The Efficacy of an Oral Elemental Diet in Patients Undergoing Hematopoietic Stem Cell Transplantation

Takanobu Morishita¹, Natsuko Tsushita², Kanae Imai², Toshiyasu Sakai², Kotaro Miyao¹, Reona Sakemura¹, Tomonori Kato², Keiko Niimi², Yoshitaka Ono² and Masashi Sawa²

Abstract

Objective Conditioning regimens for hematopoietic stem cell transplantation (HSCT) are well known to cause severe gastrointestinal toxicities that often disturb the oral intake of the patients followed by poor nutrition and life-threatening infection. An oral elemental diet (ED) is an easily consumed and assimilated form of liquid nutrients mainly composed of amino acids. It alleviates the digestive loading from the intestine and is mainly used for enteral nutritional support in patients with Crohn’s disease. We herein report, for the first time, the efficacy of ED for patients undergoing HSCT.

Methods We evaluated the efficacy of ED in a prospective cohort study. The primary endpoint for this study was the hospitalization period. The secondary endpoint was the occurrence of oral mucositis, nausea, diarrhea and fever.

Patients A total of 73 patients were consecutively enrolled between March 2011 and March 2013. Twenty-three patients underwent autologous HSCT and 50 patients underwent allogeneic HSCT. The first 21 patients did not receive ED (non-ED group; NEG) while in the successive 52 patients (ED group; EG), oral ED was started before conditioning and was continued until 28 days after transplantation.

Results The patient characteristics were similar between the two groups. The mean duration of ED administration for EG was 28.7 days (range, 3-37 days), and the mean total-dose of ED administration was 1904 g (range, 240-2,960 g). The median hospitalization period was significantly shorter in EG compared to NEG, (34 days vs. 50 days; p=0.007). Grade 3-4 oral mucositis occurred less in EG than NEG (25% vs. 48%; p=0.06).

Conclusion Oral ED may promote an early mucosal recovery and thereby shorten the duration of hospitalization.
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Introduction

An elemental diet (ED) is oral liquid formulations of amino acids, providing elemental nitrogen and easily digestible carbohydrates, minerals and vitamins. The amount of fat is minimal and the formulations contain no fiber (Supplementary material 1). ED can be easily administered even in patients with mucosal damage and it has so far been used primarily for patients with inflammatory bowel diseases (IBD) such as Crohn’s Disease and ulcerative colitis. ED for Crohn’s disease has shown efficacy equivalent to that of corticosteroids and it may also reduce pathogenic bacteria and maintain species diversity (1).

High dose alkylating agents such as cyclophosphamide (CY), melphalan (Mel), busulfan (BU), and total body irradiation (TBI) which are commonly used in conditioning regimens for hematopoietic stem cell transplantation (HSCT), and methotrexate (MTX) are well-known causes of severe gastrointestinal toxicities including mucositis associ-
Table 1. Patient Characteristics.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>EG</th>
<th>NEG</th>
<th>p value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Patient, no. (%)</td>
<td>52 (71)</td>
<td>21 (29)</td>
<td>0.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median age, y (range)</td>
<td>47 (17–67)</td>
<td>48 (26–72)</td>
<td>0.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex, no. (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>26 (50)</td>
<td>15 (71)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>26 (40)</td>
<td>6 (29)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disease, no. (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AML</td>
<td>14 (27)</td>
<td>9 (42)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALL</td>
<td>11 (21)</td>
<td>2 (10)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ML</td>
<td>16 (31)</td>
<td>8 (38)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MM</td>
<td>7 (13)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATL</td>
<td>1 (2)</td>
<td>1 (5)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CML</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1 (5)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AA</td>
<td>3 (6)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disease status at HSCT, no. (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CR</td>
<td>35 (67)</td>
<td>17 (81)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PR</td>
<td>7 (14)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SD/PD</td>
<td>10 (19)</td>
<td>4 (19)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type of HSCT, no. (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Autologous-HSCT</td>
<td>17 (33)</td>
<td>6 (28)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Allogeneic-HSCT</td>
<td>35 (67)</td>
<td>15 (72)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time to engraftment, median days (range)</td>
<td>14 (9–29)</td>
<td>15 (9–29)</td>
<td>0.56</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


ated with oral pain (2). Mucositis and pain reduce the patients’ oral intake and lead to inadequate nutrition and life-threatening infections. Inadequate nutrition requiring parenteral nutrition (PN) prolongs hospitalization and life-threatening infections may result from bacterial translocation through damaged mucosal membranes. Poor oral intake early after HSCT may also be associated with an increased risk of developing severe acute graft vs. host disease (GVHD) (3, 4). Severe weight loss of more than 10% of normal body weight caused by nutritional problems has previously been reported to be a factor for an increased risk of non-relapse mortality and avoiding malnutrition is therefore mandatory (5, 6). Indeed, nutrition support by enteral tube feeding after allogeneic HSCT is effective for achieving better outcomes, a prolonged overall survival, good neutrophil/platelet engraftment, and for avoiding acute GVHD development (7, 8).

