Development on Iron Ore Pelletization Using Calcined Lime and MgO Combined Flux Replacing Limestone and Bentonite
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CaO and MgO bearing fluxes are widely used in sinters and pellet to improve their basicity and other properties viz. strength and physico-chemical properties especially, for lowering reduction degradation index of high alumina ore agglomerate. Limestone (CaCO3 mineral) is presently used as CaO input in agglomeration. Endothermic calcination of CaCO3 at elevated temperature is energy consuming and kinetic driven process. Grinding to powder also consumes energy. In contrary, calcined lime is relatively softer and disintegrates on hydration. Calcined and hydrated lime both has very good green bonding property also. Though, calcined/hydrated lime is used in sintering replacing limestone, it is hardly used in pelletization. This is because of facing problems viz. crack formation in pellet, loss in bonding efficiency of bentonite, handling of hydrated lime etc. However, suitable adjustment of flux composition and process parameters can make the calcined lime usable in pelletization as advantage. This study is concentrated on optimization of MgO (olivine) flux addition and basicity and finally used calcined lime in place of limestone in developing good quality iron ore pellets with combined flux. It is found that calcined lime fluxed pellet without bentonite and limestone shows very good dry compressive strength (3.5 kg/pellet) and improved green compressive strength (1.5 kg/pellet), drop numbers (12 Nos), cold crushing strength (310 kg/pellet) and reduction properties. The complete replacement of limestone and bentonite is found with better pellet properties. The bentonite elimination will help minimizing alumina and silica input in pellet and reduce the cost in pelletization.
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1. Introduction

In blast furnace (BF) iron making lime stone is used as source of CaO to neutralize the all acidic oxides present in iron ore and make fluid slag of suitable basicity. Limestone is the most common source of CaO, abundantly available and it has very good strength properties also which make it suitable for using in blast furnace. At present, the prepared raw materials (agglomerate) viz. sinters and pellets are used in BF in a significant quantity. A certain percentage of lime is used in these prepared raw materials and the limestone charging in blast furnace is partially replaced. The presence of CaO in the agglomerate also improves its strength and other physical and physico-chemical properties which are found to be more suitable in blast furnace operation.

But this lime input in pellet and sinter is mainly done through direct lime stone addition of desired size. In sintering, calcined lime charging of around 1–2% has been done by several investigators1,2) and it is a useful practice in many steel plants. Most of them have found very good performance in micro balling in secondary drum, sinter quality and productivity improvement, better performance of strand, enhancing fines utilization etc.

In pelletization mainly limestone is used as source of CaO. Its calcination is happened at elevated temperature during induration of pellet and provides CaO to form several calcium containing slag phases (Ca-ferrites or silicates) that improves slag bonding and pellet properties. However, calcination is kinetic driving process and it consumes energy for endothermic reaction. It has hardly any green bonding property. Grinding of lime stone to suitable size also require high energy. In contrary, calcined lime is relatively softer it is a very good bonding material in green condition.3) Calcined lime becomes hydroxide when it gets moisture during mix preparation for agglomerate and degrades in to very fine powder and homogeneously distributes throughout the mix. This distribution of very fine materials over the grain surface in conjunction with water helps improving cohesive-ness of particles and form bonding.

