Exposure to Respirable Particulates and Silica in and around the Stone Crushing Units in Central India

Krishnendu MUKHOPADHYAY^{1*}, Ayyappan RAMALINGAM¹, Raghunathan RAMANI¹, Venkatesan DASU¹, Arulselvan SADASIVAM¹, Pramod KUMAR², Shyam Narayan PRASAD², Sankar SAMBANDAM¹ and Kalpana BALAKRISHNAN¹

¹Department of Environmental Health Engineering, Sri Ramachandra University, Chennai - 600 116, India

²Environment System Branch, Development Alternatives, New Delhi-110 016, India

Received April 19, 2010 and accepted July 5, 2010

Published online in J-STAGE December 16, 2010

Abstract: Stone crushing unit workers suffer from particulate matters and respirable silica at work and in their residents nearby. The present study was undertaken to evaluate the area and personal exposure concentration of respirable particulate matters and silica in workplaces and in surrounding villages. PM₁₀, PM₄ and PM_{2.5} were considered for unit area measurement and PM₄ and PM_{2.5} were considered for personal exposure measurements. The ambient PM₁₀ and indoor respirable particulate sampling and analyses were carried out in two neighboring villages adjacent to a cluster of 100 stone crushing units in central India. The study was conducted in two years with varied seasons to provide baseline data on the existing particulate concentration with and without control intervention. Monitoring and analytical criteria were fulfilled according to the National Institute for Occupational safety and Health (NIOSH), USA protocol. The study reports the higher particulates and respirable silica with respect to the national and international guidelines in and around the study units. However, in nearby villages, the particulate concentrations and silica were comparatively less. An innovative dust abatement dry engineering control system was installed as a pilot work to reduce dust emission from the unit and the results afterward were found to be encouraging.

Key words: Respirable particulate matters, Respirable silica, Spatial dispersion, Dust abatement

Introduction

Stone quarrying and crushing industries are an important class of “essential industry” that supplies the raw material for many large scale construction and transport related projects. During the stone crushing process, large size boulders in the range between 200–300 mm are taken from the mine and are unloaded from almost 25 feet height into the mouth of the primary crusher machine of the unit where these are broken into smaller pieces. These pieces are taken through a conveyor belt to disintegrator which produces powder out of these

small granules followed by size-wise separation through vibrator. Finally different sized chips are carried to different product sites and a hopper is served as the dust collector. Generally, final products are of three different size granules, e.g., 6, 12 and 20 mm. By this process, large amount of free silica is produced which increases the risk of silicosis and silico-tuberculosis¹⁾. This huge amount of micro size dust is the major concern for personal as well as community health. Generally, stone crushing industries are located adjacent to the highways and nearby communities for the sake of convenient transport facilities. Mainly rural, migrant, untrained and poor workers are engaged in this unorganized sector where the focus on workers health and socioeconomic conditions are generally neglected. Some workers reside

*To whom correspondence should be addressed.
E-mail: teestamuk@rediffmail.com

in the poor ventilated small houses within the unit area, some come from the nearby villages and very few from the far localities. For the nearby resident workers, especially who reside within the unit area, both environmental and occupation exposure coexist and they are more at risk of health abnormalities related to stone dust.

About 12% of the earth's crust consists of free silica, mostly quartz. "Free Silica" is nothing but SiO_2 which is most fibrogenic. Airborne particles with less than 7 microns are capable of reaching the alveolar region of respiratory system that can cause silicosis. These particles are commonly known as "respirable" particles. The silica particles induce fibrosis in the lungs, i.e. the normal lung tissue is replaced by non-functioning fibrous tissue. The fibrosis in non-complicated or simple silicosis is in the form of fine nodules varying in size from 1.5–3.0 mm, developing later into pulmonary massive fibrosis²⁾.

The stone mined in the Central Indian area is generally 'slab stone'. An environmental and medical survey conducted by the National Institute of Occupational Health (NIOH), Ahmedabad, India for this type of industry revealed that the total and respirable dust concentrations during the process of stone cutting were 22.4 mg/m^3 and 1.6 mg/m^3 , respectively. Examination of 125 stone cutters showed that the prevalence of silicosis and tuberculosis were 22% and 48% respectively. The average duration of dust exposure for the development of silicosis was 12 to 15 yr. The total and respirable dust levels after installation of the control device, which operates on the principle of enclosure, were 3.4 mg/m^3 and 0.8 mg/m^3 , respectively³⁾.

