Void Nucleation, and Growth during Tensile Deformation of Nanoscale Precipitated Steel and Bainitic Steel
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1. Introduction

To achieve reductions in the weight of vehicles, the automotive industry requires the development of high-strength steel with high-formability. Therefore, many types of high-strength steels have been developed in order to meet this requirement, where the most common type is nanoscale precipitated steel.1) This steel is particularly characterized by its higher hole expansion ratio, $\lambda$,1) compared with that of other high tensile strength steels, such as bainitic steel, martensitic steel, dual-phase (DP) steel,2) and transformation-induced plasticity (TRIP)-assisted steel.3,4) However, the mechanism underlying the high $\lambda$ value in the nanoscale precipitated steel is still not clear; elucidating this mechanism is expected to further improve $\lambda$ values and allow the development of a new type of high-strength steel.

Generally, $\lambda$ is evaluated using a hole expansion test,5) which has been shown to have a linear relationship to a local elongation obtained from tensile testing.6) In the hole expansion test, a piercing process is performed before the hole expansion. Voids nucleate in this piercing process. On the other hand, in the local elongation region of tensile testing, void nucleation and growth accompanies increasing stress triaxiality.7,8) These voids eventually coalesce, resulting in fracture.9–11) These phenomena mean that the local elongation depends on the process of void nucleation, growth, and coalescence; here, “void growth” refers to an increase in the volume of an individual void and does not include the coalescence of multiple voids. Therefore, the study of void nucleation, growth, and coalescence during tensile testing is important to develop the high-strength steel with the high $\lambda$ value.

In our research group, the processes of void nucleation, growth, and coalescence were previously studied using synchrotron radiation X-ray laminography,12–14) and we revealed that the high local elongation is caused by the suppression of sudden void growth near the fracture caused by
a low strain concentration at the grain boundary.\textsuperscript{15,16}

In this study, cyclic loading-unloading tensile testing was performed by applying sequential changes in strain until near final fracture for both nanoscale precipitated steel and bainitic steel. Void nucleation and growth were investigated by synchrotron radiation X-ray laminography, which enables the three-dimensional (3D) observation of voids in flat tensile test specimens. The void nucleation sites in the fractured specimens were also observed using electron backscattering diffraction (EBSD). In addition, the factor controlling the local elongation is discussed based on the differences in hardness between the grain boundaries and interiors as measured by nanoindentation hardness testing.

2. Experimental

2.1. Test Specimens

In this study, the nanoscale precipitated steel and the bainitic steel were used, both with a tensile strength of 780 MPa. The nanoscale precipitated steel was recently developed to increase $\lambda$.\textsuperscript{1}\ The $\lambda$ values of the nanoscale precipitated steel and bainitic steel used in this study were 100$\%$ and 75$,\%$, respectively. The bainitic steel was conventional high-tensile steel. The specimens were prepared by hot rolling process. The finishing temperature and coiling temperature were held at 1 173 K and 723 K, respectively.

The chemical compositions are shown in Table 1. The tensile test specimens had a width and thickness of 2.0 and 1.2 mm, respectively, the parallel section was 3.0 mm long. These dimensions were chosen to fit the synchrotron radiation X-ray system used to observe the voids (see section 2.3).

The microstructures of both steels were observed by using a field emission scanning electron microscope (FE-SEM; Ultra 55, Carl Zeiss AG, Germany) for planes perpendicular to the rolling direction (RD) at an accelerating voltage of 15 kV. The test specimens were embedded in resin and polished with emery papers, followed by polishing to a mirror finish with alumina buffing. The specimens were then etched with a 3 vol.$\%$ nitric acid–97$\%$ ethanol solution. The coarse precipitates in both steels were observed by using optical microscope (OM) for planes perpendicular to the RD. The surface was polished with emery papers, followed by sequential polishing with $\alpha$-alumina and colloidal silica solutions.

The microstructures and misorientations in grain of both steels were observed by using EBSD. The inverse pole figure (IPF), kernel average misorientation (KAM) and misorientations in grain were analyzed using Orientation Imaging Microscopy analysis software (OIM\textsuperscript{TM}; AMETEK Co., Ltd., USA).

Thin foils were prepared from the specimens to observe the nanoscale precipitates in the nanoscale precipitated steel using transmission electron microscopy (TEM; CM20 FEG, Netherlands) at an accelerating voltage of 200 kV. In addition, the precipitates were observed by energy dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDS) for the planes perpendicular to the RD at an accelerating voltage of 15 kV.

