Computed Tomography Image of Extrahepatic Portal Vein Obstruction
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A 17-year-old man was referred with a history of abdominal pain of several years duration. Physical examination was normal with normal hepatic-biliary enzyme levels and slight liver dysfunction with aspartate aminotransferase/alanine aminotransferase of 33/57 IU/L. During contrast-enhanced abdominal computed tomography (CT), the trunk of the portal vein could not be identified (Picture 1, arrow). Based on the findings of angiography and CT during arterial portography (CTAP), extrahepatic portal vein obstruction was diagnosed. The blood flow from the splenic vein flowed backwards into the superior mesenteric vein (SMV); subsequently, the SMV blood flowed into the gastrocolic trunk and then into the intrahepatic portal vein (Picture 2). According to the 3D CT with CTAP data, the trunk of the por-
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Splenic vein could not be identified and the expanded gastrocolic trunk was stuck in the intrahepatic portal vein (Picture 3). For making a diagnosis of hepatic portal vein obstruction, dynamic CT and magnetic resonance portography are both considered to be highly useful (1). When a more detailed assessment of the finer vascular structures or the blood flow direction is required, then angiographic and CTAP examinations are considered to provide highly useful information.
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