CASE REPORT

A Pitfall of Treatment with Tosufloxacin for Pneumonia That Might Be Lung Tuberculosis
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Abstract:
Tosufloxacin, which is not used to treat Mycobacterium tuberculosis, is a fluoroquinolone recommended for pneumonia when the possibility of tuberculosis infection cannot be excluded. In the present case, symptoms and chest infiltrative shadow initially improved by tosufloxacin. Therefore, we regarded this patient as having general pneumonia and did not perform follow-up chest X-ray until the infiltrates had completely disappeared. However, a few weeks later, the symptoms and the infiltrates had worsened, so M. tuberculosis was isolated from the patient’s sputum. This case suggests that patients suspected of having pulmonary tuberculosis should be monitored carefully, even if antibiotics without antituberculous activity are initially effective.
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Introduction
Fluoroquinolones have been increasingly used as broad-spectrum antibiotics for the treatment of pneumonia. Most fluoroquinolones have anti-tuberculosis activity, and the use of these antibiotics before a diagnosis of tuberculosis has been excluded increases the mortality in patients with tuberculosis due to a delay in the detection of Mycobacterium tuberculosis (1, 2). Tosufloxacin (TFLX) has no antibacterial activity against M. tuberculosis in vitro and is therefore recommended as a treatment for community-acquired pneumonia when the possibility of pulmonary tuberculosis infection cannot be excluded (3, 4).

We herein report the case of a patient treated with TFLX for community-acquired pneumonia because the possibility of M. tuberculosis co-infection could not be excluded, although the diagnosis of tuberculosis was still delayed. The present case highlights a pitfall associated with using TFLX to treat pneumonia that might actually be lung tuberculosis.

Case Report
An 85-year-old man without a history of tuberculosis visited our clinic with a 3-day history of a fever and anorexia. He suffered from Alzheimer’s disease and lived in a long-term care facility. A physical examination revealed a body temperature of 36.6°C, a percutaneous oxygen saturation (SpO₂) of 95% (room air), a respiratory rate (RR) of 20 pm, a blood pressure of 135/78 mmHg, and a heart rate (HR) of 71 bpm. Laboratory tests revealed a decreased leukocyte count (3,100 cells/μL) and elevated serum levels of C-reactive protein (CRP; 5.2 mg/dL). Chest X-ray taken at the first visit (Fig. 1A) showed infiltrates in both lung fields but predominantly on the right. We did not perform chest CT at that time. Although a sputum-acid-fast smear test was negative, we were unable to exclude the possibility of pulmonary tuberculosis because of multiple patchy infiltrates on chest X-ray; we therefore prescribed TFLX, which does not cover M. tuberculosis. A Gram stain analysis is not routinely performed at our clinic. Methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus
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aureus (MRSA) was isolated from the sputum culture, but we initially regarded this as colonization. Rapid urine antigen test for *Streptococcus pneumoniae* and *Legionella pneumophila* were both negative.

The patient’s symptoms gradually disappeared after a few days. Because chest X-ray taken seven days from the start of TFLX administration revealed improvement (Fig. 1B), we diagnosed him with general bacterial pneumonia and ended TFLX after 14 days. Therefore, we did not follow up his chest X-ray until the infiltrates completely disappeared. However, at three weeks after the end of TFLX administration, the fever and anorexia relapsed, and he returned to the hospital. A physical examination revealed a body temperature of 37.4°C, an RR of 24 pm, a SpO₂ of 95% (room air), a blood pressure of 164/86 mmHg, and a HR of 88 bpm. Laboratory tests revealed a normal leukocyte count (4,150 cells/µL) and elevated serum levels of CRP (4.8 mg/dL). Chest X-ray (Fig. 1C) revealed expanded infiltrates in both lung fields, and chest CT showed consolidation with a tree-in-bud appearance (Fig. 2). A sputum-acid-fast smear test was positive, and *M. tuberculosis* was isolated from the culture. After starting treatment with multi-antituberculous drugs including ethambutol, isoniazid and rifampin, his symptoms, pulmonary infiltrates on X-ray and CRP levels gradually improved (Fig. 1D).

