Friction Forces and Mechanical Dust Generation in an Iron Ore Pellet Bed Subjected to Varied Applied Loads
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Iron ore pellets degrade and generate dust during transportation and handling as well as during the ironmaking process. This leads to material losses and affects the process efficiency in a negative manner. In order to reduce the generation of dust it is important to understand the influence of process parameters on the dust formation. An experimental setup was used to measure the dust generation and friction forces caused by abrasion of iron ore pellets in a closed pack bed. A varied load of 1 to 3 kg was applied on the pellet bed but at a constant air flow rate to capture the airborne dust particles. It was observed that an increase of ~67% is observed in the friction and the dust generation in the bed as the applied load increased from 1 to 3 kg. Moreover, the evaluation of the particle size distribution of the generated dust showed that a higher friction in the pellet bed can lead to an increased amount of airborne particles. Moreover, it has been shown that in an air flow the morphology and the orientation of dust particles can influence the air velocity required to transport the particles upwards.
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simulation and modeling of the burden descend in a BF. Gustafsson et al.\textsuperscript{13} has reported an average friction coefficient of 0.67 and 0.72 for a sliding contact between pellet to pellet and pellet to steel plate, respectively. However, there is need to have information regarding the friction in a pellet bed as well as on the influence of different process parameters on the friction.

The objective of this study was to investigate the friction forces and the dust generation in a pellet bed under conditions of different applied loads on the bed. In addition, the particle size distributions of dust generated during different experimental conditions have been investigated and compared. Based upon obtained results, the mechanisms of mechanical wear of pellets and dust generation are discussed.

2. Experimental Method

2.1. Wear Experiments

An equipment was designed to simulate the mechanical wear of pellets and to measure the friction force in a closed pack bed of pellets. Figure 1 presents a schematic illustration of the equipment. The pellets lie in the container on the bottom plate which rotates by a motor. While the pellets are rotating along with the bottom plate, they exert frictional forces on the walls of cylinder and upper loading plate. This makes the upper loading plate and loading rod to rotate along with the pellets. The friction force, which is experienced amongst the pellet bed, is transferred through the loading rod and measured by the load cell. A S2M force measurement (denoted as Mixed). For each experiment, about 700 g of pellets were placed in three layers in the container and rotated at 240 RPM for 10 minutes and using an inlet flow rate of compressed air of 8 liter/min. A volumetric flow rate of 8 liter/min in inlet corresponds to a vertical air velocity in the container of 0.013 m/s. The applied load varied from 1 to 3 kg. Table 1 summarizes the experiments performed under different conditions.

Before the wear experiments, the pellets were cleaned by compressed air to remove dust from the surface of the pellets. In addition, the weight of the pellets was measured before \((W_0)\) and after \((W_f)\) the rotation experiment lasting for 10 minutes. Thereafter, the wear rate \((WR)\) of the pellets during rotation was calculated according to Eq. (1):

\[
WR = \frac{W_f - W_0}{W_0 \cdot t} \cdot 100\% \quad \text{.......................... (1)}
\]

where \(t\) is time.

2.2. Evaluation of PSD of Dust Particles

The outlet was connected to a particle analyzer, Dekati ELPI+ (Electrical Low Pressure Impactor), which can measure the particle concentration in the size range of an aerodynamic diameter \((d_a)\) ranging from 6 nm to 10 \(\mu\)m. Before starting the experimental measurements, the air in the container was analyzed to obtain the background level of the particle concentrations in injected air. The particles during measurement are charged in a corona charger and the total charge collected on an impactor stage in a specific size class of the ELPI+ analyzer is converted into concentration (number per unit volume of air, \(N_v\)). Finally, the concentration of the particles in the fixed 14 size intervals of \(d_a\) is