Exposure to respirable dust coming out from stone crushing units may create adverse health effects, chief among them being pneumoconiosis⁴⁾. The Union Ministry of labour and the United States Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA) have established a respirable dust exposure limit of 5.0 mg/m^3 ⁵⁾. The permissible exposure limit (PEL) for respirable crystalline silica, regulated under Indian Factories Act (1948)⁶⁾ accounts for the variable toxicity of respirable dust proportional to the concentration of quartz and cristobalite present in the dust. Recommended Exposure Limit (REL) by NIOSH for crystalline silica, quartz and cristobalite is 0.05 mg/m^3 as a time weighted average (TWA) for up to a 10-h workday during a 40 h work week.

Earlier studies have reported a high prevalence of silicosis in other type of industrial sectors^{7, 8)} but a little is known about the respirable dust exposure from stone crushers in developing countries in Asia^{9–11)}. Some of these studies emphasized on the dust exposure either by managing workers consent or by 'proxy personal sam-

pling'. However, in all those studies the job activity pattern of the workers, dry processed control strategies or comparative dust concentration between the sampling with intervention and without intervention were not considered.

Based on the participatory approach, four phase air quality monitoring study was carried out between March 2007 and August 2008. Units were reported to have the crushing capacities in the range of 800–1,200 cubic feet/hour causing the release of huge amount of dust as the byproduct. Analytical measurements employed NIOSH Method 0600 for gravimetric analysis of respirable dust. The respirable silica concentration was included to reveal an important input for the design of sustainable and cost effective interventions which could be used as a major risk communication tool while building capacities of local medical practitioners. The NIOSH Method 7500 was used for quartz analysis in respirable dust samples. The measured quartz content of respirable dust showed the range between 7 and 24%.

The results of the study will shed light on special challenges in implementing basic occupational safety and health improvements in marginalized industrial sectors within resource poor settings. The sharing of research results will provide an opportunity to elicit inputs from other occupational safety and health experts for risk management in these communities.

Installation of the dust abatement engineering system in the crushing unit was one of the major concerns of the study. Fourth phase study was conducted in an entirely different climatic condition. Time to time rain, wet boulders, frequent failure of electricity supply, inadequate workers attendance and frequent breakdown of crushing machine made this study a little different as compared to other three phase studies. However, the comparative assessment of respirable particulates not only provided the sense of seasonal variations but also supplied encouraging results for the future prospect of commissioning the dust abatement system in other crushing units in the region.

Without using water sprinkler system as the dust control tool, an alternate cost effective and sustainable dust control system was commissioned in one of the study units to assess the reduction percentage of respirable dust emission from the unit sources. Though the estimated reduction percentage in one phase study didn't exceed 50%, yet it was found encouraging. Only some minor mechanical corrections of the control assembly set up could make drastic potential change in result output. The deposition of dust in the dust collecting chamber was found to be filled rapidly through the mechanism of cyclonic device and bag-filter assembly. Meteorological parameters like temperature, wind direc-

tion and speed were assessed time to time during the monitoring process. All these parameters have significant effects on the workers exposure to respirable dust.

The main objective of this study was to estimate the personal exposure of the unit workers to respirable dust & silica and to assess the community environment nearby the stone crushing cluster. The other purpose was to motivate unit owners to install cost-effective and sustainable dust control device as a part of participatory approach to establish a model of dust abatement program in this sector.

