Predictors of the “Crosstalk” Phenomenon During Cryoballoon Ablation in Patients with Atrial Fibrillation
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Summary
Second-generation cryoballoon (CB) ablation is effective in achieving pulmonary vein (PV) isolation (PVI) in atrial fibrillation (AF) patients. The “crosstalk” (CST) phenomenon has been reported to reduce unnecessary applications during CB ablation. Nevertheless, it is unclear under what conditions the CST phenomenon occurs.

To seek the predictors of the CST phenomenon during CB-guided PVI, CST phenomenon in achieving ipsilateral superior PVI during inferior PV ablation was analyzed in AF patients who underwent de novo ablation using CB. CB occlusion status and nadir balloon temperature (NT) were compared in these patients, and all ablated superior PVs were categorized into three groups according to the necessity of the touch up ablation and effectiveness of the phenomenon.

Of 1082 superior PVs, 16, 40, and 1026 were classified into the CST success, CST failure, and control (unnecessary CST) groups, respectively. The proportion of superior PVs ablated with complete occlusion using the CB was significantly higher in the CST success group than in the other two groups. The proportion of superior PVs ablated with NT < −46°C was higher in the CST success group than in the CST failure group. The CST phenomenon was always observed if CB ablation of the superior PVs was performed with both complete occlusion and NT < −46°C and was almost always ineffective if it did not meet these two criteria (sensitivity, 100%; specificity, 93%).

Successful CST ablation was highly predicted if complete PV occlusion and NT < −46°C during CB ablation of the superior PVs were achieved.
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With respect to efficacy, cryoballoon (CB)-guided pulmonary vein (PV) isolation of paroxysmal atrial fibrillation (AF) can provide a comparable or better outcome than contact force-guided radiofrequency catheter ablation. Therefore, CB ablation is clearly one of the most effective treatment modalities for AF. However, CB application indicates the risk of severe PV stenosis/occlusions and may damage extracardiac structures such as the esophagus, phrenic nerve, and bronchus.

Chun, et al. reported the “crosstalk” (CST) phenomenon in which CB application of the left inferior PV (LIPV) was able to ablate the gap sites along the inferior aspect of the left superior PV (LSPV) when applications failed to isolate the LSPV. Where this CST phenomenon can be beneficial in terms of reducing unnecessary freezing of the atrial tissue, although this report was for a first-generation CB, it is a phenomenon that we equally encounter when using a second-generation CB. Nevertheless, it is unclear which situations will necessitate the adoption of the CST phenomenon.

The present study aimed to investigate the relationship between the NT and occlusion status of the CB and the efficacy of CST ablation. Additionally, we sought to determine the predictors of the CST phenomenon under which conditions occur.

Methods

Study population: Patients with drug-refractory AF who underwent de novo CB ablation using second-generation CB from July 2017 to February 2020 were included in this retrospective, single-center, non-randomized study. While all patients provided informed consent prior to the
ablation procedure, to establish the left atrial (LA) and PV anatomy, all patients underwent a computed tomography scan prior to ablation. We excluded those with left common PVs, huge PVs in which the CB was applied separately to the upper and lower parts of the PV, LA diameter > 55 mm, intracardiac thrombi, uncontrolled thyroid dysfunction, contraindications for anticoagulation, and uncontrollable heart failure.

This study was conducted in accordance with the principles outlined in the Declaration of Helsinki. The study protocol was approved by the local institutional committee on human research at the Japan Redcross Yokohama-City Bay Hospital (approval number, 2017-81).

Pre-ablation preparation protocol: Prior to the procedure, all antiarrhythmic drugs were discontinued for at least five half-lives. Direct oral anticoagulants were changed to dabigatran from the day before the ablation. Patients on warfarin continued taking it during the peri-procedural period. An international normalized ratio level between 2.0 and 3.0 was considered acceptable. All patients continued taking anticoagulants throughout the peri-procedural period. While surface electrocardiograms and bipolar intracardiac electrograms filtered from 30 to 500 Hz were monitored, using prone-positional enhanced computed tomography or intracardiac transesophageal echocardiography, we confirmed that there were no intracardiac thrombi before ablation.