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Exp. Type of pellets</th>
<th>Weight of charge (g)</th>
<th>Applied load (kg)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GA1, GA2, GA3</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>701.8 – 708.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GC1, GC2, GC3</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>700.2 – 703.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M1, M2, M3, M4</td>
<td>Mixed</td>
<td>702.5 – 706.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M5, M6</td>
<td>Mixed</td>
<td>702.2 – 703.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M7, M8</td>
<td>Mixed</td>
<td>700.7 – 703.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
reported. Translation of aerodynamic diameter measured by the particle analyzer into equivalent diameter of spherical particles can be done by using the following equation for the Stokes diameter ($d_s$):

$$d_s = d_a \left( \frac{\rho_p}{\rho_a} \right)^{1/2} \text{................................ (2)}$$

where $\rho_a$ is the density of a water droplet (1 000 kg/m$^3$), $\rho_p$ is the density of particles (5 200 kg/m$^3$ for hematite). In this case, the size range of the dust particles measured by the ELPI+ analyzer corresponds to 0.003–4.47 μm of the Stokes diameter for spherical particles.

The generated “heavy” dust, which stayed in the container, was completely collected after each experiment to evaluate the dust weight and particle size distribution. Also, two different techniques were applied for evaluation of this PSD.

In Method I (SEM method), a weighed amount of dust was dispersed in ~20 ml methanol by using an ultrasonic bath. Thereafter, the solution was filtered through a PTFE film filter with an open pore size of 0.1 μm. Then the dust particles on the surface of a film filter were investigated by using a scanning electron microscope (SEM) at magnifications of 300 to 1 000. Also, an image processing software called “ImageJ” was used for the measurement of each dust particle maximum length ($L_{max}$), the circularity factor ($CF$) and the area of particle ($A_p$) were determined. The circularity factor is defined as follows:

$$CF = \frac{4\pi \cdot A_p}{P_p^2} \text{............................... (3)}$$

where $P_p$ represents the perimeter of a particle on a SEM image.

The equivalent diameter ($d_{eq}$) of the particle, which represents the diameter of a circle having the same area as the measured area of the particle, was calculated according to following equation:

$$d_{eq} = \frac{4A_p}{\pi} \text{................................. (4)}$$

The PSD of the dust particles was determined as the number of particles in the respective size range per unit weight ($N_w$) of dust. This was calculated as follows:

$$N_w = \frac{n \cdot A_f}{A_{obs} \cdot W_d} \text{............................... (5)}$$

where $n$ is the number of investigated particles in the given size range, $A_f$ is the total area of PTFE filter with dust particles ($= 1 220 \text{ mm}^2$), $A_{obs}$ is the observed area on PTFE filter and $W_d$ is the total weight of dust dispersed on the film filter. Figure 2 shows typical commercial pellets and dust particles observed on film filters.

Method II (LD method) used a CILAS 1064 laser diffraction particle size analyser (liquid mode) with a measurement of particle size in the range from 0.04 to 500 μm. The Fraunhofer theory of diffraction is used for determination of the dust PSD results based upon diffraction patterns. However, the results obtained based upon a Fraunhofer approximation are not very accurate for submicron particles. Laser diffraction particle size analyser measures the equivalent diameter ($d_{eq}$) of particles in random orientations. Assuming that the analysed particles are spheres, the volume fractions of particles in different size intervals are calculated. The obtained volume fractions were converted to $N_w$ by calculating the weight and number of particles in each size interval.

### 3. Results and Discussion

#### 3.1. Dust Generation and Friction Forces

In a previous study, it was found that the wear rate of commercial pellets in a planetary mill can significantly depend on their size. More specifically, the wear rate of large sized pellets (Group C, 13.5 < $D_{eq}$ < 15.0 mm) was about 10–20% higher than that of the small sized pellets (Group A, 9.5 < $D_{eq}$ < 12.5 mm). It was reported that the dust, which was generated from large size pellets, contain higher amounts of large sized particles (> 20 μm). This was due to the higher contribution of impact/collisions during these wear experiments. Whereas, the small sized pellets produced a higher amount of small sized particles (0–10 μm) because the sliding/abrasion process was dominating in these wear trials. A constant load of pellets (60–62 g) was used in the wear experiments which created different

![Fig. 2. (a) Typical industrial iron ore pellets and (b) typical dust particles observed on PTFE film filter.](image-url)
experimental conditions. Thereby, the above mentioned results were obtained.