Proujansky et al. reported that the intestinal mucosa in bone marrow transplant recipients and IBD patients shared pathogenic features, such as major histocompatibility complex expression on intestinal epithelial cells and the local production of cytokines (9). Furthermore, ED is known to have a beneficial effect on the stability of intestinal microbiota. These facts have all been reported to positively contribute to the clinical outcome in allogeneic HSCT (1, 10). To the best of our knowledge, there are no previous reports on the efficacy of oral ED in patients with HSCT. In this study, the patients were prospectively assigned to ED group (EG) and non-ED group (NEG), based on the time period when HSCT was performed. We examined ED by analyzing the differences in hospitalization duration and the short-term transplant outcome between the two groups.

Materials and Methods

Patients

We enrolled 73 consecutive patients who underwent HSCT between March 2011 and March 2013 at Anjo Kosei Hospital, Aichi, Japan. We followed up the patients’ status until December 2014. All 52 HSCT patients who were enrolled from November 2011 onward received ED as standard care.

Study design

This study was designed as a prospective cohort study. Among a total of 73 patients enrolled, the first 21 patients did not receive ED and were assigned to NEG. Starting from November 2011, 52 consecutive patients who received ED were assigned to EG. We used Elental (Ajinomoto, Tokyo, Japan) as the ED in our study. ED was administered from day -8 to day -4, before conditioning regimens, and then it was continued until day +28 after HSCT. For patients who were discharged from the hospital before day +28, ED...
administration was continued on an outpatient basis. The targeted daily dose of ED was 80 g/body/day (1,255 kJ=300 kcal). Total-dose is from 2,560 g to 2,960 g, which varies with the length of the pre-conditioning regimens. The dose presumed suitable for both ingestible adherence and supplemental support (1). ED administration was ceased when the patients were unable to take ED orally. We added PN and/or food intake to adjust the total daily caloric intake to 126 kJ/kg/day in both EG and NEG patients, according to the guidelines for the use of parenteral and enteral nutrition (11). For allogeneic HSCT patients, PN was started on day -1, when almost all patients were unable to consume food. For autologous HSCT patients, PN was started when the patients were unable to reach an oral caloric intake of 126 kJ/kg/day. Additional PN was continued until the patients’ oral caloric intake reached 126 kJ/kg/day for both allogeneic and autologous patients. The patients were discharged from hospital when the patients required no PN support or no in-hospital care for transplant-related complications (Fig. 1). This study was approved by the Anjo Kosei Hospital review board. Informed consent was obtained from each patient in written form before enrolling in the study.

Transplantation procedure

Patients undergoing autologous HSCT received LEED (Mel, etoposide, CY, dexamethasone) for malignant lymphoma (12) or high-dose Mel for multiple myeloma as a conditioning regimen (13). Granulocyte-colony stimulating factor (G-CSF) injection was started from the time when the patients’ neutrophil counts were under 500/μL and it was continued until the counts reached a level of over 500/μL. For all patients, administration of chemotherapy was continued until the counts reached a level of over 500/μL. Parenteral nutrition (PN) was added to maintain a total caloric intake of 126 kJ/kg/day, when food intake and ED administration became insufficient in both the elemental diet group (EG) and non-elemental diet group (NEG). Discharge from hospital was considered as soon as PN support became unnecessary. EG: elemental diet group, NEG: non-elemental diet group, PN: parenteral nutrition, ED: elemental diet

![Figure 1. Study design. The study flow chart is shown. The elemental diet (ED) was started from day-8 to day-4 before transplantation, and it was continued until day+28 after transplantation unless a patient was unable to take ED. Parenteral nutrition (PN) was added to maintain a total caloric intake of 126 kJ/kg/day, when food intake and ED administration became insufficient in both the elemental diet group (EG) and non-elemental diet group (NEG). Discharge from hospital was considered as soon as PN support became unnecessary. EG: elemental diet group, NEG: non-elemental diet group, PN: parenteral nutrition, ED: elemental diet](image-url)

