improved input voltage sharing control is used in the ISOP structure to ensure the input voltage sharing and the output current sharing.

For a single full-bridge DC-DC converter, phase-shifted control is used to achieve ZVS. In phase-shifted control, the phase of $S_1$ is ahead of $S_4$ and the phase of $S_2$ is ahead of $S_3$, so it is often said that $S_1$ and $S_2$ make up the leading leg, and $S_3$ and $S_4$ make up the lagging leg. When there is not a shift-phase between the leading leg and the lagging leg, the primary-side of the transformer obtains the largest volt-second product $\int_0^{\pi/2} U Idt$, allowing the converter to obtain the maximum output voltage. When the shift-phase is equal to or greater than 180°, the primary side voltage of the transformer is 0 and there will be no output. In the dead zone, the resonant inductance $L_r$ and its switching device junction capacitance resonance to open the switches of the leading leg before the $V_{ds}(V_{ce})$ voltage drop to zero to achieve ZVS. Besides, the resonant inductance $L_r$ and its switching device junction capacitance resonance to open the lagging leg switches before the $V_{ds}(V_{ce})$ drop to 0 to achieve ZVS, too. The PSFB converter operating mode of one half period is summarized as Table 1.

Table 1. PSFB converter operating mode of one half period

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$\theta$</th>
<th>Pulse distribution</th>
<th>Conduction devices</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$&lt;$0</td>
<td>$S_4S_3$ (OFF)</td>
<td>$S_1S_2D_1D_2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$&gt;$0</td>
<td>$S_1$</td>
<td>$S_2S_4D_1D_2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$&lt;$0</td>
<td>$S_3S_2$</td>
<td>$S_1SD_2D_1D_2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$&lt;$0</td>
<td>$S_2S_1$</td>
<td>$S_3SD_2D_1D_2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$&lt;$0</td>
<td>$S_4S_3$</td>
<td>$S_2S_1D_1D_2$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For a single full-bridge DC-DC converter, phase-shifted control is used to achieve ZVS. In phase-shifted control, the phase of $S_1$ is ahead of $S_4$ and the phase of $S_2$ is ahead of $S_3$, so it is often said that $S_1$ and $S_2$ make up the leading leg, and $S_3$ and $S_4$ make up the lagging leg. When there is not a shift-phase between the leading leg and the lagging leg, the primary-side of the transformer obtains the largest volt-second product $\int_0^{\pi/2} U Idt$, allowing the converter to obtain the maximum output voltage. When the shift-phase is equal to or greater than 180°, the primary side voltage of the transformer is 0 and there will be no output. In the dead zone, the resonant inductance $L_r$ and its switching device junction capacitance resonance to open the switches of the leading leg before the $V_{ds}(V_{ce})$ voltage drop to zero to achieve ZVS. Besides, the resonant inductance $L_r$ and its switching device junction capacitance resonance to open the lagging leg switches before the $V_{ds}(V_{ce})$ drop to 0 to achieve ZVS, too. The PSFB converter operating mode of one half period is summarized as Table 1.

Table 1 shows one half period of a PSFB converter operation mode, and the last period is the similar. $Sn$ represents the switching devices of the full bridge in Fig. 4. $SDn$ represents the corresponding switching devices body diode. $Dn$ is for the diode of the rectifier. $i_L$ is the current flowing through the resonant inductance $L_r$. Similar to the working principle of a phase-shifted full-bridge module, the two phase-shifted full-bridge module input series output parallel structure works the same way.

Figure 4 shows the converter waveforms during the different operation modes. The pulses of switches, the shifted phase between the switches of the leading leg and the lagging leg, the current flowing through $L_r$, the voltage $V_{AB}$ between the two midpoint of H bridge, the second side voltage of the transformer $V_{T2}$, the output voltage $V_o$ are shown here. As it was also showed how the voltage $V_{AB}$ and the current flowing through $L_r$ change in the dead time. The dotted curve of the second side voltage of the transformer $V_{T2}$ shows the duty cycle lost.

The drive pulses of module 2 are all lagged by module 1 a degree $\theta$. The output current is the sum of the current of module 1 and the current of module 2. Module 1 is operated as the same as one PSFB converter like the Fig. 4 shows. In general, in order to reduce the loss of the converter, there will be a phase between the two modules as the degree $\theta$. So the output current ripple will be canceled out when the currents of the two modules are superimposed. As you can see, the $i_{L1}$ and $i_{L2}$ are staggered.

The blue lines often show the voltages and the red lines often show the current. The dead time of the switches is ignored to briefly describe how the converter works. But one PSFB module actual working process is as the Fig. 4 shows. For the ISOP PSFB converter, the input current sharing and the output voltage sharing are very significant control indicators. If the two aims can be achieved, the converter can be operated stably. So, we will discuss the control method and its influences to the converter stability and loss.

