On-line laser radiation controlled to the removal of adhesive on teeth after bracket debonding
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Background and aims: After bracket debonding a correct removal of the adhesive from tooth surfaces without causing any iatrogenic damage to the enamel is needed. However, conventional techniques do not allow a selective removal process. The present article focuses on the removal of adhesive on teeth after bracket debonding by using laser radiation at 355 nm (third harmonic wavelength of a Q-switched Nd:YAG).

Material and methods: Brackets were bonded to 10 extracted human premolars from young patients and removed after a storage period of 2 months. As real-time diagnostic technique, laser-induced breakdown spectroscopy (LIBS) elemental analysis was applied for precisely controlling the removal of the adhesive and morphological analysis of the etched surfaces was carried out by scanning electron microscopy (SEM).

Results: LIBS technique allowed an on-line precise control in the adhesive removal process. SEM analysis revealed the capability of the 355 nm UV laser radiation to complete the removal of the adhesive on the tooth with no signs of damage on the enamel.

Conclusions: Laser ablation process at 355 nm monitored by the LIBS technique allows to carry out efficient removal of the adhesive on teeth.
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depth of the removed layer is possible due to the different and characteristic absorption at the laser wavelength exhibited by each substrate \(^1\). Combination of the laser ablation process with the use of analytical techniques, allows to identify the substrate, carry out a layer analysis of the surface and control the removal process in real time \(^2\). One such technique is laser-induced breakdown spectroscopy (LIBS), which can be utilized for spectroscopic analysis of the emission from the plasma generated when the laser radiation interacts with the surface of the material \(^3\,4\). Laser ablation complemented with the LIBS technique could be an efficient clinical method of returning enamel to as near its original condition as possible after brackets removal. The wavelength, duration and energy of the laser pulse as well as the properties of the material clearly influence the mechanism responsible for the laser removal process. When the ablation process uses UV wavelength, it seems to be based in a photoablation mechanism where absorption of one or more photons results in an electronic excitation followed by decomposition of the compound caused by direct bond breaking in the solid, in competition with various relaxation processes \(^5\). An on-line control of the process is more easily implemented when the ablation process is based on this mechanism than when photothermal mechanisms are predominant.

In the literature there are some works investigating the use of several types of laser radiation to remove adhesion resin after bracket debonding \(^6\). Most of these studies use lasers operating in the infrared (IR) region of the electromagnetic spectrum, and both positive \(^7\,8\) and negative results are reported \(^9\,10\).

Nowadays efforts are made to find tools and methods for complete removal of adhesive remnants, minimizing enamel loss and achieving a smooth surface after the completion of orthodontic treatment with fixed appliances. With this aim, we tested the use of UV laser radiation, which allows a more precise and thus more easily controlled ablation process to remove adhesive remnant after brackets debonding without damaging the tooth surface. In addition, we implemented a flexible and user-friendly detection system that comprises a non-intensified CCD camera to properly control the removal process of the adhesive.

**Materials and methods**

**Samples preparation**

10 human premolars from young patients (between 12 and 15 years old), extracted for different orthodontic issues, were thoroughly debrided and stored in an aqueous solution of thymol (0.1 per cent) at room temperature to prevent dehydration. Teeth were rinsed before the start the experiment and then bonded according to the manufacturers’ instructions: the buccal enamel was etched for 15 s with a 35 % phosphoric acid (Scotchbond™ Etchant Phosphoric Acid from 3M ESPE, MN, USA), rinsed with air-and-water spray, and air dried for 20 s, and sealed with Transbond™ Liquid (3M Unitek, CA, USA). Transbond™ XT adhesive (3M Unitek) was applied onto the bracket pad, and the bracket was positioned on the prepared enamel; a microbrush was used to remove any excess. The adhesive was light-cured with a 3M Unitek Ortholux XT Visible Light Curing Unit for resin polymerization for 5 s at each side (left and right). The brackets used in this study had 0.018 inch slots (Forestadent, Pforzheim, Germany). Finally, the teeth were stored in a humid chamber at a temperature of 37°C for two months.

**Bracket removal**

The brackets were debonded by gently squeezing with pliers (Weingart Utility Pliers, 3M Unitek).

