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Abstract
Cyclodextrins modified with sodium anthranilate (1-β, 2-β, and 1-α) have been synthesized as a sensing molecular for organic guests including terpenoids and bile acids. These host compounds show a pure monomer fluorescence with increasing or decreasing by accommodation of guest species. The extent of fluorescence variation with a guest is used to display the sensing factor (ΔI/I°) of these host molecules. Among the host molecules, 1-β which is modified with bis sodium 2-aminobenzoate at primary hydroxy side of the β-cyclodextrin, shows the highest sensing factor. The molecular-recognition behavior of 1-β shows two ways depending on a guest molecule size; one way is both of the modification residues are coming into the cavity when larger guest such as bile acids were used and another way is the appended ones moving out of the cavity when a relative small guest such as terpenoids were used. Compounds 2-β, which is capped with amino benzene carboxylate on the primary hydroxy side of β-cyclodextrin and α-cyclodextrin modified with an anthranilate (1-α) hardly display sensing ability for bile acids, it is probably caused by the low mobility of the appended residue of 2-β and a smaller cavity size of 1-α.
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1. Introduction
Cyclodextrin, torus-shaped cyclic oligomers of D-glucopyranose and named α-, β-, and γ- for hexa, hepta and octamers, respectively, can make a host-guest complexation with a variety of organic compounds in their cavity in aqueous solution. When we study a host-guest complexation behavior of native cyclodextrins by spectroscopic method such as fluorescence, spectral shifts, fluorescence quenching, fluorescence polarization and induced circular dichroism (ICD), spectroscopically active guests should be used, because cyclodextrins themselves are spectroscopically inert. The modification of cyclodextrins with chromophores has aroused considerable interest because the
modification can be expected to improve or alter their host-guest complexation properties. For last decade, we reported several types of host-guest complexation patterns of modified cyclodextrins bearing chromophores such as naphthalene, anthracene, pyrene, azobenzene, ferrocene, spiropyran and fluorescein moieties, whose the appended residue acts as a probe of the host-guest binding behavior together with a spacer to regulate a cavity size of the cyclodextrin or a hydrophobic cap to elevate the host-guest binding ability of the cyclodextrin. These molecules can be used to detect organic guest molecules, because they exhibit high selective and sensitive recognition ability of guest molecules. Recently, we reported a fluorescent host-guest sensing system using modified β- and γ-cyclodextrins bearing an anthranilate moiety (3-β and 1-γ, respectively) and modified γ-cyclodextrin bearing two anthranilate moieties (2-γ). These cyclodextrin derivatives show unique complexation behaviors because of the smaller size of the anthranilate moiety in comparison with those of the appended moieties such as anthracene or naphthalene moieties reported previously.

For further extension of the work, we prepared another type of anthranilate modified cyclodextrins, which are bis-sodium anthranilate modified β-cyclodextrin and amino benzene carboxylate capped β-cyclodextrin (1-β and 2-β, respectively) together with sodium anthranilate modified α-cyclodextrin (1-α). Compound 1-β shows higher sensitivity for bile acids than terpenoids. It is the first example showing that β-cyclodextrin derivative exhibits high sensitivity for larger guest such as bile acids than a small guest such as terpenoids. In this report, we would like to show the sensing abilities of the anthranilate modified cyclodextrins (1-β, 2-β and 1-α) together with the abilities of 3-β, 1-γ and 2-γ.

2. Experimental

Materials Preparation of bis-sodium anthranilate modified β-cyclodextrin (1-β) and amino benzene carboxylate capped β-cyclodextrin (2-β)