Subjects and Methods

A total of 359 samples were collected from selected crushing units and communities in the form of PM₁₀, PM₄ and PM_{2.5} particulate matters in four distinct climatic conditions within two years. The dust control system was installed for jaw crushers and vibrators. Particulate concentrations were estimated in three distinct climatic conditions without installing the dust abatement system, but the fourth and final phase sampling was conducted to collect the particulate mass by with- and without run of the control system. Different types of respirable dust samples like unit area, personal and community samples were selected for the determination of respirable dust concentration gravimetrically by NIOSH method number 0600 and quartz concentration according to NIOSH method number 7500¹²). PM₁₀ particulate matters were monitored using the Envirotech sampler (Model- APM₄60BL) with the flow rate ranging between 0.9–1.1 m³ min⁻¹. PM₄ and PM_{2.5} particulates were monitored using SKC pump (Model-224-PCXR8) with the flow rate ranging from 2.5 l min⁻¹ to 3.5 l min⁻¹ along with aluminum and BGI cyclone, respectively. Respirable dust samples were collected on 37 mm PVC filter (pore size 5 µm) for PM₄ or on 37 mm cellulose acetate filters (pore size 0.8 µm) for PM_{2.5} particulates. A rotameter was used to calibrate flow rate of the samplers. For area measurements, PM₁₀ samplers were commissioned at a height of 1.5 m above the floor in both the locations of units and communities whereas for PM₄ and PM_{2.5} samplers, the height was kept around 4.5–5 feet so as to match the breathing zone height of the peoples. For personal sampling, the cyclone was attached at the breathing zone of the worker in order to monitor respirable dust. The filters were conditioned in desiccators for 24 h at 25°C and weighed before and after testing to determine the deposited dust. Cahn Electronic micro balance (sensitivity 0.001 mg, Model: C-34, Cahn, Germany) was used as the weighing system. Samplers were pre- and post-calibrated to ensure recommended

pump flow rates during sampling. Filters collected with samplers that did not meet this criterion due to pump failure were rejected.

Respirable silica dust concentrations were recorded in worksite locations of the crushing units as well as in indoor locations of the selected communities. Different category samples like, unit-area samples, personal samples of workers, and community area-kitchen & bedroom samples were analysed to assess respirable silica dust concentrations.

For respirable silica analysis, selected dust samples were sent to an accredited analytical laboratory (Bureau Veritas North America, Inc.) in the U.S. for analysis. The instrumental technique of X-Ray Diffraction Spectrometry (XRD) employing NIOSH Method 7500 was used for analyses of crystalline silica in respirable particulates.

The quotient of the respirable dust exposure and OSHA PEL was determined to establish the degree of compliance with the PEL. The OSHA 8 h TWA was calculated using the formula provided below:

$$\text{Quartz (in terms of respirable dust)} = \frac{10}{\% \text{ respirable quartz} + 2} \text{ mg/m}^3$$

Figure 1 shows the plant layout with different dust monitoring locations. Black circles are depicted as the area monitoring locations for PM₄ and PM_{2.5} dust samplers whereas small black squares represents the locations for PM₁₀ particulates.

Figure 2 shows the dust control system commissioned near primary jaw crusher and primary sieve where cyclonic mechanism and bag filter assembly are seen alongwith the dust collecting chamber. The design of the dust control system was based on the mitigation process of major dust emitting sources like primary sieve, secondary sieve, primary crusher, secondary crusher and tertiary crusher. Main system components include cyclone separator, blower with electric motor, suction hood, duct-line, enclosure and dust collection chamber. The control system cost was about four hundred thousand Indian Rupees containing 20 Horsepower running capacity. The suction device is connected to all selected dust sources individually and the sucked dust is conveyed by the suction hood and duct line, reaches to the cyclone separator and bag filter and ultimately it is deposited into the dust collection chamber from where the deposited dust is collected. During the post intervention study in the unit, it was observed huge amount of dust settled inside the chamber while running the installed engineering control system. It indicates that the use of dust abatement system was encouraging.

Fig. 1. The plant layout with different dust monitoring locations.

a. Black-circles are depicted as the area monitoring locations for PM_{4} and $PM_{2.5}$ dust samplers.

b. Small black-squares represent the locations for PM_{10} particulates.

Fig. 2. The dust control system.

Commissioned near primary jaw crusher and primary sieve where cyclonic mechanism and bag filter assembly are seen along with the dust collecting chamber.

Table 1. Average area concentration (in mg/m^3) for PM_{10} , PM_4 and $PM_{2.5}$ of three selected stone crushing units

Unit	PM_{10} (N=78)	PM_4 (N=46)	$PM_{2.5}$ (N=23)
1	10.34 (24)	3.11 (12)	1.34 (6)
2	19.49 (31)	7.40 (21)	1.64 (9)
3	12.00 (23)	6.33 (13)	0.93 (8)

- OSHA-PEL and ACGIH-TLV for respirable dusts are $5.0 mg/m^3$ and $3.0 mg/m^3$, respectively for 0% quartz samples.
- EPA - National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS): $0.15 mg/m^3$ (24 h averaging time for PM_{10}) and $0.015 mg/m^3$ (Annual arithmetic average for $PM_{2.5}$).
- National Ambient Air Quality Standards (India): $0.12 mg/m^3$ (annual average) and $0.15 mg/m^3$ (24 h average) respirable dust (less than 10 micron size) respectively in industrial area.