2.2 ISOP PSFB Converter Control

Due to the commercialization process in the industry, the present common module with the SiC devices is only at 1700 V level. In order to use the 1700 V SiC devices well, two power modules in series structure in the input which can ensure the voltage pressure is widely used. And the parallel structure in the output makes that one power module only needs meeting the half of the output current requirement. But there is a problem caused by the ISOP structure that is the input voltage and the output current may not be shared equally by the two modules.

In order to achieve the goal of input voltage sharing and output current sharing. There is a normal control method as shown in Fig. 5.

The amplitude of $V_{in2}$ is half the input voltage $U_{dc}$ in Fig. 3. $V_{c2}$ is for the voltage of the capacitor $C_{T2}$. $V_{ref}$ is the output voltage. The amplitude of $V_{in2}$ is half the input voltage $U_{dc}$ in Fig. 3. $V_{c2}$ is for the voltage of the capacitor $C_{T2}$. $V_{ref}$ is the output voltage.
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Fig. 6. An improved input voltage sharing control

Voltage reference value. $G(s)$ is for the PI regulator of the various control loops. There are two control loops coupling in the common control method. The input voltage equalization loop and output current loop are coupled to ensure voltage and current sharing. If the control system can control the input voltage and the output current sharing, so the system can work stably. So the commonly used input sharing control method is proposed in Fig. 5. As there is no current loop in the control system, the response of the system is slow.

Based on the commonly used input voltage sharing control method, in order to improve the rapidity and stability of the system, an improved input voltage sharing control method is proposed in Fig. 6.

There is a common output voltage loop in Fig. 6, individual average current mode inner current loop, whose references are adjusted by individual input voltage loops. And the difference between the input voltages of the two modules after multiplying a gain $K$, respectively plus or minus the value after the outside voltage loop regulator and then conducts as the output of the input voltage loops. Output control pulses are regulated by the inner current loop regulator output to control the converter output.

The two voltage outer loops respectively ensure that the input voltage is equally divided and the output voltage is stable, and the inner current loop ensures the equalization of the output current. In the case of a suitable parameter setting, due to the inclusion of the inner current loop, the system’s rapidity is improved and the stability of the system is also improved.

3. Simulation and Loss Calculation

In order to verify that the circuit topology can meet the specifications and requirements of the auxiliary converter, the ISOP SiC DC-DC converter simulation model is built by PSIM. The control pulses and the voltages and currents of the switches are shown in Fig. 7.

The coordinates of each vertical axis are shown on the upper left side of the waveform, and each of the four sets of waveforms shares a time horizontal axis. As the Fig. 7 shows, the top waveforms show the turn on pluses of the eight switches of the two modules. And the below waveforms show the switching voltage and current waveforms of the two modules leading and lagging arms respectively. It can be seen that the waveforms of the four switches are all started to rise after the voltage drops to zero so that it realizes ZVS. The output voltage is obtained and kept stable at 700 V.

There are other two circuits to obtain the DC-DC converter objectives in auxiliary power system and their simulation models are built by PSIM. The conventional converter is 10 kHz PSFB converter using IGBT and the waveforms are shown in Fig. 8.

As the figure shows, both IGBT1 and IGBT4 of the lagging leg achieved ZVS, and the output voltage is obtained and kept stable at 700 V. The output voltage ripple is smaller than 1.0 V.

The PSIM model simulation waveforms of ISOP PSFB converters with Si IGBT are given as Fig. 9.

It can be seen that both the leading and the lagging arms realized ZVS. The phase angles of each converter are different, and all of the output ripples are smaller than 1 V. So all the converters can work normally and reach control indicators.

3.1 Conduction Loss

The first converter uses FF400R33KF2C IGBT of Infineon. And most of the losses are conduction loss $P_{\text{con}}$, switching loss $P_s$, rectifier loss $P_{\text{rec}}$, circulation loss, $P_{\text{cir}}$ transformer loss $P_t$, and LC filter loss $P_{\text{Lf}}$.

The formulas of the losses Calculation are as follows.

$$P_{\text{con}} = \int_0^{T/2} U_{ce}(t)I_e(t)dt$$

In general, the conduction loss is calculated as formula (1) if there is the working waveforms. But in order to quickly and easily estimate the conduction loss, we will use data from the datasheets to estimate the value. So the conduction loss is

$$P_{\text{con(MOS)}} = I_{\text{rms}}^2 R_{\text{ds(on)}} D_s$$
rectifier loss conclusions turn on loss and turn off losses. Based on the datasheet from manufacturer, the single voltage and current when we deal with high power applications are linearly related to the operating time. Thus, we can get the switching losses through single test voltage and current is obtained. Then by correcting this in the datasheet at the standard test condition, the corresponding parameters will be given when the diode is shipped, so that the diode loss can be calculated.

