Dynamic Recrystallization and Dynamic Precipitation Behaviors of a 17Ni–0.2C Martensite Steel Studied by In Situ Neutron Diffraction
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The austenite precipitation and dynamic recrystallization behaviors of 17Ni–0.2C martensite steel during isothermal tempering at 500°C followed by isothermal warm compression at \(8.3 \times 10^{-4}/s\) were investigated in situ using neutron diffraction. The Rietveld analysis of Time-Of-Flight neutron diffraction profiles revealed that the austenite amount increased by about 1.5% during 10 min isothermal holding while the warm compression at 500°C accelerated the austenite precipitation. Splitting of the austenite (111) peak occurred and then disappeared during warm compression. Based on integrated intensities obtained by single peak fitting of neutron spectra, it was found from the axial neutron spectra that the ferrite (110) peak intensity rapidly decreased and the ferrite (200) and (211) peak intensities slowly increased during warm compression; the occurrence of dynamic recrystallization led to an evident deviation from this trend. The comparison between lattice strains and texture indexes of austenite and ferrite suggested that the austenite was harder than the ferrite at 500°C. Thus, heterogeneous deformation occurred in the ferrite, leading to accelerated dynamic recrystallization.
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1. Introduction

Ultrafine grained microstructures have been achieved by either deformation induced phase transformation (DIPT) or dynamic recrystallization (DRX). Recent researches have reported that large strain and a high Zener–Hollomon value may be unnecessary in order to obtain ultrafine grained microstructures if the martensite initial microstructure is employed during annealing after cold working or during warm deformation. It has been speculated that the high dislocation density of martensite, the strong interaction between carbon atoms and dislocations and the fine substructure of martensite can promote the accumulation of stored energy during warm deformation, and hence accelerate the occurrence of dynamic recrystallization.

Ultrafine grained ferrite or ferrite–cementite steels with <1.0 μm ferrite grain size possess a good balance between strength and toughness. However, their low uniform elongation restricts their potential applications for automobile bodies and frames. In recent years, ultrafine grained ferrite/austenite duplex microstructures have attracted more and more attention because the transformation of austenite to martensite during straining results in a rapid work-hardening which prevents necking (i.e. transformation induced plasticity, TRIP). It has been reported that such ultrafine grained microstructures can be obtained in various steels by static recrystallization through annealing after cold working or by dynamic recrystallization through warm deformation at a lower temperature in the \(\alpha + \gamma\) region. Microstructural observation of warm compressed specimens with different amounts of pre-existing austenite has shown that a fully recrystallized microstructure can be obtained in a 17Ni–0.2C martensite steel at a small strain (\(\varepsilon \leq 0.6\)) and that the existence of austenite plays an important role in accelerating the dynamic recrystallization. However, no in situ crystallographic data have been published to characterize the dynamic recrystallization behavior quantitatively and further to investigate the effect of the second phase particles on dynamic recrystallization.

Neutron diffraction has been applied as a powerful tool to investigate the microstructure evolutions of bulk materials during tensile/compressive deformation, heating/cooling and under other specific environmental conditions. Recently, Time-Of-Flight (TOF) \((hkl)\) multiple reflection spectra obtained by neutron diffraction have been analyzed to evaluate the crystallographic textures during forward and reverse diffusional phase transformations and the preferred orientations of ferrite and austenite in a 0.2C–2Mn steel before and after hot compression. In this paper, the dynamic recrystallization behavior of ferrite and the dy-
namic precipitation behavior of austenite have been investigated in situ using TOF neutron diffraction during warm compression of 17Ni–0.2C steel.

2. Experimental Procedures

The 17.2Ni–0.22C (mass%) steel was induction-melted, forged at 1 100–900°C to 15×15 mm steel bar and then solution-treated at 1 100°C for 1.8 ks followed by water quenching. According to the results of dilatometry at a rate of 5.0°C/s, the austenite and martensite transformation starting temperatures were 570°C upon heating and 125°C upon cooling, respectively. Since the warm deformation at a temperature just below the austenite transformation starting temperature accelerated the austenite transformation significantly, a little low temperature (500°C) was selected in this study in order to investigate the dynamic recrystallization of ferrite. Here, 60 min pre-tempering treatment at 500°C was carried out to obtain about 18 vol% austenite in the initial microstructure. Cylinder specimens with 8 mm diameter and 20 mm length were prepared by spark cutting and surface grinding.