Results

First three phase studies were conducted in the month of March 2007, December 2007 and February 2008. Both, climatic conditions as well as unit owners' consent were taken into account for smooth conduct of the study. Table 1 shows the average unit area particulate concentrations for PM_{10} , PM_4 and $PM_{2.5}$. Since the permissible standards in terms of individual particulate matter like PM_{10} , PM_4 and $PM_{2.5}$ in industrial setting are still awaited, it is not worthy to compare the permissible limit of all the selected particulates with a single reference of OSHA or ACGIH limits to assess the industry situation. On the other hand, it has been observed that the percentage of quartz in all representative samples analyzed for silica content were found to

be between 7% and 24%, therefore the straightforward reference of $3 mg/m^3$ as recommended by ACGIH is meaningless here. But, to assess the harmful situations at worksites of selected stone crushing units the available national and international guidelines or standards for PM_{10} , PM_4 and $PM_{2.5}$ have been cited below the Table 1 as footnote considering the size fraction of particulates only but still results show alarming situation.

Table 2 shows the average PM_{10} concentration in ambient air while average PM_4 and $PM_{2.5}$ concentrations in indoor air condition for two different study communities. In this study, the average ambient PM_{10} concentrations exceeds far from the NAAQS and WHO limits. On the other hand, individual indoor limit of PM_4 and $PM_{2.5}$ concentrations are not yet standardized internationally.

Table 2. Average ambient PM₁₀, indoor PM₄ & PM_{2.5} concentrations (in mg/m³) in two selected communities

Village	PM ₁₀ (N=21)	NAAQS, 2008	PM ₄ (N=31)	PM _{2.5} (N=06)	No permissible standard in indoor condition
1	0.77 (11)	0.15 mg/m ³	0.50 (17)	0.28 (04)	
2	0.46 (10)		0.65 (14)	0.13 (02)	

Table 3. Average personal exposure concentration of the workers to respirable particulates (in terms of PM₄) in three selected stone crushing units

Workers' category	N	Average elapsed time (min)	Average PM ₄ (mg/m ³)
Helper (Male)	16	182	6.29
Helper (Female)	09	174	6.84
Loader (Male)	10	179	4.51
Loader (Female)	02	92	6.62
Feeder (Male)	04	172	8.15

Table 5. Comparative average area concentrations of PM₁₀, PM₄ and PM_{2.5} in selected units with and without dust control system

Particulate size	Conc. (mg/m ³) without control (N=34)	Conc.(mg/m ³) with control (N=36)	% Reduction
PM ₁₀	6.32 (09)	5.85 (09)	7.43
PM ₄	2.43 (11)	1.26 (13)	48
PM _{2.5}	1.77 (14)	1.26 (14)	29

Table 4. Varied permissible exposure limits for silica in terms of respirable dust with respect to varied percentage of respirable quartz

Sample Type	N	Average respirable dust conc. (mg/m ³)	Average respirable quartz conc. (mg/m ³)	Average respirable quartz %	OSHA-TWA permissible exposure limits (mg/m ³)
Unit area	11	12.59	1.43	10.98	0.77
Unit personal	09	13.42	1.37	09.52	0.86
Community indoor	09	0.27	0.03	11.94	0.71

Table 3 shows the personal exposure concentration of the unit workers to respirable dust (PM₄). Different categories of workers e.g., helper, loader and feeder, were engaged for personal sampling and almost all categories of workers were exposed to respirable dust phenomenally. To avoid the overload on filter papers, the visual dust around samplers were noticed critically and based on the same the sampling periods had to change per filter which ranged between 30 min and 338 min. The average PM₄ exposure concentrations for all selected categorical workers were found to be between 4.51 mg/m³ and 8.14 mg/m³ which were alarmingly higher than any standard supposed to compare nationally or internationally. As, all randomly selected samples for silica analysis contained quartz and rock used for all samples were from the same quarry, it was believed that for all other unit samples the quartz percentages would obviously above 0%. Considering this condition, ACGIH-TWA 2008 recommendation of 0.025 mg/m³ for respirable silica can be compared to assess the depth of dangerous exposure situation for the workers.

