Efficacy and Safety of Mizoribine by One Single Dose Administration for Patients with Rheumatoid Arthritis

Kunihiro Ichinose¹, Tomoki Origuchi², Shin-ya Kawashiri¹, Naoki Iwamoto¹, Keita Fujikawa¹, Toshiyuki Aramaki¹, Makoto Kamachi¹, Kazuhiko Arima¹, Mami Tamai¹, Hideki Nakamura¹, Hiroaki Ida¹, Atsushi Kawakami¹, Toshiaki Tsukada³, Yukitaka Ueki⁴ and Katsumi Eguchi¹

Abstract

Objective Mizoribine (MZR) is an immunosuppressant that inhibits nucleic acid metabolism and is a relatively safe disease-modifying anti-rheumatic drug (DMARD). We evaluated the efficacy and safety of one single dose per day for patients with rheumatoid arthritis (RA).

Patients and Methods In this study 32 patients with RA received MZR therapy. We evaluated the average dose of MZR and prednisolone, response to treatment and peak plasma level of MZR.

Results The average dose of MZR was 146.1±31.2 (range: 50-200) mg/day. The average dose of prednisolone was 4.63±3.59 (range: 0-14) mg/day. The average plasma level of MZR, measured after 3 hours, was 2.20±0.49 µg/mL in the responder group and 1.59±0.82 µg/mL in the non-responder group (p=0.020). The treatment with MZR for 24 weeks was completed by 71.9% of patients and the proportion of patients who achieved a good and moderate response rate according to the European League Against Rheumatism (EULAR) criteria was 56.3% at 24 weeks. The plasma level of MZR which was greater than or equal to 2.12 µg/mL was significantly correlated with the clinical response (p<0.01). Only one of thirty-two cases discontinued the treatment, because of skin eruption.

Conclusion This study included patients that could not be treated with other DMARDs and/or biologic agents because of age, interstitial pneumonia and other complications. We show that MZR may be a useful and relatively safe therapy for patients in this group.

Key words: rheumatoid arthritis (RA), mizoribine (MZR), plasma level

(Inter Med 49: 2211-2218, 2010)

(DOI: 10.2169/internalmedicine.49.3810)

Introduction

Mizoribine (MZR) is a novel immunosuppressive agent which was isolated from the culture media of *Eupenicillium brefeldianum* M-2166 in 1974 in Japan (1). This agent inhibits inosine monophosphate dehydrogenase (IMPDH), a rate-limiting enzyme in the de novo pathway of nucleic acid synthesis (2, 3). The immunosuppressive effect of MZR has been suggested to be due to the inhibition of T and B cell

proliferation (4). The clinical efficacy of MZR was first approved in renal transplant recipients (4, 5). Since then MZR has been thought to be safe and well tolerated compared with other immunosuppressants, and recent studies have demonstrated the utility in the treatment of rheumatoid arthritis (RA) (6), systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE) (7), nephrotic syndrome (8), and immunoglobulin A (IgA) nephropathy (9). Although, MZR has been considered a second-line disease-modifying anti-rheumatic drug (DMARD), it is often used in combination with methotrexate (MTX) or bio-

¹Department of Rheumatology, Unit of Translational Medicine, Graduate School of Biomedical Sciences, Nagasaki University, Nagasaki, ²Department of Rehabilitation, Unit of Translational Medicine, Graduate School of Biomedical Sciences, Nagasaki University, Nagasaki, ³Isahaya Health Insurance General Hospital, Isahaya and ⁴Sasebo Chuo Hospital, Sasebo

Received for publication April 14, 2010; Accepted for publication June 16, 2010

Correspondence to Dr. Kunihiro Ichinose, kunizo1234abcd@yahoo.co.jp

logical RA treatments in Japan (10, 11).

MZR can easily transfer from plasma to synovial fluid in RA patients. A previous report showed the ratio of drug concentration in plasma and synovial fluid of 15 RA patients was 1:0.84 for MZR, 1:0.56 for gold sodium thiomalate, 1:0.49 for methotrexate, 1:0.50 for D-penicillamine and 1:0.31 for bucillamine (12).

