Effect of Microstructure on Fatigue Strength of Intercritically Austenitized and Austempered Ductile Irons with Dual Matrix Structures
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In the present study the fatigue strength of austempered ductile irons with dual matrix structures (ADI with DMS) has been studied for an unalloyed ductile cast iron. For this purpose, specimens were intercritically austenitized (partially austenitized) in two phase region ($\alpha + \gamma$) at various temperatures ($810^\circ C$, $820^\circ C$ and $830^\circ C$) for 20 minutes and then quenched into salt bath held at austempering temperature of $315^\circ C$ and $375^\circ C$ for 120 minutes and then air cooled to room temperature to obtain various ausferrite volume fractions and their morphologies. Conventionally austempered specimens (austempered from $900^\circ C$) with fully ausferritic matrix and unalloyed as cast specimens having ferrit + pearlite structures were also tested for a comparison. Rotating bending fatigue test were carried out on the experimental results showed that, in ADI with DMS, volume fraction of ausferrite and continuity of ausferritic structure along intercellular boundaries play important role in determining fatigue strength. The fatigue strength of these specimens increases with increasing ausferrite volume fraction. The fatigue strength was correlated with the ausferrite volume fraction and high carbon austenite and its carbon content. Conventionally austempered specimens exhibited much greater fatigue strength than ADI with DMS specimens.
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1. Introduction

In the newly developed ductile cast iron with dual matrix structure,1–20) the structure consists of proeutectoid ferrite, and ausferrite (bainitic ferrite and high carbon austenite (it is also called retained, stable or transformed austenite) or martensite. Therefore it is also called Dual Matrix Structure (DMS).1–11)

Austempered ductile iron (ADI) with DMS has been found to be validated for suspension parts of the automotive, owing to its greater ductility than the conventionally heat treated austempered ductile iron. This material showed tensile and proof stress, together with hardness, similar to pearlitic grades, and ductility at the same level as ferritic grades.1–4)

The fatigue properties of ductile iron and ADI have been studied by many investigators and several studies have been reported the relationship between fatigue strength and austempered microstructure.21–41) The fatigue strength of ADI was superior to those of ferritic, pearlitic and quenched and tempered grades.21,34–37) The literature on fatigue properties of ADI presents that the chemical composition, austenization and austempering temperatures are important parameters to control of the fatigue strength of ADI.21–41)

Authors had examined the phase transformations, microstructure, mechanical properties (tensile and hardness) and wear behavior of ADI with DMS comprehensively.4–20) Fatigue properties of ADI with DMS matrix was studied by Verdu et al.41) reported prior to 2005. They produce DMS matrix in ADI using short austenitization time (incomplete austenitization) following by austempering in their research. Researchers emphasized that the dual matrix ADI having considerable higher fatigue properties than as cast ductile cast irons.42)

No efforts have so far been made to optimize the fatigue properties of ADI with DMS by controlling the proeutectoid ferrite (PFVF) and ausferrite volume fraction (AFVF) by austempering from intercritical austenitization temperature (ICAT) range.

In the present study, the influence of an ICAT of $810^\circ C$, $820^\circ C$ and $830^\circ C$ and a conventional austenitizing temperature of $900^\circ C$ and different austempering temperatures ($315^\circ C$ and $375^\circ C$) on microstructure and fatigue properties of unalloyed ductile iron has been investigated.

2. Experimental Details

The chemical composition of as cast unalloyed ductile iron used in the present study is given in Table 1.

The ductile iron was produced in a medium frequency induction furnace in a commercial foundry. The tundish cover ladle method was used to treat a 500 kg melt of iron with 6–7%Mg containing ferrosilicon alloy at 1450°C.
Final inoculation was carried out with a 75% ferrosilicon alloy. The melt at the temperature between 1400 and 1450°C was cast into Y block sand moulds, which were prepared in accordance with ISO 1083. As cast material had ferrite + pearlite and spheroidal graphite structure (Fig. 1).