Ablation was performed under general anesthesia with dexmedetomidine or propofol. The ablation procedure has been previously described in detail. Briefly, a standard transvenous approach was employed for transseptal puncture and subsequent PV isolation. A 7-Fr 20-pole three-site mapping catheter (BeeAT; Japan Lifeline, Tokyo, Japan) was located in the coronary sinus for anatomic guidance. With a radiofrequency needle (Baylis Medical, Montreal, QC, Canada), a single transseptal puncture of the fossa ovalis was performed using the Brockenbrough technique. The puncture was guided by intracardiac echocardiography (ACUSON AcuNav; Biosense Webster, Diamond Bar, CA, USA) and biplane fluoroscopy (right and left anterior oblique projections). Thereafter, heparin was administered intravenously to achieve an activated clotting time between 300 and 400 seconds during the ablation procedure.

Cryoballoon ablation protocol: After gaining LA access, we injected contrast into the LA to define the position of each PV ostium. Through a steerable 15-Fr sheath (FlexCath Advance; Medtronic, Minneapolis, MN, USA), an inner lumen mapping catheter (Achieve; Medtronic, Minneapolis, MN, USA) was advanced into each PV ostium. Subsequently, a 28-mm second-generation CB (Arctic Front Advance; Medtronic, Minneapolis, MN, USA) was advanced over the inner lumen mapping catheter, inflated, and positioned sequentially in the PV ostium of each vein. An optimal vessel occlusion was considered to be achieved upon selective contrast injection from the tip of the CB showing total contrast retention with no backflow into the LA. Once a complete occlusion was documented, we withdrew the CB slightly and allowed leakage around the PV-balloon interface to better define the PV ostium and ensured a proximal ablation position. We reapplied only the minimal amount of pressure needed to regain the occlusion before the ablation, and cryothermal energy delivery was commenced. Ten to fifteen seconds after the freezing started, we injected contrast from the tip of the CB again to confirm if the PV occlusion status changed due to increase of the internal pressure of the CB. Standard cryoenergy applications lasted for 180 to 240 seconds. If PV potentials were visible during freezing energy delivery, the time to PV isolation was recorded when the potentials completely disappeared or became dissociated from the LA activity.

When the superior PV could not be isolated using a CB, we expected the CST phenomenon and advanced the CB into the ipsilateral inferior PV. At this time, we inserted one more sheath to LA through the same transseptal puncture site and positioned the decapolar ring catheter into the superior PV in order to monitor the potential of superior PV during freezing of the inferior PVs. When the time to PV isolation was clearly measured, we used it as a guide in deciding the freezing duration; we usually added more than 120 seconds to it as long as the total freezing duration did not exceed 240 seconds. If the superior PV was isolated during CB ablation of the ipsilateral inferior PV, we regarded this as successful CST phenomenon. When PV isolation was not achieved with CB application alone, we performed touch-up ablation of the PV using an open-irrigated radiofrequency catheter (FlexAbility and TactiCath, Abbott, Chicago, IL, USA, or ThermoCool, Biosense Webster, Irvine, CA, USA). CB ablation was applied in the following order: LSPV, LIPv, right inferior PV, and right superior PV (RSPV).

During cryoablation of right-sided PVs, continuous phrenic nerve stimulation with a decapolar catheter positioned in the superior vena cava was performed. The integrity of the phrenic nerve was monitored by intermittent fluoroscopy, tactile feedback, and right-sided compound motor action potential (CMAP) monitoring. When the CMAP amplitude decreased by more than 30% from the control value, CB application was prematurely terminated to avoid any injury to the phrenic nerve.

During CB ablation, the luminal esophageal temperature was also continuously monitored using an esophageal temperature probe (SensiTherm, Abbott, Chicago, IL, USA, or Esophage; Japan Lifeline, Tokyo, Japan). CB application was stopped when the esophageal temperature dropped below 15°C.