In addition to increased tissue distribution, recent studies suggest that the peak plasma level of MZR is important for the clinical efficacy of this drug (7, 13). Human mixed-lymphocyte reaction was shown to be inhibited with MZR at rates ranging from 36.4 to 62.2% in the range of 0.1-5 µg/mL, and with 50% inhibition at about 1.0 µg/mL (14). According to an ex vivo study, regular use (150 mg/day, 3 divided doses) of MZR did not reach a high enough peak plasma level (<0.8 µg/mL) (15). Moreover, changing MZR administration from three divided doses to one single dose induced remission of relapsed membranous nephropathy (16). This pilot study was aimed to investigate the efficacy and safety of MZR in 32 RA patients by changing the administration from three divided doses to one single dose in order to gain a higher plasma level of the drug.

Abbreviations

MZR: mizoribine, RA: rheumatoid arthritis, MTX: methotrexate

Patients and Methods

Patients

This pilot study was conducted from 2005 to 2007 at 3 institutions in Nagasaki, Japan. All patients who entered into this study had met the following inclusion criteria at the time of their enrollment in the preceding study: 1) a diagnosis of RA according to the American College of Rheumatology (ACR) criteria (revised in 1987), 2) a disease duration of at least 6 months, and 3) active disease (which was defined by at least six tender joints, at least three swollen joints and an erythrocyte sedimentation rate >30 mm/h or a C-reactive protein level >1.0 mg/dL). Those patients who had, severe drug hypersensitivity, bone marrow suppression, severe liver dysfunction, severe infection, pregnancy or malignancy were excluded from this study.

Method

Prior to the present study, the Institutional Review Board at each participating institution approved the study protocol. All of the patients involved gave written informed consent.

MZR was initiated at a dose of 50-200 mg orally once a day after the morning meal. Dose regulation was possible depending on the patient's symptoms or adverse drug reactions at the discretion of the investigator. The duration of treatment in the study was scheduled to be 24 weeks. The nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) and steroids that were used prior to the study could be continued. Dose reduction was allowed for NSAIDs and steroids during the

study period. Concomitant use of other DMARDs (including gold salts, D-penicillamine, bucillamine, salazosulfapyridine, lobenzarit, actarit, cyclosporine, methotrexate and tacrolimus) was permitted when necessary. In patients who used other DMARDs together, we performed the evaluation after adding MZR. Administration of biologic agents that could influence the curative effect was prohibited.

Abbreviations

DMARDs: disease modifying anti-rheumatic drugs, NSAIDs: nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs

Evaluation

Disease activity was assessed by the swollen and tender joint counts, the C-reactive protein level, and the Disease Activity Score in 28 joints (DAS28) following ACR guidelines, at the beginning of the study and at 4-week intervals thereafter to the end of the study (24 weeks). At the same intervals, global assessment of disease activity by the investigator, global assessment of disease activity by the patient, and assessment of joint tenderness by the patient were also recorded. In every examination, clinical improvement was assessed according to the European League Against Rheumatism (EULAR) response criteria. The responder group includes those patients who experienced a greater than or equal to moderate response after 24 weeks MZR treatment. The non-responder group includes the remaining patients. The peak plasma level of MZR was measured 3 hours after administration every 4 weeks of treatment, and we examined the association between EULAR response criteria and the blood concentration. All adverse events were examined and those for which a casual relationship to MZR could not be ruled out by the investigator were classified as adverse drug reactions.

Statistical analysis

The sample size of this pilot study was based on practical rather than biometric considerations. All values were expressed as mean $\pm$ SEM. Kruskal-Wallis test with post-hoc comparisons using Scheffe's test and Fisher's exact test were employed for inter-group comparisons of multiple variables. Statistical analyses were performed by StatView software (Abacus Concepts, Berkeley, CA). A level of $p < 0.05$ was considered statistically significant.