In this study, the wear rates of different sized pellets were investigated by using an experimental setup in which the sliding/abrasion is the major mechanism for wear of pellets. Figure 3(a) presents the wear rate (WR) for Group A, C and Mixed (without separation of pellets into groups depending on their size and weight) pellets obtained in the experimental trials during 10 minutes by applying a load of 3 kg. It can be seen that similar wear rates (0.66 ± 0.04 wt%/min) were obtained for all groups of pellets. The friction force generated in the pellet bed, which is continuously measured during the total rotation time, is shown in Fig. 3(b) as a function of time. Similar to the wear rate, the generated friction forces are not influenced by the classification of pellets in groups i.e. on an average friction force for all cases is 3.81 ± 0.018 N. This suggests that the experimental conditions and wear mechanism (sliding/abrasion) in these trials were similar for the different sized pellets. Therefore, the Mixed pellets (without separation into groups) were used for the later experiments. Moreover, Fig. 3 indicates that a good repeatability of the experimental results can be achieved using this equipment.

The effect of different applied loads (from 1 to 3 kg) on wear rate and generated friction forces is presented in Fig. 4. As can be seen in Fig. 4(a), the average wear rate increases linearly with an increased applied load (a correlation coefficient for obtained results is \( R = 0.999 \)). On an average, the wear rate can be increased on ~67% by increasing applied load from 1 to 3 kg. Figure 4(b) shows the average friction forces measured during 10 min of rotation versus to the applied load. It can be seen that the increase in friction forces with an increased applied load is also a linear function with an R value of 0.998.

### 3.2. Characteristics of Dust

In this study, the dust generated during the wear experiments is categorized as follows: i) \( D_0 \) is the total dust generated during an experiment; ii) \( D_1 \) is the “heavy” dust which stays at the bottom of container after an experiment and iii) \( D_2 \) is the “light” dust which exits with the air flow during experiment. In addition, \( D_2 \) is further categorized as the amount of dust measured by a particle analyzer (\( D_{2PA} \)) and the remaining \( D_2 \) which is deposited on the walls and on the upper surface of the porous plate in chamber (\( D_{2L} \)).

The mass of \( D_0 \) dust was determined as a difference...
between the measured weights of pellets before and after the rotation during a specific time. Heavy D₁ dust was collected from the bottom of container after an appropriate rotation time. Thereafter, it was weighed and used to investigate the influence of the experimental conditions on the PSD of D₁.

The PSDs of dust D₁ obtained for varied applied loads by the laser diffraction analysis (LD method) are presented in Fig. 5. It appears that the D₁ dusts generated under varied applied load conditions have similar PSDs. However, the number of particles with a \( d_{eq} > 10 \mu m \) for 3 kg applied load is slightly lower than that for lower applied loads. It can be clearly seen in Fig. 6, which presents the variation in \( N_W \) of particles of D₁ dust in three size ranges, i.e. small (1 \( \mu m \leq d_{eq} \leq 5 \mu m \)), medium (5 \( \mu m < d_{eq} \leq 10 \mu m \)) and large (\( d_{eq} > 10 \mu m \)). It can be seen that \( N_W \) value of small sized particles for 3 kg is on ~13% higher than that for 1 kg. For medium sized particles a clear tendency couldn’t be observed, whereas the \( N_W \) value of large sized particles is higher (on an average ~6%) for lower applied loads. The variation of the \( N_W \) values in the different size ranges can be attributed to the different extents of the friction force between the pellets in the pellet bed at different applied loads. It is apparent that the large applied load promoted higher sliding contacts and friction force between pellets. As a result, it also contributed to the generation of larger number of small sized particles.

The difference in PSDs of dust generated due to different mechanisms of wear has been discussed in a previous study, where MPBO pellets classified according to size and weight were subjected to mechanical wear. It has been reported that the dust generated during mechanical wear of Group A (small size) pellets dominates in fine particles in comparison to that of Group C (large size) pellets. It was suggested that dust produced due to sliding/abrasion of pellets is fine, whereas relatively coarse dust is generated due to impact/collisions of pellets. The PSD values of D₁ dust for Mixed pellets determined by using SEM method in this study were compared to the PSD values of Group A and Group C pellets from a previous study. Since the used equipment and conditions of experiments in the previous and given studies are different, the particle size distributions of dusts generated in different experiments were compared at an approximately same wear amount of pellets (~6–8 wt% from initial weight of pellets). This condition corresponds to the dust generated after 4 min at a rotation speed of 400 RPM in the previous experiments and the dust generated after 10 min at a rotation speed of 240 RPM in the current study.

