Different Effects on Fluid Distribution between Tolvaptan and Furosemide in a Liver Cirrhosis Patient with Chronic Kidney Disease
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Abstract:
A 73-year-old man with liver cirrhosis and advanced chronic kidney disease was admitted to our hospital due to bilateral lower leg edema and appetite loss. Furosemide to treat fluid retention markedly decreased extracellular water compared with intracellular water, but the addition of tolvaptan equally decreased both with a greater diuretic response than furosemide alone. Furthermore, tolvaptan administration increased the plasma colloid osmotic pressure, which might facilitate the shift of fluid from the extravascular space to the intravascular space. This is the first case showing different effects on the fluid distribution between furosemide and additional tolvaptan in the same patient.
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Introduction
Loop diuretics like furosemide have been widely used for the treatment of fluid retention and edema associated with heart failure, renal dysfunction and liver cirrhosis (1, 2). However, loop diuretics increase the risk of a worsening renal function and hypotremia, which are poor prognostic factors (1, 3-5). Tolvaptan, an oral arginine vasopressin V2 receptor antagonist that inhibits water reabsorption in the renal collecting duct, is also used against excess fluid due to congestive heart failure, chronic kidney disease (CKD) and liver cirrhosis (2). This agent can increase the urine volume without decreasing the renal function and activating the sympathetic nervous system and renin-angiotensin-aldosterone system (2).

Several studies using bioelectric impedance analyses (BIA), a non-invasive method for assessing the body composition, have shown that the loop diuretic furosemide predominantly lowers the extracellular water (ECW), including the intravascular volume, instead of the intracellular water (ICW) (6, 7). However, we recently reported that tolvaptan decreases the ECW and ICW equally without exacerbating the kidney function (8).

No report has yet compared the effects of these two agents on the fluid distribution (ECW and ICW) in the same patient. We therefore evaluated the effects of these two diuretics in a liver cirrhosis patient with advanced CKD.

Case Report
A 73-year-old man with bilateral lower leg edema and appetite loss was referred and admitted to our hospital. He had been diagnosed with hepatitis C infection at 38 years of age and liver cirrhosis at 53 years of age. He had received peginterferon alfa 2a from 63 years of age. The two laparo-
scoptic radiofrequency ablation procedures for hepatocellular carcinoma were performed at 68 and 72 years of age. He had been diagnosed with hypertension at 40 years of age and with CKD (estimated glomerular filtration rate [eGFR] 50-55 mL/min/1.73 m²) 3 years before admission. He developed bilateral lower leg edema and abdominal fullness 3 months before admission, and his primary physician started furosemide (10 mg/day) 1 month later. However, his body weight increased by about 10 kg, so he was referred and admitted to our department.

On admission, he was taking furosemide (10 mg/day), irbesartan (100 mg/day), allopurinol (100 mg/day), amlodipine (5 mg/day) and hydroxyzine (40 mg/day), and peginterferon alfa 2a was given at 90 μg per 3 weeks subcutaneously. He was alert and oriented at the time of admission. An examination showed a body height of 168 cm, weight of 65.7 kg, blood pressure of 120/60 mmHg and heart rate of 61 beats/min. Spider angioma was seen on the chest, and the bilateral lower legs were edematous. A laboratory examination revealed the following results: hemoglobin, 11.3 g/dL; platelet count, 13.2×10⁴/μL; prothrombin time-international normalized ratio 1.30, total bilirubin 0.86 mg/dL; AST 28 IU/L; ALT 14 IU/L; ALP 267 IU/L; γGTP 13 U/L; blood urea nitrogen (BUN), 55 mg/dL; serum creatinine (sCr), 2.03 mg/dL; eGFR, 26.1 mL/min/1.73 m² (CKD stage 4); uric acid 6.9 mg/dL; total protein, 5.6 g/dL; serum albumin, 2.2 g/dL; serum sodium, 142 mEq/L; serum potassium, 5.3 mEq/L; serum chloride, 113 mEq/L; brain natriuretic peptide 99.7 pg/mL; C3 64 mg/dL (normal range 86-160 mg/dL); C4 19 mg/dL (normal range 17-45 mg/dL); serum IgA 730 mg/dL (normal range 110-410 mg/dL); serum protein-to-creatinine ratio 1.94 g/gCr; urine red blood cells 10-19/HPF; urine N-acetyl-β-D-glucosaminidase (NAG) 37 U/gCr (normal range 1.0-6.2 U/gCr) and urine β2-microglobulin <50 μg/L. Membranoproliferative glomerulonephritis or IgA nephropathy was assumed as his primary renal disease because of the existence of hepatitis C infection, moderate proteinuria and hematuria, a mild decrease in the serum complement and an increase in the serum IgA level. Chest X-ray showed a dull costophrenic angle on the right side without pulmonary congestion, and the cardiothoracic ratio was 47%. Abdominal computed tomography revealed advanced atrophy of the liver with an irregular surface and ascites around the liver. Bilateral renal atrophy was observed (kidney size: right 8.6×4.1 cm, left 8.7×4.2 cm). Ultrasound cardiography demonstrated a normal cardiac function (left ventricular ejection fraction: 85%). His Child-Pugh score was 9 points (grade B).