If calcined lime/hydroxide lime is used in place of lime stone, its above character may help improving properties of green pellet as well as idurated pellet. Earlier investigators4–11) have studied on the use of hydroxide lime in iron ore pellets and found some encouraging results. De Sauja4) has shown
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the advantages of using hydrated lime in pelletization and reported to achieve average 367 kg cold crushing strength (CCS), 18.5% swelling index and 69% reducibility index of indurated pellets. Very high green compressive strength (4 kg/pellet) drop numbers (10.4) and dry compressive strength has been reported by Eisele and Kawatra when hydroxide lime is used. However, some investigators have observed severe cracking in green and dry pellets made with hydroxide lime. They have mentioned that the cracks are due to the loss of plasticity on the use of high amount of hydrates. It is envisaged from the reported literature that calcined lime or hydrated lime has advantages in providing good bonding to the green pellet as well as fired pellets, due to some disadvantages, such as cracks formation in green, dry or indurated pellets or deterioration of some other properties this is not widely used by the pellet plants till date. The above problems observed by different investigators are may be due to the unsuitable operating parameters (making technique, induration temperature etc) or improper chemistry of the pellets. For examples: inadequate hydration of calcined lime before pelletization that generates cracks, unsuitable basicity etc. may be mentioned. Further, high alumina hematite pellets like Noamundi ore pellet shows very high RDI which requires MgO bearing flux addition. Therefore, it is necessary to optimize the pellet chemistry and maintain suitable operation condition to get the advantage of calcined lime. Furthermore, in most of the above studies investigators have used bentonite also with calcined lime. Since, calcined lime decreases the bonding efficiency of bentonite but, there is a good strength property of calcined lime, it may be thought to eliminate bentonite in pellet fully. This elimination may reduce the silica and alumina input in pellets with bentonite which, is a good advantage for using in downstream process of blast furnace iron making and lowering in slag volume.

In order to alleviate the above problems, in current study, suitable pellet chemistry viz. MgO content, basicity etc has been optimized first with experimental study using olivine as MgO source and limestone as CaO source and bentonite as binder. Subsequently, limestone and bentonite has been replaced fully by adding calcined lime or hydrated lime maintaining the other parameters same as optimized. Since the hydrated lime handling becomes difficult in actual process, calcined lime (CaO) has been used here and sufficient water is added in the green mixing drum to make green mix containing 5–7% free moisture in it. The hydration of calcined lime is possible in the mixing drum and it will disintegrate into very fine powder of Ca(OH)2. Therefore, this study mainly aims at the use of calcined lime in place of limestone eliminating the use of bentonite for development of good quality iron ore pellets.

### 2. Experimental

Hematite iron ore fines of Noamundi, India have been used as raw materials. Olivine has been used as MgO source in some experiments, limestone or calcined lime has been used as CaO input to increase the basicity of pellets and coke breeze is used as carbon source. All the raw materials and additives have been received from Tata Steel, India. The size fraction of iron ore fines prepared for pelletization is shown in Table 1. Chemical analysis of iron ore and fluxes including bentonite are shown in Tables 2 and 3 respectively. The used coke fines of −0.149 mm size, contains 85% fix C, 13% ash, 1% moisture and 1% VM.

The iron ore fines has been mixed with bentonite, coke powder and fluxes in a rotary cone mixer with addition of moisture for hydration of calcined lime and moistening the mix to 7% of free moisture content. The mixture is then pelletized with requisite quantity of additional water in a disc pelletizer having 700 mm disc dia. The pellet size is maintained between +8 mm to −15 mm. The percentages of different materials added for blending with iron ore in different types of pellets made along with their group codes are

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Materials</th>
<th>Fe2O3</th>
<th>FeO</th>
<th>SiO2</th>
<th>Al2O3</th>
<th>CaO</th>
<th>MgO</th>
<th>P</th>
<th>S</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Noamundi iron ore fines</td>
<td>93.05</td>
<td>65.28</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.028</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.081</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. Chemical analysis of iron ore fines, wt%.

Table 2. Chemical analysis of iron ore fines, wt%.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Flux material</th>
<th>MgO</th>
<th>CaO</th>
<th>SiO2</th>
<th>Al2O3</th>
<th>FeO</th>
<th>Fe2O3</th>
<th>Na2O</th>
<th>Mn</th>
<th>LOI</th>
<th>moisture</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Olivine</td>
<td>48.10</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>40.3</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>9.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Limestone</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>50.51</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>42.90</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calcined lime</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>95.1</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>1.12</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>9.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bentonite</td>
<td>2.57</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>60.84</td>
<td>17.81</td>
<td>1.92</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>9.59</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
shown in Table 4. This is estimated based on desired content of C, MgO and basicity. The chemical conditions of different types of pellets made in different groups are shown in the Table 5, which are calculated based on the blend composition. While the Gr. Code A1 represents the pellets compositions made with varying MgO content, A2 represents the pellets compositions made with varying basicity. B1 and B2 represent the pellets made with hydroxide lime without C and with C, respectively.