### 3.4 Circulating Loss

The circulating loss comes from the primary side full-bridge circuit during conduction or commutation. The charging and discharging of the switching capacitor can prevent the energy from being transmitted to the secondary side, and the energy flowing through the switching devices and the leakage inductance can be lost. It can be obtained by directly integrating according to the simulation result. The circulating loss of the full bridge is about the time $t_1$-$t_4$, the anti-parallel diode of the switching devices, and the filter inductance conduction.

$$P_{cir} = \int_{t_1}^{t_4} U_T(t) I_L(t) dt$$

As the formula shows, we only consider the loss from anti-parallel diode of the switching devices. It can also be estimated as follows.

$$P_{cir} = f_s U_{F\alpha} I_{F\alpha} I_F U_F$$

So the circulation loss is obtained.

### 3.5 Magnetic Components Loss

The loss of magnetic components is mainly composed of the core loss and winding loss.

$$P_{magnetic\ components} = P_{Fe} + P_{winding}$$

The Core loss $P_{Fe}$ can generally be calculated by the following formula.

$$P_{Fe} = k_f \alpha B^\beta V_e$$

$P_{Fe}$ is the core loss, $B$ is the peak value of magnetic induction. $\alpha$, $\beta$, $\epsilon$ are constants, which depend on the grade of the material and they can be generally found from the manufacturers core manuals, $V_e$ is for the volume of the core. The winding loss $P_{winding}$ can be expressed as (neglecting AC resistance losses):

$$P_{winding} = I_{rms}^2 R_{coil}$$

$R_{coil}$ is the DC resistance of the winding.

The transformer magnetic intensity peak value can be expressed as

$$B_T = \frac{U_o}{4 f_s A_e N_e}$$
Where $A$ is the effective magnetic field of the transformer. And the RMS value of the current flowing through the transformer is as follows.

$$I_{rms} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \left(\frac{L_f}{A} \cdot I_f \cdot R_{coil}\right)^2 dt}$$

(16)

The loss value of the transformer $P_t$ can be calculated by substituting the formula (15), (16) into the formulas (12)–(14) respectively.

The peak value of the AC magnetic intensity of the filter inductor $I_f$ can be expressed as

$$B_{m,f} = \frac{\mu_0 I_{rms}}{2L_f \cdot B_{m,r}}$$

(17)

Where $I_{rms}$ is the output current pulsation, $I_f$ is for the length of the effective magnetic circuit.

The current effective value of the filter inductor $L_f$ is

$$I_{ff, rms} = \frac{I_{rms}}{2}$$

(18)

The loss of the filter inductor can be obtained by substituting the formula (17), (18) into the formulas (12)–(14) respectively.

### 4. Characteristics Comparison Analysis

According to the formulas, calculating the 10kHz IGBT PSFB converter with FF400R33KF2C, the losses percentage of the rated power 80 kW is obtained. The proportion percentages of rated power $P_{con}$, $P_t$, $P_{loop}$, $P_{rec}$, $P_c$ are 0.38%, 0.61%, 0.22%, 0.46%, 0.48%, 1.588% respectively.

#### 4.1 Loss Characteristics Analysis of Three Converters

Every converter is designed in the best conditions in which switching frequency is suitable, ZVS is realized and the output meets the requirements. The rated power of each converter is 80 kW. The Si device operating frequency is 10 kHz. The SiC device operating frequency is 40 kHz. The main parameters and the switching devices of the converters are shown in the Table 2.

The middle one under the shadow is the proposed SiC MOSFET ISOP converter. All the converters are at the same rated power, but the switching frequency, transformer ratio, and the leakage inductance are different. The leakage inductance is designed according to their respective conditions.

According to Table 2, the loss and efficiency of each converter are calculated and is shown in Fig. 10.

In Fig. 10, for 40 kHz SiC MOSFET ISOP PSFB converter, the conduction loss $P_{con}$ is the most, but all of the transformer loss $P_t$, the LC filter loss $P_{L_f}$, the circulation loss $P_{loop}$ and the switching loss $P_c$ are the least. For 10 kHz Si IGBT ISOP PSFB converter, the transformer loss $P_t$ and the switching loss $P_c$ are the most, and the total loss is the most. For 10 kHz Si IGBT PSFB converter, the transformer loss $P_t$ and the switching loss $P_c$ are the most, except the circulation loss $P_{loop}$ is the most, the other losses are almost in the middle level. In all, the loss of the SiC MOSFET ISOP PSFB converter is the least, and the loss of the conventional Si PSFB converter is the most.

