Effects of laser therapy and Grimaldi's muscle shortening manoeuvre on motor control of subjects with incomplete spinal cord injuries

Diego Longo ¹, Leonardo Longo ¹, Paolo Lippi ², Giulio Cherubini ¹, Vanessa Mangé ¹

1: Institute Laser Medicine, International Academy Laser Medicine And Surgery, Florence, Italy

2: University of Florence, Florence, Italy

Background and Objectives: From year 2003 we treated positively 251 patients with Traumatic Spinal Cord Injuries (TSCI), using Non-Surgical Laser Therapy (NSLT). In order to increase muscle strength, we have also started using a physical therapy practice called Grimaldi's Muscle Shortening Manoeuvre (GMSM)

The goal of our study is to obtain objective data suggesting the real effectiveness of the association of these two treatments.

Study Design and Methods: In 2015, 10 patients with incomplete TSCI were enrolled. Further 10 subjects with similar features were included as control group. All patients have subtotal sensory loss and motor paralysis below the level of the lesion. Lasers used were 808, 10600, and 1064 nm, applied with a first cycle of four sessions per day for a total of 20 sessions. The patients participated in specific physical therapy training (GMSM) twice a day, for a total of eight sessions. Each cycle of laser and GSM was replicated each month.

Results: Results were considered positive if sensitivity increased at least two dermatomes per cycle under the level of the lesion. Results in muscle activity (on/off) were regarded as positive if sEMG showed modifications in CNS-muscle. Objective assessment of force displayed encouraging results. After each cycle, patients showed improvements in motor function and voluntary command. Follow-up is positive after 3 months.

Conclusion: Associating laser treatment and Grimaldi's Muscle Shortening Manoeuvre (MSM) seems to be effective on muscle strength and motor control in patients affected by subtotal SCI compared to a control group

Key words: Laser Therapy of Spinal Cord Traumatic Lesions • Grimaldi Manoeuvre • laser therapy of muscle shortening • laser motor control • laser biomodulation • laser antiinflammatory • laser muscle tone • laser nerve regeneration

Introduction

From December 2003 until June 2016 we treated 251 patients with Traumatic Spinal Cord Injuries (TSCI), using Non-Surgical Laser Therapy (NSLT) ¹⁾ obtaining good results in terms of sensitivity and movement ²⁾. To increase muscle strength and to further explore new emerging synergies, we have also started using a

physical therapy practice based on the most current knowledge about motor control ^{3, 4)}, called Grimaldi's Muscle Shortening Manoeuvre (MSM) ⁵⁾.

Each case of TSCI is different, there are not two similar injuries in terms of loss of function and response to treatment.

For this reason, statistical data play a limited role as too many variables are involved at the same time ^{1,2)}.

The goal of our study is to obtain objective data suggesting the real effectiveness of the association of these two treatments.

Addressee for Correspondence:

Diego Longo, PT,
Institute Laser Medicine, International Academy of Laser
Medicine and Surgery
Firenze – Italy
ddlongo@gmail.com;
Tel/Fax 00390552342330

Received date: May 6th, 2017

Accepted date: June 24th, 2017

Study Design and Methods

In 2015, 10 patients with incomplete TSCI – with injuries sustained at least one year before laser treatment and documented by NMR or CT, ESSP, and ESMP – were enrolled (**table 1**). Selection criteria are shown in **table 2**. At the same time, another 10 subjects with

similar features were included as one control group. Informed consent was obtained from all individual participants included in the study. Before treatment, muscle activity below the level of lesion, was tested with surface EMG (sEMG). Clinical evaluations included determining superficial and deep tactile and thermal sensitivity below the lesion level. International clinical

Table 1

PATIENTS	GROUP	SEX	AGE	INJURY_LEVEL	A.I.S.
1	A	M	43	C5-C6-C7	B
2	A	M	38	C5	B
3	A	F	28	C5-C6-C7	B
4	A	M	30	C5-C6-C7	B
5	A	M	27	C4-C5-C6	B
6	A	M	31	C5-C6-C7	C
7	A	M	24	C4	B
8	A	M	54	C4-C5	B
9	A	M	19	C3	B
10	A	M	31	C2-C3-C4	B
11	B	F	36	C5-C6	B
12	B	M	28	C7	B
13	B	M	44	C5-C6	B
14	B	M	22	C6	B
15	B	M	52	C5	B
16	B	M	30	C4	B
17	B	F	41	C3-C4-C5	B
18	B	F	43	C6-C7	B
19	B	M	34	C6-C7	B
20	B	M	23	C5-C6-C7	C

