Diagnostic Challenge in Pancreatic Sarcoidosis Using Endoscopic Ultrasonography
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Abstract:
We herein report a 55-year-old woman who presented with erythema and bilateral hilar lymphadenopathy 4 months prior to the detection of pancreatic lesions on an ultrasound. A skin biopsy showed evidence of sarcoidosis. The largest lesion in the tail of the pancreas was hypoechoic on endoscopic ultrasonography (EUS). The lesion was initially iso-enhanced on contrast enhanced-EUS (CE-EUS) but subsequently became hypoenhanced. The lesion revealed heterogeneous components of both soft and hard tissue on EUS elastography. She was ultimately diagnosed with pancreatic sarcoidosis based on the presence of noncaseating granulomas seen on pancreatic tissue retrieved through an EUS-guided fine needle aspiration biopsy.
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Introduction
Sarcoidosis is a multiorgan disease characterized by the presence of noncaseating granulomas that mainly affects the lymph nodes, lung, heart, liver, spleen, eyes and kidney (1, 2). The pancreas is rarely affected, with a frequency of pancreatic sarcoidosis of 1.8% in Japan according to an autopsy study (1). Therefore, the radiological appearance of pancreatic sarcoidosis has not been well described. Indeed, only a few reports have described the imaging characteristics of pancreatic sarcoidosis on computed tomography (CT) or magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) (3-5), and only one report has described the findings on endoscopic ultrasonography (EUS) (6).

We herein report a case of biopsy-proven pancreatic sarcoidosis in which the findings on EUS, contrast enhanced-EUS (CE-EUS) and EUS elastography are described.

Case Report
A 55-year old woman presented for the evaluation of a pancreatic lesion detected on ultrasonography (US) during the evaluation of diabetes and dyslipidemia. Four months prior to the detection of the pancreatic lesion, she was diagnosed with sarcoidosis on the basis of bilateral hilar lymphadenopathy, cutaneous erythema and a skin biopsy showing noncaseating granulomas. The cutaneous erythema resolved prior to the admission. Specific symptoms such as abdominal pain were absent. She was not anemic or jaundiced. There was no palpable abdominal mass, nor did she have any history of alcohol or tobacco use.

Laboratory tests showed elevated levels of γ-globulin (1.54 g/dL), lysozyme (17.2 μg/mL) and angiotensin-converting enzyme (ACE; 37.0 IU/L). Levels of carcinoembryonic antigen (CEA; 2.5 ng/mL), carbohydrate antigen 19-9 (CA19-9; 6.0 IU/mL), DUPAN-2 (≤ 25 IU/mL) and s-pancreas-1 antigen (Span-1; 5.4 IU/mL) were normal, as
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were serum amylase (37 IU/L) and lipase (48 IU/L). A tuberculin skin test was negative.

The pancreatic lesion was not visualized on repeat US and was not detected on plain CT (Fig. 1). Contrast-enhanced CT was not performed because of contrast media allergy. MRI revealed the presence of pancreatic lesions in the pancreatic head and tail. These pancreatic tumors showed slightly low intensity on T1-weighted images and slightly high intensity on T2-weighted images compared to the pancreatic parenchyma and liver (Fig. 2). In addition, positron emission tomography-CT (PET-CT) revealed several accumulated lesions in the head of the pancreas (1.0-cm in size) and in the tail of pancreas (4.0-cm in size) [head: standard uptake value (SUV)max=7.2, tail: SUVmax=13.7] (Fig. 3). The main pancreatic duct (MPD) was not dilated throughout the pancreas. We performed enhanced MRI; no steroids were prescribed. The pancreatic lesions were consistent with pancreatic sarcoidosis. The patient was followed for three years after discharge using MRI; no steroids were prescribed. The pancreatic lesions became invisible on both T1- and T2-weighted images, and the pancreas itself returned to normal size.

Discussion

In sarcoidosis, a multi-organ disease characterized by the presence of noncaseating granulomas, the involvement of the pancreas is rare. In an autopsy study the prevalence of pancreatic sarcoidosis was 2.1% among patients with known sarcoidosis and 1.3% among those found to have sarcoidosis post-mortem (1). Until now, there has been no report of the appearances of pancreatic sarcoidosis on CE-EUS and EUS elastography.