After preparation, the green pellets have been discharged and subjected to tests viz. green compressive strength (GCS), green drop strength number (GDSN), dry compressive strength (DCS) and moisture content.

GCS has been measured just after making green pellets using Hounsfield material testing machine. The green drop strength number has been conventionally measured by repeatedly dropping an individual green pellet upon a mild steel plate from a conventional height of 450 mm. The green pellets have been dried in an oven at 110°C for four hours. The moisture content of pellet has been measured by the weight loss suffered by a representative sample (20–25 g) of the green pellet on heating in an oven at 110°C for 4 hours to ensure constant weight. DCS of oven dried pellets has been measured in Hounsfield material testing machine (Model: H 10K-S) interfaced with PC and data acquisition system. All the GCS, GDSN and DCS reported are the average value of minimum 20 Nos of pellets with reproducibility of ±5%.

The pellets have been indurated (in varying capacity of 0.1 kg to 1.5 kg per batch) in an electrically heated chamber furnace (Mo–Si2 heating element) of hot zone 400 × 300 × 300 mm in varying temperature (1 250–1 300°C) and induration time (10–25 min) using inconel/mullite crucible.

After cooling of indurated pellets, the cold crushing strength (CCS) has been measured using Hounsfield’s materials testing machine (Model: H 10K-S) as per standard: ISO 4700. Apparent porosity (standard IS: 1528, part-VIII-1974) of indurated pellets has been measured in kerosene medium. Extent of reduction of ore in gaseous reducing atmosphere at elevated temperature, i.e. reducibility index (RI) of pellets in this study has been measured as per standard: JIS: M 8713-2000. Swelling index (SI) i.e. percentage volume expansion after reduction at 900°C has been measured as per standard: IS: 8624-1995. After 3 hrs of reduction in gas mixture of 30% CO and 70% N2 at 900°C, volume change of pellet has been measured by mercury displacement method. The reduction degradation index (RDI) of several indurated pellets has been measured as per standard: JIS: M 8720-2001.

In order to study the existence of several phases, XRD analyses of indurated pellets after making powders of it (–0.149 mm) has been carried out for phase analysis by a Siemens D500 X-ray diffractometer using Cu-Kα radiation. The scanning speed has been maintained at 2θ, 1°/min. The existence of several phases has been identified by JCPDS files.

Selected samples has been observed under the optical microscope (LEICA, DM 2500 M) and Scanning Electron Microscope, in order to study the distribution of phases and pores.

3. Results and Discussion

Table 4. Blending condition of different types of pellets made.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pellets code</th>
<th>Bentonite, %</th>
<th>Desired MgO %</th>
<th>Olivine added, %</th>
<th>Desired Basicity, CaO/SiO2 ratio</th>
<th>Lime used, %</th>
<th>Carbon</th>
<th>Equivalent coke, %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A1-a</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A1-b</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A1-c</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>1.16</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A1-d</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>2.08</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A2-a</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>2.06</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A2-b</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>2.08</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A2-c</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>2.12</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>3.02</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A2-d</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>2.13</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A3</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>2.08</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>2.08</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>0.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>2.08</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5. Chemical condition of mix for different types of pellets made (dry basis) based on the blend composition, wt%.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pellet code</th>
<th>CaO</th>
<th>SiO2</th>
<th>Al2O3</th>
<th>MgO</th>
<th>Basicity (CaO/SiO2)</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>Fe-oxide plus other minor gangue</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A1-a</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>1.64</td>
<td>2.55</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>Rest</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A1-b</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>1.92</td>
<td>2.53</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>Rest</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A1-c</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>2.07</td>
<td>2.52</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>Rest</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A1-d</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>2.41</td>
<td>2.51</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>Rest</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A2-a</td>
<td>0.242</td>
<td>2.42</td>
<td>2.52</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>Rest</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A2-b</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>2.41</td>
<td>2.51</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>Rest</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A2-c</td>
<td>1.56</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>2.47</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>Rest</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A2-d</td>
<td>1.91</td>
<td>2.39</td>
<td>2.46</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>Rest</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A3</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>2.36</td>
<td>2.49</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B1</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>2.18</td>
<td>2.46</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B2</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>2.24</td>
<td>2.47</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>Rest</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
contains very high alumina and it has adverse ratio of silica to alumina due to which it shows very high RDI in acidic as well as basic pellets. To reduce this RDI, MgO containing flux is useful as has been mentioned in Sec 1. Therefore, before assessing the performance of hydroxide lime, optimum requirement of MgO content and basicity is necessary. Performance of hydroxide lime flux has been examined at the optimum level of MgO and basicity found from this study.