A mixture of (trans-azobenzene-4,4'-disulfonyl)-β-cyclodextrin (1.07g, 0.74 mM) and sodium anthranilate (0.32g, 2.01 mM) in DMF (40 mL) was heated at 80°C for 30 h under a nitrogen atmosphere. After cooling, the reaction mixture was poured into 300 mL of acetone. The resulting precipitates were collected by filtration and dried. The crude product was washed with ethanol to remove excess of sodium anthranilate and recrystallized from water to give 120 mg of pure 1-β. Rf 0.55 (1-butanol : ethanol : water 5:4:3 by volume). 1H-NMR (DMSO-d6) 3.0-3.8 (42H, m, C2-C6H of cyclodextrin), 4.3-5.0 (12H, m, O6H, C1H of cyclodextrin), 5.5-6.3 (14H, m, O2H, O3H), 6.5-6.8 (5H, m, -NH and aromatic-H), 7.25 (1H, t, J = 7.9 Hz, aromatic-H), 7.65-8.25 (4H, m, aromatic-H). Found: C, 45.24 H, 5.72 N, 1.34%. Calcd. for C56H78O37N2Na24H20: C, 5.16 H, 5.82 N, 1.88%. MS (FAB): 1373 ([M-2Na + 2H]+). The mother liquid of 1-β was concentrated in vacuo and the residue was chromatographed on Sephadex G-15 (3x90 cm) to afford 33 mg of 2-β as pure compound. Rf 0.49 (1-butanol : ethanol : water 5:4:3 by volume). 1H-NMR (DMSO-d6) 3.3-3.9 (42H, m, C2-C6H of cyclodextrin), 4.2-5.0 (12H, m, O6H, C1H of cyclodextrin), 5.7 (14H, br.s, O2H, O3H), 6.53 (1H, t, J = 7.9Hz, aromatic-H), 6.60 (1H, d, J = 7.9Hz, aromatic-H). Found: C, 45.17 ; H, 5.62 ; N, 1.88%. MS (FAB) : 1236 ([M + H]+).

Preparation of mono-sodium anthranilate modified α-cyclodextrin (1-α)

A-6-O-tosy-α-cyclodextrin 1.16g (1.03 mM) was added to a solution of 0.20g (1.24 mM) of
sodium anthranilate in 20 ml of DMF. The reaction mixture was heated at 80°C for 23 h under a nitrogen atmosphere. After cooling, the reaction mixture was poured into 300 mL of acetone. The resultant precipitates were filtered and dried. The crude product was purified with a Sephadex G-15 column (3x90 cm) to afford 0.42g (36.9% yield) of 1-α. R<sub>f</sub> 0.48 (1-butanol : ethanol : water 5:4:3 by volume). ¹H-NMR (DMSO-d<sub>6</sub>) 3.2-4.1 (36H, m, C<sub>2</sub>-C<sub>6</sub>H of cyclodextrin), 4.2-5.2 (11H, m, O<sub>6</sub>H, C<sub>H</sub> of cyclodextrin), 5.7-5.9 (12H, m, O<sub>H</sub>, O<sub>H</sub>), 6.8 (1H, t, J = 7.9 Hz, aromatic-H), 6.9 (1H, s, -NH), 7.0 (1H, t, J = 7.9 Hz, aromatic-H), 7.5 (1H, t, J = 7.9 Hz, aromatic-H), 8.0 (1H, d, J = 7.9 Hz, aromatic-H). Found : C, 45.52; H, 6.12; N, 1.32%. Calcd. for C<sub>43</sub>H<sub>64</sub>O<sub>3</sub>NNaNa•H<sub>2</sub>O : C, 45.62; H, 5.87; N, 1.24%. MS (FAB) : 1092 ([M-Na + H]<sup>+</sup>.

Measurements

Ultraviolet, fluorescence and circular dichroism spectra were measured at 25°C with a Hitachi U-2000 spectrophotometer, a Hitachi F-3010 fluorescence spectrophotometer and JASCO J-700 spectropolarimeter, respectively. For the fluorescence measurements, the excitation wavelength of the fluorescence spectra was 330 nm and excitation and emission slits were 5 nm. Ethylene glycol aqueous solution (10vol.-%) was used as solvent for 1-β and 2-β for the spectroscopic measurements because the solubility of them in pure water is poor. Five microliters of guest species (0.5, 0.05 and 0.005M) in dimethy sulfoxide (DMSO) or methanol were injected into 10% ethylene glycol aqueous solution of 1-β and 2-β (2.5 mL), and an aqueous solution of 1-α (2.5 mL) to make a sample solution with a host concentration of 1x10<sup>−6</sup>M and guest concentration of 0.01, 0.1 and 1.0 mM, respectively.

