1. Introduction

The ironmaking blast furnace is mainly a huge counter-current reactor of ascending gas and descending solid and liquid, coupled with heat exchange and chemical reactions. Thereinto, burden distribution within blast furnace is crucial for maintaining smooth burden descent and fine gas utilization. The importance of burden distribution control in actual production has long been recognized, and much attention has been paid along with the enlargement of blast furnace. Generally, burden distribution can be characterized by the structure of layered coke and ore, while it is determined by flow behavior of burden materials during charging process. Thus, it is essential to accurately predict particle flow behavior for efficient burden distribution control.

Since the modern blast furnace production, burden distribution control technology and charging equipment have experienced numerous revolutionary changes. Until 1970s, when the bell-less top was introduced by Paul Wurth, due to its great control flexibility and high quality of burden distribution, it has been widely applied around the world rapidly. As the first type as well as one major type, parallel hoppers type bell-less top has been extensively used in China and world, especially for large blast furnace, for its powerful charging ability and applicability to the technique of charging by size grading. Compared with series hoppers type bell-less top, burden charging mechanism of parallel hoppers type bell-less top is more complicated and presents the inherent defect of burden distribution nonuniformity and segregation in circumference. Therefore, the particle flow mechanism and burden distribution on stock surface during charging process need to be explored and clarified deeply.

Parallel hoppers type bell-less top is one kind of widely used charging apparatus, and it is essential to clarify particles flow behavior and circumferential burden distribution during charging process. Thus in this paper, a comprehensive mathematical model from flow control gate to stock surface has been established. In the model, the trajectory shape of particles colliding point on rotating chute with semi-circular section is firstly clarified, not simply an ellipse shape, and the mathematical model of particles flow behavior on rectangular type chute is initially presented. The model is then validated by measured results of coke particles falling trajectory in the freeboard using laser grids method during the blow-in stage of an actual 2 500 m³ blast furnace. Based on the established model, the projected trajectories of particles colliding point on rotating chute are clarified for semi-circular type chute and rectangular type chute respectively, and circumferential variations of particles effective motion length and motion time on the chute are investigated. In addition, circumferential burden falling point distribution and mass flow rate distribution on stock surface are deeply analyzed, and the phenomenon that semi-circular type chute tends to cause larger nonuniformity than rectangular type chute is realized. The model has great significance and application value in predicting and recognizing nonuniform burden distribution in parallel hoppers type blast furnace and further improving blast furnace operation.

KEY WORDS: bell-less top blast furnace; parallel hoppers; mathematical model; particle flow; circumferential burden distribution.
den distribution on stock surface in bell-less top charging system. Park et al.\textsuperscript{16)} developed a burden distribution model in blast furnace shaft based on the falling burden trajectory and stock model. Krcek,\textsuperscript{17)} Nag,\textsuperscript{18)} Teng\textsuperscript{19)} established the three-dimensional model of particle motion on semi-circular type rotating chute and particle falling in the freeboard. However, as to former models, researchers either ignored the influence of coriolis force on particle motion on rotating chute for simplification or neglected gas flow impact on particle falling in the freeboard or presented inaccurate expressions. In practice, particles deflection within the chute is remarkable, which is also demonstrated by Mio,\textsuperscript{14)} and thus should be considered in predicting burden trajectory. Additionally, strong local gas flow above stock surface can also affect particle falling behavior, in particular the small sized particles. Even more important, former models are mostly aiming at particle motion on semi-circular type rotating chute, and there are rarely reports on particle motion on rotating chute with rectangular section, which is another widely used type of rotating chute. Meanwhile, particle flow behavior in parallel hoppers type bell-less top has also been investigated. Ren,\textsuperscript{20)} Xu,\textsuperscript{21)} Zhao\textsuperscript{22)} studied the circumferential burden distribution behaviors in bell-less top with parallel hoppers in detail and put forward several countermeasures to ease nonuniformity of burden distribution. In fact, nonuniform burden distribution of parallel hoppers type top is primarily caused by the variation of colliding point on chute surface by incoming burden flow as chute rotates circumferentially. Thus the trajectory of colliding point variation is critical for calculating the nonuniform behavior, nevertheless, former researches mistook the trajectory as ellipse shape relative to the semi-circular type chute, which will be demonstrated in subsequent parts.

In this study, a comprehensive mathematical model characterizing particle flow from flow control gate until to stock surface in bell-less top with parallel hoppers is established. Variation of colliding point and particle motion behavior on rotating chute with semi-circular section and that with rectangular section are discussed respectively. Circumferential burden distribution with respect to particle falling point and mass flow rate on stock surface is calculated, and nonuniformity phenomenon is deeply analyzed.

