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Alpine ski competition demands high-power output. However, the target maximum anaerobic power (MAnP) on a bicycle ergometer and its relationship to competitive performance has not yet been clarified for adult alpine ski racers. The aim of this study was to determine the target MAnP of adult alpine ski racers and its relationship to their performance. Participants included 14 male and 10 female alpine ski racers, who had graduated from high school. The MAnP was divided by the participant’s weight to determine relative power to body weight (BW). Competitive performance was assessed in terms of the number of points on the International Ski Federation (FIS) slalom (SL) and giant slalom (GS). Both male and female racers showed either significant or marginally significant correlations (r = -0.40 to -0.69) of MAnP and MAnP/BW with the FIS SL and GS point totals. These results indicated that there was a relationship between MAnP and MAnP/BW and alpine ski competitive performance at the national level for both male and female competitors. In this study, the targets of the MAnP and MAnP/BW in FIS SL and GS were approximately 1300 W and 17 W/kg for males, and 900 W and 15 W/kg for females, respectively. These values are appropriate indicators of competitive performance.

Keywords: maximum anaerobic power, competitive performance, alpine ski, target power, bicycle ergometer

1. Introduction

As an estimate of alactic power, Ayalon et al. (1974) and Katch et al. (1977) evaluated the power of the first 5 s of exercise and the peak power (PP) at several specific loads on a bicycle ergometer. However, the power output was found to vary depending on the load. Therefore, for accurate measurement of maximum anaerobic power, the relationship between the load and the power output must be considered. Dotan and Bar-Or (1983) measured power during all-out pedaling for 30 s, five times, at different loads, and performed quadratic regression to estimate the maximal power. This method requires more than four measurements for the calculation. Nakamura et al. (1985) obtained a maximal power value using three measurements, one for each 10-s period (Maximal Anaerobic Power: MAnP). Previously, to obtain the maximum power, Ayalon et al. (1974) had participants pedal a bicycle ergometer for 30 s and measured the power for the first 5 s and the total work for 30 s. Since then, many studies have measured the peak power at each specific load and exercise time as the maximum power (Katch et al., 1977). However, MAnP marked the highest power output, proving to be the true maximum power. Similar results were obtained by Nakamura et al. (1985). Therefore, the MAnP test is considered the most appropriate for measurement of maximal instantaneous power. In addition, the small number of measurements reduces the physical burden on athletes, thus allowing testing of many athletes.

The alpine ski competition demands high-power output (Haymes and Dickinson, 1980). A number of previous studies have addressed the relationship between anaerobic power and competitive performance for adult male alpine ski racers. Haymes and Dickinson (1980) demonstrated such a relationship using the stair run test (Margaria et al., 1966) and vertical jump for assessment of competitive performance in adult male alpine ski racers. However, the relationship between maximum anaerobic power on a bicycle ergometer and competitive performance has not yet been clarified for adult male and female ski racers.

Therefore, the aim of this study was to clarify the utility of maximum anaerobic power on a bicycle ergometer to assess the international level in alpine
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ski racers. The study also attempted to clarify the direction of maximum anaerobic power training for such alpine ski racers by investigating the most suitable target maximum anaerobic power at international level and the characteristics of the relationship between maximum anaerobic power and performance in both adult male and female alpine ski racers. It was anticipated that the results would be helpful for improving the planning of training for such competitors.

2. Methods

2.1. Participants

The participants included 14 male and 10 female alpine ski racers who had graduated from high school (males aged 21.6 ± 2.1 years and females aged 21.1 ± 2.3 years). Their levels of competition, varying from international to regional, were roughly equivalent to national competition prize-winner level on average (six males and six females, i.e., at least half the number of participants). Tests were conducted between August 2002 and October 2014 at the Niigata Health and Sports Medical Science Center. Participants were tested on any day they desired during the testing period. Before the tests, approval was obtained from the ethics committee of the Niigata Health and Sports Medical Science Center. Procedures and risks of the tests were explained to the athletes, and their approval was obtained. The Medical examinations including physical and laboratory testing who performed by physical tests and measurement before every performed tests.