The hospital course is shown in Fig. 1. The daily dose of furosemide 20 mg did not sufficiently increase the urine volume (500-800 mL/day) after 7 days and worsened the renal function (sCr: 2.03 vs. 2.39 mg/dL, BUN: 55 vs. 63 mg/dL, serum uric acid: 6.9 vs. 7.5 mg/dL) without increasing the levels of tubular damage marker [urine NAG: 37 vs. 16.8 U/gCr (Day 4)] (9). The mineralocorticoid receptor (MR) antagonist canrenoic acid was then added (100 mg/day), and

---

**Figure 1.** The clinical course and serial changes in the urine volume, blood urea nitrogen (BUN), serum creatinine (sCr), body weight (BW) and intracellular water (ICW) and extracellular water (ECW). BIA: bioelectric impedance analyses, BCAAs: branched-chain amino acids.
Furosemide was increased to 80 mg/day on Day 7. However, the body water as measured by a BIA device (InBody S10; InBody Japan, Tokyo, Japan) (8, 10) did not markedly change for a further 6 days (Fig. 1, 2) while the BUN and BUN/sCr ratio did increase (BUN: 63 vs. 76 mg/dL, BUN/ sCr ratio: 26.4 vs. 32.6), suggesting the existence of mild intravascular dehydration. Canrenoic acid was replaced with another MR antagonist spironolactone (50 mg/day) on Day 12, and tolvaptan (3.75 mg/day) was added on Day 13. Thereafter, the urine volume increased to around 2,000 mL/day, and the body weight decreased by about 5 kg for 7 days without the apparent exacerbation of the renal function (sCr: 2.33 vs. 2.19 mg/dL, BUN: 76 vs. 82 mg/dL) (Fig. 1). Furthermore, the body water [ECW, ICW and total body water (TBW)] clearly decreased after the initiation of tolvaptan (Fig. 1, 2). Furosemide was discontinued after 5 days of tolvaptan administration (Fig. 1), because the body weight had decreased sufficiently (approximately 5 kg/5 days) and increased NH3 (353 ug/dL) with consciousness disturbance and constipation (2 days) were noted. The intravenous supplementation of branched-chain amino acids (BCAAs) (200 mL/day) as a treatment for hepatic encephalopathy quickly reduced the NH3 level the next day (136 μg/dL), and the NH3 level remained below 200 μg/dL under treatment with tolvaptan and spironolactone. During the 8-day intravenous supplementation of BCAAs, the BUN increased from 78 to 93 mg/dL, while the sCr decreased from 2.35 to 1.71 mg/dL (Fig. 1). After switching to only oral BCAA supplementation (50 g/day), the BUN returned to 62 mg/dL (Day 30) (Fig. 1).

The BIA showed that furosemide predominantly decreased the ECW over the ICW (-7.9% vs. -2.9%) for the first 7 days (Fig. 3A), while the administration of tolvaptan decreased the ECW and ICW similarly and to a greater degree than furosemide alone (-13.8% vs. -15.7%) (Fig. 3A). Consequently, the ratio of ECW to TBW (ECW/TBW) was decreased by furosemide treatment (0.429 vs. 0.416) but slightly increased by adding tolvaptan (0.410 vs. 0.416) for 7 days (Fig. 3B). Furthermore, the administration of tolvaptan increased the plasma osmolality (301 vs. 318 mOsm/kg) and plasma colloid osmotic pressure (14.8 vs. 21.8 mmHg) (11) accompanied by an increase in the serum albumin (2.1 vs. 2.6 g/dL) for 6 days (Fig. 3C). The serum sodium was increased by adding tolvaptan (137 vs. 141 mEq/L) but was decreased by furosemide alone (142 vs. 136 mEq/L) for 7 days.

### Discussion

The novel finding of this case is the different effects on the fluid distribution between furosemide and tolvaptan in the same patient, where furosemide predominantly decreases the ECW compared with the ICW while tolvaptan administered together with other diuretics equally decreases the ICW and ECW with a greater diuretic response than furosemide alone. Furthermore, the administration of tolvaptan increased the plasma colloid osmotic pressure, which might facilitate the shifting of fluid from the extravascular space to the intravascular space, while furosemide alone decreased the plasma colloid osmotic pressure.

Several studies have shown that loop diuretics predominantly decrease the ECW compared with the ICW (6, 7, 12). However, we recently reported that tolvaptan induces an equivalent reduction rate of ECW and ICW (8). Thus far, no report has evaluated the differences between furosemide and tolvaptan on fluid distribution in the same patient. Generally, a major driving force of fluid movement from the extravascular to the intravascular com-
Furosemide predominantly decreased the extracellular water (ECW), but tolvaptan decreased the intracellular water (ICW) and ECW similarly for 7 days from the baseline of each treatment (A). Furosemide decreased the ratio of the ECW to the total body water (TBW) (ECW/TBW) and colloid osmotic pressure, whereas tolvaptan increased both parameters (B and C).