GVHD prophylaxis

Prophylaxis consisted of a combination of tacrolimus (TAC) or cyclosporine (CsA) and a short course of MTX. MTX was administered on day +1, +3, +6 at a dose of 15, 10, and 10 mg/m², respectively for patients receiving allogeneic HSCT from a matched unrelated donor (MUD) and for patients receiving cord blood transplantation (CBT), and at a dose of 10, 7, and 7 mg/m², respectively for patients receiving allogeneic HSCT from a matched related donor (MRD) (26). CsA was administered to patients receiving allogeneic HSCT from an MRD; TAC was administered to patients receiving allogeneic HSCT from an MUD and CBT. Leucovorin was added only in cases of CBT to reduce the mucosal damage induced by MTX.

Histocompatibility leukocyte antigen (HLA) typing

We performed high resolution typing for HLA-A, -B, -C, and -DR in allogeneic HSCT patients and for HLA-A, -B, and -DR in the MRD and MUD for patients receiving CBT. HLA mismatching was acceptable with at least 7/8 matched
for allogeneic HSCT patients, and at least 4/6 matched for CBT patients.

**Study end-points**

The primary endpoint for this study was the hospitalization period. The duration of hospitalization was defined as the days from transplant to the date of discharge. The secondary endpoint was the occurrence of oral mucositis, nausea, diarrhea and fever. In addition, the cumulative incidence of discharge from hospital at day 50, acute GVHD, and the day 100 non-relapse mortality (NRM) was also analyzed. We evaluated the occurrence and the duration of oral mucositis, nausea, diarrhea, and fever. Regimen-related toxicities were graded according to the criteria proposed by the National Cancer Institute—Common Terminology for Adverse Events v4.0 (CTCAE v4.0). Acute GVHD was diagnosed both clinically and pathologically, and its severity was graded by consensus criteria (27). To minimize any patient-to-patient variation, the patients were thoroughly informed of the condition of discharge before enrollment, and the physician’s decision regarding the discharge date was monitored during the study period.

**Statistical Analysis**

We used Pearson’s chi-square test or Fisher’s exact test for the nominal scales. Student’s t-test was used to analyze the parametric continuous scales, and the Mann-Whitney U test was used to compare the non-parametric continuous scales. The cumulative incidence of discharge from hospital was determined by Gray’s analysis with death before discharge as a competing risk. The cumulative incidence of acute GVHD and NRM was calculated with relapse and death without GVHD, and relapse as a competing risk, respectively. The analyses were performed on an intention-to-treat basis and a p value <0.05 was considered to be significant. All analyses were performed using the SPSS software program ver. 22 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA).

**Results**

**Patient characteristics**

Twenty-three patients underwent autologous HSCT, and 50 patients underwent allogeneic HSCT. The median age at HSCT was 47 years (range, 17-72 years), with 41 males and 32 females included in the analysis. The patients had acute myeloid leukemia (n=23), acute lymphoblastic leukemia (n=13), malignant lymphoma (n=24), multiple myeloma (n=7), adult T-cell leukemia/lymphoma (n=2), chronic myeloid leukemia (n=1), and aplastic anemia (n=3). Fifty-two patients were in complete remission, 7 patients were in partial remission, and 14 patients had stable disease/disease progression. All of the patients had an Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group performance status of 0-2, and were eligible for autologous HSCT and allogeneic HSCT. The patients were deemed ineligible if they had an Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group performance status of 3-4, and uncontrolled bacterial infection, fungal infection, cytomegalovirus, hepatitis, or HIV infection.

The baseline demographics of the study participants were comparative between EG and NEG (Table 1). There were no patients with aplastic anemia (AA) and multiple myeloma (MM) in EG. The pre-HSCT disease statuses between EG and NEG were as follows: complete remission (CR) (67% vs. 81%), partial response (PR) (14% vs. 0%) and stable disease/progressive disease (SD/ PD) (19% vs. 19%). The median days to engraftment were 14 days (range, 9-29 days) among EG and 15 days (range, 9-29 days) among NEG. The conditioning regimen, TBI, donor source, HLA matching, and GVHD prophylaxis were comparative for the two groups (Table 2) and further information about condition regimens of allogeneic HSCT are shown in Supplementary material 2. In EG, 26% of patients received 12 Gy-TBI regimens in comparison to 47% in NEG (p=0.17). The differences in the donor source among EG and NEG were as follows: unrelated bone marrow (26% vs. 47%), related peripheral blood stem cell (40% vs.13%) and unrelated cord blood (34% vs. 40%). The differences were not statistically different.