Results

Patient characteristics

A total of 32 patients were enrolled in the present study. Treatment with MZR for 24 weeks was completed by 71.9% of the subjects (23/32 patients), while MZR was discontinued in 28.1% of them (9/32 patients) (Fig. 1). The mean age ($\pm$ standard deviation) of the patients was 64.5 ± 10.7 years (range: 32-85 years) at enrollment in this study, and 68.7% (22/32 patients) had stage III or IV (evaluated by Steinbrocker's radiological stage) (17) RA at that time. The mean

dosage of prednisolone was 4.63 ± 3.59 mg/day (range: 0-14 mg/day). The mean dosage of MZR was 146.1 ± 31.2 mg/day (range: 50-200 mg/day). DMARDs were used in 34.4% (11/32) of patients and the mean number of DMARDs was 0.53 ± 0.84 (range: 0-3). The numbers of patients who used DMARDs were as follows: salazosulfapyridine: 3 patients, methotrexate: 6 patients, bucillamine: 1 patient, gold salts: 2 patients. 59.4% had some complications, including interstitial pneumonia (25%, 8/32 patients), osteoporosis (15.6%, 5/32 patients), renal dysfunction (9.3%, 3/32 patients) and other pulmonary diseases (9.3%, 3/32 patients). The mean DAS28-CRP value (the 28 joint count Disease Activity Score using C reactive protein) was 4.82 ± 1.14 , the number

of tender joints was 9.07 ± 7.42 , the number of swollen joints was 4.16 ± 4.61 and the visual analog scale (VAS) was 49.5 ± 23.3 mm each, before the treatment. The mean C-reactive protein (CRP) level was 3.23 ± 3.25 mg/dL (Table 1).

Abbreviations

CRP: C-reactive protein, DAS28-CRP: the 28 joint count Disease Activity Score using C reactive protein

Safety

All 32 patients were included in the safety analyses. The reasons for discontinuation of treatment were adverse events in 3.1% (1/32 patients), lack of improvement/worsening of RA in 21.8% (7/32 patients) and economic reason in 3.1% (1/32 patients) (Table 2). During the study period, no deaths occurred. No serious adverse events occurred. Only one adverse event occurred, which was skin eruption.

Efficacy

The median DAS28-CRP value showed a significant decrease after 16 weeks compared with that at baseline, and the effect continued to 24 weeks ($p < 0.05$) (Fig. 2A). The median DAS-CRP values in the responder group showed a significant decrease compared with those in the non-responder group throughout the treatment ($p < 0.05$) (Fig. 2B). The median tender joint counts (TJC), swollen joint counts (SJC) and CRP were also significantly decreased over the 24 weeks of treatment (Fig. 3). Good and moderate response rates according to EULAR criteria were 31.2% (at 4 weeks), 53.1% (at 12 weeks), and 56.3% (at 24 weeks) (Fig. 4). Comparing the reactivity of MZR, we found the plasma level of MZR in the responder group was higher than that in the non-responder group (2.20 ± 0.49 μ g/

Figure 1. Rate of persistence after 24 weeks of mizoribine (MZR) treatment. The number of patients at each time point is shown in the bar.

Table 1. Characteristics of the Patients at Enrollment in the Study

Sex (male:female)	6:26
Age (years, mean $\pm$ SD)	64.5 ± 10.7 (32-85)
Duration of RA (years, mean $\pm$ SD)	14.0 ± 10.9 (2-40)
Steinbrocker stage (number)	I...0, II...10, III...9, IV...13
Dose of prednisolone (mg/day, mean $\pm$ SD)	4.63 ± 3.59 (0-14)
Dose of mizoribine (mg/day, mean $\pm$ SD)	146.1 ± 31.2 (50-200)
Tender joint counts (mean $\pm$ SD)	9.07 ± 7.42
Swollen joint counts (mean $\pm$ SD)	4.16 ± 4.61
C-reactive protein level (mg/dL, mean $\pm$ SD)	3.23 ± 3.25
VAS (mm, mean $\pm$ SD)	49.5 ± 23.3
DAS28-CRP (mean $\pm$ SD)	4.82 ± 1.14

VAS: visual analogue scale, DAS28-CRP: the 28 joint count Disease Activity Score using C reactive protein

