Assessing real-time performances of N95 respirators for health care workers by simulated workplace protection factors
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Abstract: To assess performances of N95 respirators for Health Care Workers (HCWs) in a simulated health-care setting, we measured the Simulated Workplace Protection Factors (SWPFs) in real-time from the volunteers. A total of 49 study subjects, wearing 3 M respirator Model N95 1860 and 1860S, were fit tested using the OSHA Exercise Regimen. The test subjects were asked to perform simulated scenarios, including patient assessments, suction, and intravenous injection (IV) treatment. Two TSI PortaCount instruments continuously measured concentrations in the respirator and the room concentration. For Quantitative Fit Testing (QNFT), 36 out of 49 (73.5%) passed the fit factor (FF) criteria set at 100 and 13 (26.5%) failed. The results of QNFT were found to have a low correlation with SWPF, with R²=0.32. The geometric means (GM) and geometric standard deviations (GSD) of SWPF were 68.8 (1.1) for those subjects who passed and 39.6 (1.3) for those who failed. Real-time assessments of SWPF showed that lower SWPFs were; moving head up and down, and bending at the waist. This study identifies the needs for providing different sizes of respirators for HCWs and the importance of performing fit tests for HCWs regularly. And particular movements were identified as attributing factors affecting more on SWPFs.
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Introduction

Various types of workers comprise the health-care industry, including physicians, dentists, and nurses as well as a broad range of other workers such as medical and nursing school students, and volunteers who are constantly in contact with patients in settings other than hospitals1). These workers could be directly exposed to health-care-related infections as their jobs involve providing health care and treatment to individuals with infectious diseases. Thus, the risk of infection through contact with patients
is high among workers in the health-care industry, and their morbidity risk of work-related diseases is higher than that of workers in other services\(^1\). Health Care Workers (HCWs) can be exposed to infectious diseases through various modes. Among the modes of transmission is through air-borne infection, in which infected particles larger than 5 \(\mu\)m in diameter are projected into the air during coughing, sneezing, or conversation and splattered to the conjunctiva, or nasal or oral mucosa of humans, thereby spreading the infection\(^2\). For example, in case of tuberculosis world-wide, 8.5 million persons were newly contracted in 2011, of whom 1.45 million died. While tuberculosis is becoming a worldwide health issue, Korea was reported to have the highest incidence of tuberculosis and tuberculosis-related mortality rate among the OECD member countries\(^3\). For this reason, HCW are advised to wear N95 respirators that passed the fit test or high-quality respirators when they enter rooms where patients with suspected or confirmed respiratory organ tuberculosis (pulmonary or laryngeal tuberculosis) are confined\(^4\).

In addition, the Korean Ministry of Health and Welfare established the Guideline for Nosocomial Infection Prevention Control, in which wearing of special masks such as the N95 mask is recommended in order to prevent infection through inhalation of small (less than 5 \(\mu\)m) infectious particles floating in the air current along with dusts\(^5\). However, considering that reducing the inflow of pathogens to the body greatly depends on the degree of fit of masks to the face, regardless of the quality of respirators and the efficiency of filters, wearers are not fully protected unless the respirator appropriately fits them\(^6\). Therefore, a quantitative fit test is highly important. However, environmental conditions differ between workplaces. In addition, intrinsic respiratory rate and respiratory rate during work could differ, making it impossible to guarantee long-term protection\(^7\). Fit test results only indicate the degree of fit between the face of the wearer and the respirator under the condition at the time of measurement and do not predict the degree of protection provided to the wearer from harmful substances in real workplaces\(^8, 9\). Thereby, NIOSH suggested that a workplace test or a simulated workplace test should be included in the respiratory protection program\(^10\).

When wearing a respirator in real workplaces, the amount of aerosol particles that enter the respiratory area depends on various factors such as the concentration and size distribution of the aerosol particles in the surrounding environment of the wearer, the types of filters used, the amount of sweat and dusts on the face of the wearer, the movement of the facial muscles, and the wearer’s will to wear the respirator appropriately\(^11\). Therefore, it is important that respirator fit is evaluated while workers are performing actual work activities wearing a respirator. However, measuring respirator performance in actual workplaces is difficult\(^12, 13\). Hence, the Simulated Workplace Protection Factor (SWPF) can be evaluated by using a laboratory-based method in combination with real workplace environments\(^14\).