3.1. Effect of MgO in Pellets

The study has been carried out with varying MgO (olivine) content of pellet (Gr. Code: A1) with constant carbon content of 1.0%, basicity of 0.25 indurating at 1280°C for 10 min. The CaO and SiO₂ content of different pellets mix with varying MgO is presented in Table 5. With increase in olivine percentage up to 1.16% strength increases monotonically as shown in Fig. 1. MgO generally does not increase the strength but since olivine contains a significant percentage of silica, it helps facilitating slag bond and increase the CCS of the pellet.

Figure 2 shows that RDI decreases with increase in olivine content and it comes to the very low level of around 11% with 2.08% olivine (1.0% MgO). However, pellets without olivine show excessively high RDI that may not be suitable for blast furnace application.

High RDI may be ascribed to the transformation of hexagonal hematite into cubic magnetite at low temperature (500–650°C). It creates volume expansion of 24% and severe distortion of the lattice. Due to this lattice distortion, the internal stresses is developed and acts towards certain planes and causes the cracks to happen in brittle matrix especially in grain boundaries. High Al₂O₃ in ore increases the viscosity of the melt phase in pellet due to its high melting temperature. As per Lu et al. increase in Al₂O₃ increases the pore area and provides irregular pore shape and thus attributes high RDI due to the presence of alumina as solute in hematite. Pimenta and Seshadri also reported that Al₂O₃ diffuses in the hematite crystal during induration at high temperature to form solid solution. During reduction at low temperature, hematite with diffused Al₂O₃ generates magnetite phase with distorted structure. This phenomenon and volumetric change of the hematite crystal with structural deformation can promote crack initiation and propagation leading to disintegrate the ferrous agglomerates viz. sinter, pellets. Since the present ore is very rich in Al₂O₃ resulting high Al₂O₃/SiO₂ (~1.78) ratio. This high ratio makes the melt phase viscous, increases brittleness of melt phase on cooling and forms distorted magnetite phase during reduction at low temperature resulting very high RDI of pellet.

Increasing MgO content leads to more magnetite and less hematite in sinter/pellet (Lu et al.), because, MgO in iron oxide crystal forms magnetite spinel during cooling and solidification. It may cause less strain in pellet during reduction. Since the Noamundi ore contains very low SiO₂ (1.4%) and high alumina, Das et al. also suggested to use magnesium silicate flux (olivine, pyroxenite etc) to get excellent benefit in lowering of RDI. The XRD pattern of 2.08% olivine (i.e. 1%MgO) added indurated pellet is shown in Fig. 3 which contains mainly Fe₂O₃, CaFeO₄, MgFeAlO₄ and CaFeSiO₄ phases. Though, the separate magnetite phase has not been found in XRD, the MgFeAlO₄ spinel phase has been observed. Further, microstructure under optical microscope is shown in Fig. 4 wherein, hematite phase, magnesio ferrite (spinel phase), slag phase and porosity are observed. Therefore, when MgO content of the pellet has been increased by adding olivine, the RDI has been drastically reduced as shown in Fig. 2. In order to get a good RDI, 1.16–2.1% olivine addition equivalent to 0.6–1% MgO is necessary.