Statistical analysis: Continuous variables are presented as mean ± standard deviation or as median and interquartile range and were compared using the 2-test or Wilcoxon rank-sum test based on the distribution. Categorical variables are expressed as number and percentage and were compared using the 2-test. If the test indicated a significant difference, we performed post-hoc analysis with adjustment using the Bonferroni method.
Table 1. Baseline Clinical Characteristics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>n</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>n</td>
<td>541</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age, years</td>
<td>67 (59–73)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male, n (%)</td>
<td>384 (71%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paroxysmal AF, n (%)</td>
<td>351 (65%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body mass index, kg/m²</td>
<td>24.0 (21.4–26.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LA diameter, mm</td>
<td>41 (36–46)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LV ejection fraction, %</td>
<td>65 (59–71)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHADS2</td>
<td>1.17 (0–2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHA2DS2-VASc</td>
<td>2.36 (2–3)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

AF indicates atrial fibrillation; LA, left atrium; and LV, left ventricle.

Results

Patient characteristics: A total of 541 patients with AF (average age, 67.4 ± 10.8 years; 71%, males) who underwent de novo catheter ablation using the second-generation CB were included in this study. The patients’ baseline characteristics are summarized in Table 1.

Classification of the PVs based on the outcome of CB ablation and CST phenomenon: Out of 1082 PVs, 56 superior PVs (31 LSPVs and 25 RSPVs) were not isolated by CB ablation of the superior PV, and CB ablation of the inferior PV was attempted. Among these 56 superior PVs, the CST phenomenon was observed in 16 PVs (CST success group) but ineffective in 40 PVs that required touch-up radiofrequency ablation to achieve PV isolation (CST failure group). The third group involved superior PVs that were isolated only with ablation targeting the superior PV (“unnecessary CST” group = control group). Thus, we categorized the ablated superior PVs into three groups according to the effects of CB ablation and CST phenomenon, as shown in Figure 1.

Comparisons of CB procedures among the CST success, CST failure, and control group: Two factors of CB applications to the superior PVs—namely, NT and percentage of complete occlusions—were compared among the three groups (Figure 2). Differences in the NT among the three groups are illustrated in Figure 2A; the NT was significantly lower in the CST success and control groups than in the CST failure group (P < 0.001). Of note, complete occlusion of the superior PVs with the CB was significantly more highly achieved in the CST success group than in the CST failure and control groups (Figure 2B).

We analyzed the NT distribution during incomplete and complete occlusion applications, as shown by the graph in Figure 3. The NT in the CST success group was always observed in the temperature range of ≤ −46°C and most of them met the condition of complete occlusion. In contrast, the NT in the CST failure group was widely scattered throughout all temperatures, and many of them were under the condition of incomplete occlusion. Some superior PVs could not be isolated if the NT was above −45°C, even if a complete CB occlusion was achieved. As suggested by this graph, the CST phenomenon was always observed if CB ablation of the superior PVs met two factors of both complete CB occlusion and NT ≤ −46°C. Conversely, the CST phenomenon was almost always ineffective if it did not meet both of these two criteria (sensitivity, 100%; specificity, 93.8%). When only the factor of complete PV occlusion was used as the criterion, the sensitivity and specificity were 93.8% and 87.5%, respectively, which were worse than those of the above mentioned criteria.

Characteristics of the CB procedure in the CST success group: The characteristics of parameters during CB applications to the superior and inferior PVs in 16 cases in the CST success group are summarized in Table II. Complete occlusion of the superior PVs with the CB was achieved in 15/16 cases (93.8%) and the minimum NT for CB application to the superior PVs ranged from −46°C to −60°C. In all 16 cases except case nine, the first CB application to the inferior PVs succeeded in isolating the superior PVs, and no further applications were added. In case nine, because the esophageal temperature during the first CB application to the inferior PVs decreased below 15°C, the application was ceased and superior PV isolation was achieved by the next application that was added after the esophageal temperature recovered to above 30°C.