Therefore, this study aimed to identify the protective effect of the N95 respirator for HCWs in a simulated work place. In addition, several facial dimensions of test subjects were measured so as to identify correlations with the fit factor and SWPF through the fit test, and real-time SWPFs were measured while performing simulated tasks of nursing so as to identify contributing activities on SWPFs.

**Methods**

**General Methods**

A fit test using TSI PortaCount Pro+ 8038 equipment was performed while the test subjects wore the N95 respirator. The criteria of the Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA) Protocol\(^15\) were used in the Quantitative Fit Testing (QNFT). QNFT scores higher than 100 were applied as the passing criteria. Every test subject stopped the intake of drinks or foods 30 min before the start of the experiment and avoided smoking\(^16\). The test subjects who completed the fit test were asked to undertake three scenarios. The real-time performances of the respirator were assessed by measuring the ambient airborne particle concentrations in the room and outside and inside the respirator by using two kinds of fit test equipment. A simulated medical environment was utilized as shown in Fig. 2, and three health-care task scenarios were constructed by professional nurses and used in the setting. SWPF was calculated by using real-time measurement data of air-borne particle concentrations outside and inside the respirator while the subjects were performing care for patients.

1) Test subjects

For this study, test subjects were voluntarily recruited.
by posting advertisements or sending advertisements through e-mail, targeting health professionals, particularly nurses and nursing school students. In consideration of the appropriate sample size for the respirator fit test that was calculated\(^{19}\), 49 test subjects were evaluated.

Facial dimensions, namely face width and face length, were measured to examine if the recruited subjects were included in the NIOSH Respirator Fit Test Panel (the NIOSH panel). Referring to previous study results\(^{20–22}\), nasal root breadth, nose width, lip length, bitragion-subnasale arc, face length, and menton-subnasale length were also measured as illustrated in Fig. 1\(^{23}\). A sliding caliper and a spreading caliper were used as measuring tools\(^{24, 25}\). For facial measurements, the reference points of the measurements were determined by using the guidelines from SizeKorea\(^{26}\). In order to reduce errors in the measurement values, mean values from 3 replications were calculated. In addition, only the individuals included in the NIOSH panel were selected as test subjects.

2) Equipment

TSI PortaCount Pro+ 8038 and N95-Companion TSI PortaCount Plus Model 8020 equipment, which quantitatively measure the ambient air-borne dust concentration and the fit level of a respirator, respectively, were used. The linear correlation coefficient of the ambient air-borne dust concentrations between the two kinds of equipment was \(R^2=0.9949\) (Fig. 3). Thus two instruments were considered in good agreements to use side-by-side.

In addition, in order not to change the condition of face seal by the weight of the Tygon tube that was connected to TSI PortaCount Pro + 8038, which was used to measure the dust concentration inside the respirator, the tube was supported with a necklace attached with a binder clip\(^{18}\).

3) Respirator

3 M 1860 or 1860s models of the N95 respirators, which have been used and sold in medical work places, were used. The two models were certified by NIOSH.

4) SWPF

After discussion with an expert (a nursing professor), three health-care scenarios including patient assessment, suction treatment, and IV treatment were selected among various tasks nurses normally perform (Table 1). To simulate real hospital bed conditions, the following were prepared in the simulated environment: sphygmomanometer, hand sanitizer, wall aspirator, tracheotomy tube, disposable gloves, tourniquet, 2-cc syringe, alcohol cotton balls, and dummy (simulated patients).

SWPF was calculated by measuring the concentrations inside and outside the respirator and using the equation (1)\(^{26}\).

\[
SWPF = \frac{C_A}{C_B} \quad (1)
\]

\(SWPF\) = Simulated Workplace Protection Factor

\(CA\) = concentration of particles outside the respirator

\(CB\) = concentration of particles inside the respirator

Overall SWPF was calculated for each SWPF activity by using equation (2) as follows:

\[
\text{Overall SWPF} = \frac{n}{\frac{1}{SWPF_1} + \frac{1}{SWPF_2} + \frac{1}{SWPF_3} + \frac{1}{SWPF_n}} \quad (2)
\]
SWPF = Each SWPF calculated by the concentrations outside and inside the respirator

\( n = \) total number of SWPF activities evaluated

**Statistical analysis**

The general characteristics of the subjects were subjected to a frequency analysis and expressed as percentages. The mean and standard deviation of each facial dimension (mm) was calculated, followed by a comparison of the mean difference between the men and women by using the \( t \)-test. The fit factors (FF) were expressed as geometric means (GM) and geometric standard deviations (GSD). A regression analysis between FF and SWPF was performed. The level of significance for all the statistical values was set at \( \alpha = 0.05 \). Collected data were analyzed by using the SPSS statistics 18.0 program.

