Riluzole-induced Lung Injury in Two Patients with Amyotrophic Lateral Sclerosis
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Abstract

Riluzole has recently been proven as the first effective drug for the treatment of amyotrophic lateral sclerosis (ALS). We report two rare cases of lung injury caused by riluzole therapy in patients with ALS. Chest radiographs showed bilateral lower lobe, dorsal-dominant ground glass opacity, and/or consolidation. A drug lymphocyte stimulation test (DLST) of peripheral blood or bronchoalveolar lavage cells was positive for riluzole. Histopathological examination of lung biopsy specimens revealed lung injury without fungoid granuloma, vasculitis, or diffuse alveolar damage. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first report of riluzole-induced lung injury with positive DLST results.
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Introduction

Drug-induced interstitial lung disease can be caused by an increasing number of medications. Riluzole has recently been proven as the first effective drug for the treatment of amyotrophic lateral sclerosis (ALS) (1). Here we report two rare cases of lung injury caused by riluzole therapy in patients with ALS.

Case Reports

Case 1

A 69-year-old woman was diagnosed with ALS 21 months before presentation. Riluzole 50 mg twice daily was started 9 months after the diagnosis. Three weeks after start of treatment, she presented to our hospital with a 2-week history of dyspnea on exertion and general fatigue.

Physical examination revealed no obvious abnormalities, and oxygen saturation on room air was 93%. Chest radiography showed increased ground glass opacity (GGO) in bilateral lower lungs in comparison to the prior 5 months. Chest computed tomography (CT) (Fig. 1a) revealed bilateral lower lobe, dorsal-dominant GGO, and consolidation. Arterial blood gas analysis (room air) indicated pH 7.441, PCO₂ 38.6 Torr, PO₂ 77.7 Torr, HCO₃ 25.9 mEq/L, and BE 2.2 mEq/L. Additional laboratory test results were as follows: white blood cell count 11,200/μL (neutrophils 77.9%, eosinophils 2.5%, lymphocytes 11.9%, monocytes 7.8%, basophils 0.4%); serum C-reactive protein 0.73 mg/dL (normal, <0.3 mg/dL); creatinine 0.77 mg/dL (normal, 0.46-0.82 mg/dL); lactate dehydrogenase 286 IU/L (normal, 200-400 IU/L); Krebs von den Lungen-6 (KL-6) 518 U/mL (normal, <500 U/mL); surfactant proteins (SP)-D; 293 ng/mL (normal, <110 ng/mL); cytoplasmic-anti-neutrophil cytoplasmic antibody <10 EU; perinuclear-anti-neutrophil cytoplasmic antibody <10 EU; anti-nuclear antibody 40× (speckled pattern); anti-Sjögren’s syndrome (SS) A (anti-SSA/Ro) negative (<20 index); and stimulation index (SI) value on a drug
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were as follows: FVC 1.27 L, %FVC 59.0%, FEV1 1.13 L, if the SI was 180% or greater. Pulmonary function data (as the negative control). The DLST was considered positive for mitogenic activity by 3H-thymidine incorporation. The SI is defined as counts per minute with riluzole divided by counts per minute without (as the negative control). The DLST was considered positive if the SI was 180% or greater. Pulmonary function data were as follows: FVC 1.27 L, %FVC 59.0%, FEV1 1.13 L, %FEV1 63.2%, FEV1/FVC 84.9%, DLCO 8.15 mL/min/mmHg, and %DLCO 63.6%.

We suspected drug-induced lung injury and immediately discontinued riluzole, with a plan for follow-up observation in the outpatient clinic. However, after 5 days, both symptoms and radiological findings were unchanged, and the patient was then admitted to our hospital. BAL and transbronchial lung biopsy were then performed. The cell subpopulations containing 1.16×10⁶ leukocytes in the BAL fluid comprised macrophages 66.4%, neutrophils 12.0%, lymphocytes 18.1%, eosinophils 3.3%, and mast cells 0.2%, and the ratio of CD4 and CD8 T cells was 0.33. The DLST on the BAL fluid could not be performed due to an insufficient number of lymphocytes. Histological examination of Elastica-Masson (E.M)-stained biopsy specimens from the right S8 region revealed scattered foci of intraalveolar fibrosis in lower view (×20). (c) The high power view (×100) demonstrated intraalveolar fibrosis adherent to the alveolar septa and thus incorporated into the interstitium. (d) Chest CT scan taken 2 weeks after starting prednisolone treatment. When compared with the images from before prednisolone treatment, these images demonstrate clear improvement in ground-glass opacity and consolidation.