2. Model Description

During the charging process of bell-less top blast furnace production, as the flow control gate opens, burden materials within the hopper will be discharged and flow down through lower valve chamber, central throat tube to rotating chute, and will be schematically distributed circumferentially then fall downward through freeboard onto stock surface. The movement process of burden particles is shown schematically in Fig. 1. In each stage, particle flow regime will be analyzed and deduced. Since burden flow is assembly of particles, and can be represented as continuum, in this paper, the burden flow behavior is treated as motion of the centroid of burden flow, ignoring the interaction among particles.

In order to quantitatively describe particle flow process, coordinate systems need to be established to deduce kinematic equations. Firstly, the fixed coordinate system OXYZ is built, where OXY plane locates the top section of throat and OZ axis stretches downward along blast furnace centerline. Hoppers arrangement in OXYZ system is illustrated in Fig. 2, and Hopper A and Hopper B situated on X axis are symmetric with respect to Y axis. At OXY plane, circumference starting clockwise from positive X axis is designated as azimuth angle of 0° to 360°. At the moment that particles just collide on the rotating chute, azimuth angle of chute is denoted as $\beta$, and when particles reach chute tip and stock level successively, at corresponding moment the azimuth angle of chute and particle are denoted as $\beta$ and $\beta_{SL}$, respectively, as depicted in the figure. Moreover, two dynamic coordinate systems of Pxyz and Qx’y’z’ related with circumferential position of rotating chute are set up respectively to represent particle flow behavior on rotating chute and in the freeboard. In addition, in the paper, Hop-
per A is designated as the discharging hopper, and rotating chute locates $0^\circ$ orientation at initial moment.

2.1. Particle Flow from Flow Control Gate to Rotating Chute

In blast furnace operation, charging process begins with the open of flow control gate, and for the hopper storing particular materials, the discharging velocity can be expressed as

$$v_0 = \lambda \sqrt{3.2g \left(D_h - d_h\right)^2} \quad \cdots \cdots \cdots (1)$$

After the flow control gate is turned on, particles will fall down through the central throat tube and collide on the surface of rotating chute, then the particle velocity $v_1$ as particle reaches chute surface can be calculated based on the motion course, and the correction factor $K$ is introduced as well.

$$v_1 = K \sqrt{v_0^2 + 2g \left(h_0 + h_b\right)} \quad \cdots \cdots \cdots (2)$$

In Eq. (2), $h_b$ which stands for effective height from flow control gate to hook point of rotating chute keeps constant for certain bell-less top equipment, while another height of $h_0$ will change periodically with chute rotating, and it presents different forms for semi-circular type rotating chute and rectangular type chute respectively.

For semi-circular type chute,

$$h_b = \frac{e - R}{\sin \alpha} + r_c \cot \alpha \cos \beta + \frac{\sqrt{R^2 - r_c^2 \sin^2 \beta}}{\sin \alpha} \quad \cdots \cdots \cdots (3)$$

For rectangular type chute,

$$h_b = \frac{e - R}{\sin \alpha} + r_c \cot \alpha \cos \beta \quad \cdots \cdots \cdots (4)$$

2.2. Particle Flow on the Rotating Chute with Semi-circular Section

For blast furnace equipped with series hoppers, burden flow will always fall on the fixed position of rotating chute regardless of the circumferential orientation of chute, thus subsequent particles flow behaviors are the same in general. However, for parallel hoppers type bell-less top, the structural characteristic can cause inherent deviation of burden flow from the centerline in central throat tube, in turn, leads to periodical variation of colliding point of burden flow on the chute as chute rotates, which is the root of subsequent nonuniform circumferential falling point and mass flow rate distribution.

**Figure 3** shows different views of 3D chute structure and corresponding coordinate system for depicting particle flow behavior within the chute. During charging process, as the chute rotates, the colliding point of upper burden flow on chute changes and forms a closed trajectory curve on chute surface. Nevertheless, the shape of colliding point trajectory on semi-circular type chute has long been wrongly regarded as ellipse relative to chute, which will cause inaccuracy in predicting circumferential burden distribution. Actually, the colliding point trajectory formed by chute rotation is same with the trajectory as burden flow rotates in central throat tube while the chute is fixed simultaneously. The colliding point trajectory on semi-circular type chute is one 3D space curve, and in the fixed OXYZ coordinate system can be expressed as following parametric equation.

$$\begin{align*}
X &= r_c \cos \beta \\
Y &= r_c \sin \beta \\
Z &= e - R + H + r_c \cot \alpha \cos \beta + \frac{\sqrt{R^2 - r_c^2 \sin^2 \beta}}{\sin \alpha} \\
\end{align*} \quad \cdots \cdots \cdots (5)$$