3. Results and Discussion

Fig. 1 shows the synthetic route for 1-β and 2-β from trans-azobenzene-capped β-cyclodextrin and sodium anthranilate. Because trans-azobenzene-capped β-cyclodextrin was selectively...
Chart 1

nerol (1)  cyclohexanol (2)  cyclohexanone (3)  (-)-menthol (4)  cyclooctanol (5)

(+)-fenchone (6)  (-)-fenchone (7)  (-)-borneol (8)  1-adamantanecarboxylic acid (9)

lithocholic acid (10): R₁, R₂, R₃, R₄, R₅ = H
deoxycholic acid (11): R₁ = OH, R₂, R₃, R₄, R₅ = H
cchenodeoxycholic acid (12): R₁, R₂, R₃, R₅ = H, R₄ = OH
ursodeoxycholic acid (13): R₁, R₂, R₃, R₄, R₅ = H, R₂ = OH
hyodeoxycholic acid (14): R₁, R₂, R₃, R₄ = H, R₅ = OH
cholic acid (15): R₁, R₃ = OH, R₂, R₄, R₅ = H

dehydroepiandrosterone (16)

Chart 2
sulfonated at primary hydroxyl groups of A-6-hydroxy and D-6-hydroxy in β-cyclodextrin, the appended moieties are introduced to the cyclodextrin regioselectively. Here, A and D mean that a glucose unit of the cyclodextrin as shown in Chart 1. Compound 2-β was isolated as minor product. When we used trans-azobenzene-capped γ-cyclodextrin as starting material, a capped type compound like 2-β was not isolated, because γ-cyclodextrin cavity is too large to be linked by the aminobenzene carboxylate. Compound 1-α was synthesized from A-6-O-tosyl α-cyclodextrin and sodium anthranilate as shown in Fig. 2. The absorption spectra of 1-β, 2-β in a 10 vol.-% ethylene glycol aqueous solution and 1-α in pure water are shown in Fig. 3. The pattern of the spectrum of 1-β, which has three peaks at around 250, 270 and 340 nm. On the other hand, the spectra of 2-β...
β and 1-α have not a peak at 270 nm. It suggests that each the appended moiety of 1-β is in the
different environment, that is, one is in the cavity and another is outside or around on the rim of
the cyclodextrin cavity, because the optimized molecular mechanics calculations (MM2, Chem 3D
plus) suggests that the cavity space is not so large to include both of the appended moieties. On
the other hand, bis-sodium anthranilate modified γ-cyclodextrin (2-γ) can make intramolecular
complex, in which both of the appended moieties are included in the cavity because of large cavity
size of γ-cyclodextrin.4) Fig. 4 shows the ICD spectra of 1-β alone or with a guest. When
ursodeoxycholic acid was used as a guest, the [Θ] value of the negative band at around 260 nm
increases with small extent. The positive band at around 320 nm increases with a large extent. On
the other hand, the ICD spectra with (-)-menthol shows the decrease of the negative band at around
260 nm and a new negative band at around 350 nm appears with large intensity. This variational
difference of the ICD spectra suggest that a movement of the appended moieties are different when 1-
β make a host-guest complexation with (-)-menthol and ursodeoxycholic acid. The fluorescence
spectra of 1-β alone or with ursodeoxycholic acid are shown in Fig. 5. The spectra of 1-β alone
exhibits a peak at 424 nm whose intensity increases with a guest concentration increase. However,
the intensity of the spectrum at 424 nm decreases when terpenoids were used as a guest. It is re-
ported that the guest-induced fluorescence enhancement means that the sodium anthranilate moiety
moving into the cyclodextrin cavity deeply and the decrease means that the sodium anthranilate
moiety moving out of the cavity.4) The results obtained from the ICD and fluorescence spectra of 1-
β suggest that the movement of sodium anthranilate moieties undergo two ways associated with

![Induced circular dichroism spectra of 1-β in 10 vol.-% ethylene glycol aqueous solution (10^{-4} M) (1) and containing (-)-menthol (10^{-4} M) (2) and ursodeoxycholic acid (10^{-4} M) (3).](image-url)
host-guest complexation, hence, one way whose appended moieties are coming into the cyclodextrin cavity and another one is the moving far from the cavity with undergoing orientational changes, as illustrated in Fig. 6. The extent of a variation on the fluorescence intensity of $1-\beta$ depends on the

