The ductile fracture toughness of ferritic steel was assessed in terms of crack tip opening displacement (CTOD). The CTOD is composed of two parts: elastic and plastic. In the ductile fracture region, as compared to the elastic part, the fraction of the plastic part is dominant. The CTOD linearly increases with an increase in ferrite grain size, but grain coarsening simultaneously increases the possibility of cleavage fracture. As yield strength increases, the CTOD decreases due to the decreased plastic deformation ability.
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1. Introduction

As we know, as temperature decreases from high temperature to cryogenic temperature, the body-centered-cubic (bcc) structure usually fails in three fracture modes in turn—completely ductile, ductile/brittle, and completely brittle. Ferrite grain has a bcc structure, and ferrite matrix steels exhibit the same behavior as a bcc structure.

Most research has focused mainly on the fracture behavior in the completely brittle and brittle/ductile region, and the effect of grain size on toughness has been widely investigated. The brittle fracture of a well-controlled bcc structure is a transgranular cleavage. Cottrell1) analyzed the critical fracture stress for transgranular cleavage using dislocation theory and found that the critical fracture stress is in proportion to \( d^{-1/2} \), where \( d \) is the average grain size. His conclusion indicates that the finer the grain, the higher the critical fracture stress, indicating that grain refinement can effectively inhibit cleavage fracture.

Armstrong2) investigated the correlation of fracture toughness (\( K_0 \) or \( K_I \)) with ferrite grain size in the completely brittle fracture (cleavage) region based on an extensive database. He summarized the previous data on ferrite matrix steels in Fig. 1 (directly taken from Ref. 2)). \( K \) and \( \ell \) represent fracture toughness and ferrite grain size, respectively. \( K \) linearly decreases with \( \ell^{-1/2} \) in the following form:

\[
K = 12.8 + 3.04\ell^{-1/2} \quad \text{............... (1)}
\]

where \( K \) is in MPa \(^{-1/2} \), and \( \ell \) is in mm. When grain size reduces from \( \ell^{-1/2} = 2 \text{ mm}^{-1/2} (250 \mu\text{m}) \) to \( \ell^{-1/2} = 9 \text{ mm}^{-1/2} (12.3 \mu\text{m}) \), the fracture toughness \( K \) will increase from about 19 MPa \(^{-1/2} \) to about 41 MPa \(^{-1/2} \). Apparently, the toughness improvement efficiency is not high in the completely brittle fracture region.

In the ductile/brittle fracture region, the ductile-brittle transition temperature (DBTT) is mostly used to reflect the toughness. A law similar to the Hall-Petch relation holds for ferritic steel, in which the DBTT is related to the ferrite grain size \( (d) \) as follows:\(^3\)

\[
\text{DBTT} = C_0 - C_1d^{-1/2} \quad \text{............... (2)}
\]

where \( C_0 \) and \( C_1 \) are positive material constants. For conventional ferritic steels, \( C_1 \) is about 12°C/mm \(^{-1/2} \). If ferrite grain size reduces from 40 \( \mu\text{m} \) to 2 \( \mu\text{m} \), the DBTT decreases from about 0°C to about –220°C. When ferrite grains are further refined down to 1.3 \( \mu\text{m} \), there will be no DBTT at the temperature of absolute zero for 0.10C ferritic steel, i.e., a brittle fracture never occurs. These experimental results
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indicate that grain refinement is extremely effective for enhancing toughness in the ductile/brittle fracture region. Since the late 1990s, research on developing ultra-fine grained steels has become more active.\textsuperscript{4–7} The ultra-fine grained ferrite (about 1 μm)/cementite steels exhibited low sensitivity to temperature and excellent fracture toughness (crack tip opening displacement).\textsuperscript{7} As compared to the brittle and ductile/brittle fracture modes, less attention was paid to the ductile fracture mode because it is usually regarded as being much safer than the two former fracture modes. With the progress of metallurgical technology, the occurrence of accidents caused by a completely brittle fracture in structural steels has been significantly reduced. Moreover, if an accident was unavoidable, failure is hoped in ductile fracture mode rather than in brittle mode. Therefore, the importance of ductile fractures should be reexamined. However, there is limited literature on the grain-size dependence of fracture toughness for ductile steels.