2.2. Measurement of C in Grain Boundaries

Test specimens of 10 mm in diameter and 1 mm in thickness were prepared from both steels for secondary ion mass spectrometry (SIMS) analysis. The plane perpendicular to the normal direction (ND) was used for SIMS analysis. The sample surfaces were polished with emery papers, followed by sequential polishing with $\alpha$-alumina and colloidal silica solutions to prepare the samples for elemental mapping by SIMS (Nano SIMS 50L, CAMECA, USA). Using a 16 keV Cs$^+$ primary ion beam, 12C$^-$ was detected with an incident beam current of 1.0–1.5 pA and dwell time of 10 ms/px at $15 \times 15 \mu m^2$ over an area of 256 $\times$ 256 px$^2$.

2.3. Void Observation by Synchrotron Radiation X-Ray Laminography

Flat tensile test specimens with the same dimensions used for measuring the strength and the elongation were cut such that the tensile direction was parallel to the RD. After the surfaces of the specimens were polished with 1 200-grit emery paper, cyclical tensile loading–unloading was performed in six stages from immediately after the maximum load point to near fracture at an initial strain rate of 1.0 $\times$ 10$^{-3}$ s$^{-1}$. The tensile tests of the nanoscale precipitated steel were stopped at plastic strain ($\varepsilon_p$) values of 0.07, 0.12, 0.29, 0.56, 0.63, and 0.71 (near final fracture). Similarly, tests using the bainitic steel were stopped at $\varepsilon_p$ values of 0.05, 0.12, 0.22, 0.29, 0.38, and 0.48 (near final fracture). Here, $\varepsilon_p$ was defined by Eq. (1):

$$\varepsilon_p = \ln \left(\frac{A_0}{A}\right) \quad \text{(1)}$$

where $A_0$ is the initial cross-sectional area of the test specimen, and $A$ is the area of the cross-section when the tensile test was stopped, which was calculated from the thickness and the width of the tested specimen.

Using the test pieces from each of the above-mentioned strain states, 3D images of the voids was collected using X-ray laminography at the BL20XU beamline of SPring-8, a large-scale synchrotron radiation facility.\textsuperscript{14} A variable visible light conversion high-resolution X-ray image unit (BM-AA50 and ORCA-FLASH 4.0 sCMOS camera, Hamamatsu Photonics, Japan) was used as a detector. An X-ray energy of 37.7 keV was chosen. The voxel size was 0.51 $\mu m$, and the specimen rotational axis inclination for laminography measurement was 45$\degree$. Considering the composition of the specimen and the thickness of the test piece, an adequate transmission intensity was obtained under these conditions. The number of projections for the laminography scans was 3 600/360$\degree$ and each projection image was acquired with an exposure time of 600 ms.

After median-filter processing (2 $\times$ 2 px) of the projection data obtained by laminography, two-dimensional (2D) cross-sectional images were reconstructed using the filtered back-projection method considering the inclination of the rotational axis. 3D images were reconstructed and analyzed.

Table 1. Chemical compositions of steels used in this study (mass%).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Specimen</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>Si</th>
<th>Mn</th>
<th>P</th>
<th>S</th>
<th>Others</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nanoscale precipitated steel</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>Ti, V</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bainitic steel</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>Ti</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
using the Avizo image analysis program (version 9.1.1; FEI, USA), using 2 048 2D tomographic images as layers.

2.4. Analysis of Void Nucleation Sites by EBSD

After tensile testing to final fracture, test specimens were cut from the failed specimens with a fine cutter to examine void nucleation sites using SEM-EBSD. These test specimens were embedded in resin to observe the plane perpendicular to the transverse direction (TD). The surface was polished with emery papers, followed by sequential polishing with α-alumina and colloidal silica solutions. Secondary electron (SE) images were acquired using FE-SEM, and EBSD images were obtained at an accelerating voltage of 15 kV. The void nucleation sites were then analyzed using OIM™.

2.5. Nanoindentation Hardness Test

The hardness distribution from the grain boundaries to the grain interior was investigated for specimens deformed until the maximum stress. The test specimens were embedded in resin to measure the hardness of the plane perpendicular to TD, and then polished using α-alumina and colloidal silica solutions. The nanoindentation hardness (\(H_{IT}\)) was measured with an instrumented indentation tester (ENT-2100, Elionix, Japan). The number of measurement points was 300 (30 lines × 10 columns), and the test force was 9.8 mN. After the hardness measurements, the specimens were etched with a 3 vol.% nitric acid–97% ethanol solution. The closest distance between the grain boundary and the apex of the triangle formed by indentation was measured to investigate the relationship between \(H_{IT}\) and the distance from the grain boundary.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Microstructures

The microstructures of the nanoscale precipitated steel and the bainitic steel observed by SEM and OM are depicted in Fig. 1, where their average grain diameters were 5 μm and 3 μm, as shown in Figs. 3(a) and 3(c), respectively. We defined grain boundaries with an orientation difference of 15° or more in this study. Figure 1(b) depicts the microstructure of the bainitic steel used in this study. This steel consisted of 80% upper bainite and 20% lower bainite.