2.2. Physical measurements of participants

Table 1 displays the participants’ physical measurements in terms of height, weight, percentage body fat, and fat-free mass. Percentage body fat (%) was estimated from body density (Brozec et al., 1963). Body density was predicted by skinfold thickness over the triceps and subscapular regions (Nagamine and Suzuki, 1964). The fat mass was multiplied by body weight and %fat. Lean body mass (LBM) was determined by subtracting fat mass from body weight.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Age year</th>
<th>Height cm</th>
<th>Weight kg</th>
<th>%Fat</th>
<th>LBM kg</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Male mean</td>
<td>21.6 n.s.</td>
<td>173.6**</td>
<td>73.4**</td>
<td>12.6**</td>
<td>64.1**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S.D.</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>6.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female mean</td>
<td>21.1</td>
<td>159.7</td>
<td>60.5</td>
<td>18.9</td>
<td>49.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S.D.</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>3.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female/Male %</td>
<td>92.0</td>
<td>82.4</td>
<td>150.2</td>
<td>76.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Student’s t-test Male vs. Female, * p<0.05, ** p<0.01

2.3. Power tests

The participants performed a 20-min warm-up (including pedaling a bicycle ergometer for 5 min or longer at 50–60 W load and 60–80 rpm). To clarify the characteristics of the anaerobic power test based on maximum power and the relationship between competitive performances, the MAnP test (Nakamura et al., 1985) was conducted using an electromagnetic brake bicycle ergometer (PowerMax VII, by Combi Co., Ltd.). Immediately before taking a maximal anaerobic power test, the participant conducted trial maximal pedaling three times as a warm-up; the first time with a light load (2–4 kp; warm up on the same load as for the first test), the second time with a very light load (0.1 kp; warm up for speed), and the third time with a heavy load (6–10 kp; warm up for heavy load). Such a warm-up pedaling period reduced operational errors and enabled pedaling at maximum capacity. Then, after a 3-min rest, the participant conducted trial maximal pedaling for three sessions at different loads, with a 2-min rest after each pedaling set. The three loads selected ranged from 3 to 11 kp, based on the participant’s body weight and the determined optimal maximal output. From the PP outputs of the three pedaling sets, the maximum power was calculated. For the MAnP test, shoes and pedals were fixed to each other with strips or with binding pedals and toe clip-attached shoes.

2.4. Competitive performances

The competitive performances used were based on the point totals for the International Ski Federation (FIS) slalom (SL) and giant slalom (GS). These point scores were the means of the best two race points (RP) from each season. The higher the competitive performance, the better the athlete.
performance, the lower the points awarded (the best being zero). The equation employed was:
\[ \text{RP} = \frac{(T_x \times F)}{T_o - F} \]
where RP = race points; To = time of winner in seconds; T\text{x} = time of the classified competitor in seconds; F (these values different in each season), SL = 610–720; GS = 870–980.

2.5. Data processing

The MAnP was divided by the participant’s weight to determine the relative power to body weight (BW). The purpose of this procedure was to conduct evaluations in a situation resembling sport-training fields. The analysis involved the t-test to compare males and females with respect to MAnP and MAnP/BW.

For the relationship between MAnP, MAnP/BW, and competitive performance, Pearson’s product-moment correlation coefficient was calculated and significance determined, if any. Statistical significance on test of correlation coefficients was assessed using 95% confidence intervals, and \( p < 0.05 \) and \( p < 0.01 \) were considered significant, \( p < 0.10 \) being considered marginally significant.

The higher the competitive performance, the lower the FIS point total awarded (the best being zero). Therefore, when setting targets for MAnP as an indicator the highest FIS competitive point total was zero. The higher power for the target derives from higher competitive performance. This procedure assessed targets of MAnP as the intercepts in single regression formulas. This study aimed to clarify the utility of MAnP on a bicycle ergometer for attaining the international level in alpine ski racers.