TOF neutron diffraction experiments were carried out using the ENGIN-X neutron diffractometer at ISIS, STFC Rutherford Appleton Laboratory, as shown in Fig. 1. The neutron diffraction spectra were measured by two diffraction detector banks in the axial and the radial directions, which were centered on horizontal diffraction angles of ±90° to the incident beam and spanned an angular range of 32° in the horizontal direction and 42° in the vertical direction. A 100 kN hydraulic loading rig attached with a radiant furnace with a control error of 1°C was employed to realize thermomechanically controlled process (TMCP). A high-temperature extensometer was employed to monitor the change in strain during warm compression. The sampling volume of columnar specimens for the neutron diffraction was about 80 mm³.

Figure 2 illustrates the temperature history during neutron diffraction schematically. The neutron diffraction spectra obtained from the 60 min pre-tempered specimen with martensite–austenite duplex microstructure were collected at room temperature and 100°C for 10 min separately, in order to obtain information about the initial microstructure and compare it with that obtained by electron backscattering diffraction (EBSD). Then the specimen was heated up to 500°C and each neutron diffraction spectrum during the isothermal holding was collected for 1 min to investigate the effect of the tempering process on the microstructure evolution. After 10 min isothermal holding at 500°C, the specimen was compressed at a strain rate of 8.3×10⁻³ s⁻¹ and the neutron diffraction spectra were measured at 500°C. The neutron spectra collected with a short acquisition period of 1 min were of low signal-noise ratio, and therefore in order to decrease the experimental error, each spectrum was summed with the consecutive one for the purpose of Rietveld refinement.

Considering the fact that it was difficult to distinguish the diffraction peaks of ferrite and martensite in the neutron spectra, the tempered/deformed martensite and the recrystallized ferrite during warm compression were simply designated as the ferrite matrix in this paper. The austenite volume fraction was determined by Rietveld refinement using the General Structure Analysis System (GSAS) software package, taking all diffraction peaks measured into consideration. The texture indexes of warm deformed austenite and ferrite were evaluated by the spherical harmonic preferential orientation fitting with an assumption of cylindrical sample symmetry, and the series was truncated at a maximum expansion order of 6. Single peak fitting with the third TOF profile function was employed to obtain the integrated intensities and the lattice spacings of (hkl) peaks. The preferred orientations and lattice strains of ferrite and austenite were also analyzed by these integrated intensities and lattice spacings, respectively.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Microstructure Evolution during Isothermal Holding

Figure 3 gives an example of the axial neutron spectrum obtained at room temperature and the corresponding Rietveld refinement result where the small residual error reveals that the profile fitting quality is good enough. The austenite amount is about 21.0±0.3%, a little higher than...
that measured by EBSD technique for an electrochemically polished sample (about 18%), which is possibly related to the weak stability of austenite, i.e. martensite transformation near surface. In addition, no increase in austenite amount can be found during isothermal holding at 100°C.

Figure 4 shows the change in austenite fraction during isothermal holding at 500°C. The austenite amount increases slowly and results in about a 1.5% increment after 10 min holding. This precipitation speed is consistent with that estimated by the microstructure observation where the austenite amount increases from 9.5% before tempering to about 18% after 60 min tempering at 500°C. These results suggest that the neutron diffraction technique is convincing and suitable to characterize the austenite precipitation during isothermal holding.

3.2. Change in Austenite Diffraction Spectra during Warm Compression

Figure 5 shows the stress–strain curve obtained with a high-temperature extensometer during warm compression, where the gray solid circle means the start of neutron profile acquisition. The flow stress approaches the maximum value at a true strain about \( \varepsilon = 0.13 \), and then gradually decreases. Accordingly, the stress–strain curve is divided into two parts: (1) Region I, work hardening; (2) Region II, work softening. The neutron diffraction technique is in situ neutron diffraction spectra and Regions I and II are designated as the work hardening region and the work softening region, respectively.

Figure 6 compares the austenite axial diffraction peaks of the 17Ni–0.2C steel at different compressive strains: (a) austenite (111) peak; (b) austenite (200) peak; (c) austenite (220) peak.
work softening. Figure 4 shows the austenite amount increases gradually with increasing compressive strain, i.e. from 24% at \( \varepsilon = 0.0 \) to 32% at \( \varepsilon = 0.33 \). Compared with the isothermal holding, it is found that the isothermal compression accelerates the austenite precipitation.

