Thrombosis in an Internal Jugular Vein and an Upper Limb Deep Vein Treated with Edoxaban
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Abstract

A 45-year-old man complained of swelling of the left side of his neck and left upper limb. Ultrasonography and enhanced computed tomography (CT) revealed thrombosis of the left internal jugular, subclavian, and brachiocephalic vein. Based on various examinations, the patient was diagnosed with idiopathic venous thrombosis early in his clinical course. There were no findings to suggest malignancy or abnormal coagulability. However, two months after the start of treatment, the patient was diagnosed with gastric cancer. Despite the presence of Trousseau syndrome, treatment with edoxaban (an oral anticoagulant), reduced the swelling dramatically without any bleeding complications.
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Case Report

A 45-year-old man presented to our department with a 7-day history of swelling of the left side of his neck and left upper limb. Ultrasonography and enhanced computed tomography (CT) revealed thrombosis of the left internal jugular vein, left subclavian vein, and left brachiocephalic vein (Fig. 1A and B, 2). The results of chest radiography and electrocardiography were normal. Laboratory examinations found an elevated white blood cell count (11,000/μL), and C reactive protein (2.65 mg/dL), D-dimer (11.62 μg/mL), fibrin degradation product (13.3 μg/mL), and fibrinogen (>700 mg/dL) levels. The levels of protein S, protein C, and antithrombin III were normal, and a test for antiphospholipid antibody syndrome was negative. The other findings on ultrasonography and enhanced CT were unremarkable; however, a ventilation-perfusion scan revealed a pulmonary thromboembolism. The patient’s tumor marker levels, including carcinoembryonic antigen (1.9 ng/mL), carbohydrate antigen 19-9 (32.9 U/mL), alpha fetoprotein (4.2 ng/mL), and prostate specific antigen (0.662 ng/mL) were within normal limits, and there was no sign of melena. The patient was diagnosed with idiopathic venous thrombosis. His vital signs were stable, and he underwent treatment with unfractionated heparin and edoxaban (an oral anticoagulant). Treatment with unfractionated heparin (10,000 units/day, continuous drip infusion) for 7 days did not affect the patient’s swelling; however, his D-dimer level was reduced to 7.01 μg/mL. The activated partial thromboplastin time during the heparin treatment had been between 46 seconds and 57 seconds, which was the therapeutic range. In addition, edoxaban (60 mg/day, orally) was administered at the same time that heparin was stopped. After 7 days of edoxaban treatment, the swellings of the patient’s left neck and left upper limb were smaller, and his D-dimer had decreased to 3.87 μg/mL. One month later, ultrasonography showed smaller thrombi and increased blood flow through the left subclavian and brachiocephalic veins (Fig. 1C). For 1 month during these treatments, the patient’s condition (including his symptoms, vital signs and appetite) improved and there were no bleeding complications. At two months after the start of therapy, chest radiography revealed the rapid onset of bilateral pleural effusion. A cytological examination of the pleural effusion revealed adenocarcinoma, and endoscopy identified gastric cancer. The histopathological examination of a biopsy specimen found poorly differentiated adenocarcinoma and signet ring cell carcinoma of the stomach. The present
were associated with malignancy. Our patient had jugular vein thrombosis and left UEDVT, which occurred due to activity-related venous trauma. Secondary UEDVT (80% of all UEDVT) is almost always due to central venous catheters and malignancy (1). Malignancy-related thromboembolism is also known as Trousseau syndrome (2). Our patient had jugular vein thrombosis and left UEDVT, which were associated with malignancy. The patient’s venous thrombosis, which was ultimately found to be associated with gastric cancer, was effectively treated using edoxaban. Ultrasonography clearly demonstrated decreases in the size of the thrombi and increased blood flow through the veins. Ultrasonography is non-invasive, and does not require nephrotoxic contrast or ionizing radiation. Furthermore, because the diagnostic accuracy of ultrasonography is good (sensitivity 78-100%, specificity 80-89%), in the presence of malignancy, it is important to use ultrasonography in the diagnosis of UEDVT. Ultrasonography is non-invasive, and does not require nephrotoxic contrast or ionizing radiation. Furthermore, because the diagnostic accuracy of ultrasonography is good (sensitivity 78-100%, specificity 80-89%), in the presence of malignancy, it is important to use ultrasonography in the diagnosis of UEDVT.

Discussion

The prevalence of upper-extremity deep vein thrombosis (UEDVT), which includes thrombosis of the axillary, subclavian, or brachiocephalic veins, ranges from 1-4% of all cases of DVT. Primary UEDVT (20% of all UEDVT) occurs due to activity-related venous trauma. Secondary UEDVT (80% of all UEDVT) is almost always due to central venous catheters and malignancy (1). Malignancy-related thromboembolism is also known as Trousseau syndrome (2). Our patient had jugular vein thrombosis and left UEDVT, which were associated with malignancy.

Figure 1. Ultrasonography images (color flow Doppler imaging). A: A short-axis view of the left side of the neck. On the first ultrasound examination, a hyperechoic region of the thrombus in the left jugular vein thrombus coexisted with a hypoechoic region, which occluded the left jugular vein (arrow). B: The left subclavian approach. On the first ultrasound, a thrombus was present in the left subclavian vein (triangle) and another thrombus was present in the left brachiocephalic vein (arrow). C: The left subclavian approach. After 1 month of edoxaban treatment, the thrombi in the left subclavian vein (triangle) and left brachiocephalic vein (arrow) were smaller, and blood flow through these veins was increased.

Figure 2. Contrast-enhanced computed tomography showing thrombi in the left internal jugular vein, left subclavian vein, and left brachiocephalic vein (arrows).
82-100%), it is suitable for the diagnosis and follow up of UEDVT (1).

The administration of standard anticoagulation therapy to patients with DVT traditionally includes an initial period of treatment in which either unfractionated heparin or low-molecular-weight heparin are administered for 5-7 days, followed by warfarin for at least 3 months (1). In our case, edoxaban was effective for reducing the malignancy-related venous thrombi. The Hokusai-venous thromboembolism (VTE) study, which included patients with cancer, demonstrated that edoxaban was non-inferior to high-quality standard therapy and was associated with significantly less bleeding in a broad spectrum of patients (10). The Hokusai-VTE-cancer study is now being conducted to evaluate whether edoxaban is non-inferior to low-molecular-weight heparin for the treatment of VTE in patients with cancer (11). Thus, the anticoagulation therapy for patients with malignancy-related venous thrombosis may change.

It is difficult to maintain a therapeutic international normalized ratio (INR) in patients with cancer. These patients are often at risk of drug interactions, and warfarin bioavailability is unpredictable in cancer patients, who may have vomiting, malnutrition, or diarrhea. The clinical decisions regarding the optimum mode of antithrombotic therapy for cancer patients with DVT will be impacted by the risk of bleeding, the perioperative period, and the mode of administration (namely, by oral, sub-cutaneous injection or intravenous injection). Thus, it is essential to develop an individualized approach to anticoagulation therapy for the patients with malignancy-related DVT.

Edoxaban therapy may be non-inferior to high-quality warfarin therapy and may cause significantly less bleeding. In addition, it is not necessary to measure or control the INR with edoxaban. Furthermore, it is not necessary to administer edoxaban and heparin simultaneously, because edoxaban can rapidly exert its pharmacological action after oral intake. In the present case, although there was no period in which both edoxaban and heparin were administered, the swelling of the left side of the patient’s neck and left upper limb improved after 7 days of edoxaban treatment with no bleeding complications, despite the presence of gastric cancer.
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