From the Table 4 it is seen that the respirable silica concentrations in indoor samples collected from kitchen

and bedrooms of the selected households from two communities were lesser with respect to other two types of samples like unit area and unit personal. As per OSHA-TWA limits, the permissible limits vary according to the value of respirable quartz percentage and in this study unit area and personal samples exceed the corresponding permissible limits. It should also be mentioned that even though the dust containing 100% quartz, the limit value for silica would be 0.098 mg/m³. This is significantly higher than recommendations of the ACGIH-TWA 2008 that sets the limit value in terms of respirable quartz dust at 0.025 mg/m³.

As already mentioned, fourth phase study was conducted in different kind of climatic condition with sometimes sunny, sometimes cloudy and sometimes rainy weather. In this study condition, comparative concentrations of different sized particulates were determined to assess the average respirable dust concentration of the unit with and without dust control system. Table 5 shows the comparative concentrations of individual PM₁₀, PM₄ and PM_{2.5}. Reduction percentages of dust were found to be 7.43%, 48% and 29% for PM₁₀, PM₄ and PM_{2.5}, respectively. Comparatively lesser dust

Table 6. Average area concentration (in mg/m³) for PM₄ and PM_{2.5} of other two stone crushing units without dust abatement system

Unit	PM ₄ (N=12)	PM _{2.5} (N=14)	NAAQS (EPA)
2	1.03 (10)	1.40 (10)	0.15 mg/m ³ PM ₁₀ (24 h avg.) and 0.015 PM _{2.5} mg/m ³ (Annual arithmetic avg.)
3	4.44 (2)	0.38 (4)	

Table 7. Fourth phase average ambient PM₁₀ and indoor PM₄ concentrations (in mg/m³) in two selected communities

Village	PM ₁₀ (N=07)	PM ₄ (N=10)	NAAQS, 2008	WHO, 2005
1	0.06 (4)	0.58 (6)	0.15	0.02
2	0.09 (3)	0.17 (4)	0.15	0.02

reduction percentage for PM₁₀ might be due to rainy weather at the time of sampling, which helped the particulates to be settled on the ground by trapping moisture from the environment.

To assess the situation in other two units without dust control measure, it was found that the average concentration values for both the type of particulates were relatively lower in comparison to the average values of all the three units in other three seasons. Table 6 shows the average respirable dust concentrations of two units in final phase of the study. This study has been conducted in cloudy weather, with frequent drizzling, the average concentrations of respective dusts were found to be relatively lower than the other four phases study. The average values were taken from the number of samples written in the adjacent parenthesis.

Community sampling was also carried out in the fourth phase study. Table 7 shows the average ambient PM₁₀ concentration as well as indoor PM₄ concentration. It is interesting to note that the average ambient value was comparatively lesser than the average indoor value.

Discussion

In this study it was observed that the higher concentration of dust exposure occurred in winter months of the year. On the other hand, downwind faced samples were found higher dust deposition than the upwind sampling. In most of the cases required timing for sampling process was not possible due to frequent shut down of the units for various administrative as well as mechanical reasons in this type of industrial sector. The level of exposure experienced by unit workers is a function of the environment, the receptors, and other resulting interactions between the two. Even though

the objective of personal sampling was to assess TWA exposure which would require 8 h continuous monitoring in the workplace but working pattern of the workers no worker was found working 8 h continuously within 20 m periphery of the unit throughout the entire study. For all four individual phases of the study, respirable particulate exposure concentrations of 2.85 mg/m³ for a maximum available elapsed time of 338 min, 10.23 mg/m³ for a maximum available elapsed time of 295 min, 1.73 mg/m³ for a maximum available elapsed time of 251 min and 0.136 mg/m³ for a maximum available elapsed time of 214 min were measured for helpers, loaders and feeders. Female subjects were also taken into account during personal monitoring.

Night shift running of the crushers was found very irregular. During night shift duty, normally the worker would not agree upon wearing personnel samplers because of the excess workload they had in the night shift due to lesser no. of workers in that shift. However, apart from this type of rigid mindset, on special request, it was possible to have some personal sampling where the TWA exposure concentrations ranging from 1.98–2.84 mg/m³, below Threshold Limit Value (TLV).

While comparing ambient with indoor data in communities during the last phase it was observed that the average indoor concentrations were higher than the ambient one. This might be due to the contribution of biofuels in the household who used to use wood, kerosene and cow-dung cake during their food preparation. It was also supported by respective higher kitchen dust concentration with respect to bedroom concentration of respirable particulates. This was also attributed by the results of silica concentration which was very less as compared to other particulate concentrations measured in this study.