3. Results

3.1. Characteristics of the participants

Table 1 shows the physical characteristics of the participants and their female-to-male ratios (%). The males were taller and heavier, while females had a greater %fat. Therefore, the female-to-male ratio of LBM was lower than that of body weight, indicating the typical differences between males and females.

Table 2 shows the FIS SL and GS point totals for male and female participants. The participants has a wide range of FIS SL and GS point totals from a high competitive performance of 12.8 points to a low of 298.3 points.

3.2. Comparison of the MAnP and MAnP/BW between males and females

Table 3 displays the MAnP, the MAnP/BW, and the female-to-male ratios. For the MAnP and MAnP/BW, males showed significantly higher statistical values than females. The female-to-male percentage in the MAnP was 68.9%, and that for the MAnP/BW was 83.5%.

3.3. Relationship between competitive performance and physical characteristics in males and females

Table 4 shows the correlations between competitive performance (FIS SL and GS point totals) and physical characteristics in males and females. These correlations were not significant (\( r = 0.33 \) to \( -0.44 \)) except for a medium significant correlation between the FIS SL and GS point totals and %fat in males (\( r = 0.54 \) and 0.52), the FIS SL and GS point totals and age in females (\( r = -0.78 \) and \(-0.68 \)), and the FIS GS point totals and height in females (\( r = 0.60 \)).
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### 3.4. Relationship between competitive performance and the MANP, MANP/BW in males and females

Table 5 shows the correlations between competitive performance (FIS SL and GS point totals) and the MANP and MANP/BW in males and females. The male and female alpine ski racers showed significant or marginally significant correlations of MANP and MANP/BW with the FIS SL and GS point totals ($r = -0.40$ to $-0.69$).

### 3.5. MANP and MANP/BW targets

The targets of the MANP and MANP/BW were set on the power intercepts in single regression formulas (Table 5). These targets in terms of the FIS SL and GS were about 1300 W and 17 W/kg for males and 900 W and 15 W/kg for females, respectively.

### 4. Discussion

#### 4.1. Physical characteristics and participant competitive performances

Previous studies have reported the physiological profiles of elite alpine skiers (Haymes and Dickinson, 1980; Brown and Wilkinson, 1983; White and Johnson, 1991; Wakayama et al., 1998; Impellizzeri et al., 2009; Maffuletti et al., 2009). These included the mean height 181.0–174.7 cm, weight 87.0–73.3 kg, and %fat 15.8%–6.1% in males, and the mean height 166.0–165.1 cm, weight 65.1–58.8 kg, and %fat 24.5%–13.1% in females. The participants in the present study were shorter in height and lighter in weight than those in previous studies. However, the %fat of males and females in this study was similar to the ranges in previous studies. It was thought that these weight differences may have been due to the competitive level. Table 2 shows the SL and GS point totals for male and female subjects. The higher the competitive performance, the fewer points awarded (the best being zero). In this study, the participants’ competitive levels ranged widely from international to regional, whereas those in previous studies ranged from fairly high international to national. Weights were lighter, but %fat was the same. Therefore, it could be considered that our participants had less muscle mass. To obtain higher performance, a greater muscle mass might be necessary.

Analysis of the relationship between competitive performance (FIS SL and GS point totals) and physical characteristics in males and females demonstrated a medium correlation (significant, $r = 0.54$ and 0.52) between FIS SL and GS point totals and %fat in males. Haymes and Dickinson (1980) reported that the correlation between FIS SL point totals and %fat in national U.S. male alpine ski racers was highly significant ($r = 0.78$, strong correlation). However, the participants in that study were competing only at national level. Thus, it may be considered that the higher competition level resulted from the tendency toward a stronger correlation between competitive performance and %fat. These correlations were possible because of rapid lateral transfer in SL and GS.