Table 2. The Reason for Discontinuation (of Patients 32 Analyzed)

Reason of discontinuation	No. of patients (%)
Lack of improvement/worsening of RA	7/32 (21.8%)
Adverse event	1/32 (3.1%): skin eruption
Economic reasons	1/32 (3.1%): It was not comparatively effective

Figure 2. The efficacy value of the 28 joint count Disease Activity Score using C reactive protein (DAS28-CRP) for 24 weeks. (A) Total (B) Responders and non-responders. The responder group includes those patients who experienced a greater than or equal to moderate response after 24 weeks of mizoribine (MZR) treatment. The non-responder group includes the remaining patients. N.S: not significant, * $p<0.05$, ** $p<0.01$, 0 week vs each week in A, responder vs non-responder in B.

mL vs. 1.59 ± 0.82 $\mu\text{g/mL}$, $p=0.020$) (Table 3). Next, we evaluated whether the clinical response correlated with the plasma level of MZR. Table 4 shows the analysis of clinical response and the plasma level of MZR. A plasma level of MZR greater than or equal to 2.12 $\mu\text{g/mL}$ showed high positive and negative predictive values. Moreover, this plasma level of MZR showed high sensitivity and high specificity. We demonstrated that the plasma level of MZR which was greater than or equal to 2.12 $\mu\text{g/mL}$ significantly correlated with clinical response ($p<0.01$; Fig. 5). No other characteristics of patients at baseline were significantly different between the responder group and the non-responder group.

Discussion

Mizoribine (MZR) is a novel immunosuppressive agent that is approved in Japan, South Korea and China for prevention of rejection in renal transplantation (5). Subsequently, MZR has been approved in Japan for the treatment of RA (6), SLE (7), nephrotic syndrome (8) and immunoglobulin A (IgA) nephropathy (9). This agent inhibits the proliferation of lymphocytes via inhibition of de novo purine biosynthesis selectively, and therefore it has been thought to inhibit both humoral and cellular immunity (2).

MZR is usually used at a daily dose of 150 mg in three divided doses and has been thought to be safe and be well tolerated. However, its substantial clinical use remains relatively rare in comparison with other DMARDs and immunosuppressants because of its mild effect. Nakamura et al (18) and Nishimura (19) reported that RA patients who had not responded to a daily dose of 150 mg in three divided doses were then found to show a good response to one single dose of 150 mg MZR and showed obvious clinical response

(greater than or equal to moderate response: 61.5%) at 24 weeks. Recent studies suggest that the peak plasma level of MZR is important for the clinical efficacy of this drug (7, 13). In patients with lupus nephritis, the peak plasma level of MZR was correlated with the clinical response (20). MZR pulse therapy was also found to be effective in RA patients who showed only a partial response to MTX (21-23). In our report we found that MZR was effective and safe by a single dose administration for RA patients. We demonstrated that treatment with MZR for 24 weeks was completed by 71.9% of patients, of whom 56.3% achieved good or moderate response according to EULAR criteria at 24 weeks. Although patients of old age, with advanced-stage RA or with some complications were included in our study, the clinical efficacy and safety were similar to previous reports that administered a single dose of MZR (19, 24, 25).

Because pharmacokinetics in nine RA patients with normal renal function indicated that the MZR plasma level peaked at 2.4 hours after oral administration (26), we measured the peak plasma level 3 hours after administration. Additionally, with oral administration of 2.5-4.0 mg/kg per daily doses, the peak plasma levels of MZR usually showed less than 2.0 $\mu\text{g/mL}$ in three divided doses. Therefore, our patients were administered a single dose of MZR at a dose of 50-200 mg orally once a day after the morning meal in order to increase the peak plasma level of MZR. No particular adverse events of MZR have been observed even at 6 $\mu\text{g/mL}$ or above (27), yet there is no reliable data concerning the upper limit of the peak plasma level of MZR. Our result clearly shows that most of the patients who achieved a good response required at least 2.12 $\mu\text{g/mL}$ peak plasma level of MZR. A similar plasma level of MZR has been reported as an effective dose with lupus nephritis patients (20, 28).