Case presentation of a successful CST phenomenon: Case 1 involves a patient with paroxysmal AF who underwent de novo ablation with a CB (Figure 4). The LSPV was not isolated after the first CB application with an NT of −52°C and complete LSPV occlusion (Figure 4A). We did not add a further CB application to the LSPV but instead attempted LIPV ablation. At 21 seconds after initiating the ablation, both the LSPV and LIPV were simultaneously isolated (Figure 4B). Furthermore, frequent LIPV ectopic beats, which conducted to the LSPV without conducting to the LA, were observed. These electrophysiological findings could not be explained without the presence of an intervening connection between the LSPV and LIPV.

Case 2 involves a patient with persistent AF who underwent PV isolation with the CB (Figure 5). We confirmed the short AF cycle length in the LSPV and performed CB ablation to the PV (Figure 5A). Although the NT reached −53°C during a CB application to the LSPV.
Factors for an effective CST ablation: During CB ablation for PV isolation, PV potentials sometimes remain even if the targeted PV is perfectly occluded and the NT is low enough to freeze the PV ostium. Where previous studies reported that complete occlusion of the superior PVs was one of the proposed factors for an effective CST ablation; however, it was not statistically analyzed, following the recognition of the CST phenomenon by Chun.
et al.,13) the CST technique was introduced into the ablation setting. In this study, by comparing the ablation data among the CST success, failure, and control groups, we were able to obtain the sensitivity and specificity of factors for a gaining CST phenomenon and proposed two highly predictable factors for a gaining CST phenomenon—namely, NT ≤−46°C and complete PV occlusion during CB ablation of the superior PVs.

**Clinical utility of the CST phenomenon:** There can be an alternative to adding more CB applications to the present PV or shifting the CB to the ipsilateral PV in expectation of a CST phenomenon in cases with electrical connections remaining between the LA and PV despite rigorous CB applications to the superior PV. The success rate of PV isolation by the CST phenomenon was reported to be 41.9% (13/31 cases) by Miyazaki, et al.14) and was 26.8% (15/56 patients) in our study, which is relatively low. Therefore, once the predictors postulated in this study could be introduced into the CB ablation setting, superior PV isolation would be expected to be more efficiently achieved, which would enable a reduction in the ablation application time and freezing damage to the myocardium and collateral tissues, including the esophagus and phrenic nerve. Despite the occurrence rate of CST phenomenon among all CB ablation cases being only 2.9% (16/541 patients) in our study and being reported to be 2.9% (15/511 patients) and 4.7% (32/676 patients) in previous other studies, respectively,2,14) to the best of our knowledge, there has been no systematic study on how often the CST phenomenon can be observed in actual CB ablation.

**The mechanisms of the CST phenomenon:** The two distinct time differences required for the successful isolation of the superior and ipsilateral inferior PVs in this study would lead to the possibility of two mechanisms for the CST phenomenon. As shown in Table II, the isolated cases were divided into two groups. In one group (“simultaneous” group), time to isolation of superior and inferior PV by the CB application to inferior PV were same (case 10), whereas in another group (“non-simultaneous” group), the superior PV was isolated with a non-simultaneous but rather different timing from that of the ipsilateral inferior PV, as in cases 7, 9, 11, 14, 15, and 16. Based on these findings, two mechanisms could be proposed, as delineated in Figure 6. An epicardial connection intervening between the ipsilateral PVs (epicardial conduction) may exist in the “simultaneous” group. On the other hand, the sleeve myocardium in the carina region was sometimes so thick that LSPV CB ablation alone cannot ablate the myocardium in the carina region over the entire layer, which resulted in unsuccessful LSPV isolation (carina conduction). In “non-simultaneous” group, it is likely that the outer part of the myocardium in this thick carina region would have to be ablated from the LIPV side to achieve full-layered ablation for LSPV isolation. There are no specific differences of patient characteristics and long-term success rate between the two groups.