**Adhesive resin removal**

The removal of adhesive resin with UV radiation was performed by using a Q-switched Nd:YAG laser (Spectron SL803G), which produces pulses at 355 nm, with 6.2 mJ energy, ~5 ns pulse duration (FWHM) and pulse repetition rate was set at 1.25 Hz (see Table 1).

**Table 1**: Laser system description and summary of the laser parameters used in this study.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Laser system</th>
<th>Commercial name: Q-switched Nd:YAG (Spectron SL 803G)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Manufacturer</td>
<td>Spectron Laser Systems</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Laser classification according to laser medium:</td>
<td>Solid-state laser</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Laser classification according to safety:</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Laser Parameters</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Wavelength:</td>
<td>355 nm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Irradiation mode:</td>
<td>pulsed wave (pw)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pulse duration:</td>
<td>~5 ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Energy output:</td>
<td>6.2 mJ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pulse power:</td>
<td>1.24·10^6 W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fluence:</td>
<td>0.8 J/cm^2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diameter of the spot:</td>
<td>1 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Repetition rate used:</td>
<td>1.25 Hz</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The laser beam passed through a spherical lens of focal length \( f = 5 \text{ cm} \) placed at 6.5 cm of the sample. The focused laser beam was directed onto the teeth which were placed normal to the beam onto a X-Y translation stage. A pyroelectric detector Gentec-DE 500+ (in combination with Gentec-EO SOLO console) allowed to perform energy measurements of the laser pulse incident on the adhesive on the teeth. On the laser path, a quartz beam splitter directed \( \sim10\% \) of the beam energy to a photodiode allowing the incident energy in the adhesive on the teeth being continuously monitored during the experiments (Figure 1).

LIBS was employed in the elemental analysis of both ablated adhesive and enamel. Light from the ablation plume was collected by an optical fiber bundle (19 fibers of 200 \( \mu \text{m} \) each) coupled to the entrance slit of a high resolution spectrograph (0.300 Meter Focal Length Triple Grating Imaging Monochromator/Spectrograph, ARC SpectraPro300i). A grating of 300 grooves/mm with a wavelength coverage of 300 nm was employed. The spectrograph was coupled to a non-intensified CCD detector (Spectru MM:GS128B, Acton Research, 1024 x 122 imaging array, 24 \( \mu \text{m} \times 24 \mu \text{m} \) pixels). The signal generated by a photodiode (EGC-100) sampled with a boxcar (Stanford Research Model 250) controlled the timing of the data acquisition system. Figure 1 shows a schematic of the experimental LIBS set-up used.

**Morphological analysis**

In order to complete the characterization of the ablation process of the adhesive, a morphological analysis of the irradiated zones was performed using a scanning electron microscopy (SEM) with a (FE-SEM) SU 8000, Hitachi microscope after metallization of the samples with gold.

**Results**

Characteristic emission lines both of the enamel and adhesive under irradiation at 355 nm as well as their elemental assignation \(^{22}\) are collected in Table 2. Figure 2 shows LIBS spectra of enamel and adhesive. Characteristic lines of Ca are dominant in the emission spectrum of the enamel. In the case of the adhesive, the dominant characteristic peaks correspond to Si and Ti.
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**Figure 1:** Scheme of the experimental set-up used for the LIBS experiments.

**Figure 2:** LIBS spectra of enamel and adhesive. Irradiation wavelength 355 nm.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Waveleigh (nm)</th>
<th>Adhesive</th>
<th>Enamel</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>386.26; 390.55; 395.57; 399.18; 414.92; 421.24; 441.17; 577.21 (Si) and 501.42; 503.99; 506.46 (Ti)</td>
<td>394.89; 397.37; 422.67; 443.50; 445.59; 527.03; 551.30; 558.20; 559.85; 585.57; 612.22; 616.96 (Ca)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 2:** Main atomic lines (nm) identified in the LIBS spectrum of the adhesive and enamel at the irradiation wavelength at 355 nm
Figure 3 shows an example of adhesive removal in tooth with the number of pulses monitored by the LIBS technique. Emission lines from the adhesive progressively disappear with the number of pulses whereas emissions lines of Ca characteristic from enamel begin to appear.