RI of pellet is more or less similar for the different olivine content of pellets as shown in Table 6. Apparent porosity is nearly constant irrespective of MgO content as shown in

![Fig. 1. Effect of olivine (MgO) on CCS of indurated pellet.](image1)

![Fig. 2. Effect of olivine (MgO) on RDI of indurated pellets.](image2)

![Fig. 3. XRD pattern of 1% MgO pellets (B 0.25).](image3)
Fig. 4. Optical microstructure of olivine (1% MgO) containing pellet at 500× (1%C and B = 0.25).

Fig. 5. Effect of olivine (MgO) on apparent porosity of pellets.

Table 6. Other properties of olivine fluxed pellets.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Olivine, MgO, %</th>
<th>C, %</th>
<th>CaO, %</th>
<th>SiO2, %</th>
<th>Basicity</th>
<th>Indurated at, °C</th>
<th>RI, %</th>
<th>Swelling Index, %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>1.92</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>1280</td>
<td>76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.16</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>2.07</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>1280</td>
<td>73.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.08</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>2.41</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>1280</td>
<td>75.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.2. Effect of Basicity

In order to study the effect of basicity, the varying limestone added pellets (Gr. Code: A2) with 1% C and 1% MgO are considered. Basic pellets in all the basicity range shows acceptable green pellets properties (GCS, DCS and GDSN are above 1.2 kg/pellet, 4.5 kg/pellet and 12 Nos drops, respectively). The CCS of indurated (at 1280°C for 10 min) lime stone fluxed pellets increases with increase in basicity of pellets as shown in Fig. 6. This is because of increasing amount of lime may increase the Ca-oxide rich slag bond. Both CaFeO4 and CaFeSiO4 low melting slag phase formation has been found in XRD pattern of CaO added pellets as shown in Figs. 3 and 7 for 0.25 and 0.8 basicity, respectively. However, the differences in amount of these phases between the pellets of two basicity levels are not clearly distinguishable from XRD patterns. The SEM micro structures of both low and high basicity pellets are shown in Figs. 8 and 9. Light grey phases indicate hematite grains. It also indicates a significant amount of slag phase (dark grey). EDS analysis of slag phases shows Ca, Si, Mg and iron containing phases. It is also depicted from the Figs. 8 and 9 that Ca percentage in slag shows higher (25–30%) and Si is lower in high basicity than low basicity pellet. This indicates formation of higher amount of Ca-rich phases like CaFeO4 along with CaFeSiO4 (kirschsteinite glass). This may be the reason to form good slag bonding at high basicity region and increasing CCS.
Effect of basicity on RDI of pellets has been presented in Fig. 10. RDI decreases first up to around 0.25 basicity then increases, i.e. minimum are found at 0.25 basicity. While, pellet with low basicity (0.25–0.5) form high silicate glassy phase, the pellet with higher basicity form high calcium glassy phase. The silicate glass phases decrease with increase in pellet basicity. During reduction at lower temperature, the silicate glassy slag in form of plastic state reduce the stress generated due to hematite to magnetite transformation. Thus, the high silicate glass phase containing pellets do not disintegrate on reduction at low temperature. This may be one reason of getting lower RDI at 0.25 basicity level than higher basicity pellets. However, these phases are not separately discernible from XRD.