Previous reports have indicated that pancreatic sarcoidosis detected on contrast-enhanced CT shows lower density than pancreatic parenchyma (3). In patients without a history of pancreatitis, plain CT shows diffuse pancreatic calcifications (7). The appearances on non-contrast-enhanced MRI are of slightly increased signal intensity on T2-weighted images and slightly decreased signal intensity on T1-weighted images, and lesions are hypointense compared to the pancreatic parenchyma during the arterial phase, becoming isointense during the portal phase or delayed phase post-gadolinium (4). In our case, although plain CT could not detect pancreatic lesions, they were consistent with pancreatic sarcoidosis on MRI. Why CT could not detect the tumor in our case is unclear. Nonetheless, the diagnosis should preferably be confirmed by a biopsy, since laboratory tests, radiographic features and nuclear imaging are not always helpful in the diagnosis of pancreatic sarcoidosis.

The pancreatic lesions in our patient were mainly hypoechogenic on EUS, which is similar to the appearance of pancreatic cancer. Findings on CE-EUS were also similar to pancreatic cancer (8, 9). There have been no reports to date describing the findings of pancreatic sarcoidosis on CE-EUS. EUS imaging of pancreatic sarcoidosis may distinguish this entity from mild pancreatic inflammation (6). Pancreatic sarcoidosis may resemble chronic pancreatitis in the presence of fibrotic tissue. Pancreatic blood flow also decreases as pancreatic fibrosis progresses (10).

The findings on EUS elastography were also similar to...
We performed EUS-FNA to confirm the diagnosis and rule out pancreatic cancer to determine the treatment strategy. The size of the retrieved specimen is crucial and may be problematic when it is too small to show characteristic findings for the disease, i.e., noncaseating granulomas for sarcoidosis. Although challenging, noncaseating granulomas need to be distinguished from immune reaction caused by Hodgkin’s disease, non-Hodgkin’s lymphoma and carcinoma, including pancreatic cancer (12-14). In this regard, other clinical features that support the diagnosis are helpful, i.e., a history of sarcoidosis of the skin or absence of infectious disease. Autoimmune pancreatitis and chronic pancreatitis are other differential diagnoses for focal swelling of the pancreas. However, there have been no reports showing an association between noncaseating granulomas and autoimmune pancreatitis or chronic pancreatitis.

In summary, this is the first report of the appearances of pancreatic sarcoidosis on EUS, CE-EUS and EUS elastography. These points differ from previous reports on pancreatic sarcoidosis. In addition, EUS-FNA plays a cardinal role in the diagnosis, although the definitive diagnosis of pancreatic sarcoidosis remains a challenge when extra-pancreatic manifestations are not present.
Figure 4. Conventional endoscopic ultrasonography (EUS) demonstrated several 1-cm lesions in the pancreatic head (a) and a 4-cm lesion in the pancreatic tail (b). The lesions were mosaic echoic but mainly hypoechoic, and the border between the tumor and parenchyma of the pancreas was clearly distinguishable.

Figure 5. Conventional endoscopic ultrasonography (EUS) visualized a hypoechoic lesion at the pancreatic tail; B mode (a) and contrast harmonic mode before the infusion of the ultrasound contrast agent (b). After the infusion of the ultrasound contrast agent, EUS visualized iso-enhancement relative to the surrounding pancreatic parenchyma (c: 5 s.) followed by hypoenhancement compared to the surrounding pancreatic parenchyma (d: 30 s.).

Figure 6. Endoscopic ultrasonography (EUS) visualized at the pancreatic tail. Heterogeneous coloration of soft and hard tissue is shown.
Figure 7. (a, b) Hematoxylin and Eosin staining of the pancreatic tumor in the pancreatic tail retrieved by endoscopic ultrasonography-guided fine needle aspiration (EUS-FNA) (a: ×100, b: ×200). Noncaseating granulomas and collagen fiber surrounding noncaseating granulomas are shown. (c) The noncaseating granulomas were positive for CD68 on immunostaining.
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