In order to express the trajectory relative to chute, Eq. (5) can be transformed into Pxz coordinate system, and the projected curve of the 3D trajectory on Pxy plane is as

$$\begin{align*}
x &= r_c \cos \beta - R \cos \alpha + \cos \alpha \frac{\sqrt{R^2 - r_c^2 \sin^2 \beta}}{\sin \alpha} \\
y &= r_c \sin \beta \\
\end{align*} \quad \cdots \cdots \cdots (6)$$

After colliding with rotating chute, particles movement direction changes and flows downward along the chute. Through the force analysis, the moving particle on rotating chute is usually subjected to gravitational force $mg$, reaction force $F_N$, frictional force $F_f$, centrifugal force $F_c = -\omega \times (\omega \times r)$ and coriolis force $F_c = 2m \times (\omega \times \omega)$. For the composite motion of particles on the chute, it can be decomposed into sliding movement along longitudinal direction and deflecting motion in tangential direction of chute, which can be given as

$$\frac{d^2 x}{dt^2} = g \cos \alpha + \omega^2 [x \sin \alpha + R \cos \alpha (1 - \cos \theta)] \sin \alpha$$

$$-2 \omega R \sin \alpha \cos \theta \frac{d\theta}{dt} - \mu \frac{mv}{m} F_N \quad \cdots \cdots \cdots (7)$$
\[
R \frac{d^2 \theta}{dt^2} = -g \sin \alpha \sin \theta + \omega^2 (x \sin \alpha \cos \alpha + R \cos^2 \alpha)
\]

\[
+ R \sin^2 \alpha \cos \theta) \sin \theta + 2 \omega \sin \alpha \cos \theta \frac{dx}{dt} - \mu \frac{dt}{mv} F_N
\]

where the resultant particle velocity \( v \) and reaction force \( F_N \) are as following

\[
v = \left[ \left( \frac{dx}{dt} \right)^2 + \left( \frac{R d\theta}{dt} \right)^2 \right]^{\frac{1}{2}}
\] ........................................ (9)

\[
F_N = m x \left[ -R \cos^2 \alpha \cos \theta - x \sin \alpha \cos \alpha \cos \theta \right] + R \left( \frac{d\theta}{dt} \right)^2 + 2 \omega R \cos \alpha \frac{dx}{dt} + 2 \omega \sin \alpha \sin \theta \frac{dx}{dt}
\]

................................................... (10)

2.3. Particle Flow on the Rotating Chute with Rectangular Section

In addition to semi-circular type rotating chute, the chute with rectangular section is increasingly adopted in many steel plants, owing to its compact burden flow and preferable controllability. From Fig. 3(f), it is known that the bottom of rectangular type chute is a flat surface, hence particles within the chute won’t deflect but slide along width direction apart from the longitudinal movement. And currently there are scarcely any mathematical models available to represent particle flow behavior within rectangular type chute.

Since the flat bottom, it can be easily known that particles colliding points on the chute will form an elliptical trajectory as chute rotates circumferentially with a normal tilting angle. The trajectory equation is given as

\[
\frac{x^2}{c^2} \sin^2 \alpha + \frac{y^2}{c^2} = 1
\] ........................................ (11)

When particles move within the rectangular type chute, the acting forces mainly include gravitational force, reaction force, friction force, centrifugal force and coriolis force as well. But the whole courses of particle movement within semi-circular type chute and rectangular type chute respectively are totally different. As for the former, particles continuously deflect in tangential direction, while for the latter, when particles reach the side wall of the chute along width direction, if particles could, the movement along width direction will stop, just existing longitudinal movement along the wall. Consequently, particle movement course within rectangular type chute can be divided into two stages, Stage 1 with movement along longitudinal direction and width direction simultaneously, while Stage 2 only existing longitudinal movement, as depicted in Fig. 3(e). But it’s worth noting that only Stage 1 is necessary for all particles movement, while some particles may not experience the Stage 2 in the whole motion process.

At Stage 1,

\[
\frac{d^2 x}{dt^2} = g \cos \alpha + \omega^2 x \sin^2 \alpha - \mu F_N \frac{dx}{dt} - 2 \omega \sin \alpha \frac{dy}{dt}
\] ........................................ (12)

\[
\frac{d^2 y}{dt^2} = \omega^2 y - \mu F_N \frac{dy}{dt} + 2 \omega \sin \alpha \frac{dx}{dt}
\] ........................................ (13)

\[
F_N = m \left( g \sin \alpha - \omega^2 x \sin \alpha \cos \alpha + 2 \omega \cos \alpha \frac{dy}{dt} \right)
\] ........................................ (14)

\[
v = \left[ \left( \frac{dx}{dt} \right)^2 + \left( \frac{dy}{dt} \right)^2 \right]^{\frac{1}{2}}
\] ........................................ (15)

At Stage 2,

\[
\frac{d^2 x}{dt^2} = g \left( \cos \alpha - \mu \sin \alpha \right)
\]

\[
+ \omega^2 \left( x \sin^2 \alpha + \mu x \sin \alpha \cos \alpha - \frac{\mu W}{2} \right) - 2 \mu \omega \sin \alpha \frac{dx}{dt}
\] ........................................ (16)

2.4. Particle Flow in the Freeboard

After leaving the chute tip, particles will descend in the freeboard with blast furnace gas atmosphere until to stack surface. In order to describe particle descending behavior, another dynamic coordinate system Qx’y’z’ is established as in Fig. 4, in which x’ axis is horizontal radially, y’ axis denotes tangential direction and z’ axis is vertically downward.