The above table compares the cost of the three converters under the main components, removing those common components. As can be seen from the table, the middle one under the shadow SiC MOSFET ISOP PSFB has the lowest loss, but its cost is also the most expensive. From this, it can be inferred that with the development of SiC devices, the performance will be improved. When the cost has been reduced, it is very appropriate to fully put into use.

In order to make a more detailed and profound comparison of the three converters, a radar diagram of the cost, size, weight, efficiency and structure design difficulty of the three converters is given as Fig. 11. The value of SiC MOSFET ISOP PSFB converter is taken as reference quantity 1, and the others are compared with it. As the figure shows, although the cost and structure design difficulty of the Si IGBT PSFB converter is the smallest, the size, weight and efficiency of the SiC MOSFET ISOP PSFB converter is the smallest. In all, SiC MOSFET does bring benefits to the converter.

#### 4.2 Loss Characteristics Analysis of SiC ISOP Converter

In order to analyze the SiC switching devices advantages, the relationship between loss and frequency is studied and the system losses under full load and half load

---

**Table 2.** The main parameters of the converters

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Converter</th>
<th>$P$ (kW)</th>
<th>$f_s$</th>
<th>$T_s$</th>
<th>$L_r$ (mH)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Si PSFB</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SiC ISOP</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1/2</td>
<td>1.1/4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Si ISOP</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1/2</td>
<td>1.1/4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 3.** Comparison of the three converter costs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Specification</th>
<th>Si IGBT ISOP</th>
<th>SiC MOSFET ISOP</th>
<th>SiC MOSFET PSFB</th>
<th>SiC ISOP PSFB</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Transformer</td>
<td>170<em>120</em>170</td>
<td>170<em>120</em>170</td>
<td>170<em>120</em>170</td>
<td>170<em>120</em>170</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drive module</td>
<td>20<em>50</em>100</td>
<td>4.5<em>100</em>400</td>
<td>20<em>50</em>100</td>
<td>4.5<em>100</em>400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Price(DMB)</td>
<td>3500</td>
<td>3500</td>
<td>3500</td>
<td>3500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight</td>
<td>22kg</td>
<td>18kg</td>
<td>18kg</td>
<td>18kg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total cost</td>
<td>13500</td>
<td>13700</td>
<td>17600</td>
<td>7600</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**Fig. 10.** Losses of the three kinds of converters

**Fig. 11.** Comparison of three converters
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4.3 SiC MOSFET ISOP PSFB Converter at 25 kW

APS3 in Fig. 3 is built as Fig. 14 shows of a total 5 parts. Part (1) is inverter, part (2) is 110 V to 24 V power supply and interface board, part (3) is input and output interface section, part (4) is SiC MOSFET ISOP PSFB converter 1, part (5) is SiC MOSFET ISOP PSFB converter 2.

The experiment is for Part (4), the circuit structure is like Fig. 3 as a SiC MOSFET ISOP PSFB converter. The input voltage is 770 V, the dead time is 700 ns, the phase-shifted value is 1/4 switching cycle, the resonant inductor is 4.6 μH, the DC blocking capacitor is 30 μF, and the load is connected to the braking resistor cabinet. The duty time is 800 ns, the resistance load is adjusted to 1.6 Ω, and the duty ratio is

$$D = \frac{25 - 0.7}{25} \times \frac{1}{2} = \frac{11.8}{25}$$

(19)

Figure 15 shows input voltage, input current, output voltage, output current waveforms of the ISOP module.

As shown in the Fig. 15, after calculating the average value of each waveform with an oscilloscope, the converter efficiency at that power can be obtained.

$$\frac{189 \times 134.5}{770 \times 34.4} = 95.97\%$$

(20)

The working power is about 26 kW. The calculation efficiency on the condition of 40 kW is 96.73%.

5. Conclusions

For the DC/DC converter in auxiliary power supply system of DC 1500 V input, the topology design of 1700 V SiC MOSFET ISOP PSFB circuit is proposed. The output of the DC/DC converter is stable by an input voltage sharing control. Compared with 3300 V Si IGBT PSFB converter and 1700 V Si IGBT ISOP PSFB converter, 1700 V SiC MOSFET ISOP PSFB converter meets the design requirements and the good operating conditions, the lowest loss 3.27% and the highest efficiency 96.73% are obtained according to the calculation results. And the efficiency of SiC MOSFET ISOP PSFB converter is 96.73% at 40 kW. The loss of each part under the rated condition of the converter still need further analysis.
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