Further, the optical microstructure of varying basicity is presented in Fig. 11. Figure depicts that higher amount of pore formation in high basicity (B = 0.8) than at lower basicity of pellet. Though the apparent porosity (Table 7) has been found to be nearly same in 0.25 and 0.8 basicity, the microstructure of 0.8 basicity shows large amount of pores. This may be due to the higher amount of closed pores formation during induration in high basicity region. Umadevi et al. reported that CaCO3 in limestone particle in pellet decomposed to CaO and CO2 gas. Then the produced porous and cracked CaO is dissolved by forming Calcium ferrite, SCA or SFCA phases leaving a pore on that place. This pore may be closed or open. Although in this study, the difference in apparent porosity between limestone added and calcined lime added pellet of similar basicity is not clearly found that may be due to closed pore formation. However, it is evident from the Fig. 11 that higher lime stone containing pellet has higher porosity than lower limestone containing pellet. This increase in porosity at the high basicity presumably reduce the particle contact area and weaken the pellets to withstand the stress due to expansion during reduction at 550–600°C. This may be another reason of higher RDI in high basicity pellet. CCS would have been affected by increasing porosity of pellet at high basicity. However, it is not happened in this study that may be due to the increasing amount of slag formation for high amount of lime stone addition. The advantage of high amount of slag phase in high basicity pellet is not so significant in case of RDI because of high calcium glassy phase formation which is less susceptible to withstand stress due to volume expansion than high silicate gassy phase as discussed earlier.

RI and swelling properties are acceptable for low as well as high basicity pellets as shown in Table 7. The swelling index is slightly higher in 0.8 basicity than 0.25 basicity. One more problem with high basicity is the crack formation.
Umadevi et al.\(^{18}\) has found in high basicity pellets that the conversion of glass phase to the crystallized wollastonite phase happens during reduction at around 800–900°C and during conversion, cracks forms over the entire pellet. This may also be the reason of comparatively higher swelling index in 0.8 basicity pellets (i.e high CaO content). These cracks after reduction may deteriorate the strength at the high temperature zone of blast furnace. Therefore, in order to get all the properties in optimum level, basicity is advisable to maintain at around 0.25.

### 3.3. Use of Calcined Lime in Pellets

This study has been carried out with the above optimized MgO content (1%) and basicity (0.25) using calcined lime as flux to explore the possibility of using it in place of limestone. The chemical compositions of pellets mix without C and with C (Gr. code B1 and B2) is shown in the Table 5. Calcined lime gets hydrated and form hydroxide during preparation of the moist mix that may have good bonding property. However, as calcined/hydrated lime deteriorate the efficiency of bentonite,\(^8\) no bentonite has been used in this study for the purpose of assessing the green and dry strength properties of pellet with only lime as binder. The green properties of pellets with limestone plus bentonite (Gr. Code: A3) and calcined lime (Gr. Code: B1) is shown in Table 8. Calcined lime pellet shows higher green compressive strength and drop strength than the limestone added pellet though no bentonite has been used. This is because of the hydration of calcined lime form Ca(OH)\(_2\). Ca-hydroxide has ability to disperse into very small particles in moist medium which is accompanied by an increase in the contact of the combined water and exhibit the strength of the pellet.\(^8\) In contrary, limestone added pellets with bentonite show higher DCS than calcined lime pellets without bentonite. This is because bentonite provides very good strength properties to the pellets in dry condition. However, the observed DCS for calcined lime added pellet is 3.5 kg/pellet that is much higher than desired DCS (2.2 kg/pellet)\(^{19}\) in pelletization practice.

Other investigators have used bentonite along with cal-

---

**Table 7.** RI and swelling index with different basicity.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pellet code</th>
<th>Basicity</th>
<th>CaO, %</th>
<th>Apparent Porosity, %</th>
<th>RI, %</th>
<th>Swelling index, %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A2-b</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>22.6</td>
<td>75.3</td>
<td>14.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A2-d</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>1.91</td>
<td>22.4</td>
<td>73.3</td>
<td>17.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**Fig. 11.** Optical microstructure of pellets with varying basicity, (C: 1%, MgO: 1%).

**Fig. 12.** Photographs of pellets after swelling on gaseous reduction.

---

in pellets after reduction in RI test as shown in Fig. 12. Umadevi et al.\(^{18}\) has found in high basicity pellets that the conversion of glass phase to the crystallized wollastonite phase happens during reduction at around 800–900°C and during conversion, cracks forms over the entire pellet. This may also be the reason of comparatively higher swelling index in 0.8 basicity pellets (i.e high CaO content). These cracks after reduction may deteriorate the strength at the high temperature zone of blast furnace. Therefore, in order to get all the properties in optimum level, basicity is advisable to maintain at around 0.25.
cined lime as binder. This is possibly because of avail-
ing good binding property of bentonite at high temperature. Pellet’s dry strength is necessary to withstand the compres-
sive load of bed in dry condition during drying and indura-
tion before reaching to the sintering temperature. Therefore,
in current study it has been examined whether hydroxide
lime can provide good compressive strength after heating at
high temperature.