Figure 3. The efficacy value of TJC, SJC, CRP and VAS for 24 weeks. (A, C, E and G) Total, (B, D, F and H) Responder and non-responder. The responder group includes those patients who experienced a greater than or equal to moderate response after 24 weeks mizoribine (MZR) treatment. The non-responder group includes the remaining patients. TJC: tender joint counts, SJC: swollen joint counts, CRP: C-reactive protein, VAS: visual analogue scale. N.S: not significant, *p<0.05, **p<0.01, 0 week vs each week in A, C, E and G, responder vs non-responder in B, D, F and H.

Table 3. Clinical Evaluation of Responder and Non-Responder Groups. The Responder Group Includes Those Patients who Experienced a Greater Than Moderate Response after 24 Weeks MZR Treatment. The Non-Responder Group Includes the Remaining Patients. PSL: prednisolone, DMARDs: disease modifying anti-rheumatic drugs, TJC: Tenderness joint counts, SJC: Swollen joint counts, CRP: C-reactive protein, VAS: visual analogue scale, DAS28-CRP: the 28 joint count Disease Activity Score using C reactive protein

	Responder	Non-responder	p-value
Number (M:F)	18 (2:16)	14 (4:10)	0.421
Age (years)	62.4 ± 11.4	66.5 ± 8.60	0.316
Duration (years)	14.1 ± 10.2	12.5 ± 12.4	0.702
PSL (mg/day)	4.35 ± 3.09	4.00 ± 3.78	0.789
DMARDs	0.88 ± 1.11	0.18 ± 0.41	0.057
TJC	8.06 ± 6.02	9.73 ± 9.20	0.565
SJC	5.41 ± 5.55	2.91 ± 2.70	0.178
CRP (mg/dL)	3.27 ± 2.19	2.22 ± 2.27	0.231
VAS (mm)	45.9 ± 15.1	53.5 ± 29.8	0.375
DAS28-CRP	4.78 ± 0.91	4.73 ± 1.49	0.897
BUN (mg/dL)	17.7 ± 3.22	14.0 ± 3.16	0.192
Cr (mg/dL)	0.81 ± 0.25	0.55 ± 0.18	0.167
Plasma level of MZR (μg/mL)	2.20 ± 0.49	1.59 ± 0.82	0.020*

Table 4. Analysis of Mizoribine Concentration and Clinical Efficacy

Cut off (μg/mL)	PPV	NPV	Sensitivity	Specificity
≥ 2.73	50	42.3	6.3	91.7
≥ 2.59	66.7	43.5	23.5	83.3
≥ 2.42	77.8	50.0	41.2	83.3
≥ 2.34	81.8	55.6	52.9	83.3
≥ 2.21	84.6	62.5	64.7	83.3
≥ 2.12	81.3	69.2	76.5	75.0
≥ 2.07	76.6	66.7	76.5	66.7
≥ 1.90	72.2	63.6	76.5	58.3
≥ 1.88	68.4	60.0	76.5	50.0
≥ 1.59	71.4	75.0	88.2	50.0
≥ 1.3	66.7	80.0	94.1	33.3

PPV; positive predictive value, NPV; negative predictive value

Figure 4. Clinical responses for arthritis are defined as improvement of the disease activity score (DAS) by the European League Against Rheumatism (EULAR) criteria. The number of patients at each time point is shown in the bar.

Given that the peak plasma level correlates with the clinical effect, we may consider up to 3-4 μg/mL to achieve a good

response.

In contrast, there has been a report that bone marrow suppression, hepatic dysfunction, and severe stomatitis appeared when the trough level of MZR was 4 μg/mL or above in kidney transplantation recipients with marked renal dysfunction (14). Since MZR is excreted via the kidney, monitoring of the trough level is necessary to ensure safety in such patients. Accordingly, the dose of MZR should be carefully considered especially for elderly patients whose renal function assumed to be decreased with age.