**ED administration**

The mean duration of ED administration for EG was 28.7 days (range, 3-37 days). The mean dose-total and the mean dose-per-day of ED administration was 1,904 g (range, 240-2,960 g) and 65 g/day (range, 14.1-80 g/day), respectively. The mean duration of additional oral food intake was 22.7 days (range, 2-37 days) for EG and 21 days (range, 3-35 days) for NEG (p=0.9).

**Hospitalization duration**

The median duration of hospitalization after transplantation was 40 days (range, 14-116 days). When stratified by ED administration, the median duration was significantly shorter in EG compared with NEG (34 days vs. 50 days; p=0.007) (Fig. 2A). The cumulative incidence of discharge from hospital at day 50 was 64% in EG and 43% in NEG. The difference was not significant (p=0.07) (Fig. 3A).

When the analysis was performed for patients who received allogeneic HSCT, the median hospitalization duration was also significantly shorter in EG compared to NEG (41.5 days vs. 61 days; p=0.0002) (Fig. 2B). The cumulative incidence of discharge from hospital at day 50 was 51% in EG and 33% in NEG (p=0.11) (Fig. 3B).

**Transplant outcome**

Grade 3-4 oral mucositis occurred in 13 (25%) EG patients and 10 (48%) NEG patients (p=0.06). The mean duration of mucositis in EG and NEG patients was 2.8 days and 5.6 days (p=0.07), respectively. Grade 2-4 fever occurred in 43 (83%) EG patients and 14 (67%) NEG patients (p=0.13), and the mean durations of the fever were 3.9 days and 5.0 days, respectively (p=0.95). Documented infections were ob-
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Table 2. Allogeneic Hematopoietic Stem Cell Transplantation Characteristics.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Conditioning regimen, no. (%)</th>
<th>EG</th>
<th>NEG</th>
<th>p value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MAC</td>
<td>15 (43)</td>
<td>9 (60)</td>
<td>0.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RIC</td>
<td>20 (57)</td>
<td>6 (40)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TBI conditions, no. (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12 Gy</td>
<td>10 (29)</td>
<td>7 (47)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 Gy</td>
<td>8 (23)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>non-TBI</td>
<td>17 (49)</td>
<td>8 (53)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Donor source, no. (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unrelated bone marrow</td>
<td>9 (26)</td>
<td>7 (47)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Related PBSC</td>
<td>14 (40)</td>
<td>2 (13)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unrelated cord blood</td>
<td>12 (34)</td>
<td>6 (40)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HLA matching, no. (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Full match</td>
<td>23 (66)</td>
<td>9 (60)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5/6 cord blood mismatch</td>
<td>1 (3)</td>
<td>2 (13)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4/6 cord blood mismatch</td>
<td>11 (31)</td>
<td>4 (27)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GVHD prophylaxis, no. (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sMTX+TAC</td>
<td>9 (26)</td>
<td>8 (53)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sMTX+CsA</td>
<td>14 (40)</td>
<td>2 (13)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sMTX+TAC+LV</td>
<td>12 (34)</td>
<td>5 (34)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


Table 3. Temporospatial Distribution of Clinical Events in EG and NEG Groups.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Clinical Event</th>
<th>EG</th>
<th>NEG</th>
<th>p value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| served in 4 (7.7%) EG patients and 1 (4.8%) NEG patients (p=0.67). The occurrence of sever organ failure between EG and NEG were 7 (13.4%) vs. 5(23.8%) as detailed (p=0.29); acute respiratory distress syndrome (3 (5.8%) vs. 3 (14.3%)), sinusoidal obstructive syndrome (2 (3.8%) vs. 0 (0%)), hemorrhagic cystitis (0 (0%) vs. 2 (9.5%)) and engraftment failure (2 (3.8%) vs. 0 (0%)). There were no significant differences in the use of opioid agents, grade 3-4 diarrhea, or grade 3-4 nausea, for both frequency and duration (Table 3). The cumulative incidence of grade II-IV acute GVHD at day 100 was 15% for EG patients and 30% for NEG patients, but the difference was not statistically significant (p=0.26). The cumulative incidence of day 100 non-relapse mortality was 9.6% for EG patients and 14.3% for NEG patients (p=0.9).