Klassen \textit{et al.}\textsuperscript{8) measured the ductile fracture toughness (the fracture toughness in the ductile fracture regime) of six low alloy steels, in terms of \(J_{IC}\), whose microstructure was ferrite (matrix)/pearlite (second phase). The \(J_{IC}\) varied with ferrite grain size as follows:

\[
J_{IC} = J_0 + Dd^{-1/2} \quad \text{(3)}
\]

where \(J_0\) and \(D\) are material constants. The \(J_{IC}\) of Armco iron within the grain size range of 38–1 050 μm also obeys Eq. (3) or a more precise equation that has a parabolic relation with \(d^{-1/2}\).\textsuperscript{9)}

The toughness of low-carbon ferrite/cementite steels (\(d=0.9–9.6 \mu m\))\textsuperscript{3} and ferrite/martensite steels (\(d=1.2–12.4 \mu m\))\textsuperscript{10} was evaluated by the Charpy impact test. Their toughness in the ductile fracture regime was qualitatively assessed in terms of the upper shelf energy of the Charpy impact curve. It was found that decreasing ferrite grain size increases the upper shelf energy, \textit{i.e.}, enhancing the toughness in the ductile fracture regime, which is consistent with the tendency of toughness against ferrite grain size shown in Eq. (3).

Equations (1)–(3) demonstrate that the finer the ferrite grain, the higher the toughness of ferrite matrix steel in the three fracture modes. However, Qiu \textit{et al.}\textsuperscript{11) physically analyzed the grain refinement-induced variation in fracture mode with stress-controlled and strain-controlled models. Their results showed that grain refinement enhances the toughness of ferritic steel only in the completely brittle or brittle/ductile fracture region. In the ductile fracture region, grain refinement is deleterious for improving toughness. This conclusion conflicts with Eq. (3).

The toughness improvement due to grain refinement has been confirmed by extensive experimental data on the completely brittle and brittle/ductile fracture. However, there is insufficient literature on the ferrite grain-size dependence of the toughness in the ductile fracture region. For this reason, the relationship between toughness and ferrite grain size in this region, \textit{e.g.}, Eq. (3), is not supported by convincing data and should be carefully reexamined.

Equation (3) was achieved using six ferrite/pearlite steels as follows:\textsuperscript{3} (1) the \(J_{IC}\) of the six steels is plotted against the corresponding ferrite grain size \(d\), and (2) the data of \(J_{IC}\) versus \(d\) are fitted to yield Eq. (3). If the six steels have the same volume fraction of pearlite (second phase), \(J_{IC}\) is only the function of \(d\), and Eq. (3) is valid in this case. However, the six steels have different volume fractions of pearlite (\(f_p\)). Therefore, the \(J_{IC}\) is the function of both \(d\) and \(f_p\). It is not rational to relate \(J_{IC}\) only to \(d\) as in Eq. (3), because \(f_p\) also affects \(J_{IC}\). If the \(f_p\) of the six steels is plotted against the corresponding \(d\), it is found that \(f_p\) increases with an increase in \(d\). In summary of the experimental data on \(J_{IC}, d\), and \(f_p\), it indicates that higher \(J_{IC}\) corresponds to smaller \(d\) and lower \(f_p\), while smaller \(J_{IC}\) corresponds to larger \(d\) and higher \(f_p\). As we know, pearlite is a brittle phase, and it can act as a void nucleation site. The increased pearlite volume fraction can enhance void nucleation, growth and coalescence, resulting early ductile fracture with smaller deformation. Therefore, the decreased \(J_{IC}\) is more likely to be caused by the higher \(f_p\) instead of the larger \(d\).

As mentioned above, Refs. 3) and 10) show that ferrite grain coarsening reduces the upper shelf energy. However, Ref. 10) also had the same problem described above, \textit{i.e.}, the samples used have different volume fractions of the second phase (brittle phase: martensite). The decreased toughness in the ductile fracture regime was probably caused by the larger volume fraction of martensite. In Ref. 3), because the volume fraction of the second phase (cementite particles) essentially remained constant for all the samples, the toughness in the ductile fracture regime should only be related to the ferrite grain size; but the Charpy impact specimens, with respect to finer ferrite grains, did not completely break in the upper shelf region of the impact curve, \textit{i.e.}, in the ductile fracture region, while those having coarse ferrite grains completely broke. As a result, the area of the fractured cross section is different for the specimens with different ferrite grain sizes—the finer microstructure has a relatively smaller fractured cross section. In this case, the upper shelf energy cannot precisely reflect the difference in toughness between the finer and coarser microstructures. For this reason, the conclusion for the toughness in the ductile fracture regime in Ref. 3) is doubtful. We can say that the effect of ferrite grain size on the toughness in the ductile fracture region is not yet well understood.