**Case 2**

An 83-year-old woman was diagnosed with ALS 6 months before presentation. Riluzole 50 mg twice daily was started 1 month after the diagnosis. Five weeks after treatment, she presented to us with a 2-week history of a dry cough on exertion.

Physical examination revealed no abnormalities, and oxygen saturation on room air was 98%. Chest CT (Fig. 2a) revealed faint GGO in the right lower lobe. Because we could
Figure 2. Case 2: radiological and histological images. (a) Chest CT image taken 5 weeks after the start of riluzole therapy. The images reveal slight GGO in the right dorsal lower lobe. Two weeks later, a repeat chest CT scan (b) was performed due to a persistent dry cough and shows consolidation and an increase in GGO which affected the dorsal regions of bilateral lower lobes. (c) Histological examination of E.M-stained biopsy specimens from the right S9 region revealed scattered foci of intraalveolar fibrosis in low power view (×25). (d) The high power view (×100) demonstrated intraalveolar fibrosis incorporated into the interstitium, hyperplastic and swollen type II pneumocytes and interstitial lymphocytic infiltration.

diagnose neither a drug-induced lung injury nor community-acquired pneumonia, riluzole was continued and the patient was followed in the outpatient clinic. After 2 weeks, the dry cough persisted, and chest CT (Fig. 2b) revealed increases in both GGO and consolidation in bilateral dorsal lower lobes. Arterial blood gas analysis (nasal O2; 1 L/min) showed pH 7.413, PCO₂ 43.7 Torr, PO₂ 106.0 Torr, HCO₃ - 27.4 mEq/L, and BE 2.9 mEq/L. Additional laboratory results were as follows: white blood cell count 5,700/μl (neutrophils 69.2%, eosinophils 7.7%, lymphocytes 12.4%, monocytes 9.6%, basophils 1.1%); creatinine 0.58 mg/dL; lactate dehydrogenase 258 IU/L; KL-6 723 U/mL; SP-D 66.3 ng/mL; cytoplasmic-anti-neutrophil cytoplasmic antibody <10 EU; perinuclear-anti-neutrophil cytoplasmic antibody <10 EU; anti-nuclear antibody <20×; SS-A negative; and SI value on DLST 90% (control 201 cpm vs. riluzole 181 cpm). Pulmonary function data were as follows; FVC 1.54 L, %FVC 84.6%, FEV₁ 1.23 L, %FEV₁ 90.8%, and FEV₁/FVC 80.0%.

We suspected the patient had a drug-induced lung injury and therefore immediately discontinued riluzole and admitted her to the hospital. One week later, bronchoscopy with BAL and transbronchial lung biopsy were performed. The cell subpopulations containing 7.3×10⁶ leukocytes in the BAL fluid comprised macrophages 53.9%, lymphocytes 46.0%, and eosinophils 0.1%. The ratio of CD4 to CD8 T cells was 0.25. The SI value on DLST of BAL lymphocytes was 485% (control 370 cpm vs. riluzole 1,796 cpm). Histological examination of E.M-stained biopsy specimens from the right S9 region revealed scattered foci of intraalveolar fibrosis in lower view (×25) (Fig. 2c). And high power view (x100) (Fig. 2d) demonstrated intraalveolar fibrosis incorporated into the interstitium, hyperplastic and swollen type II pneumocytes and interstitial lymphocytic infiltration. Prednisolone was started at 20 mg daily. After 2 weeks, her general condition and radiological findings had improved. Two months later, the prednisolone was tapered to 17.5 mg/day. At the time of this report, the patient’s general condition remains stable.

Discussion

Riluzole is the first drug shown to be of benefit in the treatment of ALS (1). To our knowledge, only one report has been published on a possible adverse reaction of hypersensitivity pneumonitis (HP) to riluzole used to treat
ALS (2). The pathogenesis of drug-induced lung injury involves one of two pathways: an allergic reaction or cytotoxic effects. Drug-induced lung injury has been reported in association with various immunological abnormalities and as an allergic reaction to Chinese medicines, interferons, antiarrhythmic agents, antibiotics, and disease modifying anti-rheumatic drugs (3-7). In contrast, anticancer drugs and gold sodium thiomalate directly influence lung tissue through cytotoxic effects (8, 9).