Approximately ten types of oral DMARDs are currently available in Japan, and methotrexate (MTX) is now being prescribed as first-line therapy in many cases of RA. However, some of the patients cannot be given MTX treatment, because of RA associated lung disease, interstitial pneumonia, renal dysfunction or other complications. Although,

Figure 5. The relationship between the peak plasma level of mizoribine (MZR) and the clinical efficacy (p=0.0095).

MZR is considered a second-line DMARD (level of evidence B) in the guideline (29), we suggest that it can be often used in combination with other DMARDs, based on its mechanism of action and safety, especially in the elderly and patients with complications.

In the general use (three divided doses) of MZR, the correlation between the clinical effect and the peak plasma level of MZR has not been fully investigated in RA patients. We administrated MZR to 32 RA patients and monitored the relationship of its peak level and clinical efficacy. Our study included advanced RA patients who were not able to be treated with other general immunosuppressants and biologic agents because of complications and high age. In spite of this background, 56.3% (18/32 patients) of our cases could achieve a curative effect of greater than or equal to moderate response. Unfortunately, some of the patients did not have a clinical response even though they reached a high plasma level of MZR. We understand the clinical effect can not be explained by plasma level only, and it remains a matter to be discussed what other indicators might be involved.

In conclusion, this study indicated that optimal plasma level of MZR is important for the treatment of RA, especially in the elderly and patients with lung disease, renal dysfunction and/or other complications, and further evaluation is needed for the use of MZR therapy in long-term follow-up studies.

Acknowledgement

We wish to thank Asahi-Kasei Company, Tokyo, for the measurement of the plasma level of MZR and Jana Mooster for reading the manuscript.

References

- Mizuno K, Tsujino M, Takada M, Hayashi M, Atsumi K. Studies on bredinin. I. Isolation, characterization and biological properties. *J Antibiot (Tokyo)* **27**: 775-782, 1974.
- Koyama H, Tsuji M. Genetic and biochemical studies on the activation and cytotoxic mechanism of bredinin, a potent inhibitor of purine biosynthesis in mammalian cells. *Biochem Pharmacol* **32**: 3547-3553, 1983.
- Kusumi T, Tsuda M, Katsunuma T, Yamamura M. Dual inhibitory effect of bredinin. *Cell Biochem Funct* **7**: 201-204, 1989.
- Hughes SE, Gruber SA. New immunosuppressive drugs in organ transplantation. *J Clin Pharmacol* **36**: 1081-1092, 1996.
- Inou T, Kusaba R, Takahashi I, et al. Clinical trial of bredinin in renal transplantation. *Transplant Proc* **13**: 315-318, 1981.
- Takei S. Mizoribine in the treatment of rheumatoid arthritis and juvenile idiopathic arthritis. *Pediatr Int* **44**: 205-209, 2002.
- Aihara Y, Miyamae T, Ito S, et al. Mizoribine as an effective combined maintenance therapy with prednisolone in child-onset systemic lupus erythematosus. *Pediatr Int* **44**: 199-204, 2002.
- Yoshioka K, Ohashi Y, Sakai T, et al. A multicenter trial of mizoribine compared with placebo in children with frequently relapsing nephrotic syndrome. *Kidney Int* **58**: 317-324, 2000.
- Kaneko K, Nagaoka R, Ohtomo Y, Yamashiro Y. Mizoribine for childhood IgA nephropathy. *Nephron* **83**: 376-377, 1999.
- Kasama T, Wakabayashi K, Odai T, et al. Effects of low-dose mizoribine pulse therapy in combination with methotrexate in rheumatoid arthritis patients with an insufficient response to methotrexate. *Mod Rheumatol* **19**: 395-400, 2009.
- Horikoshi M, Ito S, Ishikawa M, et al. Efficacy of mizoribine pulse therapy in patients with rheumatoid arthritis who show a reduced or insufficient response to infliximab. *Mod Rheumatol* **19**: 229-234, 2009.
- Sakai K, Matsuno H, Tsuji H, Sainou H, Yashima S. Synovial fluid and plasma levels of mizoribine in RA patients. *Jpn J Inflamm* **14**: 521-524, 1994 (in Japanese).
- Tanaka H, Suzuki K, Nakahata T, Tsugawa K, Ito E, Waga S. Mizoribine oral pulse therapy for patients with disease flare of lupus nephritis. *Clin Nephrol* **60**: 390-394, 2003.
- Sonda K, Takahashi K, Tanabe K, et al. Clinical pharmacokinetic study of mizoribine in renal transplantation patients. *Transplant Proc* **28**: 3643-3648, 1996.
- Kawasaki Y, Hosoya M, Kobayashi S, et al. Oral mizoribine pulse therapy for patients with steroid-resistant and frequently relapsing steroid-dependent nephrotic syndrome. *Nephrol Dial Transplant* **20**: 2243-2247, 2005.
- Nishioka Y, Horita Y, Tadokoro M, et al. Changing mizoribine administration from three divided doses to one single dose induced remission of relapsed membranous nephropathy. *Nephrol Dial Transplant* **21**: 2337-2338, 2006.
- Steinbrocker O, Traeger CH, Batterman RC. Therapeutic criteria in rheumatoid arthritis. *J Am Med Assoc* **140**: 659-662, 1949.
- Nakamura N, Nishimura K, Nishino J, Fukunaga S, Kochi A, Matsushita T. Single dose mizoribine at 100 or 50 mg/day for rheumatoid arthritis: efficacy and safety profile results of 6-month administration. *Mod Rheumatol* **17**: S112, 2007.
- Nishimura K. Prevention of joint destruction in rheumatoid arthritis patients receiving combination mizoribine and methotrexate therapy. *Pharm Medica* **26**: 134-135, 2008 (in Japanese).
- Kuroda T, Hirose S, Tanabe N, et al. Mizoribine therapy for patients with lupus nephritis: the association between peak mizoribine concentration and clinical efficacy. *Mod Rheumatol* **17**: 206-212, 2007.
- Tokuda M, Dobashi H, Hiraishi T, Mitsunaka H, Takahara J, Hirohata M. Effect of mizoribine pulse therapy on the disease activity of rheumatoid arthritis refractory to the treatment with methotrexate. *Rheumatology* **20**: 519-526, 1998 (in Japanese).
- Kohriyama K, Hiramatsu Y, Aoyama T. Efficacy of combination pulse therapy with methotrexate and mizoribine for patients with rheumatoid arthritis showing escape phenomenon to low-dose methotrexate therapy. *Rinsho Riumachi* **15**: 227-234, 2003 (in Japanese).
- Murai T, Arai K, Fujisawa J, Kondo N, Hanyu T. Evaluation of combination pulse therapy with methotrexate and mizoribine for

