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Abstract:
The diagnosis of cardiac sarcoidosis (CS) has become easier due to advances in imaging modalities, but we sometimes encounter difficult-to-diagnose patients. We herein report the case of a 60-year-old Japanese woman who was diagnosed with isolated CS, although she also met the diagnostic criteria of arrhythmogenic right ventricular cardiomyopathy (ARVC). A histological examination by an endomyocardial biopsy of the right ventricle revealed the typical findings of granulomatous change for CS. Although she did not show any characteristics of systemic sarcoidosis, oral prednisolone treatment was introduced, and she achieved a good response. This case shows that the characteristics of CS can overlap with the diagnostic criteria of ARVC, and that a histological examination is essential for the correct diagnosis of CS.
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Learning Objective

In this patient’s case, an electrocardiogram showed notches following the QRS portion in the V1-V3 leads (an epsilon wave), and transthoracic echocardiography/right heart ventriculography showed a dilated right ventricle (RV) and reduced RV contraction. These findings are typical clinical features of arrhythmogenic right ventricular cardiomyopathy (ARVC). It is important to recognize that there are CS patients whose characteristics are similar to ARVC, and a correct diagnosis cannot be made unless a histological confirmation is obtained.

Introduction

Cardiac sarcoidosis (CS) is seen in 5% of systemic sarcoidosis cases and is categorized as infiltrative cardiomyopathy. Sarcoidosis can involve any location of the heart, and the morphological features of CS vary: regional wall motion abnormality, aneurysm, septal wall thinning, dilated left ventricle, and an impaired right or left ventricular systolic or diastolic function (1). A clinical diagnosis of CS is sometimes not definitive, especially in cases of “isolated cardiac sarcoidosis” (2).

Arrhythmogenic right ventricular cardiomyopathy (ARVC) is characterized by pathological fibrofatty replacement from the epicardium toward the endocardium that leads to right ventricular dysfunction (3). The advanced stage of ARVC can involve the left ventricle, and the cardiac features of advanced ARVC mimic those of CS. Both CS and ARVC can present as biventricular heart failure and carry risks of life-threatening ventricular arrhythmias or sudden cardiac death (4). CS is an indication for early treatment with corticosteroids or other immunosuppressive agents. In contrast, there are many treatments for ARVC to reduce the risk of developing symptoms or sudden cardiac death, but there is no cure for ARVC at present. Because the treatment strategies for CS and ARVC are very different, an accurate diagnosis is mandatory.

Some case studies have shown that CS may overlap with ARVC in clinical presentations (5-7). Therefore, a careful
diagnostic process is needed for the initial diagnoses of CS and ARVC in clinical practice. In the case described below, we treated a patient with CS whose clinical diagnosis was very similar to ARVC, but histological confirmation with a right ventricular (RV) endomyocardial biopsy led to the correct diagnosis of CS.

**Case Report**

A permanent pacemaker was implanted in a 60-year-old Japanese woman due to complete atrioventricular block (CAVB) at a nearby hospital 1 year prior to her admission to our institution. At that time, the left ventricular (LV) contraction by LV ejection fraction (LVEF) was 60%. She had no known history or family history of heart diseases.

However, two months after the pacemaker implantation, the patient began to feel palpitations. Twenty-four-hour Holter electrocardiogram (ECG) monitoring was performed, and premature ventricular contractions (PVCs) and non-sustained ventricular tachycardia were identified when the patient felt a palpitation (PVCs/total beats = 7,355/97,689, longest PVC: 5 beats). Ten months after the pacemaker implantation, the patient began to feel external dyspnea, and her cardiac function was shown by transthoracic echocardiography (TTE) to be reduced (LVEF 40%).

At 11 months after the pacemaker implantation, the patient was hospitalized due to heart failure with sustained ventricular tachycardia (VT) (Fig. 1-1). The patient’s heart
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**Figure 1-1.** The patient’s ECG on admission showed sustained ventricular tachycardia of left branch block morphology at the inferior axis.
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**Figure 1-2.** The patient’s ECG showed small deflections at the end of the complex in V1-3 that were considered epsilon waves. ECG: electrocardiogram
failure was treated by guideline-based standard treatment, and amiodarone (loading dose 400 mg/day, maintenance dose 200 mg/day) was started for her sustained VT; her general condition subsequently improved. The underlying cause of her heart failure was investigated. TTE showed a reduced LVEF (31%), reduced right ventricular function (fractional area change 27%), and dilated left and right ventricles, but regional wall thinning was not observed. On ⁶⁷Ga scintigraphy, the non-specific uptake of Gallium was observed in the myocardium. ¹⁸F-fluorodeoxyglucose positron emission tomography (¹⁸F-FDG PET) images demonstrated some focal uptake in the septal and inferior walls of the left ventricle, but not in the right ventricle (Fig. 2). The maximum standardized uptake value (SUVmax) was 7.5 in the LV septal wall, 7.0 in the LV lateral wall, and 6.9 in the LV inferior wall.