The PSDs of D₁ dusts produced at 1 and 3 kg applied loads and determined by the SEM method are compared to the PSDs from previous experiments in Fig. 7. It can be seen in both figures that number of coarse particles (\( L_{max} > 20 \mu m \)) is ~40 to 70% higher for Group C pellets as compared to other PSDs, where pellets are relatively more vulnerable to collisions as compared to Group A pellets. Moreover, the number of fine particles (\( L_{max} \leq 10 \mu m \)) is ~13 to 40% for 3 kg as compared to other PSDs. This can be explained by somewhat higher extend of friction force in the pellet bed under 3 kg applied load. It should be mentioned that some amount of particles with \( d_{eq} \leq 5 \mu m \) (corresponding approximately to \( L_{max} \leq 10 \mu m \)) in the current experiments has been removed along with injected air and analyzed by particle counter. Therefore, the number of these fine particles in the current experiments was considerably higher than that...
in the previous experiments. It may be safely suggested that abrasion in these experiments has been the dominating mechanism of dust generation, due to presence of an externally applied load. It is important to mention that the applied loads in the current experiments (1–3 kg, which corresponds to 0.98–2.94 daN) are much lower compared to the crushing strength of the used MPBO pellets (220 daN), since very high applied loads might crush the pellets and generate the coarse particles found in the dust.

A comparison of PSDs of D1 dust obtained by using the LD and SEM methods is shown in Fig. 8 for generated dust during experiment M2. It can be seen that both PSDs show a similar tendency, but that NW values obtained from the SEM method (NW,SEM) are significantly larger than those from the LD method (NW,LD). For small sized particles (d_eq ≤ 5 μm), NW,SEM and NW,LD values are very similar (on average NW,SEM/NW,LD ratio is 1.09±0.4) whereas this NW,SEM/NW,LD ratio is 2.71±1.7 for particles with d_eq > 5 μm. Li et al.17) has also reported a similar discrepancy between PSD values in size range of 45–90 μm obtained from image analysis (IA) and from laser diffraction (LD) analysis determinations. This difference between PSD values obtained from the SEM and LD methods can arise due to the differences between the principles of the size measurements and determinations of the d_eq values used in both these techniques. In the SEM method, the equivalent size of observed particles (d_eq) is determined from their maximum projection area. This is due to that they lie on a filter surface with a particle plane having the maximum area. As a result, the equivalent size of most plate-like dust particles obtained by the SEM method can be overestimated. In the LD method, the equivalent size is determined according to the random orientation of the particles in a laser diffraction analyzer. In this case, the size of some plate-like dust particles can be underestimated depending on their orientation in the laser diffraction zone.

Li et al.17) proposed that the PSD obtained by IA (PSD_IA) can be translated to the PSD of LD (PSD_LD) according to the following relationship:

\[ \text{PSD}_{LD} \approx \sqrt{S} \cdot \text{PSD}_{IA} \]  

where S is the shape factor called “sphericity”. This shape factor is equal to the circularity factor (CF) used in the current study.

Figure 8 also presents the PSD_{SEM\cdot\sqrt{CF}} obtained after conversion according to Eq. (6) along with the CF value for each size class. It can be seen that the deviation between PSD_{SEM\cdot\sqrt{CF}} and PSD_{LD} has decreased, though it still exists especially for d_eq > 5 μm.

