A Combination of Indomethacin Farnesyl and Amitriptyline is Effective for Continuous Interictal Pain with Probable Chronic Paroxysmal Hemicrania
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Abstract:
A 26-year-old woman with a history of migraine reported right-sided, severe stabbing orbital pain with cranial autonomic symptoms (CASs) for approximately 2 years. The attack duration was approximately 30 minutes, with a frequency of twice per day. Taking loxoprofen was ineffective. Six months earlier, moderate pressing continuous interictal pain without CASs had developed. Indomethacin farnesyl completely resolved the attacks but had no effect on the interictal pain. The patient was diagnosed with probable chronic paroxysmal hemicrania in accordance with the International Classification for Headache Disorders (third version). Continuous interictal pain gradually disappeared with a combination of indomethacin farnesyl and amitriptyline.
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Introduction
Paroxysmal hemicrania (PH) is a rare primary headache belonging to a group of trigeminal autonomic cephalalgias (TACs) (1). The current third edition of the International Classification of Headache Disorders (ICHD-3) requires at least 20 attacks of severe unilateral orbital, supraorbital, or temporal pain, lasting 2-30 minutes, accompanied by cranial autonomic symptoms (CASs), such as ipsilateral conjunctival injection, lacrimation, nasal congestion, rhinorrhea, forehead and facial sweating, miosis, ptosis or eyelid edema, and restlessness or agitation (1).

PH is estimated to account for 11%-37% of cluster headaches, with a prevalence of approximately 0.02% in the general population (2). Previous studies have reported a mean age range at the PH onset of 37-42 years old (2). The disorder has an absolute response to indomethacin, such that patients are rendered pain-free by therapeutic doses (1).

PH can be divided into episodic PH and chronic PH (CPH), distinguished by the presence or absence of a remission period lasting at least three months on an annual basis (1). CPH accounts for 80% of cases of PH (2, 3). PH is not typically characterized by interictal pain. However, mild and intermittent interictal pain can be experienced in the same distribution as typical PH attacks (3).

We herein report a case of probable CPH with moderate and continuous interictal pain. To our knowledge, this represents the first clinical case report of treatment for interictal pain with PH.

Case Report
The patient was a 26-year-old Japanese woman who presented to our headache center with a 2-year history of right-sided, excruciatingly severe, stabbing pain located in her orbit. The attacks were associated with lacrimation and rhinorrhea, as well as restlessness and migrainous features, such as nausea. The duration of the attacks was approximately 30 minutes, with a frequency of twice per day. Occasionally, mild intermittent pressing interictal pain without CAS developed in the same distribution as the severe attacks. Her par-
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became effective, and the continuous interictal pain gradually disappeared. Mild drowsiness was observed with the increased amitriptyline dosing but was gradually ameliorated. The patient was eventually discharged from our headache center.

In the first three months following discharge, she had about five monthly migraine days (MMDs), and the effect of zolmitriptan was sustained. The PH with interictal pain did not appear. Over the next 3 months, the dose of indomethacin farnesyl was decreased to 200 mg. However, the MMDs did not change, and the PH with interictal pain remained in remission. Eventually, indomethacin farnesyl was discontinued. However, the PH attacks and interictal pain did not appear.

In the future, we intend to decrease the dose of amitriptyline while monitoring the MMDs.

### Discussion

PH typically has prominent CASs, whereas in HC, these features are modest or inconstant (5-7). Furthermore, in PH, the attacks are much more severe and the attack length shorter than in HC (5-7). A careful history supplemented with a headache diary allows these two headache types to be differentiated. However, it can sometimes be challenging to differentiate between PH and HC, particularly in patients with CPH and continuous interictal pain.

In our case, the diagnosis of HC was inconsistent with the finding that pain, including interictal pain, was not completely resolved by indomethacin. In adults, the ICHD-3 recommends that oral indomethacin be used initially at a dose of ≥150 mg daily and increased if necessary up to 225 mg daily (1). Approximately 40%-50% of HC patients show a complete response at ≤150 mg/day, while >40% patients may require ≥225 mg/day (7, 8). Compared with the international standard, the dose of indomethacin farnesyl might be insufficient for the diagnosis of HC. However, the Japanese clinical practice guidelines for chronic headache recommend a lower dose of indomethacin (up to 75 mg/day orally or 100 mg/day as a suppository) (9). As production of the oral formulation of indomethacin was discontinued in April 2020 and the insurance coverage of indomethacin farnesyl is 400 mg/day in Japan, we refrained from the further administration of indomethacin farnesyl.

In an earlier review of 84 patients, 28 (33%) had interictal pain or discomfort (10). Prakash et al. (6) also reported that 8 of 17 (47%) PH patients had reported interictal pain, including 7 (88%) with intermittent pain and 1 (12%) with continuous pain that had been intermittent during the early years of the disease, similar to our case. In that study, the authors compared patients with interictal pain to those with no pain. PH with interictal pain had a longer duration of illness (69.0 vs. 10.7 months, respectively; p=0.0006) and required a high dose of indomethacin (188 vs. 114 mg, respectively; p=0.0018).