Previously, Cassiman et al. reported a case of HP possibly caused by riluzole and diagnosed by histopathological examination of a thoracoscopic lung biopsy specimen (2). The authors discuss that omeprazole, which the patient had been taking, compromises the effects of riluzole by enhancing its metabolism through induction of cytochrome p450 1A2 (2). Although the HP was thought to be due to an increased concentration of riluzole in the blood after the patient’s omeprazole was switched to lansoprazole, the present two cases were treated without a cytochrome p450 1A2 inducer or inhibitor, which influenced riluzole’s metabolism and blood concentration.

Table 1 shows the similarities between the present two cases. The patients developed symptoms of fatigue and cough or dyspnea on exertion after approximately 2-4 weeks on riluzole, with no history of taking omeprazole. The radiological examinations showed bilateral lower lobe dorsal-dominant GGO and consolidation. In comparison to the clinical features reported by Cassiman et al. (2), the onset of respiratory symptoms in the form of dyspnea and/or cough and the efficacy of steroid treatment were similar. On the other hand, the radiological and the histopathological findings in the present cases were not consistent with the specificity findings in HP. Cassiman et al. concluded that the HP reaction does not depend on dosage, but rather on prior sensitization to the agent. In addition to their report, we found that the drug-induced lung diseases caused by riluzole are also dosage dependent.

DLST is a unique in vitro method for identifying the causative drug of adverse reactions (10, 11). However, DLST results should be evaluated carefully and with consideration given to the patient’s clinical course, as false-negatives or false-positives may be influenced by many factors such as antigen exposure duration to DLST and some concomitant medications (10). In particular, the results of the present study revealed that the DLST sensitivity for riluzole was positive in PBMCs or BAL lymphocytes. Ideally, we would have a negative control in which patients treated with riluzole lacking respiratory symptoms would have their PBMCs and BAL subject to a DLST. However, we were unable to perform this control, especially on BAL (for comparison to Case 2), for ethical reasons. In Case 2, the DLST sensitivity was negative in PBMCs and positive in BAL lymphocytes. The reason for this discrepancy between the BAL lymphocytes and PBMCs is uncertain; however, we hypothesize that the characteristics of the BAL lymphocytes was different from that of the PBMCs. In other words, the BAL lymphocytes contained a high number of lymphocytes that were specifically sensitized by riluzole. Some reports have shown that a DLST with BAL lymphocytes is useful for diagnosing drug-induced interstitial lung diseases (12-14). We comprehensively considered that the sensitivity of DLST depends on the tested drug or examined disease. Taken together, the pathogenesis of lung injury caused by riluzole might involve a cell-mediated type of allergy. Namely, lymphocytes might be specifically activated by riluzole primarily in lung tissue to produce lymphokines that cause tissue inflammation, and subsequent lung injury. However, the exact mechanism of the riluzole-induced lung injury remains uncertain.

In conclusion, we presented two cases of riluzole-induced...

---

**Table 1. Patient Characteristics**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient No.</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Symptoms</th>
<th>Radiological findings</th>
<th>Dose/Duration of riluzole</th>
<th><strong>DLST</strong></th>
<th>Treatment with <strong>steroid</strong></th>
<th>Response to <strong>steroid</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>Dyspnea and general fatigue</td>
<td>Bilateral lower lobe, dorsal-dominant GGO and consolidation.</td>
<td>100 mg daily /3 weeks</td>
<td>Positive (Peripheral blood) /Not done (BAL)</td>
<td>30 mg daily /9 months</td>
<td>Effective</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>Dry cough</td>
<td>Bilateral lower lobe, dorsal-dominant GGO and consolidation</td>
<td>100 mg daily /7 weeks</td>
<td>Negative (Peripheral blood) /Positive (BAL)</td>
<td>20 mg daily /2 months</td>
<td>Effective</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Ground glass opacity, **Drug lymphocyte stimulation test, ***Prednisolone*
pneumonitis in ALS. Both cases were acute, had positive DLSTs and histological findings on biopsy consistent with lung injury. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first report of riluzole-induced lung injury with positive DLST results.
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