Figure 6 compares the austenite axial diffraction peaks obtained at different loading steps (see Fig. 5) during the warm compression at 500°C. Though the austenite (111) peak intensity at \( \varepsilon = 0.05 \) has almost equal intensity and breadth to that at \( \varepsilon = 0.0 \), the (111) lattice plane spacing at \( \varepsilon = 0.05 \ (d_{111} = 0.208428 \pm 0.000013 \text{ nm}) \) is smaller than that at \( \varepsilon = 0.0 \ (d_{111} = 0.208826 \pm 0.000007 \text{ nm}) \). In other words, the lattice strain of austenite, \( e_{111} = (d_{111} - d_{111}^0)/d_{111}^0 \), is about \(-1.906 \times 10^{-6}\), which is comparable with the elastic strain of the specimen estimated by \( e = s/E = -1.781 \times 10^{-6} \) where the external stress \( s \) is about 329.5 MPa. Here the high-temperature Young’s modulus \( E \) is taken as 185 GPa.30) That is to say, the (hkli) peak shifts of austenite related to the lattice strain are mostly due to the external stress.

As the true strain increases to 0.15, the external stress increases only by a little amount (see Fig. 5), so that the amount of further peak shift is very limited (Fig. 7). After this stage, the decreasing external stress with increasing true strain leads to a minor peak shift in the opposite sense. On the other hand, the austenite (111) peak at \( \varepsilon = 0.15 \) splits into two peaks with lower peak intensities (see Fig. 7(c)). However, this peak splitting gradually disappears by \( \varepsilon = 0.33 \), by which stage there is again a single peak with a higher intensity. Considering the peak intensities of such neutron spectra were not strong, the third TOF profile function27 was employed to fit the austenite (111) peaks (see Figs. 7(b), 7(d), 7(f)). The splitting of austenite (111) peak suggests that newly precipitated austenite possesses different carbon and nickel contents from those of the pre-existing austenite. Then, the chemical composition of austenite gradually becomes uniform with increasing compressive strain.

In order to discuss the austenite evolution during warm compression, it is useful to investigate the change in integrated intensities of the (111), (200) and (220) diffraction peaks as determined by single peak fitting. Figure 8 shows the changes in integrated intensity measured in the axial and the radial directions with increasing of compressive strain.

At the beginning of warm compression (\( \varepsilon < -0.07 \), Region Ia), the integrated intensity of the austenite (111) peak decreases and that of the (200) peak increases in the axial direction (Fig. 8(a)) while the austenite (111) peak becomes

---

Fig. 7. Austenite (111) peaks and fitting results: (a, b) non-splitting, \( \varepsilon = 0.0 \); (c, d) peak splitting at \( \varepsilon = 0.15 \); (e, f) disappearance of peak splitting at \( \varepsilon = 0.33 \).
stronger and the (200) peak becomes weaker in the radial direction (Fig. 8(b)). These changes result from the compressive deformation of pre-existing austenite, which is consistent with our previous observation of the change in austenite neutron diffraction peaks for a 2.0Mn–0.2C steel before and after 25% compression in the austenite single phase region (at 720°C).25)

During the approach to the maximum external stress \(\varepsilon=0.07\) \(\langle H_{110}\rangle\,0.07\) \(\langle H_{110}\rangle\,0.13\), Region Ib, the integrated intensity of the austenite (111) peak increases together with the (200) and (220) integrated intensities in the axial direction. However, in the radial direction, the austenite (111) peak becomes weaker while the (200) peak becomes stronger. When the compressive strain exceeds 0.13 \(\langle H_{110}\rangle\,0.13\), the integrated intensity of the austenite (111) peak continues to increase and those of the (200) and (220) peaks decrease evidently in the axial direction, but in the radial direction, the integrated intensities of both of (111) and (200) peaks decrease. Region Ib and Region II are speculated to be related to the dynamic precipitation of new austenite particles with preferred orientation and the selective growth of dynamically precipitated austenite, respectively. The austenite orientation color maps of an \(\langle H_{110}\rangle\,0.6\) warm compressed specimen after 60 min pre-tempering17) (Fig. 9) show that preferably orientated austenite grains exist locally, as marked by white lines.

3.3. Change in Ferrite Diffraction Spectra during Warm Compression

Figure 10 compares the ferrite axial diffraction peaks obtained at different loading steps at 500°C (see Fig. 5). The (110) lattice plane spacing at \(\varepsilon=0.05\) \(\langle d_{110}\rangle\,0.203425\pm0.000007\) nm is smaller than that at \(\varepsilon=0.0\) \(\langle d_{110}\rangle\,0.203780\pm0.0000007\) nm. In other words, the lattice strain of ferrite, \(\varepsilon_{110}=(d_{110}-d_{0110})/d_{0110}\) is about \(-1.742\times10^{-6}\), lower than that of austenite \(\varepsilon_{111}\). The \(hkl\)-specific elastic moduli \(E_{hkl}^{K}\), calculated by the Kröner Modelling Scheme, are 247.9 GPa for the austenite along the [111] direction and 225.5 GPa for the ferrite along the [110] direction,31) respectively. Therefore, the austenite is expected to be subject to a higher average phase stress than the ferrite matrix at the beginning of warm compression, i.e. the pre-existing austenite is harder than the ferrite matrix.