Cittadini et al. (5) reported that 18 of 31 (58%) PH patients had interictal pain, 16 (51%) had a personal history positive for migraine, 18 (58%) had a family history positive for migraine (and/or headache not otherwise specified), and 10 (32%) had medication overuse. Regarding PH with interictal pain only, 14 patients (78%) had a personal or family history positive for migraine (and/or headache not otherwise specified), and 8 (44%) had medication overuse. In that study, interictal pain was relatively mild compared with the attack pain with PH (5).

The differences and/or similarities in headache frequency, location, severity, and accompanying features between PH attack and interictal pain are shown in Table 1. The possibility of overlap with tension-type headache is difficult to mitigate. Since interictal pain has the same distribution as PH attacks, we may be able to distinguish it from tension-type headache. Because HC is the most important differential disease for PH with interictal pain, it is essential to confirm the reactivity for indomethacin. Paradoxically, if the administration of a sufficient dose with indomethacin causes residual pain without CASs, it may be interictal pain.

Primary headaches, such as migraine and TACs, are commonly associated with interictal pain (11) (Table 2). However, there had been few reports of interictal pain in primary headaches, and the cause is unclear. Medication overuse may be a major contributor to chronic daily headache. It was previously suggested that longstanding chronic pain may lead to cortical changes in the brain, which may be responsible for interictal pain and refractoriness to therapy (11-13). Migraine patients are much more likely to experience worsening with acute medication overuse than

<p>| Table 1. General Differences and Similarities between Paroxysmal Hemicrania Attack and Interictal Pain. |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Intensity</th>
<th>PH attack</th>
<th>Interictal pain</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Duration</td>
<td>severe</td>
<td>mild</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distribution pattern of pain</td>
<td>2-30 minutes</td>
<td>intermittent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accompanied with ipsilateral CAS</td>
<td>same distribution of PH attacks</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Response to indomethacin</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The interictal pain in our patient was moderate and continuous.

PH: paroxysmal hemicrania, CAS: cranial autonomic symptoms, N.D.: no date
those with other pain disorders (14), and cluster headache patients with a personal or family history of migraine are also susceptible (15). Marmura et al. (12) reported that 27 out of 50 (54%) cluster headache patients had some sort of interictal pain, including 16 (59%) who had pain more than half the time and 10 (37%) who had continuous pain. In that study, cluster headache patients with interictal pain more than half the time were more likely to have an increased disease severity, such as chronic cluster headache, a suboptimal response to sumatriptan, cutaneous allodynia during and outside of the attacks, and a disease duration ≥15 years (12). Given the clinical similarities, such as unilateral headache attacks associated with CASs, between the different TACs, it has been hypothesized that these headache forms share pathophysiological mechanisms (16). Not only cluster headache patients but also PH patients with a personal or family history of migraine may be susceptible to medication overuse.

Based on these findings, we conclude that interictal pain in PH is not uncommon and is associated with a long illness duration, refractoriness to therapy, history of migraine, and medication overuse. Our present patient had a short illness duration of PH. Therefore, a history of migraine may be involved in the development of interictal pain, which can become continuous and intense during the course of medication overuse. Loxoprofen overuse may have suppressed the appearance of our patient’s migraines after the onset of the PH attacks. Due to the remission of the PH attacks and discontinuation of loxoprofen overuse, her latent migraines may have become active. The disappearance of the continuous interictal pain with migraine prophylaxis following amitriptyline treatment in our case suggests that the pain was associated with migraine biology and medication overuse.

Interictal pain with PH is usually intermittent and mild, so it does not necessarily require treatment. That may be the reason why there have been no reports of treatment for interictal pain with PH. However, continuous and moderate interictal pain with PH, such as in our case, requires treatment. For refractory cluster headache patients with interictal pain or allodynia, the use of cyclooxygenase-2 inhibitors (17) or preventatives that inhibit allodynia (e.g. gabapentin (18, 19)) should be considered for optimal results. These drugs may be effective for interictal pain with PH, but their use makes it difficult to distinguish between PH and HC. The combination of indomethacin and migraine prophylaxis, such as amitriptyline, may be effective against interictal pain with PH if PH patients have comorbid migraine biology. It is important to confirm a history of migraine and medication overuse when examining PH patients with interictal pain. By considering cases of interictal pain with PH, we may be able to determine the pathological mechanism underlying interictal pain in other types of primary headache.
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Table 2. Frequency of Interictal Pain in Migraine and Trigeminal Autonomic Cephalalgias (Modified from Reference 12).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Headache disorder</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Characteristics</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Migraine</td>
<td>Most patients with chronic migraine</td>
<td>Can be mild, moderate or severe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cluster headache</td>
<td>42-54%</td>
<td>Especially if chronic CH. Unilateral and mild</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paroxysmal hemicrania</td>
<td>58%</td>
<td>Usually mild, intermittent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SUNHA</td>
<td>46% in SUNCT, 28% in SUNA</td>
<td>N.D.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hemicrania continua</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>Moderate or severe, continuous</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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