In this study, low-alloy steels composed of ferrite/cementite particles were produced with different ferrite grain sizes but the same volume fraction of cementite particles. Fracture toughness tests were performed on these steels to evaluate the ductile fracture toughness. From the experimental results, the ferrite grain-size dependence of ductile fracture toughness was revealed.

## 2. Experimental

Three low-alloy steels with a microstructure of ferrite/cementite were produced. The chemical composition is given in Table 1. The average ferrite grain size is 0.9 μm for

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>Si</th>
<th>Mn</th>
<th>P</th>
<th>S</th>
<th>Al</th>
<th>N</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.10C steel</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>1.43</td>
<td>0.008</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>0.029</td>
<td>0.0008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.16C steel</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>1.45</td>
<td>0.009</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>0.030</td>
<td>0.0015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.45C steel</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>1.51</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
0.10C and 0.16C steels and 0.46 μm for 0.45C steel. The rolling processes were described in detail elsewhere.3,12,13) The three as-rolled steels were used as parent steels. The parent steels were heat treated to obtain various samples with different ferrite grain sizes, as illustrated in Table 2.

Specimens with a diameter of 5 mm and gage length of 10 mm were machined from the samples shown in Table 2. Tensile tests were performed on these specimens at room temperature and at a cross-head speed of 0.4 mm/min. The fracture strain of the tensile specimens, \( \varepsilon_f \), is given by the equation

\[
\varepsilon_f = \frac{1}{2} \ln \left( \frac{A_0}{A_f} \right)
\]

where \( A_0 \) and \( A_f \) are the areas of the initial and fractured cross sections, respectively.

Fatigue-precracked three-point-bend specimens \((a_0/W = \text{about } 0.5)\) with a size of 10 mm \((B) \times 10 \text{ mm} \((W) \times 55 \text{ mm} \((L)\) were prepared. The fracture toughness is assessed in terms of crack tip opening displacement (CTOD) in this study. CTOD tests with a span-to-width ratio \((S/W)\) of 4 were conducted on these three-point-bend specimens at a cross-head speed of 0.4 mm/min and at room temperature. CTOD was calculated by Eq. (5), proposed by ASTM E1290-99:14)

\[
CTOD = \frac{K^2(1-v^2)}{2\sigma E} + \frac{r_p(W-a_0)}{r_p(W-a_0)+a_0+z}V_p
\]

where \( K \) is the stress intensity factor, \( V_p \) the plastic component of notch opening displacement, \( W \) the effective width of the test specimen, and \( a_0 \) the average original crack length. In this paper, Poisson’s ratio \( v \) is taken as 0.3, Young’s modulus \( E = 206000 \text{ MPa} \), plastic rotation factor \( r_p = 0.44 \), and distance of knife edge measurement point from front face on specimen \( z = 0 \). Notch opening displacement was measured by a clip gage.

### Table 2. Sample preparation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>ferrite grain size (μm)</th>
<th>heat treatment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.9</td>
<td></td>
<td>as-rolled</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.6</td>
<td></td>
<td>rapidly heating up to 817°C (at a heating rate of 197°C/s + rapidly cooling (at a cooling rate of 30°C/s between 800°C and 500°C)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.2</td>
<td></td>
<td>rapidly heating up to 910°C (at a heating rate of 197°C/s + rapidly cooling (at a cooling rate of 30°C/s between 800°C and 500°C) + annealing (690°C, 4 h)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.6</td>
<td></td>
<td>rapidly heating up to 1000°C (at a heating rate of 197°C/s + rapidly cooling (at a cooling rate of 30°C/s between 800°C and 500°C) + annealing (690°C, 8 h)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>as-rolled</td>
<td>rapidly heating up to 817°C (at a heating rate of 197°C/s + rapidly cooling (at a cooling rate of 30°C/s between 800°C and 500°C)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.9</td>
<td></td>
<td>rapidly heating up to 910°C (at a heating rate of 197°C/s + rapidly cooling (at a cooling rate of 30°C/s between 800°C and 500°C) + annealing (690°C, 8 h)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.5</td>
<td></td>
<td>rapidly heating up to 910°C (at a heating rate of 197°C/s + rapidly cooling (at a cooling rate of 30°C/s between 800°C and 500°C) + annealing (690°C, 8 h)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.1</td>
<td></td>
<td>rapidly heating up to 1000°C (at a heating rate of 197°C/s + rapidly cooling (at a cooling rate of 30°C/s between 800°C and 500°C) + annealing (690°C, 8 h)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>as-rolled</td>
<td>750°C, 10 min + air cooling</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.60</td>
<td></td>
<td>680°C, 24 h + air cooling</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.83*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: *, specimen failed in brittle fracture mode in the CTOD test.