The prevalence of an epicardial conduction was reported by previous studies to be 13.5%-20%.16-18) While Takahashi, et al. reported that out of 49 cases in which the LSPV was difficult to isolate by radiofrequency catheter ablation, seven cases had an earliest activation site in the LIPV during intra-LSPV pacing, which suggested an epicardial conduction between the ipsilateral PVs.20) To date, various epicardial conduction paths between the PVs and other atrial structures have been reported: LSPV-posterior LA, LSPV-vein of Marshall (VOM) area, LSPV-LA roof, left common PV (LCPV)-VOM area, LCPV-posterior LA, RSPV-posterior LA, and RSPV-LA roof.16-21)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case</th>
<th>Cross-talk PV</th>
<th>Complete Occlusion</th>
<th>NT (°C)</th>
<th>Total Freezing Duration (seconds)</th>
<th>Total Freezing Time</th>
<th>Duration to Temporary PV Isolation (seconds)</th>
<th>Time to Superior PV Isolation (seconds)</th>
<th>Time to Inferior PV Isolation (seconds)</th>
<th>CB Temperature at SPV Isolation (°C)</th>
<th>Complete Occlusion</th>
<th>NT (°C)</th>
<th>Total Freezing Duration (seconds)</th>
<th>Total Freezing Time</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>LS</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>−55</td>
<td>155</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>−47</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>RS</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>−46</td>
<td>513</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>LS</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>−50</td>
<td>185</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>−48</td>
<td>168</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>LS</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>−52</td>
<td>542</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>145</td>
<td>233</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>−40</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>240</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>LS</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>−50</td>
<td>310</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>−23</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>LS</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>−53</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>−36</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>LS</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>−53</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>−41</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>−46</td>
<td>240</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>LS</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>−53</td>
<td>182</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>−19</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>LS</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>−60</td>
<td>240</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>−39</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>149</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>LS</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>−52</td>
<td>420</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>−36</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>−45</td>
<td>121</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>LS</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>−47</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>−35</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>LS</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>−52</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>−35</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>153</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>LS</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−46</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>−47</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>LS</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>−57</td>
<td>203</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>−30</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>180</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>LS</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>−49</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>−40</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>−53</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>LS</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>−48</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>−33</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>240</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CB indicates cryoballoon; LS, left superior; NA, not available; NR, not recorded during cryoballoon application; NT, nadir balloon temperature; PV, pulmonary vein; PVI, pulmonary vein isolation; and RS, right superior.
Figure 4. Successful CST ablation case. A: LSPV isolation was not achieved by CB ablation with an NT of 52°C, complete LSPV occlusion, and freezing duration of 240 seconds. B: Successful CST ablation during CB ablation of the LIPV was observed. Both LSPV and LIPV were isolated simultaneously and LIPV ectopic beats conducted to the LSPV without conducting to the LA. AP indicates anterior-posterior; CB, cryoballoon; CS, coronary sinus; CST, crosstalk; LA, left atrium; LAO, left anterior oblique; LSPV, left superior pulmonary vein; LIPV, left inferior pulmonary vein; and NT, nadir balloon temperature.

The durability of acute PV isolation and the long-term AF survival rate have been reported to be lower in cases with epicardial conduction than in cases without such conduction.\(^{16,17}\) In our study, no acute electrical reconnections of the PVs were documented in the CST success group.

Anatomical reports have described that the thickest myocardial sleeves around the PVs are found in the carina region.\(^ {22,23}\) Cabrera, et al. reported these connections from an anatomical aspect.\(^ {23,24}\) In histologic sections of 15 hearts, 27\%, 53\%, and 20\% of myocardial strands between the superior and inferior PVs were epicardial, subendocardial, and from both aspects of the PV wall, respectively. The strands on the epicardial PV wall are likely to correspond to electrical epicardial intervening connections. That anatomical aspect of the PVs may account for the two conduction patterns observed during CB applications and eventual CST phenomenon.

**Study limitations:** In our study population, the prevalence of the CST phenomenon was observed in 16 (3\%) patients, which is a small sample size and may not have been adequate to derive any accurate factors for predicting a CST phenomenon. Additionally, whether the two factors derived could be useful predictors in the CB ablation setting was not evaluated owing to the retrospective non-randomized design of this study. Hence, these two factors
should be evaluated in a prospective, randomized, multicenter study in the future.

**Conclusion**

A CST phenomenon was highly predicted if the NT was ≤−46°C and a complete PV occlusion during CB ablation of the superior PVs were achieved. Knowing these predictors would help operators reduce unnecessary freezing during superior PV isolation using a CB.
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