As mentioned earlier, ELPI+ particle analyzer was used for an evaluation of the “light” dust (D_{2PA}). Figure 9(a) shows the PSDs of dust particles measured by the particle analyzer for the background air before the experiment and at the 1st and 10th minute in experiment M2. It can be seen that the number of particles per unit volume of air (N_V) up to 0.1 μm are similar for all the presented PSDs. Moreover, the N_V values for particles >0.1 μm in the background air are
negligibly small i.e. ~ 0.1% of total $N_V$. Similar results were obtained in other wear experiments of this study. Therefore, it was concluded that the most particles up to a size of 0.1 μm correspond to the air background. Thus, they were not considered in the evaluation of the PSD values of the generated dust. The total numbers of particles larger than 0.1 μm in the $D_{2PA}$ dusts measured at $i$-th minute are presented in Fig. 9(b) for experiments at different applied loads. It is apparent that the concentration of the analyzed dust ($N_{W(i)}$) increases significantly with an increased rotation time and applied load. Similar tendencies were obtained in other wear experiments and can be justified by increasing amount of accumulated dust in the chamber with an increased rotation time and a higher wear rate for higher applied loads.

Comparison of PSDs for $D_{2PA}$ dust particles measured at 10th minute ($i = 10$) of experiments under varied applied load is shown in Fig. 10. In this case, the $N_{W(10)}$ values measured by the particle analyzer are represented as the number of particles per 1 g of dust. It can be seen in Fig. 10(a) that the peaks of all PSDs are at $d_s \sim 0.5$ μm. However, the $N_{W(10)}$ values obtained for 2 and 3 kg applied loads are considerably higher than that for a 1 kg load. The same tendency was obtained for the total $N_{W(10)}$ values, which were obtained by a summation of the $N_{W(10)}$ values for all particle size intervals, as shown in Fig. 10(b). It indicates that a larger number of small sized particles is generated under a higher applied load, as was also observed by LD method for $D_1$ dust.

The amount of measured $D_{2PA}$ dust corresponds to about 3.7–5.3 wt% of the total weight of the $D_0$ dust. The weight of the small sized particles ($d_{eq} \leq 5$ μm) in the $D_0$ dust was roughly evaluated by a summation of the respective $N_W$ values for $D_1$ and $D_{2PA}$ without a consideration of the dust losses during the experiments ($D_{2L\sim 0}$). In this case, the amount of measured $D_{2PA}$ dust, which was removed from container with a gas flow, corresponds to only 12–17 wt% of $D_0$ dust in the size range of 1–4.5 μm. It can be explained by an additional resistance of the pellet bed and the upper loading plate, a low air velocity and a plate-like morphology of dust particles. It was found that free area (holes) between the pellets in the bed in horizontal section is about

![Fig. 9.](image1.png)

(a) A comparison of background air level and particle size distributions of $D_{0PA}$ dust obtained by ELPI+ and (b) the total concentrations of particles in the dust analyzed by ELPI+ in the experiments at different applied loads (Exp. M8, M6 and M2) and times.

![Fig. 10.](image2.png)

Effect of the applied load on (a) the particle size distributions and (b) the total $N_W$ value of $D_{2PA}$ dust measured by ELIP+ during 10th minutes of rotation ($i = 10$).
11% of the total horizontal area of the container. However, this value of the free area may vary due to movements of pellets in the bed during an experiment and variation in the size and the shape of pellets. Also, this value was calculated for charge bed of 150 spherical pellets having an average pellet weight of 3 g and an average diameter of 12.25 mm. Further, the free area of holes in the upper loading plate is only ~9.5% of the total area of this plate.

The other reasons for the less weight percentage of particles collected by ELPI+ can be the low air velocity and the morphology of dust particles. As was mentioned above, the generated dust particles have mostly a flake or a plate shape. During uplifting of the particles, the area of particles perpendicular to air flow (projected area) is of more importance as it defines the drag force which shall act on the particles to be lifted. The velocity of a gas \( (U_t) \) required to lift up a particle of known mass \( (m) \) and projected area \( (A_p) \) of particle can be calculated according to following relationship:21)

\[
U_t = \left[ \frac{2mg(\rho_p - \rho_g)}{\rho_g D_p C_D A_p} \right]^{1/2},
\]

where \( \rho_p \) is the density of particle (5 200 kg/m\(^3\) for hematite),\( \rho_g \) is the density of gas (1.29 kg/m\(^3\) for air),\( g \) is the acceleration of gravity, \( C_D \) is the coefficient of drag force. The value of \( C_D \) can be determined depending on the value of the Reynolds number of particle \( (Re_p) \) as follows:23) \( C_D = 24/Re_p \) for \( Re_p < 0.4 \), \( C_D = 10/(Re_p^{1/2}) \) for \( 0.4 < Re_p < 500 \) and \( C_D = 0.43 \) for \( 500 < Re_p < 200 \ 000 \).