In the falling process, particle movement is mainly dominated by gravitational force, buoyancy force \( F_b \) and drag force \( F_d \), and the influence of gas flow above stack surface on particles descent is not too low to be ignored, especially for light or small-sized particles. The buoyancy force acting on particles can be described as \( F_b = \frac{1}{6} \pi \rho \varepsilon g d_p^3 \), and drag force is \( F_d = -\frac{1}{8} \pi \rho \varepsilon C_D d_p^2 \left| v - v_f \right| \left| v - v_f \right| \). Thus, based on newton’s second law, the particle movement equation can be given as \( m \frac{dy}{dt} = mg + F_b + F_d \), and the decomposed forms

Fig. 4. Coordinate system setting for particle falling in the freeboard.
in Qx’y’z’ coordinate system are as
\[
\frac{d^2 x'}{dt^2} = \frac{3 \mu_b C_D \rho_b}{4 \rho_b d_p^2} \left( v_{x'} - \frac{dx'}{dt} \right) \quad \ldots \ldots (17)
\]
\[
\frac{d^2 y'}{dt^2} = \frac{3 \mu_b C_D \rho_b}{4 \rho_b d_p^2} \left( v_{y'} - \frac{dy'}{dt} \right) \quad \ldots \ldots (18)
\]
\[
\frac{d^2 z'}{dt^2} = \frac{3 \mu_b C_D \rho_b}{4 \rho_b d_p^2} \left( v_{z'} - \frac{dz'}{dt} \right) + \frac{\rho_b - \rho_g}{\rho_b} g \quad \ldots \ldots (19)
\]
where the drag coefficient is \( C_D \) and the Reynolds number is \( Re = \frac{\rho_b d_p v}{\mu_b} \), and the empirical constants \( b_0 \) in \( C_D \) are given as
\[
\begin{align*}
&b_0 = \exp \left( 2.3288 - 6.4581 \phi + 2.4486 \phi^2 \right) \\
&b_2 = 0.0964 + 0.5565 \phi \\
&b_3 = \exp \left( 4.905 - 13.8944 \phi + 18.4222 \phi^2 - 10.2599 \phi^3 \right) \\
&b_4 = \exp \left( 1.4681 + 12.2584 \phi - 20.7322 \phi^2 + 15.8855 \phi^3 \right)
\end{align*}
\]
\[
\ldots \ldots (20)
\]

2.5. Circumferential Falling Point and Mass Flow Rate Distribution on Stock Surface

Based on above established models, the whole particle flow process from flow control gate to stock surface can be calculated. The falling point radius \( r_n \), namely the distance from blast furnace center to falling point, is as following. For semi-circular type chute,
\[
r_n = \sqrt{(L_0 \sin \alpha - \cos \alpha + R \cos \phi (1 - \cos \theta) + L_{y'})^2 + (L_{y'} - R \sin \phi)^2}
\]
\[
\ldots \ldots (21)
\]
For rectangular type chute,
\[
r_n = \sqrt{(L_0 \sin \alpha - \cos \alpha + L_{y'})^2 + (L_{y'} - y)^2}
\]
\[
\ldots \ldots (22)
\]
Within above equations, \( L_{y'} \) and \( L_{y'} \) denote particle movement distance in \( x' \) axis direction and \( y' \) axis direction respectively in the freeboard, which are closely related with circumferential orientation of rotating chute. For parallel hoppers type bell-less top, when chute rotates with certain fixed tilting angle, the falling point radius will periodically vary in the circumference. This phenomenon can cause nonuniform stock surface in the circumference, and is one main factor influencing blast furnace operation uniformity.

Apart from the nonuniform circumferential falling point of burden materials, another factor is the nonuniform circumferential distribution of burden mass flow rate on stock surface, which is usually incidentally neglected but is even more important to burden distribution. The nonuniformity of burden mass flow rate in circumference can directly lead to inhomogeneous burden layer thickness and circumferential ratio of ore to coke, which is greatly detrimental to actual production. Therefore, it’s essential to establish a mathematical model on circumferential mass flow rate distribution on stock surface.