Figures 1(c) and 1(d) show the precipitates observed in both steels, which exhibit rectangular cross-sections. The observed precipitates and analysis results for the nanoscale precipitated steel are shown in Figs. 2(a)–2(d). Figures 2(a) and 2(b) show a TEM image and an EDS spectrum, respectively, of the nanoscale precipitates (seen in rows). Titanium, vanadium, and carbon were detected in the nanoscale precipitates, other than iron originating from matrix. Meanwhile, Figs. 2(c) and 2(d) show a SEM image and an EDS spectrum, respectively, of the coarsened TiN precipitates. These results showed that nanoscale carbides and coarse TiN simultaneously precipitated in the
nanoscale precipitated steel. The precipitate in the bainitic steel depicted in Fig. 1(d) was concluded to be TiN based on the configuration.

It is known that the local misorientation is correlated with the dislocation density. Therefore, Figs. 3(b) and 3(d), which show the misorientation of each grain, indicate that the bainitic steel had a higher dislocation density than the nanoscale precipitated steel. From these results, it was inferred that the dislocation strengthening was strongly influenced in the bainitic steel, while the strengthening by the fine precipitates was dominant in the nanoscale precipitated steel. With the combination of these strengthening mechanisms, both steels had the same strength.

3.2. C in Grain Boundaries

Cs\(^+\)-induced SE images of the nanoscale precipitated steel and the bainitic steel are shown in Figs. 4(a) and 4(c), respectively, along with SIMS 12C\(^-\) elemental maps for the nanoscale precipitated steel and the bainitic steel in Figs. 4(b) and 4(d), respectively. The enrichment of atomic C at the grain boundary was clearly observed in the bainitic steel, while not in the nanoscale precipitated steel samples. Since retained austenite was not observed and carbon was discontinuously observed at the grain boundary by SIMS, carbon should be enriched and precipitated as carbide at the grain boundary.

3.3. Tensile Tests and Void Observation

Nominal stress–strain curves obtained from the tensile testing of the nanoscale precipitated steel and the bainitic steel are shown in Fig. 5 (see Table 2 for the strength and elongation data). The lower yield point (LYP) and the tensile strength (TS) were almost identical for both steels, although the uniform and local elongations of the nanoscale precipitated steel were higher than those of the bainitic steel. The higher uniform elongation in the nanoscale precipitated steel was expected to enhance recovery during tensile deformation, accompanied by promotion of secondary slips or cross slips on a finer scale due to the nanoscale precipitates. In this study, we focused on the local elongation from the view point of void nucleation, growth, and coalescence as the plastic strain gradient is related to the void nucleation sites. No voids were observed just before the maximum stress point by SEM.

The tested specimens were then observed by X-ray laminography and 3D images were constructed (as shown in Fig. 6). In this figure, the voids are colored to distinguish them clearly. At near fracture, the nanoscale precipitated steel clearly showed more voids than the bainitic steel. Figure 7 shows 3D images of the voids in the nanoscale precipitated steel for \(\varepsilon_p\) values of 0.12 to 0.29, where it can be seen that new voids nucleated sequentially. This tendency was also observed for the bainitic steel. Changes in the number (N) and volume fraction (Vf) of the voids for each \(\varepsilon_p\) value obtained during the tensile tests are shown in Figs. 8(a) and 8(b). Both N and Vf increased with increasing \(\varepsilon_p\) for both steels. The changes in N and Vf of voids with \(\varepsilon_p\) tended to be the same for both steels as shown in Figs. 8(a), 8(b). These results suggest that there is no difference between the both steels for void growth behavior. These results also show that the \(\varepsilon_p\) value resulting in void coalescence in the nanoscale precipitated steel was higher than that for the bainitic steel, consistent with the larger local elongation of the former.

3.4. Void Nucleation Sites

After the two steels were tensile tested until final fracture, the void nucleation sites were investigated using inverse pole figure (IPF) maps produced from EBSD data. The IPF map (body-centered cubic: bcc Fe) of the nanoscale precipitated steel revealed that voids nucleated in the grain interior.

Table 2. Strength and elongation of steels used in this study.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Specimen</th>
<th>0.2% Proof Strength (MPa)</th>
<th>Tensile Strength (MPa)</th>
<th>Uniform Elongation (%)</th>
<th>Local Elongation (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nanoscale precipitated</td>
<td>703</td>
<td>785</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>precipitated steel</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bainitic steel</td>
<td>696</td>
<td>765</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 5. Nominal stress–strain curves obtained for nanoscale precipitated steel and bainitic steel. (Online version in color.)