![Fluorescence spectra of $1-\beta$ (10^{-4} M) in 10 vol.-% ethylene glycol aqueous solution at various concentrations of ursodeoxycholic acid (1, 0; 2, 2.0 \times 10^{-6}; 3, 6.0 \times 10^{-4}; 4, 1.2 \times 10^{-3}; 5, 2.2 \times 10^{-3}; 6, 4.0 \times 10^{-3} M).](image_url)

Figure 5. Fluorescence spectra of $1-\beta$ (10^{-4} M) in 10 vol.-% ethylene glycol aqueous solution at various concentrations of ursodeoxycholic acid (1, 0; 2, 2.0 \times 10^{-6}; 3, 6.0 \times 10^{-4}; 4, 1.2 \times 10^{-3}; 5, 2.2 \times 10^{-3}; 6, 4.0 \times 10^{-3} M).

![Host-guest complexation mechanisms of $1-\beta$ depended on the molecular size.](image_url)

Figure 6. Host-guest complexation mechanisms of $1-\beta$ depended on the molecular size.
nature of a guest, even at a common concentration; therefore, $1\beta$ can be used to be sensing molecule as seen in the cases of sodium anthranilate modified cyclodextrin analogues reported previously. To display the sensing ability of modified cyclodextrins, the $\Delta I/I^0$ value as a sensitivity parameter was used. Here $\Delta I = I^0 - I$, where $I^0$ is the fluorescence intensity for the host alone, and $I$ is the fluorescence intensity for a complex. Fig. 7a shows the $\Delta I/I^0$ values of $1\beta$, $2\beta$ and $1\alpha$ obtained with guests at 1.0 mM ($M = \text{mol dm}^{-3}$), except for 1-adamantanecarboxylic acid, which was examined at 0.1 mM because 1.0 mM of adamantancarboxylic acid is not soluble in pure water. The sign of $\Delta I/I^0$ values of $1\beta$ for these guests except 1-adamantanecarboxylic acid were positive, which means the fluorescence spectra decrease on guest molecular addition. On the other hand, the sign of $\Delta I/I^0$ of $2\beta$ and $1\alpha$ for these guests are negative. Compound $1\beta$ displays almost same sensitivities for guests examined except adamantancarboxylic acid. On the other hand, $1\alpha$ exhibits selectivity for (-)-menthol and $2\beta$ does for cyclooctanol. Steroids are biologically important substances, and it seems interesting to investigate how they are detected by these hosts. The $\Delta I/I^0$ values of $1\beta$, $2\beta$ and $1\alpha$ obtained with steroids are shown in Fig. 7b. Because of the solubility
of guest molecules, all steroidal guests were examined at 0.01 mM. Among these hosts, 1-β exhibits the highest sensitivity for the guests, of which the ΔI/I₀ values for the guests except cholic acid are negative, which means the fluorescence intensity decreases upon guest addition. Compound 1-β recognizes lithocholic acid (10) with the greatest sensitivity, exhibiting values of -1.07 for ΔI/I₀. Heodeoxycholic acid (14) and ursodeoxycholic acid (13), which bear an extra hydroxyl group compared with lithocholic acid, were detected with the next highest sensitivity. Chenodeoxycholic acid (12) and ursodeoxycholic acid are different only in the configuration of the hydroxyl group at C-7 of the steroidal framework. However, the ΔI/I₀ value for 12 of 1-β is one-second compared to 13. Deoxycholic acid, which bears the same number of hydroxyl group, but in different configuration of 13 and 14, was detected with lower sensitivity. Cholic acid (15), which bears an additional hydroxyl group compared to 13 and 14, was hardly detected by 1-β. These results suggest that the existence of hydroxyl group at C-12 of the steroidal framework and the increase of guest polarity affect on the decrease of the sensitivity of 1-β system. On the other hand, dehydroepiandrosteron (16), which bears only one hydroxyl group in the framework, was hardly detected by 1-β. Although the reason for the differences observed among the steroidal compounds is not clear, the observation suggests that the structures of the complexes are affected by hydrogen bonding between hydroxyl groups of cyclodextrin and the steroids. Both 1-α and 2-β show little sensitivities for the steroidal guest. The low sensitivity of 2-β is probably caused by the very low mobility of the cap, which is bound to 6A and 6D glucose units of the cyclodextrin directly. It can be estimated that 2-β probably should make a host-guest complex with a higher extent than that native β-cyclodextrin, because the appended cap can work as a hydrophobic residue to elevate binding ability. Unfortunately, here, the appended cap cannot work as a good probe of the host-guest