**Ethics**

The study procedure was approved by the Catholic Medical Center Office of Human Research Protection Program.

**Results**

**General characteristics of the test subjects**

The test subjects were 49 individuals; 33 (67.3%) were female and 16 (32.7%) were male. Their mean age was 23.0 ± 3.8 yr. The age distribution was as follows: 36 persons (75.0%) younger than 25 yr; 9 persons (18.4%) between 25 and 30 yr; 3 persons (6.1%) between 30 and 35 yr; and 1 person (2.0%) older than 35 yr. The distribution of the subjects’ occupations was as follows: 37 subjects (75.5%) nursing students and 12 subjects (24.5%) nurses. The size distribution of the N95 respirator was as follows: 46 subjects (93.9%) wore the small (S), and 3 subjects (6.1%) wore the medium (M) size respirator (Table 2).

**Facial dimensions**

The statistical data of the facial dimensions of 49 subjects are presented in Table 3. The results of the \( t \)-test to identify sex-related differences in values indicate a statistically significant difference (\( p < 0.05 \)) in lip length (Table 3).

**Test Panel**

The study subjects were 49 individuals who were included in the NIOSH panel. Of the subjects, 33 (67.3%) were female and 16 (32.7%) were male. Their facial lengths ranged from 102 to 126.7 mm, and their facial widths ranged from 120.5 to 153.3 mm (Fig. 4).

**Correlation between the fit test results and SWPF**

Since the results of the fit test did not follow a normal distribution, both the FF and SWPF values were log transformed and the presented with GM (GSD). According to the results of the fit test using the 49 test subjects who were included in the NIOSH panel, 36 test subjects (73.5%) passed and the GM (GSD) of FF was 156.3 (1.3). However, 13 test subjects (26.5%) failed and the GM (GSD) of FF was 37.0 (1.7) (Table 4). The GM (GSD) of SWPF values of the test subjects who passed and those who failed

---

**Table 1. Health care scenarios developed for the study**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scenarios 1 (Assessment)</th>
<th>Scenarios 2 (Suction)</th>
<th>Scenarios 3 (IV Treatment)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>41 s to 1 min 48 s</td>
<td>58 s to 1 min 44 s</td>
<td>48 sec to 1 min 42 s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Patient bedside diagnostics</td>
<td>1. Upper airway suction</td>
<td>1. Administer IV care</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>● Vitals : blood pressure</td>
<td>● Suction : by aspirator</td>
<td>● IV : Injection of vein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Raise/lower stretcher as need</td>
<td>4. Raise/lower stretcher as need</td>
<td>4. Tie tourniquet, push IV medication</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Clean hands</td>
<td>5. Perform aspirator</td>
<td>5. Raise/lower stretcher as need</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 2. General characteristics of the study subjects**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Classification</th>
<th>N (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>16 (32.7%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>33 (67.3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20–24</td>
<td>36 (73.5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25–29</td>
<td>9 (18.4%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30–34</td>
<td>3 (6.1%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥35</td>
<td>1 (2.0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Job</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nursing student</td>
<td>37 (75.5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nurse</td>
<td>12 (24.5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N95 Size</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>3 (6.1%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Small</td>
<td>46 (93.9%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

N: Number of subjects
The mean concentrations outside and inside the N95 respirator, and the mean real-time measurement values of SWPF for all the test subjects who either passed or failed the fit test were calculated for each exercise and presented in Figs. 6 and 7. The air-borne dust concentrations outside in the simulated medical environment ranged from 3,420 to 17,800 p/cm³. The particle concentrations inside the respirator ranged from 11 to 3,949 p/cm³, and the real-time SWPF values ranged from 1.4 to 364.