Table 9 shows the DCS of pellets in dry
condition as well as after heating at 800 °C. In limestone
plus bentonite added pellets, DCS increases after heating at
800 °C, but in calcined lime added pellet, DCS decreases
after heating at the same temperature. Furthermore, the DCS
after heating in case of calcined lime added pellet is almost
half of limestone added pellet with bentonite. However, it is
much above the acceptable limit.

Further, calcined lime pellets have heated under load at
900 °C in a chamber furnace. No breaking has been observed
as shown in the photograph in Fig. 13. At further higher
temperature the diffusion bonding in pellet starts. Therefore,
the chance of breaking pellets due to the load of bed in indu-
ration strand at high temperature is not expected.

The CCS of indurated pellets both with calcined lime (Gr.
Code: B1) and limestone plus bentonite (Gr. Code: A3) ver-
sus induration time at different temperature is shown in Fig.
14. It is envisaged from the figure that at both the tempera-
tures the CCS is highest for 15 min induration. Further, at
1 300 °C, it is higher than at 1 280 °C for both the pellets.
However, induration at 1 280 °C for 10 minutes also shows
good CCS for both pellets such as 260 kg/pellet for lime-
stone fluxed pellet and 290 kg/pellet for calcined lime
fluxed pellet. Further, Fig. 14 depicts that indurated pellet
with calcined lime at both 1 280 °C and 1 300 °C showed
higher CCS than limestone plus bentonite added pellets.
This higher CCS in calcined lime pellet may be due to the
better dispersion ability of Ca(OH)$_2$ in pellet than limestone
powder. The endothermic decomposition of CaCO$_3$ in lime
stone fluxed pellet at above 900 °C during induration may be
another reason behind this which is discussed in subsequent
paragraph.

It is very interesting to observe that when carbon has been
used in the pellet mix the strength of both the pellets
improved to above 310 kg/pellet as shown in the Table 10.
However, the extent of improvement in CCS of limestone
plus bentonite added pellet is much higher than calcined
lime pellet. As a result it reaches to the almost same level

and the above differences are not appeared. The possible
reason may be the in-situ heat generation due to the oxidation
of C in pellet during induration as per reactions (1) and (2).

C + 1/2O$_2$ = CO (g); \[ \Delta H^\circ = -110.5 \text{ kJ/mol} \] \( \ldots \) (1)

C + O$_2$ = CO$_2$ (g); \[ \Delta H^\circ = -393.5 \text{ kJ/mol} \] \( \ldots \) (2)
This heat is utilized for endothermic decomposition of CaCO₃ (∆H° = 179 kJ/mol) in the limestone added pellet that occurs above 900°C. Thus, heat evolves from the oxidation of C meet the internal heat requirement for endothermic decomposition of Ca(OH)₂ in calcined lime fluxed pellet and shows similar strength.

The chemical composition of both calcined lime added pellet and limestone added pellet after induration at 1280°C is shown in Table 11. The gangue content such as silica and alumina in limestone added pellet shows slightly higher than calcined lime added pellet. This is mainly due to the use of bentonite in limestone added pellet.

For using in blast furnace, the minimum CCS requirement is 250 kg/pellet. The other properties viz. RI, RDI and SI of calcined lime added pellets with 1% C are 74.7%, 12.1% and 14.9%, respectively as shown in Table 12. The optimum requirement in blast furnace operation for RI is above 70% and RDI and SI are below 25% and 20%, respectively. Thus, the calcined lime added pellet looks perfectly suitable for blast furnace and also comparable with good quality limestone added pellet of similar basicity and MgO level. The XRD pattern of both calcined lime and limestone added pellets are shown in Figs. 15(a) and 15(b). The peaks with almost same phases (Fe₂O₃, CaFeO₄, MgFeAlO₄, CaFeSiO₄) are found. Optical micrographs (Fig. 16) shows hematite, magnetite, slag and pores in both the pellets, which do not appear.