Figure 3. Furosemide predominantly decreased the extracellular water (ECW), but tolvaptan decreased the intracellular water (ICW) and ECW similarly for 7 days from the baseline of each treatment (A). Furosemide decreased the ratio of the ECW to the total body water (TBW) (ECW/TBW) and colloid osmotic pressure, whereas tolvaptan increased both parameters (B and C).

A recent review proposed that loop diuretics induce a rapid reduction in the intravascular volume and pressure diminishes the intracapillary hydrostatic pressure (13). This may be either a transient or an ongoing imbalance in fluids residing in the intravascular and extravascular compartments, which results in the plasma refill rate being exceeded (13). In contrast, the review proposed that a vasopressin V2 antagonist would increase the oncotic pressure in response to free-water diuresis, thereby leading to the movement of fluid from the interstitial to the intravascular compartment (13). In the present case, an increase in the plasma colloid osmotic pressure (+47.9%) was seen 6 days after tolvaptan administration, which might have been the driving force of the fluid shifting from the interstitial to the intravascular compartment.

In addition, the administration of tolvaptan increased the serum sodium concentration, a major determinant of tonicity (effective osmolality) (14), which might have induced the shifting of fluid from the intracellular to the interstitial space, although furosemide alone decreased the serum sodium concentration for the first week. Consequently, the increases in the plasma colloid osmotic pressure and serum sodium concentration after tolvaptan administration might have contributed to the equivalent reduction in the ECW and ICW in this case. It was recently reported that the sugar-protein glycocalyx, which covers the endothelium, plays an important role in the maintenance of an oncotic gradient across the endothelial barrier (15). Thus, a further examination may be necessary to determine whether or not glycocalyx contributes to the differences in the effects on fluid distribution between furosemide and tolvaptan.

The present patient was a responder to tolvaptan, showing a reduction in body weight of approximately 5 kg/week, which more than matches the “responder criteria” (cut-off value for body weight loss of 1.5 kg/week) (16). Tolvaptan is reportedly effective for ameliorating fluid retention in patients with liver cirrhosis with ascites (17, 18) and advanced CKD (8, 19, 20), but a low serum sodium level (<130 mEq/L) is associated with nonresponsiveness to tolvaptan (18). In addition, recent studies have shown that tolvaptan exerts a diuretic action even in patients with low serum albumin levels (18, 21, 22). Because tolvaptan blocks the vasopressin V2 receptor from the basolateral side of the tubules, it is not dependent on the GFR provided the renal blood flow (RBF) is maintained (2). Furthermore, unlike furosemide, tolvaptan exerts diuretic action without binding to albumin, so hypoalbuminemia does not cause diuretic resistance when tolvaptan is given (2). The present patient had liver cirrhosis and advanced CKD (stage 4) with normal serum sodium and low serum albumin levels, so he was expected to be a good responder to tolvaptan. Indeed, the clear diuretic response for tolvaptan might have contributed to the increase in the serum albumin level and plasma colloid osmotic pressure, acting as a driving force for the fluid shift from the interstitial to the intravascular compartment. However, as shown in this case, the careful monitoring and management of excessive diuresis and resultant hepatic encephalopathy is necessary, especially in patients who show a good response to tolvaptan.

A potential limitation in the present case is that the effect of tolvaptan alone on the fluid distribution remains unclear, as tolvaptan was added after treatment with the diuretics furosemide and spironolactone. Similar to the present case, we previously experienced 2 cases in which tolvaptan was administered in addition to furosemide and spironolactone; there as well, tolvaptan equally decreased the ECW and ICW (-5.9% vs. -5.3%) (8). Furthermore, tolvaptan added to a loop diuretic without spironolactone also exerted roughly the same diuretic effect on the ECW and ICW in our previous cases (-7.6% vs. -7.0%, p=0.425) (8). As drug use regulations in Japan do not permit monotherapy with tolvaptan for the treatment of fluid retention, the effects of tolvap-
tan monotherapy, such as in autosomal dominant polycystic kidney disease patients and/or a head-to-head animal study of furosemide and tolvaptan using a BIA device (22), must be clarified. In addition, the single effect of spironolactone on fluid distribution must also be evaluated, as some interaction between spironolactone and other diuretics may exist.

In conclusion, this case highlights the different effects on the fluid distribution between furosemide and additional tolvaptan in the same patient, where furosemide predominantly decreases the ECW compared with the ICW while tolvaptan administration equally decreases the ICW and ECW with a greater diuretic response than furosemide alone. Furthermore, the administration of tolvaptan increased the plasma colloid osmotic pressure, which might facilitate shifting fluid from the extravascular space to the intravascular space.
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