Among real-time SWPFs by scenario assessed, greater fluctuations were observed from the test subjects who failed the fit test. In addition, lower SWPFs were measured while performing the following movements; moving head up and down, and bending at the waist (e.g. raising and/or lowering the stretcher rails). Therefore, while performing these movements, respirator seals around the face might

Table 3. Summary statistics of facial dimension measurements

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Male (N=16)</th>
<th></th>
<th>Female (N=33)</th>
<th></th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>M±SD</td>
<td>95th percentile</td>
<td>M±SD</td>
<td>95th percentile</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>LCL UCL</td>
<td>LCL UCL</td>
<td>LCL UCL</td>
<td>LCL UCL</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Face length</td>
<td>117.2 ± 5.0</td>
<td>114.4</td>
<td>120.0</td>
<td>110.8 ± 4.2</td>
<td>109.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lip length</td>
<td>40.1 ± 3.4</td>
<td>38.2</td>
<td>41.9</td>
<td>37.9 ± 2.4</td>
<td>37.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nose width</td>
<td>35.4 ± 2.0</td>
<td>34.3</td>
<td>36.5</td>
<td>31.7 ± 2.5</td>
<td>30.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nasale root breadth</td>
<td>33.6 ± 3.2</td>
<td>31.8</td>
<td>35.3</td>
<td>31.6 ± 3.4</td>
<td>30.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bitragion-subnasale arc</td>
<td>179.4 ± 22.1</td>
<td>167.2</td>
<td>191.6</td>
<td>176.4 ± 19.3</td>
<td>169.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bizygomatic breadth</td>
<td>138.6 ± 8.1</td>
<td>134.2</td>
<td>143.1</td>
<td>131.6 ± 6.0</td>
<td>129.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Menton-subnasale length</td>
<td>70.6 ± 3.7</td>
<td>68.5</td>
<td>72.6</td>
<td>65.7 ± 4.4</td>
<td>64.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

M ± SD: Mean and standard deviation (mm). LCL: Lower control limit, UCL: Upper control limit. *Statistically significant difference between gender by t-test.

Table 4. Fit test result

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fit test</th>
<th>N (%)</th>
<th>GM (GSD)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pass</td>
<td>Fail</td>
<td>Pass (FF≥100)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36 (73.5)</td>
<td>13 (26.5)</td>
<td>156.3 (1.3)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

GM: Geometric means, GSD: Geometric standard deviations

Table 5. SWPFs by subject who passed or failed the fit test

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SWPF</th>
<th>N (%)</th>
<th>GM (GSD)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pass</td>
<td>Fail</td>
<td>Pass (FF≥100)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36 (73.5)</td>
<td>13 (26.5)</td>
<td>68.8 (1.1)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

GM: Geometric means, GSD: Geometric standard deviations

Fig. 4. Distribution of test subjects in the NIOSH panel constructed.

The relationship between FF and SWPF was analyzed using a regression analysis. When the fit factor was assessed regarding its effect on the SWPF, the explanatory power was 31.6% (Fig. 5).

Real-time SWPF

The mean concentrations outside and inside the N95 respirator, and the mean real-time measurement values of SWPF for all the test subjects who either passed or failed the fit test were calculated for each exercise and presented in Figs. 6 and 7. The air-borne dust concentrations outside in the simulated medical environment ranged from 3,420 to 17,800 p/cm³. The particle concentrations inside the respirator ranged from 11 to 3,949 p/cm³, and the real-time SWPF values ranged from 1.4 to 364.

Among real-time SWPFs by scenario assessed, greater fluctuations were observed from the test subjects who failed the fit test. In addition, lower SWPFs were measured while performing the following movements; moving head up and down, and bending at the waist (e.g. raising and/or lowering the stretcher rails). Therefore, while performing these movements, respirator seals around the face might
have been broken and thus allowed particles leaked in the respirator, particularly from those who failed the fit test.  

**Discussion**

In medical environments, HCWs may reduce their risk
of infection by wearing N95 respirators from infected patients and inhalation of contaminated substances in the air. A fit test was performed in this study to determine the protective effect of wearing the N95 respirator targeting HCWs, including nurses and nursing students, who are frequently exposed to health care-related infection mediated by droplets or air-borne pathogens. A simulated medical environment that is similar to real-world medical environments was created for the study. Three healthcare tasks, selected by a field expert, namely patient assessment (measuring blood pressure), suction treatment, and IV treatment, were simulated and performed by the participants. The concentrations outside and inside the mask were measured in order to evaluate real-time SWPFs during the activities.