### Table 1. Chemical analysis of limestone added and calcined lime added indurated pellets.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pellet code</th>
<th>Lime used</th>
<th>Fe⁹⁰₀, %</th>
<th>CaO, %</th>
<th>SiO₂, %</th>
<th>Al₂O₃, %</th>
<th>MgO, %</th>
<th>Basicity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A2-b</td>
<td>Limestone</td>
<td>65.1</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>2.59</td>
<td>2.57</td>
<td>1.04</td>
<td>0.247</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B2</td>
<td>Calcined line</td>
<td>65.5</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>2.41</td>
<td>2.51</td>
<td>1.02</td>
<td>0.253</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 2. Comparison of properties of pellets with limestone plus bentonite and calcined lime.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pellets</th>
<th>Pellet's Gr. Code</th>
<th>Basicity</th>
<th>Induration temperature, °C</th>
<th>RI, %</th>
<th>RDI, % of –3.15 mm</th>
<th>Swelling Index, %</th>
<th>Apparent porosity, %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Limestone plus bentonite added</td>
<td>A2-b</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>1280</td>
<td>75.5</td>
<td>11.8</td>
<td>14.7</td>
<td>22.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calcined lime added</td>
<td>B2</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>1280</td>
<td>74.7</td>
<td>12.1</td>
<td>14.9</td>
<td>23.54</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 15. X-ray diffraction patterns of limestone with bentonite added and calcined lime added pellets (B = 0.25).

Fig. 16. Optical microstructure of limestone with bentonite added and calcined lime added pellets.
appear any significant difference.

Thus, calcined lime can be used replacing lime stone and bentonite both. This in turn decreases the silica and alumina load to the pellets due to complete elimination of bentonite, which would be favorable for blast furnace operation also.

Since calcined lime ultimately transformed to hydroxide lime during mix preparation for pellets, this study also reveals that lime either in form of hydroxide or calcined can be used for pellet making as a suitable binder that can replace both limestone and bentonite. However, due to powdery form and severe handling problem of hydroxide lime, calcined lime would be more suitable option. Instead of making hydroxide, calcined lime can be mixed with iron ore before ball mill for grinding followed by mixing in presence of water spray to make hydroxide and provide suitable quantity of free moisture (5–7%). Thus, it is advisable to use calcined lime in pellet making that is available from the lime calcinations plant in almost in all integrated steel plant.

4. Conclusions

MgO in form of olivine reduces the RDI of high alumina hematite ore to a very low level and 0.6–1.0% requirement of it has been found to be optimum. 0.25 basicity in pellet with 1% MgO and 1% C shows lowest RDI and other properties of pellets viz. CCS, RI, swelling index and porosity are also very suitable at this level. Therefore, this composition/condition has been considered as optimum to study the effect of calcined lime in place of limestone and developed good quality calcined lime fluxed pellet from high alumina hematite ore fines, without using any bentonite and limestone.

Calcined lime fluxed pellet shows better strength properties of pellets in absence of carbon than lime stone plus bentonite added pellet. Addition of 1% C in pellet improves CCS of limestone fluxed pellets as well as calcined lime pellets both. However, this strength improvement is much higher in limestone fluxed pellet and it reaches up to the level of calcined lime fluxed pellet in identical conditions. Only 10 min induration at 1280°C shows acceptable properties in both the pellets.

Calcined lime can be used as a good flux material in place of limestone which can provide better green pellets properties as well as desired strength at high temperature without using any bentonite. Thus, calcined lime can replace both limestone and bentonite in pellet. In turn it reduces alumina and silica load in pellet eliminating bentonite.
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