**Discussion**

This case was initially suspected of being community-acquired pneumonia based on the findings of chest radiographs and blood tests. Initial treatment was started with TFLX, which does not have anti-bacterial activities for *M. tuberculosis*, because the possibility of tuberculosis infection could not be excluded. The fever and symptoms improved on treatment with TFLX, so he was diagnosed with general bacterial pneumonia and not pulmonary tuberculosis. Therefore, we did not carefully his chest X-ray findings until the infiltrates had completely disappeared, which caused a delay in his tuberculosis diagnosis.

Most fluoroquinolones used in clinical practice have anti-
bacterial activity against *M. tuberculosis* (3). Indeed, Kelly et al. reported that treatment with fluoroquinolone (Levofloxacin 11/16, Gatifloxacin 1/16, Trovaflloxacin 2/16, Ciprofloxacin 1/16 or Ciprofloxacin followed by Trovaflloxacin 1/16) before a diagnosis of tuberculosis was confirmed or denied was associated with a 21-day delay in treatment after the diagnosis (2). Wang et al. reported that 79 (14.4%) of 548 tuberculosis patients received a fluoroquinolone before the diagnosis of tuberculosis (Ciprofloxacin 42/79, Levofloxacin 21/79, Moxifloxacin 16/79), and 52 (65.8%) experienced clinical improvement after fluoroquinolone use. The median interval from the initial visit to starting anti-tuberculous treatment in the fluoroquinolone-administered group was 42 days, which was significantly longer than that in the non-fluoroquinolone-administered group (34 days) (5). A systematic review also demonstrated that fluoroquinolones increase the quinolone resistance of *M. tuberculosis* 2.7-fold (1). In recent studies, multiple fluoroquinolones increase the quinolone resistance of general bacteria in patients with lung tuberculosis (8). Methicillin-sensitive *S. aureus* (28%, 14/50), *Klebsiella pneumoniae* (14%, 7/50), MRSA (10%, 5/50) and *Streptococcus pneumoniae* (8%, 4/50) were isolated as causes of mixed infections with *M. tuberculosis*. In another study, 29.7% (54/182) of the patients diagnosed with pulmonary tuberculosis were reported to have a combined infection with *Mycoplasma pneumoniae* (18.2%, 12/66), *K. pneumoniae* (16.6%, 11/66), *Legionella pneumophila* (9%, 2/66), *S. pneumoniae* (9%, 6/66), *S. aureus* (9%, 6/66) or *Haemophilus influenzae* (9%, 6/66) (9).

While TFLX reportedly has no antibacterial activity against *M. tuberculosis in vitro* (3, 4, 10), there is no evidence that TFLX has a favorable clinical effect on *M. tuberculosis* infection. Although we cannot completely exclude the possibility that TFLX partially killed *M. tuberculosis* in this case, implying no co-infection with general bacteria, this is unlikely, as the peak blood concentration of TFLX (0.66±0.16 μg/mL) in humans is known to be much lower than the minimum inhibitory concentration of TFLX (5 to ≥100 μg/mL) against *M. tuberculosis* (4, 10).

In the present case, MRSA was isolated from the sputum, but the clinical symptoms improved by treatment with TFLX. Other bacteria aside from MRSA may have been co-infected along with *M. tuberculosis*. TFLX is a fluoroquinolone recommended for the treatment of community-acquired pneumonia when the possibility of tuberculosis infection cannot be excluded. However, physicians need to keep in mind the possibility of tuberculosis infection combined with a general bacterial infection. We believe that TFLX is still a reasonable choice for the treatment of community-acquired pneumonia or nursing and healthcare-associated pneumonia as well as penicillin with beta-lactamase inhibitor or macrolides. An accurate diagnosis of pneumonia should be made by carefully ruling out any *M. tuberculosis* infection with repeated sputum acid-fast bacilli smear tests, and patients suspected of having pulmonary tuberculosis should be monitored until the lung involvements have completely resolved, even if antibiotics without antibacterial activity to *M. tuberculosis* are initially effective.
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