### Table 4 The relationship of physical characteristics of participants to FIS SL and GS point totals.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Height</th>
<th>Weight</th>
<th>%Fat</th>
<th>LBM</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SL point</td>
<td>$-0.34$ n.s.</td>
<td>$-0.25$ n.s.</td>
<td>$0.25$ n.s.</td>
<td>$0.54^*$</td>
<td>$0.16$ n.s.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GS point</td>
<td>$-0.24$ n.s.</td>
<td>$-0.44$ n.s.</td>
<td>$-0.17$ n.s.</td>
<td>$0.52^*$</td>
<td>$-0.27$ n.s.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SL point</td>
<td>$-0.78^{**}$</td>
<td>$0.33$ n.s.</td>
<td>$-0.13$ n.s.</td>
<td>$0.09$ n.s.</td>
<td>$-0.14$ n.s.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GS point</td>
<td>$-0.68^*$</td>
<td>$0.60^*$</td>
<td>$0.14$ n.s.</td>
<td>$-0.21$ n.s.</td>
<td>$0.21$ n.s.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

** $p < 0.01$, * $p < 0.05$, † $p < 0.10$
4.2. Differences in MANP and MANP/BW by sex

The female-to-male percentage of MANP in this study was 68.9%. The female-to-male percentage in MANP/BW was 83.5%, being higher than the percentage for the absolute power value. For international, national, and regional male and female alpine ski racers, White and Johnson (1991) reported a comparison of vertical jump and maximal power in the Wingate 30-second test. However, that study did not compare the power in both males and females. The female-to-male percentages for the vertical jump and maximal power in the Wingate 30-second test for the international group were 72.0% and 80.0%, respectively, whereas those for the vertical jump power/BW and maximal power/BW were 90.2% and 100.0%, respectively. Similar results were obtained in the present study, the female-to-male percentages for each power/BW calculation being higher than for each power calculation. Specifically, the female-to-male percentages in this study tended to be lower. The power and power/BW were higher in males than in females, being probably attributable to the known differences between the sexes (especially LBM and %fat).

4.3. Characteristics of MANP and MANP/BW

Wakayama et al. (1998) investigated the physical characteristics of the Japan national male alpine ski team. Their MANP mean value was higher than that in the present study (1199.4 W and 16.3 W/kg). Yamane et al. (1996) also reported the physical characteristics of the Japan national junior female alpine ski race team (age 15.5 ± 0.1 years). The MANP (819.2 W) was almost the same as that in the present study. The MANP mean values in this study were almost the same in males and a little higher in junior females. Therefore, these values appear to be appropriate indicators of competitive performance.

4.4. Relationship between the MANP, the MANP/BW, and competitive performance

There were significant or marginally significant correlations of MANP and MANP/BW with the FIS SL and GS point totals in males and females (r = −0.69 to −0.40). These results indicated that there was a relationship between the MANP and MANP/BW and average male and female alpine ski competitive performance at the national level. Therefore, it could be inferred that male and female alpine ski racers require a higher maximum power to compete at national level.

Haymes and Dickinson (1980) demonstrated a relationship between competitive performance and the stair run test (r = −0.64 for SL points and r = −0.80 for GS points) or vertical jump (r = −0.64 for GS points) in adult male alpine ski racers. The loads for these powers were the body weights used in their previous study. The correlation coefficients in the previous study indicated a close relationship between the MANP/BW and competitive performance. These results indicated that the MANP/BW is moderately correlated with competitiveness, and is an indicator of the higher power capability with the heavier load in the present study.

4.5. Target of the MANP and MANP/BW

The MANP and MANP/BW in the Japan national alpine ski team were reported to be 1295 ± 89 W and 17.7 ± 1.1 W/kg in males (Wakayama et al., 1998) and 819 ± 23 W and 14.1 ± 0.4 W/kg in junior females, respectively (Yamane et al., 1996). In the present study, targets of the MANP and MANP/BW in SL and GS were approximately 1300 W and 17 W/kg for males and 900 W and 15 W/kg for females, respectively. These were properly ascertained values and can thus be used as indicators of peak fitness required in alactic exercise.
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