During discharge process for certain burden material, burden mass flow rate at flow control gate can be assumed to be stable and unchanged, marked as \( Q_0 \). The discharge mass flow rate \( Q_0 \) can be obtained according to burden batch weight and rounds number of burden distribution, i.e.
\[
Q_0 = \frac{M_{\text{total}}}{2 \pi n}
\]
The variation of burden mass flow rate on stock surface is aroused by the variation of whole motion time of particles with chute rotating. Herein, assuming the whole motion time of particles as \( T \), and \( T = t_s + t_c + t_r \), where \( t_s \), \( t_c \), \( t_r \) denote particles motion time in central throat tube, on the rotating chute and in the freeboard respectively and can be calculated based on above models in each stage. These time variables are closely related with azimuth angle of rotating chute just when particles collide on chute, and can be considered as the function of \( \beta \). So in charging process, taking a short enough period \( dt \) as example, then in this period, the discharged burden mass is \( Q_0 dt \), and the distribution time span of discharged mass on stock surface is \( dT + dt \). Therefore, the transient mass flow rate of burden materials on stock surface can be established as
\[
\frac{dQ}{dT} = \frac{dQ}{dt} = \frac{\frac{d\beta}{dt}}{o(t_r + t_c + t_s)} + \frac{d\beta}{dt} Q_0 \quad \ldots \ldots (23)
\]
From Eq. (23), it can be known burden mass flow rate on stock surface is directly correlated with circumferential angle of the rotating chute, thus it’s an effective tool to estimate nonuniform circumferential burden distribution. In order to quantitatively express nonuniform degree of burden distribution in circumference, the introduction of several characterized symbols is necessary.

Define burden mass flow rate nonuniformity \( \delta \) as
\[
\delta = \frac{Q - Q_0}{Q_0} \times 100\% \quad \ldots \ldots (24)
\]
With regard to the expression of mass flow rate \( Q \), it can be solved by difference method, and thus the circumference with respect to \( \beta \) is divided into \( N \) portions which is enough for guaranteeing computational accuracy. Then the overall deviation of mass flow rate in circumference is described as
\[
\sigma = \sqrt{\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{(Q_i - Q_0)^2}{Q_0}} \quad \ldots \ldots (25)
\]

3. Results and Discussions

In previous section, the comprehensive mathematical model for particle flow and circumferential burden distribution in charging process of bell-less top blast furnace with parallel hoppers is established. Then the validity of the model is evaluated through industrial measurement by laser equipment during the charging process in blow-in stage of blast furnace. Furthermore, particle flow behavior and circumferential burden distribution in charging process are fully analyzed based on the established model.

3.1. Validation of Particle Falling Trajectory

In the blow-in stage of an actual 2 500 m\(^3\) bell-less top blast furnace equipped with parallel hoppers, burden trajec-
tory measurement during charging process was conducted using laser equipment. The measurement principle is schematically shown in Fig. 5. Two laser transmitter devices were installed at two opposite manholes respectively to form staggered grids within a common plane, each with 21 laser beams, and thus the reference coordinate system for locating burden particles position was established. Additionally, the video monitoring system mainly incorporating CCD camera, transmission cable and industrial computer was constructed, in which the camera was fixed on furnace shell orthogonally with the laser grids plane. Therefore, the burden trajectory in the freeboard can be continuously photographed and recorded.

Aiming at the charging process of this 2 500 m³ blast furnace, the established mathematical model is applied to predict burden flow behavior and circumferential burden distribution. The relevant parameters of the bell-less top charging equipment are listed in Table 1, and the physical properties of burden materials and gas are given as Table 2. In the text, only the charging process of coke material is taken into consideration and studied.

Figure 6 presents the comparison result of coke particle falling trajectory in the freeboard between measured results by laser grids method in blow-in stage and calculated results by above established comprehensive mathematical model, with three different chute tilting angles. During the stage of filling burden materials, several different stock levels from 0 m to 3 m were sampled to measure the distance of main burden stream from blast furnace center. From the figure, it can be observed that the calculated results agree well with the measured results. Considering the complex composition of real burden materials and measurement errors, the mathematical model is valid in predicting particles flow behaviors in whole process.

### 3.2. Analysis of Particle Flow Behavior

For parallel hoppers type bell-less top blast furnace, one of the most remarkable features is the decentration of discharged burden materials from the centerline in central throat tube, and subsequently cause periodically variation of particles flow behavior on the chute and in the freeboard with chute rotating. This phenomenon is widely recognized and many researchers have paid much attention in clarifying the internal mechanisms. And the variation of colliding point of burden particles on the chute is considered as the root of nonuniform burden distribution.