The preliminary investigation was to determine the dependence of the austenite (martensite at room temperature) volume fraction on intercritical austenitizing temperatures (ICAT). For this purpose, specimens 12 × 12 × 10 mm thick machined from the bottom section of Y-blocks were austenitized for 20 min in air at a series of temperatures from 770 to 850°C (Ae1 and upper critical temperature respectively; see Fig. 2) and then quenched into water at room temperature. The subcritical temperature (Ae1) limits were predicted from the empirical formulae of Andrews.43) Both the subcritical and upper critical temperature limits were obtained experimentally by increasing the intercritical austenitizing temperature from well below the calculated Ae1 temperature to well above upper critical temperature step by step and then water quenching the specimen from these temperatures and measuring the martensite volume fractions. Austenite formed during intercritical austenitizing was assumed to transform to martensite. The martensite volume fraction was determined by point counting on metallographic sections etched in 2% nital. As a result of this preliminary study, three intercritical temperatures of 810, 820, and 830°C, corresponding to 43, 52.5 and 79.8% austenite volume fraction (Fig. 2) were selected for a detailed study of the effect of parent austenite dispersion at ICAT and austempering time on the development of the DMS with different microstructural refinements.

The as cast specimens were intercritically austenitized at the ICAT of 810°C, 820°C, and 830°C for 20 minutes and then rapidly transformed to a salt bath containing 50% KNO3 + 50% NaNO 3 held at the 315°C and 375°C for austempering for 120 minutes to produce DMS with different ausferrite volume fractions (AFVF). The austempering time 120 min were selected because of the previous studies showed that the optimum tensile and ductility combinations of ADI with DMS obtained this austempering time.4,5,9,10) It may be interpreted as a useful range or process window for present ADI to obtain ideal ausferritic structure.

As cast specimens were also heat-treated at the conventional austenitizing temperature of 900°C for 90 min and then austempering at the same austempering temperature for comparison. Figure 3 provides a summary of heat treatments. Throughout these heat treatments, the temperature of each specimen was monitored by a thermocouple spot-welded to the centre of one of its faces.

The relative amounts of the high carbon austenite and total ferrite volume fractions in all heat treated specimens were measured by X-Ray diffraction following the standard procedure.44,45) X-ray diffraction profiles were obtained on a Bruker D8 X-ray diffractometer at 40 kV and 20 mA using monochromated Cu Kα radiation (λ = 1.54056 Å). The specimens were scanned in the 2θ range of 40–100° at a scanning speed of 0.05°/min. The integrated areas of both austenite (220) and (311) and ferrite (211) peaks were used for determination of volume fraction of austenite and ferrite. The carbon concentration in the austenite was calculated from its lattice parameter using a relationship of Roberts.46)

The ausferrite volume fraction (AFVF) and proeutectoid
ferrite volume fraction (PFVF) calculated by point counting on etched (2% nital) metallographic sections. The results of microstructural constituent proportions are listed in Table 2. Between 1000 and 2000 points were counted to keep the standard error of the volume fraction of phases below 6%. The nodule count and the nodularity were measured at 210 mm$^{-2}$ and 94% respectively.

Specimens are coded according to austenitizing temperature and austempering temperature. For example, in specimen code 830-375, 830 stands for austenitizing temperature and 375 corresponds to the austempering temperature.

Following the microstructural studies, fatigue test specimens were machined in accordance with ISO 1143 standard from the bottom section of Y block (Fig. 4(a)). Fatigue specimens (Fig. 4(b)) machined after heat treatments to remove any decarburized layer. Metallographic observations showed that similar microstructures produced in 5 mm thick specimens for each series were similar to those produced in the corresponding fatigue test specimens.

After machining the fatigue test specimen, hand polishing was carried out to use fine grades of emery paper vertically followed 3μm diamond paste until all circumferential markings were removed. Fatigue tests were carried out at room temperature in air using a rotating bending fatigue machine at a frequency of 3000 rpm (50 Hz). All tests were performed at a stress ratio of $R = \text{minimum stress/maximum stress} = -1$. At least ten specimens for each heat treated condition were tested at sufficient stress levels to obtain reliable S-N curves and fatigue strengths. The specimen unbroken to $10^7$ cycles showed with arrow in graphics. The fatigue strength of specimens was defined as the highest stress level at which no failure occurred after $10^7$ cycles.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Microstructural Examinations

During austempering process, ADI undergoes a two stage transformation reactions. In the first stage the parent austenite $\gamma$ decomposes into bainitic ferrite $\alpha_b$ and high carbon austenite $\gamma_{hc}$ (retained austenite or transformed stable austenite). During the second stage, this supersaturated high carbon austenite further decomposes into bainitic ferrite and carbides. The desired microstructure of the austempered ductile iron is bainitic ferrite and high carbon austenite, commonly called ausferrite. This desired ausferrite microstructure is obtained at the austempering time interval between end of stage I and at the beginning of stage II reactions. This austempering time interval is called processing window. The ductile cast iron with this desired ausferrite microstructure displays optimum mechanical properties.\textsuperscript{3,4,10,16,39-51}