Figure 2. Hospitalization duration. The durations of hospitalization for the elemental diet group (EG) and non-elemental diet group (NEG) are shown in a box plot. No differences between EG and NEG are demonstrated in (A); all patients and in (B): patients with allogeneic HSCT. A bold horizontal line in a box plot indicates the median days of hospitalization. The duration of hospitalization periods were significantly short in EG. EG: elemental diet group, NEG: non-elemental diet group. *: p<0.05; **: p<0.001.
Discussion

Our study demonstrated a significant reduction in the hospitalization period in patients who received oral ED compared to those who did not. Many factors are involved regarding the hospitalization period for HSCT patients. Early transplant-related complications including regimen-related toxicities and acute GVHD were major obstacles that prevent early hospital discharge (28-30). Although the incidence of those complications were not significantly different between EG patients and NEG patients, a trend was observed, namely that the patients who received ED had less grade 3-4 oral mucositis. On the other hand, the incidence of patients with diarrhea was higher in EG. It was considered to be an adverse event of ED intake, not due to any mucosal damage, but due to its osmotic balance. The mean duration time of diarrhea was not long enough to lead to ED discontinuation. The ED intake might be associated with early intestinal mucosa recovery, which helped to accelerate oral food intake after transplantation and thus allow for an earlier hospital discharge. ED might therefore play an important role in intestinal epithelial barrier support.

The pathogenesis of epithelial barrier dysfunction is a
lack of intestinal epithelial integrity and tissue viability leading to an increased epithelial permeability, subsequently inducing bacterial translocation. Intestinal microbiota and intestinal immune systems are major responsible factors for epithelial barrier dysfunction. As a supportive nutrient among patients after HSCT, usual diets or oligopeptides or amino acids (major contents of ED) are considered to be important. The mechanisms associated with ED remain unclear, but potential benefits have been observed. First of all, unlike usual diets, ED is a low fat diet and it might be less of a burden for the intestinal mucosa. Secondly, ED might increase and stabilize the intestinal bacterial micro biota as reported in patients with Crohn’s disease (1). It would be helpful to investigate the change in the intestinal bacterial flora in HSCT patients. Finally, unlike oligopeptides, amino acids are the final digested form of protein with a loss of antigenicity and thus are less inflammatory for the intestinal mucosa (31, 32). Recently, amino acids have been reported to contribute to the regulation of the intestinal immune system in IBD (31-34). One major amino acid, glycine is a nonessential amino acid with many functions. It plays a protective role in renal injuries induced by CsA and liver injuries induced by endotoxin, and it also has an immunosuppressive nature by inhibiting tumor necrosis factor (TNF)-α (34). A previous paper reported that the intestinal mucosa in the bone marrow transplant patients and IBD patients had common features regarding the main pathogenic events, such as major histocompatibility complex expression in intestinal epithelial cells and the local production of cytokines (9).

Palifermin, a recombinant human keratinocyte growth factor, has effectively reduced the duration and severity of oral mucositis after intensive chemotherapy and radiation therapy followed by both autologous and allogeneic HSCT for hematological malignancies (35-39). Although, the administration of palifermin has so far failed to show any reduction of acute GVHD, an advantage was observed in days of PN and

The present study is associated with several limitations. This study was performed in a single institution and included both autologous and allogeneic patients. In addition, the small patient number reduced the statistical power and the non-randomized study design hampered the interpretation of the results. AA and MM patients, who are generally expected to demonstrate less gastrointestinal toxicities were only included in EG. The number of patients who received 12 Gy-TBI and the patients transplanted from an unrelated donor source, who are generally expected to have a higher risk of mucosal damage was relatively higher in NEG. On the other hand, the number of patients with a worse disease status were rather high in EG. The incidence of other HSCT-associated complications, such as documented infections or severe organ failure, were not significantly different between two groups, but the incidence of severe organ failure was rather high in NEG. Nevertheless, the prospective nature of this study and the consecutive enrollment with a short period is considered to have allowed us to gain new insight into a novel field in HSCT. Even though the discharge date was determined on a protocol basis, an intentional shortening or extension of hospitalization was not completely eliminated.

In summary, this preliminary study indicated that administering oral ED may shorten the hospitalization duration by reducing the occurrence of intestinal mucositis and it is therefore expected to be a beneficial modality for patients undergoing HSCT. Large prospective randomized placebo-controlled trials are called for in the future to confirm our findings.
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