- patients with methotrexate-resistant rheumatoid arthritis. *J Chubu Rheum Assoc* **37**: 80-81, 2006 (in Japanese).
24. Shida J, Shuto T, Tokito T, et al. Clinical investigation of efficacy by administration of mizoribine once a day for rheumatoid arthritis. *Kyushu Riumachi* **26**: 9-14, 2006 (in Japanese).
25. Tanaka H, Mine T, Tani Y, Chagawa K, Taguchi T. Combination therapy with methotrexate and mizoribine for patients with rheumatoid arthritis. *Orthop Traumatol* **57**: 439-442, 2008 (in Japanese).
26. Takada K, Asada S, Ichikawa Y, et al. Pharmacokinetics of bredinin in renal transplant patients. *Eur J Clin Pharmacol* **24**: 457-461, 1983.
27. Katayama A, Uchida K, Goto N, et al. Evaluation of the blood mizoribine concentration during high-dose mizoribine administration (10 mg/kg/day) in the early period after kidney transplantation (Part 2). *Ther Res* **24**: 986-988, 2003 (in Japanese).
28. Tsubouchi Y, Fukuda W, Kawahito Y, et al. A case of lupus nephritis improved after appropriately adjusting the dosage of mizoribine. *Mod Rheumatol* **18**: 91-95, 2008.
29. Study Group of the Ministry of Health, Labour and Welfare. Diagnostic and therapeutic manual of rheumatoid arthritis. Diagnostic manual and evidence-based treatment guidelines. Tokyo: Japan Rheumatism Foundation 2004 (in Japanese).