Normally, when the crusher unit runs, the surrounding area becomes too hazy to have a clear view. Initially, when the control assembly started functioning during crushing operation, the visibility in the crushing area improved phenomenally. By open eye observation, it was supposed to have a drastic reduction of particulate dust level in the area. However, out of three distinct size particulates, namely, PM₁₀, PM₄ and PM_{2.5}, for none the system could show more than 50% dust reduction might be because of inadequate area coverage of machine or might be due to lack of training of the machine operators. Inadequate output efficiency of the machine could not be avoided as the tolerable limit of the pressure caused by the giant boulders crushed by crushing machines was not tested before the experiment. Sometimes, emission of tiny dust particles was observed over the cyclone dust separators indicated the

higher pressure than the suction capacity of the system. Therefore, improvement of suction capacity of the suppression system might be the critical point in the future modification process. The overflow of tiny dust particles from the head of the cyclone dust separator was more when the crushing machine would run in full fledge. But, within the restricted budgetary dust control system, the result achieved so far was encouraging for the area where scarcity of water restricted the use of water sprinkler system in the dust abatement process.

It is evident that the concentrations of respirable particulates in the units were found higher than the permissible limits prescribed by ACGIH or OSHA. Lesser concentration of respirable silica in the indoor samples of nearby communities indicates more contribution of particulates emitted by bio-fuels than the crushing units. Less efficiency than the expectation from the dust abatement dry control system might be due to some lacunae on mechanical device of the whole system assembly or due to the covering area is insufficient with respect to the real need.

Acknowledgements

The authors express their sincere thanks to the International Development Research Centre (IDRC), Canada for providing financial support during the project. The authors are also thankful to Dr. K. Vijaya Lakshmi, Mr. George S Sharma and Mr. Raghvesh Ranjan, Development Alternatives (DA), New Delhi, India for their cordial cooperation to meet the field requirements of the study.

References

- 1) Bahrami AR, Golbabai F, Mahjub H, Qorbani F, Aliabadi M, Barqi M (2008) Determination of exposure to respirable quartz in the stone crushing units at Azendarian-West of Iran. *Ind Health* **46**, 404–8.
- 2) Kashyap SK (1994) Occupational pneumoconiosis and tuberculosis. *Ind J Tub* **41**, 74.
- 3) NIOH Annual Report, India, 1986–87. NIOSH, Cincinnati.
- 4) Zenz C, Dickerson OB, Horvath EP (Eds.) (1994) Reproductive toxicology and occupational exposure. In: *Occupational Medicine*, 836–69, Mosby, St Louis.
- 5) Occupational Safety and Health Administration (1990) Table Z-1 Limits for Air Contaminants:1910.1000. US Dept. of Labor, Washington, DC.
- 6) The Tamil Nadu Factories Rules, 1950; The Tamil Nadu factories (welfare officers) Rules, 1953 and Short Notes of Cases. In: *The Factories Act, 1948* (63 of 1948), 21st Ed., Madras Book Agency, Chennai.
- 7) Dion C, Dufrense A, Jacob M, Perrault G (2005) Assessment of exposure to quartz, cristobalite and silicon carbide fibres (whiskers) in a silicon carbide plant. *Ann Occup Hyg* **49**, 335–43.
- 8) Bang BE, Suhr H (1998) Quartz exposure in the slate industry in Northern Norway. *Ann Occup Hyg* **42**, 557–63.
- 9) Sivacoumar R, Jayabalou R, Swarnalatha S, Balakrishnan K (2006) Particulate matter from stone crushing industry: size distribution and health effects. *Journal of Environmental Health Engineering* **132**, 405–14.
- 10) Gottesfeld P, Kephart JW, Balakrishnan K, Rinehart R (2008) Reduction of respirable silica following the introduction of water spray applications in Indian Stone Crusher Mills. *Int J Occup Environ Health* **14**, 94–103.
- 11) Semple S, Green DA, McAlpine, Cowie H, Seaton A (2010) Exposure to particulate matter on an Indian stone crushing site. *Occup Environ Med* **65**, 300–5.
- 12) National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health (NIOSH) (1994) METHOD 7500 dated 15 March 2003, manual of analytical methods, NIOSH, Cincinnati.