![Figure 8. Sensitivity factors of 1-β (-----□-----), 3-β (-----●-----), 1-γ (-----△-----) and 2-γ (-----▽-----) for all guests examined.](image)
complexation. It is known that γ-cyclodextrin can recognize larger molecules with much sensitivity than that of β-cyclodextrin because of their cavity size. However, 1-β exhibits higher sensitivity for bile acids than terpenoids. Fig. 8 illustrates the sensitivity factors for all guests examined of 1-β, 3-β and 1-γ, 2-γ, which are mono and bis-anthranilate modified β- and γ-cyclodextrin derivatives, respectively. It is interesting that both disubstituted cyclodextrins (1-β and 2-γ) show similar sensing pattern for guests. The binding constants (K) of 1-β for bile acids were obtained to compare the binding ability of those of γ-cyclodextrin analogues (1-γ and 2-γ). The guest-induced fluorescence variations at 424 nm was used to the binding constants of 1-β, by using Eq.1:

$$\frac{1}{I_f - I_0} = \frac{1}{a[G_0]} + \frac{1}{[CD_0]_aK} \frac{1}{[G_0]}$$

Eq.1

Here, I is the fluorescence intensity at 424 nm (I_f for complex, I_0 for the host alone), [CD]_0 is the total host concentration, [G]_0 is the total guest concentration and a is a constant. The binding constants of 1-β, 1-γ and 2-γ for bile acids are shown in Table 1. Compound 1-β shows the higher binding constants for ursodeoxycholic acid and hyodeoxycholic acid than those of 2-γ.

4. Conclusion

Three types of anthranilate modified cyclodextrin analogues have been prepared to investigate their sensing ability for terpenoids and bile acids. Compound 1-β exhibits high-sensitivity and selectivity of molecular recognition for bile acids, but hardly detects small guest such as terpenoids. The host-guest binding property of 1-β is not similar with those of β-cyclodextrin analogues (2-β and 3-β), but much similar to the γ-cyclodextrin analogues (1-γ and 2-γ). Compound 2-β and 1-

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Guest</th>
<th>1-β</th>
<th>1-γ</th>
<th>2-γ</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>lithocholic acid(10)</td>
<td>180,000±22,000</td>
<td>600,000±22,000</td>
<td>1400,000±90,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>deoxycholic acid(11)</td>
<td></td>
<td>79,000±4,100</td>
<td>76,000±14,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>chenodeoxycholic acid(12)</td>
<td>61,000±1,300</td>
<td>120,000±3,000</td>
<td>78,000±2,100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ursodeoxycholic acid(13)</td>
<td>170,000±8,500</td>
<td>270,000±9,900</td>
<td>95,000±2,200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hyodeoxycholic acid(14)</td>
<td>350,000±9,800</td>
<td>610,000±33,000</td>
<td>190,000±5,200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cholic acid(15)</td>
<td></td>
<td>13,000±1,300</td>
<td>15,000±1,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dehydroepiandrosterone(16)</td>
<td></td>
<td>120,000±9,600</td>
<td>26,000±610</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a) Reported in Ref. 4-c.
α show small sensitivity for guests, because of the small cavity size of α-cyclodextrin and the restricted flexibility of the appended moiety, respectively. It is obvious that the fluorescent-sensory system using such modified cyclodextrins is very convenient and useful method, because the chemical modification of a guest molecular, which is even spectroscopic inert is not necessary; a guest can be examined directly in this system.
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