### 3. Results and Discussion

#### 3.1. Microstructure

The 0.10C, 0.16C, and 0.45C steels are composed of ferrite and cementite particles. The microstructure of each steel with various ferrite grain sizes was observed by scanning electron microscopy, and these are shown in Figs. 2–4, respectively. For the samples with the same carbon content, we assume that they have the same equilibrium content of cementite particles. The volume fraction of cementite particles \( f_v \) is given by:

\[
f_v = \frac{1.946C}{12.72 + 0.046C}
\]

where carbon content \( C \) is in wt%. The \( f_v \) values of 0.10C, 0.16C, and 0.45C steels are 1.53%, 2.45%, and 6.87%, respectively.
3.2. Tensile Properties

The nominal stress versus nominal strain curves of 0.10C, 0.16C, and 0.45C steels are shown in Figs. 5(a)-5(c), respectively. Within the carbon content range of 0.10%–0.16% (cf. Figs. 5(a) and 5(b)), the shape of stress-strain curves of as-rolled steels (i.e., 0.9 μm steel) is quite different from the other steels with larger ferrite grain size. As-rolled steels have almost no work-hardening ability, and thus there is no work-hardening period in the stress-strain curve. The ferrite grain size of 0.45C as-rolled steel is 0.46 μm, much smaller than that of 0.10C and 0.16C steel (0.9 μm), but it has work-hardening ability, as shown in Fig. 5(c). This is attributed to the presence of a large amount of cementite particles because those cementite particles effectively enhance work-hardening ability.16) The relationship between work-hardening ability and ferrite grain size has been discussed in detail elsewhere.16)

Figure 6 shows the dependence of yield strength on ferrite grain size. The Hall-Petch relation holds for the relationship between σ_y and d, i.e., the σ_y linearly varies with d⁻¹/₂. The experimental data of 0.10C and 0.16C steels with various ferrite grain sizes follow the same straight line, but 0.45C steel obeys another straight line because of the great difference in the volume fraction of cementite particles between it and the other two steels. Zhao et al. pointed out that cementite particles effectively increase the yield strength—the higher the volume fraction of cementite particles, the larger the yield strength.13) However, as illustrated in Fig. 6, 0.45C steel has a lower σ_y than the other steels, especially when grain size is small (the smallest grain size corresponds to the as-rolled steels), which conflicts with the general knowledge. The exact reason for it is unclear. The three steels were water quenched after the final rolling. The 0.10C and 0.16C steels were produced at the same time, but the 0.45C steel was produced in a different season. This could cause the cooling rate difference during the water quenching; as a result, the dislocation density was quite different in the as-rolled steels. Perhaps this is the reason for the extraordinary behavior of 0.45C steel.

All the tensile specimens fractured in ductile fracture mode. Their ductile fracture strain (ε_f) is plotted against d in Fig. 7. In addition to the steels used, 0.75C steel with ferrite/cementite particles is also involved. As d increases, ε_f increases. Torizuka’s research17) supported the result of the present study.

3.3. Dependence of CTOD on Ferrite Grain Size

The load against clip gage displacement during CTOD tests was recorded. Figure 8 shows it for the specimens of 0.10C steel with ferrite grain size of 0.9 μm, 4.6 μm, 6.2 μm, and 9.6 μm. Load smoothly increases up to the maximum load level, and then smoothly decreases. This is a typical load-displacement curve for ductile fracture. As grain size increases, the plastic displacement with respect to the maximum load point increases, which demonstrates that grain size.
coarsening enlarges deformation capacity. 0.16C and 0.45C steels also show a similar tendency.

The CTOD with respect to the maximum load point, $\delta_m$, was calculated with Eq. (5) for all types of specimens. The $\delta_m$ was used to access the ductile fracture toughness of the steels used. As reviewed in Sec. 1, the toughness of ferrite matrix steels linearly varies with $d^{-1/2}$ in the three fracture modes. In Fig. 9(a), the $\delta_m$ is also plotted against $d^{-1/2}$. However, there is no linear relationship between the $\delta_m$ and $d^{-1/2}$. An attempt is made to see the correlation of the $\delta_m$ with $d$ in Fig. 9(b). Apparently, the $\delta_m$ linearly varies with $d$ ($\delta_m = 0.1449 + 0.1977d$, $\delta_m$ and $d$ are in mm and $\mu$m, respectively). The larger the ferrite grain size, the higher the $\delta_m$. Equation (3) demonstrates that coarse ferrite grain decreases the fracture toughness, which is inconsistent with the result shown in Fig. 9.