#### Table 1. Major parameters of the bell-less top charging equipment.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Effective height from flow control gate to hook point of rotating chute</td>
<td>3.8 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diameter of central throat tube</td>
<td>0.65 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radius of burden flow centroid in central throat tube</td>
<td>0.25 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tilting distance of rotating chute</td>
<td>0.85 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Length of rotating chute</td>
<td>3.6 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radius of cross-section for rotating chute with semi-circular section</td>
<td>0.4 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Width of cross-section for rotating chute with rectangular section</td>
<td>0.6 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distance from hook point of rotating chute to zero stock level</td>
<td>5.52 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chute rotating angular velocity</td>
<td>0.79 rad/s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operational stock level</td>
<td>1.5 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Throat diameter of blast furnace</td>
<td>8.1 m</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Table 2. Properties of burden materials and gas.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material</th>
<th>Property</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Coke</td>
<td>Apparent density</td>
<td>990 kg/m³</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mean equivalent</td>
<td>0.045 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>diameter</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Shape factor</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Friction coefficient</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gas</td>
<td>Density</td>
<td>1.3 kg/m³</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Viscosity</td>
<td>3×10⁻⁵ Pa·s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Average velocity</td>
<td>2 m/s</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 5. Schematic diagram of burden trajectory measurement by laser grids method during charging process.

Fig. 6. Comparison of coke particle falling trajectory between measured results and calculated results.
Figure 7 shows the calculated results of particles colliding point projected trajectory on rotating chute with semi-circular section and rectangular section respectively under Px.y coordinate system. The most important finding is the shape of colliding point trajectory for semi-circular type chute isn’t an ellipse which has long been adopted by numerous predecessors, while that for rectangular type chute is actually an ellipse concentric with the centerline of blast furnace. The clarification of colliding point trajectory is extremely critical for studying particles flow behaviors.

Additionally, with the increase of chute tilting angle, the shape of trajectory will shrink in longitudinal direction approaching to a circle shape, and is beneficial for easing deviation of colliding point.

The trajectory of particles colliding point affects particles flow behavior within the chute mainly in the way of altering effective motion length along the chute, which is defined as the actual motion length of particles from colliding point to chute tip in longitudinal direction. Figure 8 shows the variation of effective motion length of particles as chute rotates circumferentially for semi-circular type chute and rectangular type chute respectively with different chute tilting angles. From the figure, it can be known as chute rotates from azimuth angle of 0° to 180°, effective motion length of particles increases, and then decreases with azimuth angle from 180° to 360°, symmetric with the line of β=180°. And the variation curve of effective motion length for rectangular type chute stretches as a sine curve, while the value of effective motion length for semi-circular type chute is always higher than that for rectangular type chute at same chute azimuth angle, excepting at azimuth angle of 0° and 180° at which both has same value. Therefore, under the same condition, particles move longer distance on semi-circular type chute than that on rectangular type chute excluding that at chute azimuth angle of 0° and 180°. As the chute tilting angle increases, the effective motion length will increase as well accordingly, under the combined action of falling point trajectory shrinkage and overall rise of the trajectory along the chute.

After burden particles collide on rotating chute, they will flow down along the chute, and rotating chute acts as a significant means in controlling burden distribution through altering particles flow behavior on the chute. Due to the variation of particles colliding point on the chute in circumference, particles motion time on the chute changes periodically, as shown in Fig. 9. Particles motion time on the chute mainly depends on the effective motion length, thus its cir-

![Fig. 7. The projected trajectory of particles colliding point on rotating chute with (a) semi-circular section and (b) rectangular section at different chute tilting angles.](image)

![Fig. 8. Circumferential variation of effective particles motion length in longitudinal direction on the chute.](image)

![Fig. 9. Circumferential variation of particles motion time on rotating chute with (a) semi-circular section and (b) rectangular section at different chute tilting angles.](image)
cumferential variation trend is similar with that of effective motion length. On the other hand, particles motion time is also affected by initial particle position and velocity, leading to asymmetry with the line of $\beta=180^\circ$ in circumference. And for semi-circular type chute, the maximum motion time usually appears as chute azimuth angle is higher than $180^\circ$, while the maximum motion time on rectangular type chute appears as chute azimuth angle is lower than $180^\circ$. As for the former, the initial positive tangential velocity contributes to the increase of particles motion time although effective motion length decreases when chute azimuth angle exceeds $180^\circ$, while for the latter, as chute azimuth angle gets close to $180^\circ$, the relative small value of initial longitudinal velocity becomes dominant than the increase of effective motion length to reach the maximum motion time. Moreover, as chute tilting angle increases, particles will move with longer time on the chute, but the difference between maximum time and minimum time reduces since the shrinkage of colliding point trajectory.

### 3.3. Analysis of Circumferential Burden Distribution

Due to the periodically variation of burden particles flow behavior during charging process as chute rotates circumferentially, it will inevitably give rise to nonuniform burden distribution on stock surface in circumference, not only for particles falling point distribution but also for mass flow rate distribution. And quantitatively analyses on nonuniform burden distribution contribute to thorough understanding of influence mechanism of burden charging pattern on blast furnace internal phenomenon, as well as guidance on improving burden distribution.