The notable feature of intercritical austenitizing heat treatment comparing conventional austenitizing heat treatment ones is that in the austenite + ferrite region, the austenite volume fraction and its carbon content depends on the ICAT. When the ICAT increases the ausferrite volume fraction and the high carbon austenite volume fraction and its carbon content increases (Figs. 5 and 6) and proeutectoid ferrite volume fraction (PFVF) decreases (Fig. 7). This result is in good agreement with the existing literature.\textsuperscript{4-15}

Austempering from different ICATS produced a DMS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Specimen Code</th>
<th>Austempering Temperature (°C)</th>
<th>Ausferrite volume fraction$^1$ (vol.-%)</th>
<th>Proeutectoid volume fraction$^1$ (vol.-%)</th>
<th>Graphite volume fraction$^1$ (vol.-%)</th>
<th>High-carbon Austenite volume fraction$^2$ ($X_{\gamma}$) (vol.-%)</th>
<th>Austenite Carbon content$^2$ ($X_{\gamma}C_{\gamma}$) (wt.-%)</th>
<th>Fatigue strength (MPa)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>As-cast</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>5.5 *</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>230</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>810-375</td>
<td>375</td>
<td>40.4</td>
<td>47.4</td>
<td>12.2</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>1.295</td>
<td>0.076</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>810-315</td>
<td>315</td>
<td>22.6</td>
<td>65.2</td>
<td>12.2</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>1.263</td>
<td>0.048</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>820-375</td>
<td>375</td>
<td>47.7</td>
<td>40.1</td>
<td>12.2</td>
<td>8.3</td>
<td>1.347</td>
<td>0.112</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>820-315</td>
<td>315</td>
<td>30.9</td>
<td>56.9</td>
<td>12.2</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>1.305</td>
<td>0.084</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>830-375</td>
<td>375</td>
<td>70.9</td>
<td>16.9</td>
<td>12.2</td>
<td>14.5</td>
<td>1.529</td>
<td>0.222</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>830-315</td>
<td>315</td>
<td>54.9</td>
<td>32.9</td>
<td>12.2</td>
<td>10.9</td>
<td>1.416</td>
<td>0.154</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>900-375</td>
<td>375</td>
<td>87.8</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>12.2</td>
<td>35.5</td>
<td>1.976</td>
<td>0.701</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>900-315</td>
<td>315</td>
<td>87.8</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>12.2</td>
<td>29.8</td>
<td>1.817</td>
<td>0.541</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^1$ Point counting measurements

$^2$ X-RD measurements

* Pearlite volume fraction
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consisting of ausferrite + proeutectoid ferrite at the speci-
mens with different AFVF restricted to eutectic cell bound-
aries and an isolated or continuous network of ausferritic
structure along the eutectic cell boundary formed depending
on AFVF (Figs. 8 to 10). Eutectic cell boundaries are the
potent sites for austenite nucleation. 4,10) AFVF was also
depended on austempering temperatures. From the same
ICAT austempering at 375°C produced higher AFVF than
austempering at 315°C (Figs. 8 to 10).

Conventional austempering heat treatment of ADI
involves heating the ductile iron up to 875–925°C (in this
study 900°C) and then rapidly cooling to the austempering
temperature and holding at this temperature for various
times and then air cooling to room temperature. Microstruc-
ture of conventional austempered specimens were showed
in Fig. 11. In the conventionally austempered specimen 900
(87.8 AFVF), austempering for 120 min produced a typical
ausferritic structure throughout the specimen without mar-

Fig. 5. Variation of microstructural constituents and austenite carbon content with austenitization temperatures following austempering at 315°C.

Fig. 6. Variation of microstructural constituents and austenite carbon content with austenitization temperatures following austempering at 375°C.

Fig. 7. The relationship between proeutectoid ferrite volume fraction and intercritical austenitization temperatures.

Fig. 8. Microstructure of specimens; a) 810-315 and b) 810-375 (AF: Ausferrite and α: Proeutectoid Ferrite).

Fig. 9. Microstructure of specimens; a) 820-315 and b) 820-375 (AF: Ausferrite and α: Proeutectoid Ferrite).
tensite (Fig. 11).