In this study, the ferrite grain-size dependence of fracture toughness is confined to the ductile fracture region. As we know, the ductile fracture is controlled by the plastic strain when strain ahead of a crack tip within a characteristic distance exceeds a critical value (denoted as critical fracture strain), the crack will propagate. Therefore, the ferrite grain-size dependence of the CTOD can be interpreted through the effect of the ferrite grain size on the plastic zone ahead of a crack tip and on the critical fracture strain. The larger the plastic zone and the critical fracture strain, the higher the CTOD.

![Fig. 6. Dependence of yield strength on ferrite grain size.](image)

![Fig. 7. Dependence of fracture strain on ferrite grain size.](image)

![Fig. 8. Load against clip gage displacement in the CTOD tests for the specimens of the 0.10C steel with ferrite grain size of 0.9 $\mu$m, 4.6 $\mu$m, 6.2 $\mu$m, and 9.6 $\mu$m.](image)

![Fig. 9. Dependence of fracture toughness on ferrite grain size. (a) $\delta_m$ versus $d^{-1/2}$ and (b) $\delta_m$ versus $d$. $R$, the correlation coefficient.](image)
In the polycrystal, the shear stress, \( \tau \), inducing the beginning of the yielding is estimated by the following equation:\(^{19}\)
\[
\tau = \left[ \frac{2\tau f b (1-\nu)}{(1-\nu)\pi} \right]^{1/2} d^{-1/2} \quad \text{(7)}
\]
where \( \mu \) is the shear modulus, \( \tau f \) is the shear yield stress, \( b \) is the Burgers vector, \( \nu \) is the Poisson’s ratio, and \( d \) is the average grain size. Equation (7) shows that \( \tau \) is in proportion to \( d^{-1/2} \). This indicates that coarse grains require lower shear stress to induce yielding, i.e., yield occurs more easily in coarse grains than in fine grains. Moreover, the size of the plastic zone ahead of a crack is directly related to the yield strength. For plane stress condition, the radius of the plastic zone ahead of a crack is directly related to the yield strength.

For plane stress condition, the radius of the plastic zone ahead of a crack is directly related to the yield strength, and is given by:\(^{20}\)
\[
r_p = \frac{1}{2\pi} \left( \frac{K_f}{\sigma_y} \right)^2 \quad \text{(8)}
\]
where \( K_f \) is the stress intensity factor. Eq. (8) is only suitable to the linear elastic material. For the elastic-plastic materials, for example, the steels used, the radius of the plastic zone \( (r_p) \) is twice as large as the \( r_y \) \( (r_p = 2r_y) \). In plain strain, yielding is suppressed by the triaxial stress state, and the plastic zone size is reduced by a factor of 1/3.\(^{20}\) Large grain size lowers the \( \sigma_y \) and thereby increases the \( r_y \) and \( r_p \) according to Eq. (8).

The critical fracture strain just ahead of a crack cannot be precisely measured, but its correlation with ferrite grain size can be determined by tensile testing. As shown in Fig. 7, grain coarsening increases fracture strain. Although there is a difference in stress status between the tensile test and CTOD test, the trend of critical fracture strain against ferrite gain size should be the same in both tests. In summary, the variations caused by grain coarsening, such as lowering the stress level inducing yielding, enlarging the plastic zone ahead of a crack, and increasing the critical fracture strain, determine that increasing ferrite grain size enhances the fracture toughness.

However, Table 2 shows that for 0.45C steel, 0.46 \( \mu m \) and 0.60 \( \mu m \) samples fractured in ductile fracture mode, while the 4.83 \( \mu m \) sample fractured in brittle (cleavage) fracture mode. This seems to conflict with the above conclusion. Cottrell\(^{11}\) suggested that the critical fracture stress \( (\sigma_f) \) for a cleavage fracture is in proportion to \( d^{-1/2} \). Armstrong\(^{21}\) gave the \( \sigma_f \) for steels and irons within the ferrite grain size of 10–10,000 \( \mu m \):
\[
\sigma_f = 351.5 + 3.30 \times 10^3 d^{-1/2} \quad \text{(9)}
\]
where \( d \) is in \( \mu m \) and \( \sigma_f \) is in MPa. As \( d \) increases, the work-hardening ability increases;\(^{16}\) as a result, the local stress ahead of a crack elevates. However, as shown in Eq. (9), grain coarsening simultaneously lowers the \( \sigma_f \). The increased local stress and the decreased \( \sigma_f \) due to grain coarsening increase the possibility of a cleavage fracture occurring. However, within the ductile fracture region, grain coarsening increases the fracture toughness.