It is apparent that for particles having a constant weight/volume, a lower velocity of air is required for a particle having larger \( A_p \) value. Therefore, the orientation of dust particles in the air flow can significantly influence their uplift. Figure 11 shows the variations of the required gas velocity for the uplift of a small sized dust particle \( (d_{eq} < 5 \mu\text{m}) \) and a large observed plate-like particle having different orientations (projected area) in the gas flow. The dimensions (length, width and thickness) and different projected areas depending on the orientations \( (A_{p1}, A_{p2} \text{ and } A_{p3}) \) and measured by using ImageJ \( (A_{p(x,y)}) \) are given in Table 2. It can be seen in Fig. 11 that the required gas velocity for one plate-like particle can be varied by 22 to 30% on average depending on the dimensions of this dust particle and orientation in the air flow. However, the air velocity in the container during the current experiments (~0.013 m/s) is much larger compared to the \( U_t \) values for small particles (Fig. 11(a)). It means that regardless of orientation, all the small sized particles \( (d_{eq} < 5 \mu\text{m}) \) should have been removed from the container. Hence, the resistance offered by the pellet bed is the major reason for a smaller weight percent of small sized particles, which were captured by the air flow and which were measured by the particle analyzer.

The required air velocity for large particles are much higher than 0.013 m/s. Further, it tends to significantly increase with a decreased projected area of the plate-like dust particles. Moreover, it should be noted that the real thickness \( (z) \) of the large size dust particles is significantly lower than the assumed half of its width. In this case, the required air velocity for the uplift of such particles should be significantly larger. Hence, the influence of the orientation and the morphology of particles is of great importance for flow of particles especially for large sized particles.

![Fig. 11. Variation in required gas velocity for the uplifting of a) a small sized and b) a large sized particle having different orientations and projected area (\( A_p \)) in the gas flow.](image)

**Table 2.** The dimensions and projected area \( (A_p) \) of the two particles which were considered to calculate the required air velocity at different orientations in air flow.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dust particle</th>
<th>Length, ( x ) (( \mu\text{m} ))</th>
<th>Width, ( y ) (( \mu\text{m} ))</th>
<th>Thickness, ( z = y/2 ) (( \mu\text{m} ))</th>
<th>( A_{p1} = x y ) (( \mu\text{m}^2 ))</th>
<th>( A_{p2} = x z ) (( \mu\text{m}^2 ))</th>
<th>( A_{p3} = y z ) (( \mu\text{m}^2 ))</th>
<th>( A_{p(x,y)} ) (( \mu\text{m}^2 ))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Small</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>3.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Large</td>
<td>32.6</td>
<td>23.5</td>
<td>11.8</td>
<td>766.1</td>
<td>383.1</td>
<td>276.1</td>
<td>506.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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4. Conclusions

In this study, experiments have been carried out to simulate the dust generation due to the mechanical wear of iron ore pellets during the transportation and handling as well as during the descending in a blast furnace. A varied external load was applied on a bed of pellets to observe the change in the friction forces and the amounts of dust generated due to the pellets movements. Based upon the presented experimental results the following conclusions can be made:

(1) The friction forces among the pellets and dust generation in a pellet bed directly increases with increased applied load. More specifically a ~67% increase is observed for both the wear rate and the friction forces with an increased applied load from 1 to 3 kg.

(2) A higher friction in the pellet bed under higher applied load results in increased contribution of abrasion mechanism and produce a higher number of small sized dust particles ($d_{eq} \leq 5 \mu m$).

(3) The particle size distributions (PSDs) of dust obtained by laser diffraction (LD method) and image analysis (SEM method) can be well correlated by considering the circularity factor ($CF$) for particles having high $CF$ values. Whereas, for large sized dust particles having plate-like shape and lower $CF$ values there is need to introduce some correction factor to obtain a good correlation.

(4) The air velocity required to uplift dust particles can be significantly influenced by their morphology and orientation in the air flow.
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