**Figure 10** shows circumferential falling point distribution on stock surface aiming at semi-circular type chute and rectangular type chute respectively. In the figure, three solid lines from center to wall denote circumferential falling point of particles when chute tilting angle is $20^\circ$, $30^\circ$ and $40^\circ$ respectively, and the corresponding dash lines are the circumferential falling point with uniform radius as particles drop down along centerline in central throat tube. Through comparing the solid lines and corresponding dash lines, it can be found that for parallel hoppers type bell-less top, particles falling point distributes unevenly in circumference and the deviation distance in any azimuth angle can be obtained. When chute tilting angle turns to $20^\circ$, $30^\circ$ and $40^\circ$ separately, for bell-less top equipped with semi-circular type chute, the maximum falling point radiuses are $1.524 \text{ m}$, $2.924 \text{ m}$, $3.876 \text{ m}$, the minimum falling point radiuses are $1.184 \text{ m}$, $2.408 \text{ m}$, $3.441 \text{ m}$, while for rectangular type chute, the maximum and minimum falling point radiuses are $1.378 \text{ m}$, $2.505 \text{ m}$, $3.446 \text{ m}$ and $1.174 \text{ m}$, $2.301 \text{ m}$, $3.331 \text{ m}$. It can be known the difference between maximum and minimum falling point radius is considerable which is noteworthy, and the radius difference of semi-circular type chute is larger than that of rectangular type chute, namely rectangular type chute has advantage in controlling uniform circumferential burden falling point, and as a whole the falling point radius for rectangular type chute is slightly little than that for semi-circular type chute as well. Furthermore, the circumferential azimuth angles when falling point reaches its maximum or minimum radius will change with different chute type and chute tilting angles.

As to parallel hoppers type bell-less top, besides to nonuniform particles falling point distribution on stock surface, nonuniform burden mass flow rate distribution will also arise. **Figure 11** shows the calculated results of mass flow rate distribution on stock surface in circumference for semi-circular type chute and rectangular type chute respectively, and the base averaged mass flow rate of coke batch is $Q_0=150 \text{ kg/s}$. From the figure, it can be observed that burden mass flow rate in circumference varies quite unevenly around the averaged mass flow rate, leading to unequal charged burden mass in circumference. For semi-circular type chute, the maximum burden mass flow rate can reach $164.27 \text{ kg/s}$, $164.62 \text{ kg/s}$ and $160.87 \text{ kg/s}$, while the minimum values are only $139.92 \text{ kg/s}$, $140.41 \text{ kg/s}$ and $143.93 \text{ kg/s}$ as chute tilting angle is $20^\circ$, $30^\circ$ and $40^\circ$ respectively. And because of combined effect of particles initial position condition and initial velocity condition on rotating chute, burden mass flow rate varies fairly irregularly, even leading to appearance of one small peak in some cases. As for rectangular type chute, variation curves of mass flow rate stretches like a sine function curve, and the maximum values reach $157.43 \text{ kg/s}$, $157.17 \text{ kg/s}$ and $158.34 \text{ kg/s}$, the minimum values with $141.30 \text{ kg/s}$, $141.53 \text{ kg/s}$ and $140.36 \text{ kg/s}$ as chute tilting angle turns to $20^\circ$, $30^\circ$ and $40^\circ$ respectively. Thus at each charging ring position, the deviation of burden mass flow rate for rectangular type chute is a
Fig. 11. Circumferential mass flow rate distribution on stock surface with (a) semi-circular type chute and (b) rectangular type chute at different chute tilting angles.

little smaller than that for semi-circular type chute. On the other hand, the regularity of burden mass flow rate variation for rectangular type chute is stronger than that for semi-circular type chute with different charging angles.

Based on Eq. (25), the overall deviation of mass flow rate in circumference can be calculated to evaluate nonuniform degree of burden mass flow rate distribution. As chute tilting angle turns to 20°, 30° and 40° respectively, the overall deviations are 6.82 kg/s, 7.58 kg/s, 6.58 kg/s for semi-circular type chute, and 5.55 kg/s, 5.36 kg/s, 6.26 kg/s for rectangular type chute. It indicates that nonuniform degree of burden mass flow rate distribution by using semi-circular type chute is a little more serious than that by using rectangular type chute. And the nonuniform phenomena should be paid attention in actual production, and proper countermeasures should be explored to ease the influence of nonuniform burden distribution on blast furnace operation and improve relevant economic indicators.

4. Conclusions

Aiming at the charging process of bell-less top blast furnace equipped with parallel hoppers, a comprehensive mathematical model describing particles flow behaviors and burden distribution is established, including particles flow behavior from flow control gate to rotating chute, particles flow behavior on rotating chute, particles flow behavior in the freeboard and circumferential falling point and mass flow rate distribution on stock surface. Within the model, particles colliding point trajectory on rotating chute with semi-circular section is firstly presented to be a non-ellipse shape, and the projected shape on the chute is formulated. Furthermore, the mathematical model of particles flow behavior on rectangular type chute which is also widely applied has been initiatively developed.