In the SWPF experiment, weak correlations \( R^2 = 0.316 \) between FF and SWPF were found in this study. The result was similar to that of the previous studies that showed a low correlation between two values. Another study done with the powered air purifying respirator found no correlation between QNFT and WPF. But, a previous research done in a simulated environment found that fit factor was found to be highly correlated with actual exposure measurement values in the simulated medical workplace. Unidentified individual-specific variables were speculated to affect correlation variations. However, some exercises in this study, such as moving head up and down and bending over, were more influential on SWPF than others. During the assessment of real-time SWPFs, these movements were found to affect the degree of fit of the respirator. These influencing movements or activities need to be carefully managed during patients’ care so as to minimize the breakage of the respirator seals.

In contrast, a study on the real-time identification of the protection factor according to OSHA Protocol 1910.134 showed that among the SWPFs, talking or grimace was more frequent than other activities. Hauge et al. study, however, found no specific activities (e.g., talking or movement) to be related with the inside-respirator concentrations. Since the FF exercises used in this study were composed of only 6 exercises, excluding grimace, we had no information on the effects of grimace. Performing QNFT for respirator wearers is useful in terms of providing valuable training of donning as well as selecting correct sizes. But passing QNFT does not necessarily guarantee wearers’ protection because of lack of correlations with SWPF or WPF, a refinement of QNFT exercises so as better predict SWPF or WPF needs to be done.

All the subjects in this study, except three (3) subjects, wore small-size N95 respirators. This finding was similar to that of a study with subjects from the NIOSH panel 1–7 that showed maximum fit with the S-size respirator. Meanwhile, the subjects from test panel 8–10 exhibited maximum fit with the M-size respirator. In addition, according to the study with the NIOSH panel, subjects with small/medium, or large facial dimensions who wore S- or M-size respirator, respectively, exhibited better fit. According to the result of the fit test, the pass rate was 73.5% (36 persons) among the 49 test subjects. This was higher than the result of the QNFT experiment using the same N95 respirator model, which showed a pass rate of 57.4%. The US Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) indicated that the QNFT fail rate of the N95 respirator was 20–100% and recommended the use of respirator products with an optimal fit for each individual. The result indicated that respirator wearers should be provided different sizes of respirators so as to decide a correct size for them after QNFT.

A limitation of this study is the difference in considering such influencing factors from those in real workplaces. For example, influencing factors, including the effect of duration of rewearin a respirator, the model or size of other respirators, and the effect of face size or type, have different effects on fit. In addition, the ambient air particle concentrations in the simulated room, which were generally stable throughout the experiment, may be quite different from the real world situations where high and fluctuating concentrations can be found.

In a series of health care simulation studies by NIOSH researchers, several activities besides OSHA exercises were included such as, hanging an IV bag, inserting a syringe into an IV bag, carrying weight, and walking, etc. Although it is judged that this study did not fully consider influencing factors in real workplaces, we believe that a further study that compensates for these activities will provide HCWs with a higher level of protection with the use of respirators.

Despite of these shortcomings, this study evaluated the performance of the N95 respirator through the fit test, targeting health care workers, and identified levels of protection depending on the wearers’ activities by performing a real-time SWPF evaluation. We found that even with a high-quality respirator, if the respirator is not worn properly or the respirator size is not optimal, an adequate protection could not be provided to HCWs, who have a high risk of exposure to air-borne diseases such as tuberculosis due to their job activities and work environments.
Conclusions

This study aimed to determine the degree of protection that the N95 respirator provides to HCWs by performing a real-time SWPF evaluation. Test subjects performed 3 types of scenarios in a simulated patient treatment environment. In the SWPF evaluation depending on task, the concentration inside the respirators of the subjects who failed the fit test exhibited a higher fluctuation than that of the test subjects who passed the fit test. Because actions such as raising a rail or lowering a bed, which requires moving the head down or bending at waist, affect the fit level of the respirator, the concentration inside the respirator in each scenario tended to have a higher variation.

During the experiment, we found that particular movements, such as bending at the waist and moving head up and down, were identified as contributing factors affecting more on SWPFs. Therefore, it is necessary to perform the fit test using simulated activities of the real workplaces. Performing the fit test before distributing respirators and training the proper use of respirators seem to be very important for HCWs. Considering the recent increase in the incidence of air-borne diseases such as tuberculosis among HCWs, a better respiratory protection program with regular trainings should be provided in order to protect them from infectious diseases. This study also identified the needs for providing different sizes of respirators for HCWs.
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