Fig. 7. 3D images of voids obtained by laminography for nanoscale precipitated steel, (a) \(\varepsilon_f = 0.12\) and (b) \(\varepsilon_f = 0.29\). (Online version in color.)
(Fig. 9(a)), at grain boundaries (Fig. 9(b)), and at fragments of coarse TiN precipitates (Fig. 9(c)). Although the point void nucleation at the grain interior was not detected, it was thought to be nanoscale precipitates. The void nucleation sites of the nanoscale precipitated steel were nanoscale precipitates in the grain interior, the grain boundaries (without coarse precipitates), and coarse precipitates (grain interior and grain boundaries). The ratios of nucleation sites in the grain interior, at grain boundaries, and at coarse precipitates were 20%, 30%, and 50%, respectively (averages of ten observations). Figure 10 shows the IPF map (bcc Fe) of the bainitic steel, where void nucleation was only observed.
at the grain boundary.

3.5. Nanoindentation Hardness

The relationship between the average $H_{IT}$ and distance from the grain boundary for the two steels measured until the maximum stress during tensile testing is shown in Fig. 11. The difference between the average $H_{IT}$ values at the grain boundary and those in the grain interior of the bainitic steel was larger than that of the nanoscale precipitated steel; this was attributed to the distribution of C atoms around the grain boundaries, as shown in Fig. 4(d). These nanoindentation results strongly suggested that the strain concentration around the grain boundaries in the bainitic steel was larger than that in the nanoscale precipitated steel. Furthermore, it is considered that the strain concentration became small because nanoscale carbides were dispersed in the grain in the nanoscale precipitated steel.

KAM maps are shown in Fig. 12. The misorientation values around the grain boundaries for the bainitic steel were larger than these for the nanoscale precipitated steel. The misorientation causes the strain concentration, so this result shows the same tendency as the nanoindentation results.

Void nucleation mechanisms are broadly categorized into homogeneous and heterogeneous nucleation; the former mainly involves an increase of the dislocation density and vacancy migration, whereas heterogeneous nucleation occurs at sites containing particles such as inclusions, precipitates, or other discontinuous structures. Grain boundaries are representative discontinuous structures. Homogeneous nucleation of voids is caused by the condensation of lattice vacancies; however, this mechanism cannot occur below ~300 K as the vacancy diffusion rate is extremely low. Therefore, the voids are expected to nucleate at particles such as inclusions, precipitates, or grain boundaries for both steels studied here. In general, voids are generated at areas with larger strain concentrations. The difference between the average $H_{IT}$ at the grain boundaries and in the grain interiors was higher for the nanoscale precipitated steel than that for the bainitic steel, showing that the strain concentration at the grain boundaries of the bainitic steel was higher than that in the nanoscale precipitated steel, consistent with the areas of void formation. So, the local elongation of the nanoscale precipitated steel became larger than that of the bainitic steel for strain concentration at the grain boundaries was suppressed. This result is consistent with our previous studies.  

4. Conclusions

Void nucleation sites in nanoscale precipitated steel and bainitic steel were examined using IPF maps prepared from EBSD data, while the void growth and coalescence process.
was studied using synchrotron radiation X-ray laminography. Furthermore, C atom enrichment at the grain boundaries was observed using SIMS and the hardness distribution near the grain boundaries was measured using nanoindentation tests.

The IPF maps showed that the voids nucleated at the grain interior, grain boundaries, and at fragments of coarse precipitates (TiN) in the nanoscale precipitated steel. Meanwhile, all voids nucleated at the grain boundaries in the bainitic steel. The 3D laminography results revealed that both the number of voids and void volume fraction increased with increasing plastic strain for both steels. Generally, it is thought that large particles form void much easily than small particles. However, in this study the change in the number of voids and volume fraction of voids with \( \varepsilon_p \) tended to be the same for both steels as shown in Figs. 8(a) and 8(b). This result suggests that there is no difference between the both steels for void growth behavior.

SIMS analysis verified C enrichment at the grain boundaries of the bainitic steel.

We observed that the bainitic steel had a larger difference between hardness values at the grain boundaries and the grain interiors than the nanoscale precipitated steel, attributed to the larger strain concentration at the grain boundaries of the bainitic steel. The local elongation of the nanoscale precipitated steel became larger than that of the bainitic steel for strain concentration at the grain boundaries was suppressed. Based on these findings, we conclude that reducing the strain concentration by forming an alloy with the same hardness at the grain boundaries and grain interiors is an effective method for increasing the local elongation.
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