In order to verify applicability of the model, measured results of coke particles falling trajectory in the freeboard conducted by using laser grids method during the blow-in stage of an actual 2 500 m³ bell-less top blast furnace is used to compare with the calculated results by the model, and the agreement is acceptable. Based on the established model, particles flow behaviors during charging process have been analyzed, the projected trajectories of particles colliding point on rotating chute are clarified for semi-circular type chute and rectangular type chute respectively. As chute rotates circumferentially, particles effective motion length and motion time on the chute vary periodically, and the maximum and minimum effective motion lengths are at the chute azimuth angle of 180° and 0° respectively. And with the increase of chute tilting angle, both particles effective motion length and motion time will increase. In addition, circumferential burden falling point distribution and mass flow rate distribution on stock surface are deeply studied for semi-circular type chute and rectangular type chute respectively. When chute tilting angle turns to 20°, 30° and 40° respectively, the overall deviations of burden mass flow rate are 6.82 kg/s, 7.58 kg/s, 6.58 kg/s for semi-circular type chute, and 5.55 kg/s, 5.36 kg/s, 6.26 kg/s for rectangular type chute, indicating the former with larger nonuniformity. And nonuniform burden distribution should arouse operator’s attention to realize efficient production of blast furnace.
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Nomenclature

\[ \begin{align*}
C_D & : \text{drag coefficient (–)} \\
D_b & : \text{diameter of central throat tube (m)} \\
e & : \text{tilting distance of rotating chute (m)} \\
F_b & : \text{buoyancy force (N)} \\
F_c & : \text{centrifugal force (N)} \\
F_d & : \text{drag force (N)} \\
F_f & : \text{friction force (N)} \\
F_N & : \text{reaction force (N)} \\
F_i & : \text{coriolis force (N)} \\
g & : \text{gravitational acceleration (m/s}^2\text{)} \\
h_0 & : \text{operational stock level (m)} \\
h_1 & : \text{effective height from flow control gate to hook point of rotating chute (m)} \\
h_2 & : \text{effective height from hook point of rotating chute to colliding point of particles on chute (m)} \\
H & : \text{distance from hook point of rotating chute to zero stock level (m)} \\
i & : \text{portion number of discretized circumference, } \ i = 1, 2, \ldots, N \\
K & : \text{correction factor of particles collision (–), considering velocity dissipation among particles and wall collision, the value gets 0.5 in the text.} \\
L_0 & : \text{length of rotating chute (m)} \\
L & : \text{effective longitudinal motion length of particle on rotating chute (m)}
\end{align*} \]
mass of particle (kg)  
$M$: burden batch weight (kg)  
n: rounds number of burden distribution (−)  
$N$: number of discretized portions in circumferential direction  
$Q$: mass flow rate (kg/s)  
r: distance vector (m)  
r_c: radius of burden flow centroid from BF center line in central throat tube (m)  
r_n: radius of particle falling point on stock surface (m)  
$R$: radius of cross-section for rotating chute with semi-circular section (m)  
$Re$: Reynolds number (−)  
t: time (s)  
$T$: total motion time of particle from flow control gate to stock surface (s)  
v: velocity (m/s)  
v_0: initial velocity of burden particle at the flow control gate (m/s)  
v_1: particle velocity as particle reaches chute surface (m/s)  
$W$: width of cross-section for rotating chute with rectangular section (m)  
$x$, $y$, $z$: axes of the Pxyz coordinate system (m)  
$x'$, $y'$, $z'$: axes of the Qx'y'z' coordinate system (m)  
$X$, $Y$, $Z$: axes of the OXYZ coordinate system (m)  
$\alpha$: tilting angle of rotating chute (°)  
$\beta$: circumferential angle or azimuth angle of rotating chute when particles just collide on chute (°)  
$\beta_c$: azimuth angle of rotating chute when particles just locate the chute end (°)  
$\beta_d$: azimuth angle of particles when particles just reach stock level (°)  
$\theta$: deflection angle of particle in rotating chute (°)  
$\omega$: chute rotating angular velocity (rad/s)  
$\phi$: shape factor of burden particle (−)  
$\rho$: density (kg/m$^3$)  
$\lambda$: material flow coefficient (−), for metallurgical burden material, the value ranges from 0.3 to 0.4. In the text, the value for coke material takes 0.4, while for ore material it takes 0.3.  
$\mu$: friction coefficient (−)  
$\mu_i$: gas viscosity (Pa·s)  
Subscripts  
f: freeboard  
g: gas  
p: particle  
t: central throat tube  
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