Some alloying elements like Si and Mn are inherently present in ADI. It is already known that Si concentrates near a graphite nodule and Mn partitions into a eutectic cell boundary. Mn reduces the activity of carbon and encourages austenite nucleation (an austenite stabilizer). Therefore it is reasonable to assume that eutectic cell region is the most potent site for austenite nucleation. The reason for the absence of formation of austenite near graphite nodules may be attributable to the effect of Si segregation on the reduction of the austenite formation rate.

Fig. 10. Microstructure of specimens; a) 830-315 and b) 830-375 (AF: Ausferrite and α: Preeutectoid Ferrite).

Fig. 11. Microstructure of specimens; a) 900-315 and b) 900-375 (AF: Ausferrite).

Fig. 12. S-N curves of specimens; a) 810-315 and 810-375, b) 820-315 and 820-375, c) 830-315 and 830-375, d) 900-315 and 900-375.
3.2. The Fatigue Properties of ADI with DMS

The S-N curves of tested specimens are given in Fig. 12. The experimental results showed that the fatigue strength of specimens reflects the variations in microstructures. As cast specimen having fully ferritic matrix (Fig. 1) exhibited the lowest fatigue strength compared to the other tested specimens (Figs. 12(a) to 12(d)).

Fatigue strengths of ADI with DMS specimens were dependent on variations in ausferrite and proeutectoid ferrite volume fractions and carbon content of high carbon austenite. In this specimens fatigue strength increases with increasing ausferrite volume fractions (Fig. 13). As mentioned before the AFVF increased with increasing ICAT and austempering temperature (Figs. 8–10). In the case of increasing AFVF the continuity of ausferrite structure along intercellular boundaries becomes more obvious (Figs. 8–10). The continuity of ausferrite structure plays an important role in determining of fatigue behavior of ADI with DMS. When continuity of ausferrite structures increases fracture pattern change from ductile to brittle.

As mentioned in section 3.1, ADI with DMS specimens, high carbon austenite volume fractions and its carbon content increases with increasing austenization and austempering temperature (see Figs. 5–6 and Table 2). Some authors\textsuperscript{38,39} evaluated the fatigue strength of ADI by using $X_\gamma C_\gamma$ parameter where $X_\gamma$ stands for high carbon austenite volume fraction and $C_\gamma$ corresponds to austenite carbon content. In this study, the variation of fatigue strengths with parameter $X_\gamma C_\gamma$ is shown Fig. 14. This result is in good agreement with previous studies.\textsuperscript{38,39}

The effects of proeutectoid ferrite volume fraction (PFVF) on fatigue strength of specimens are given in Fig. 15. As can be seen from this figure fatigue strength decreases with increasing PFVF’s. The lower fatigue strengths of specimens with high PFVF may be attributed to ductile nature of ferrite. The crack propagates preferably in ferrite.\textsuperscript{20}

Conventionally austempered specimen at 900°C without proeutectoid ferrite exhibited higher fatigue strength than all those tested specimens. This result arose from the highest volume fraction of high carbon austenite and its carbon content (Figs. 5–6 and Table 2). Maximum fatigue strength was achieved with austempering treatments, which gave maximum high carbon austenite volume fraction.\textsuperscript{38,39,48–50}

3.3. Fractographic Examinations

Fractographs of the ADI with DMS specimens are shown in Fig. 16. The graphite is generally a source a crack initi-
at the graphite-matrix interfaces at low levels of strain of ADI.\(^{32}\) Some decohesion between graphite-matrix interfaces and extensive crack branching was also observed (Fig. 17). Results of earlier studies support this fracture behavior of ADI.\(^{31,32}\) Decohesion formation between graphite-matrix interfaces is attributed to mismatch in mechanical properties of graphite and matrix structure. Lin et al. reported that decohesion takes place at the graphite-matrix interfaces at low levels of strain of ADI.\(^{32}\)

4. Conclusion

(1) By keeping the intercritical austenization and austempering time, the phase volume fractions in austempered ductile iron can be controlled precisely following austempering from intercritical austenitizing temperatures range.

(2) Fatigue strengths of austempered specimens increase with increase of ausferrite volume fraction and also \(X_C\),\(Y_C\) parameter.

(3) The continuity of ausferritic structure along intercellular boundaries plays an important role in determining fatigue behavior of ADI with DMS. In the case of increasing continuity of ausferritic structure, fracture pattern changed from ductile to brittle.

(4) Conventionally austempered specimens exhibited much greater fatigue strength than all specimens austempered from intercritical austenitization temperatures ranges.
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