In comparison with diffraction peaks obtained at \(\varepsilon=0.0\), all \((hkl)\) ferrite peaks show clear peak shifts at \(\varepsilon=0.05\) due to the external stress about 329.5 MPa. The ferrite (110) peak intensity decreases substantially and the ferrite (211) and (200) peak intensities decrease significantly. Such change continues to more than \(\varepsilon=0.3\). Referring to the microstructure observation,17) this change is related to the dynamic recrystallization of ferrite.

Figure 11 shows the change in integrated intensities of different diffraction peaks with increasing of the compressive strain, obtained by single peak fitting of neutron spec-
tra measured both in the axial and the radial directions. Before the compressive strain increases to 0.13, i.e., before the true stress reaches the maximum value, the ferrite (110) integrated intensity obtained in the axial direction decreases rapidly but that obtained in the radial direction decreases slowly. When the compressive strain is beyond $\varepsilon = 0.13$, the decrease in ferrite (110) intensity in the axial direction becomes much slower while the decrease in the radial direction accelerates markedly.

For the ferrite (200) and (211) peaks, the integrated intensities obtained in the axial direction increase slowly before $\varepsilon = 0.13$ and then decrease evidently beyond $\varepsilon = 0.13$, but such data obtained in the radial direction show little change during the warm compression. Based on these changes in ferrite crystallographic orientation, the strain corresponding to the maximum true stress can be regarded as the onset strain of dynamic recrystallization.

### 3.4. Effect of the Existence of Austenite Grains on Dynamic Recrystallization of Ferrite

Following the dynamic recrystallization, the flow stress decreases gradually to a stable stress. Since both the external loading and the composition change in constituent phases affect the lattice plane spacings, it is difficult to compare the lattice compressive strains directly during warm compression. Considering that the plastic deformation changes the texture as described above, the texture indexes of the two constituent phases can be used to make an indirect comparison between the plastic strains of austenite and ferrite.

According to Bunge’s definition, the texture index $J$ is a parameter to characterize the sharpness of the texture by the integral of the square of the texture function $f(g)$, without considering the details of the crystallographic orientation distribution:

$$J = \int \left| f(g) \right|^2 dg = \sum_{l,\mu,\nu} \frac{1}{2l+1} |C_{l\mu\nu}^f|^2$$

where $l$, $\mu$, and $\nu$ are the series expansion orders and $C_{l\mu\nu}^f$ is the corresponding expansion coefficient. For a random texture, $J = 1.0$ and for an ideal texture of single orientation, $J \rightarrow \infty$. Generally, heavier plastic deformation leads to sharper texture. In addition, the TOF neutron spectrum measurement covers a wide range of lattice plane spacings which is partially equivalent to measuring one reflection.
The results are as follows:

1. Conclusions

The austenite precipitation and dynamic recrystallization behaviors of the 17Ni–0.2C martensite steel during isothermal warm compression after isothermal holding at 500°C were investigated in situ by the Time-Of-Flight neutron diffraction technique. The main results are as follows:

(1) The neutron diffraction technique can be employed to characterize the microstructure evolution during warm compression, including the austenite precipitation and the dynamic recrystallization.

(2) Warm deformation at 500°C was demonstrated to accelerate the austenite precipitation in 17Ni–0.2C martensite steel. Splitting of the austenite (111) peak was found to occur and then disappear during warm compression. The splitting is ascribed to the different carbon concentrations in the dynamically precipitated austenite and the pre-existing austenite, and the disappearance of splitting is related to carbon homogenization at larger strain.

(3) Based on the axial integrated intensities within the neutron spectra, it is found that the ferrite (110) intensity decreases rapidly and the ferrite (200) and (211) intensities increase slowly during warm compression before dynamic recrystallization; the occurrence of dynamic recrystallization leads to an evident deviation from this trend.

(4) The austenite in the 17Ni–0.2C martensite steel after proper pre-tempering is harder than the ferrite matrix at 500°C. Heterogeneous deformation occurs preferentially in the ferrite, leading to the acceleration of dynamic recrystallization.
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