In order to characterize the ablation process, a morphological analysis by SEM of the irradiation zones was carried out. Figures 4a, 4b, 4c show the complete removal of adhesive on tooth after three pulses of laser radiation at 355nm; 0.8 J/cm². Figure 4c also serves as control image of the enamel before bonding for comparing the effect of the laser on the enamel morphology. This figure shows a strip of adhesive after removal of the bracket with pliers, with some laser pulses. At the top of the strip, enamel that has supposedly remained intact is observed, where pliers, at the same time, have both removed bracket and adhesive. At the low of the strip, enamel that was outside the area that occupied the bracket can be observed. It is thus corroborated that with an adequate number of laser pulses we completely eliminate the adhesive without damaging the enamel.

Discussion

Elemental analysis of the ablated materials from both adhesive and enamel was carried out by means of the LIBS technique, which allows on-line control of the ablation process. Enamel with no adhesive on it was first irradiated to characterize its plasma emission. Characteristic lines of Ca are dominant in the emission spectrum of the enamel. Next, LIBS analysis was repeated with just adhesive on the enamel. However, in the emission spectrum of the adhesive dominant

![Figure 3: Evolution of LIBS spectrum with the number of pulses during the removal of the adhesive from the tooth. Irradiation wavelength: 355 nm.](image)

![Figure 4: Scanning electron micrographs showing the removal of adhesive on tooth after three pulses of laser radiation at 355 nm; 0.8 J/cm².](image)
characteristic peaks correspond to Si and Ti. Thus, LIBS spectra obtained from both adhesive and enamel, are clearly different confirming the appropriateness and potential of this technique for identifying and distinguishing between different substrates.

The LIBS technique allows an on-line automated control of the ablation process to remove adhesive from teeth by analyzing the plasma generated in each incident pulse. In this way, when characteristic peaks of the adhesive totally disappear and an emission peak from the enamel is detected, the ablation process must stop in order to avoid any damage to the surface, as can be seen in the Figure 3.

In addition, the morphological analysis by SEM of the irradiation zones confirms that the ablation of the adhesive at the wavelength used (355 nm) causes neither material deposition nor chemical, thermal or mechanical change of the region adjacent to the irradiated area. The adhesive was completely removed after 3 pulses at fluency of 0.8 J/cm² and the enamel was not damaged. An adequate clean-up of the adhesive without enamel loss is difficult to achieve with conventional methods (scraping with a scaler or band-removing plier or a tungsten carbide bur in a contra-angle hand piece and the use of abrasive discs) 5). The outer layer of enamel contains more minerals and fluoride than the deeper layers, so, there is an increased risk of decalcification as the consequence of the damage to the enamel surface by the use of these devices to remove the adhesive. In addition, enamel roughness (increased by the method used to remove the adhesive) is related to the accumulation and retention of bacterial plaque 23). In our study, the laser radiation employed here complemented with the LIBS technique allows a selective removal process that prevents decalcification and avoids an increase in the roughness of enamel, thus making unnecessary subsequent polishing methods and ultimately eliminating the possibility of accumulation of plaque.

It should be pointed out that the gaussian pulse shape of the laser here employed is reflected in the images in Figure 4, where it is seen that the average area removed has initially 58.92 µm of diameter, which is decreasing as we move away from the surface that was in contact to the bracket. A top hat beam with a uniform fluency within the spot would be more suitable for this application. Scanning the beam along the surface with the adhesive so that every three shots the beam is moved to an adjacent position will allow complete removal of the adhesive without any damage to the enamel.

Conclusions

Laser ablation process at 355 nm allows to carry out efficiently removal of adhesive on teeth after bracket debonding. The suitability of the LIBS technique to continuously monitoring the removal process is demonstrated, which allows an on-line precise control of the adhesive removed. As major advantages of this procedure can be highlighted the good signal-to-noise ratio and that no especial requirements are necessary to the alignment of the detection system, which allows using LIBS technique as a real-time user-friendly diagnostic technique for the laser ablation process. Morphological studies showed the capability of the 355 nm UV laser radiation to complete removal of the adhesive on the tooth without damage to the enamel structure.
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