Based on the latest version of the Guidelines for Diagnosis and Treatment of Cardiac Sarcoidosis (Japanese Circulation Society 2016) (8), this patient did not meet the clinical criteria of systemic sarcoidosis: blood tests, chest X-ray, and chest computed tomography did not show any evidence of sarcoidosis, and ophthalmologists did not find any signs of sarcoidosis by standard methods. However, the patient met three major criteria (CA VB and sustained VT, LV dysfunction, and ¹⁸F-FDG uptake) and one minor criterion (non-sustained VT and PVCs) for CS.

However, ECG of her own beats showed small deflections at the end of the QRS complex in V1-3 that was suspected to be epsilon waves (Fig. 1-2). TTE and right ventriculography showed a dilated and impaired wall motion of right ventricle (Fig. 3), and >1,000 PVCs were recorded by 24-h Holter ECG. Given these collective findings, the patient met two major criteria (global RV dysfunction, and inverted T-waves in right precordial leads) and two minor criteria (sustained ventricular tachycardia of left bundle branch block [LBBB] morphology at the inferior axis, and non-sustained VT of unknown axis >500 PVCs per 24 hours) of an international Task Force for the diagnosis of ARVC (4), as described in the Discussion section below. At this point in the patient’s course, we thus considered the possibility of ARVC.
Figure 4. A sample of endomyocardial tissue from the right ventricular myocardial biopsy, obtained by deep cutting. The biopsy showed the accumulation of epithelioid cells and multinucleated giant cells. Granuloma was observed, and we were thus able to make the diagnosis of cardiac sarcoidosis (CS).

rather than CS. In addition, pacing-induced cardiomyopathy was also a possible cause of her condition, as her pacemaker was VDD (the pacemaker senses atrial and ventricular events but can only pace the ventricle). With clinical differential diagnoses of CS, ARVC, or pacing-induced cardiomyopathy, we performed a right ventricular endomyocardial biopsy to achieve a histological and definitive diagnosis.

However, the first pathological report revealed only slight lymphocytic infiltration in the myocardial tissues, which was diagnosed as a non-specific finding histologically. We re-evaluated the biopsy specimen by deep cutting and observed granulomatous lesions in the biopsied specimens; such lesions are not a typical pathology in CS, but they do indicate CS (Fig. 4). Thus, the patient’s diagnosis was confirmed as CS, and we initiated oral prednisolone treatment (30 mg/day). She achieved a good response and has been well for about six months since the prednisolone treatment.

Discussion

We experienced a patient who met several of the international Task Force diagnostic criteria for ARVC but was ultimately diagnosed with isolated CS based on a myocardial biopsy. This Task Force for the diagnosis of ARVC (3) describes six categories: global or regional dysfunction and structural alterations, tissue characterization, repolarization abnormalities, depolarization and conduction abnormalities, arrhythmias, and family history. The diagnosis of ARVC requires meeting two major criteria, one major and two minor criteria, or four minor criteria. Our patient met two major criteria (global RV dysfunction, and inverted T-waves in right precordial leads) and two minor criteria (sustained ventricular tachycardia of left bundle branch block [LBBB] morphology at the inferior axis, and non-sustained VT of unknown axis >500 PVCs per 24 hours). In addition, our patient also met the criteria provided by an earlier (1994) international Task Force for the diagnosis of ARVC with a lower sensitivity but higher specificity than the 2010 diagnostic criteria (9).

It has been reported that some patients with CS can meet the diagnostic criteria for ARVC (5-7). One reason for this is because CS can cause RV involvement, ventricular tachycardia, or delayed activation of myocardium, which are commonly seen in patients with ARVC. These characteristics of the myocardium (3, 10) can be seen in both fibro-fatty replacements in ARVC and granulomatous infiltration in CS. In a study that examined the differences between CS and ARVC, RV involvement was demonstrated in 48% of the 40 patients with CS and all 21 of the patients with ARVC, and LV involvement was demonstrated in 65% of the CS patients and only in 5% of the ARVC patients (11). As mentioned above, RV dysfunction often occurs in CS but is not a very good indicator for the diagnosis of CS, whereas LV dysfunction (LVEF <50%) is more likely to occur in CS than in ARVC (12). In the present patient’s case, even though the diagnostic criteria of ARVC were sufficiently met, CS was more likely than ARVC because the left ventricle was involved.

Our patient showed epsilon waves, which was seen after the QRS complex at the beginning of the ST segment. Epsilon waves have been reported to be found in 30-33% of patients with ARVC and are regarded as a reflection on the ECG presentation of delayed activation of some right ventricular fibers (13, 14). In the present case, a notch was observed just at the point when we recorded her own beats, so we considered the notch to be the epsilon waves. In a study
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