Strength and toughness generally are two conflicting parameters—increasing strength will degenerate toughness. The dependence of \( \delta_m \) on yield strength is shown in Fig. 10. Irrespective of carbon content, all the data essentially follow a curve, and the \( \delta_m \) decreases with an increase in yield strength. This result agrees with the general knowledge.

Equation (5) shows that the CTOD is composed of two parts: the first term on the right side is the elastic part (denoted as \( \delta_{m.e} \)); the second term on the right side is controlled by the plastic deformation (denoted as \( \delta_{m.p} \)), i.e., \( \delta_m = \delta_{m.e} + \delta_{m.p} \). Each part was extracted from the \( \delta_m \) and is shown in Figs. 11(a) and 11(b), respectively. The fraction of each part is different at different yield strength levels, e.g., at \( \sigma_y = 300 \) MPa, \( \delta_{m.e}/\delta_{m.p} \equiv 1.67 \), and at \( \sigma_y = 800 \) MPa, \( \delta_{m.e}/\delta_{m.p} \equiv 1.9 \). This result demonstrates that the fraction of the elastic part is small, especially when yield strength is low (i.e., ductile fracture toughness is high). The higher the

![Fig. 10. Dependence of fracture toughness on yield strength. \( R \), the correlation coefficient.](image)

![Fig. 11. Contribution of the (a) elastic part (\( \delta_{m.e} \)) and (b) plastic part (\( \delta_{m.p} \)) to the total CTOD.](image)
ductile fracture toughness, the higher the fraction of the plastic part. As shown in Fig. 10, when yield strength increases from 300 MPa to 800 MPa, the $\delta_m$ significantly decreases. What causes this decrease? Let us investigate the contribution of elastic and plastic parts to this decrease. As illustrated in Figs. 11(a) and 11(b), both $\delta_{me}$ and $\delta_{mp}$ decrease, but the decrement is considerably different: $\Delta \delta_{me} = 0.007 \text{ mm}$, $\Delta \delta_{mp} = 1.729 \text{ mm}$; $\Delta \delta_{mp}$ is about 245 times that of $\Delta \delta_{me}$. This means that the significant decrease in ductile fracture toughness due to the strength increase is attributed to the decreased ability of plastic deformation.

Equation (3) gives us another formula for the relationship between fracture toughness and ferrite grain size. Let us deduce the dependence of fracture toughness ($J_{IC}$) on yield strength from it. It is well known that $\sigma_{ys} = k_0 + k_1 \text{ d}^{-1/2}$, where $k_0$ and $k_1$ are material constants. Substituting this equation into Eq. (3) yields

$$J_{IC} = (D_0 - D \delta_0) / k_1 + (D/ k_1) \sigma_{ys}$$  \hspace{1cm} (10)$$

Equation (10) indicates that increasing strength can effectively enhance fracture toughness. This conclusion is inconsistent with the results shown in Fig. 10 and other extensive experimental data. This called into question the validity of Eq. (3).

Figure 9 also shows that the $\delta_m$ is a function of only grain size. The volume fraction of fracture toughness ($J_{IC}$) on yield strength from it. It is well known that $\sigma_{ys} = k_0 + k_1 \text{ d}^{-1/2}$, where $k_0$ and $k_1$ are material constants. Substituting this equation into Eq. (3) yields

$$J_{IC} = (D_0 - D \delta_0) / k_1 + (D/ k_1) \sigma_{ys}$$  \hspace{1cm} (10)$$

4. Conclusions

In the ductile fracture region, the fracture toughness of ferritic steel can be summarized as follows:

1. As compared to the elastic part, the contribution of the plastic part to the CTOD is dominant.
2. As ferrite grain size increases, the CTOD linearly increases; simultaneously, the possibility of a cleavage fracture occurring increases.
3. As yield strength increases, the CTOD decreases. The main reason for this is the decreased ability of plastic deformation.
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