Table 2

20 Participants (2 groups)

Inclusion Criteria:	Exclusion Criteria:
- C1-C7 (57% of all SCI)	- Surgical intervention contra-nature
- Both sex, 18 – 40 years old	- Orthopedic complications (deformity, pain)
- SCI occurred at least one year	- Inability to join the program in its entirety (economic, logistic, voluntary, etc)
- AIS B, C	

evaluation scales, such as ASIA Impairment Scale (AIS) and Ashworth Scale were used for assessments. Prior to starting treatment, muscle strength at specific joints was assessed with electronic dynamometers and goniometers (Jtech Commander Echo) and then before and after each manoeuvre and at the end of each cycle of laser treatment. All patients have subtotal sensory loss and motor paralysis below the level of the lesion. Lasers used were 808 nm (Eufoton, Trieste, Italy) 10600 nm (General Project, Firenze, Italy), and 1064 nm (Aerolase, NY, USA), applied with a first cycle of four sessions per day for a total of 20 sessions (**table 3**). Different laser wavelengths were used because each wavelength has a different penetration^(6,7). Details about the dosage are specified in **table 3**. One dosage of 720 Joules/cm² in total used with laser 808 nm, aimed at achieving an anti-inflammatory effect, according to current knowledge^(1, 6, 8, 9, 10). Another dosage of 240 Joules/cm² in total was used for regenerative purposes^(1, 6, 11, 12), always with the same laser 808. Lasers of 10600 nm and 1064 nm were used at dosages of 36 Joules/scm that could influence muscle tone^(13, 14, 15). The patients participated in specific physical therapy training (Grimaldi's Muscle Shortening Manoeuvre - GSM) twice a day, for a total of eight sessions, working selectively on shoulder, elbow and trunk joints and muscles. GSM is a technique which works on neuromuscular spindles with the aim of producing stimulation. It intentionally balances the short-

ening and lengthening of the muscle simultaneously⁵. This process produces an informational catastrophe in the neuromuscular spindles forcing them to set new muscle thresholds. This kind of manoeuvre is an active but involuntary training for the patient.

Each cycle of laser and GSM treatment was replicated each month. The controls did not receive any kind of treatment but all the variables were assessed as for the treated group.

In statistical analysis we used Fisher-Yates Test to analyse sensitivity and muscle activity (EMG). For evaluating the muscle strength we compared the results obtained for each parameter in three observation times (T0, T1, T2) for both groups. For this reason, we used Repeated Measures ANOVA, which let us know if there are significant differences between the follow-ups. We chose the Post-Hoc Test (Bonferroni Procedure) to define which difference result significant (T0-T1), (T1-T2), (T0-T2).

Results

Regarding sensitivity, results were considered positive if sensitivity increased at least two dermatomes per cycle under the level of the lesion. After one month all ten patients in the treatment group showed positive results in surface tactile sensitivity while just one participant did in the control group ($p < 0.000$). After three months, we found eight positive results in the treat-

Table 3

	Treatment of Inflammation and Edema	Support of Nerve Regeneration	Muscle Tone	Anti-inflammatory Muscle Tone
Laser	diode 808 nm wavelength	diode 808 nm wavelength	CO ₂ 10,600 nm wavelength	Nd-YAG 1064 nm wavelength
Output power	10 W	10 W	15 W	5 W
Spot size	5 cm	5 cm	10 cm	6 mm
Fluence	12 J/cm ²	4 J/cm ²	36 J/cm ²	35 J/cm ² /passage
Total Energy	720 J	240 J	variable	variable
Repetition Rate	1000 HZ	10 HZ	Continuous Wave	1 HZ
Tissue Target	Spinal Lesion	Nerve Trigger Points Coherence Domains	Around the lesion	Area of Lesion and adjacent tissue
Sessions per day	4	4	4	4
Sessions per Cycle	First 3 cycles, each of 20 sessions, with interval of 1 month Further cycles, each of 8-12 sessions a month, in average			

ment group while none of the control group members showed any results (p 0.001) (**tab. 4**).

At the first follow up eight patients in the treatment group showed positive results in deep tactile sensitivity while just two in control group did. In this analysis, the Fisher-Yates test did not show any statistical significance (p 0.012). At the second follow up we found nine positive results in the treatment group compared to 0 in the control group (p 0.000) (**tab. 5**).

Regarding thermal sensitivity, we found 7 positive results at both the follow ups in the treatment group while none were observed in the control group (p 0.002) (**tab. 6**).

Results in muscle activity (on/off) were regarded as positive if sEMG showed modifications in CNS-muscle conduction spikes, under the level of the lesion. After one month, all ten subjects in the treatment group showed positive results while just one in the control group did (p 0.000). After three months 8 participants had still positive results in the treatment group compared to 0 in the control group (p 0.000) (**tab. 7**). The objective assessment of strength, trunk range of motion and balance displayed encouraging results in the patients assigned to the treatment group.

Muscle strength was assessed in three movements: shoulder flexion, elbow extension and trunk

Table 4

Surface Tactile Sensitivity

Results have been regarded as positive if sensitivity increases at least of 2 dermatomers/cycle under the level of lesion.

	T1			T2		
	Positive	Negative	Total	Positive	Negative	Total
Treatment	10	0	10	8	2	10
Control	1	9	10	0	10	10
Total	11	9	20	8	12	20
FISHER-YATES TEST: p 0.000			FISHER-YATES TEST: p 0.001			

Table 5

Deep Tactile Sensitivity

Results have been regarded as positive if sensitivity increases at least of 2 dermatomers/cycle under the level of lesion.

	T1			T2		
	Positive	Negative	Total	Positive	Negative	Total
Treatment	8	2	10	9	1	10
Control	2	8	10	0	10	10
Total	10	10	20	9	11	20
FISHER-YATES TEST: p 0.012			FISHER-YATES TEST: p 0.000			

Table 6

Thermal Sensitivity

Results have been regarded as positive if sensitivity increases at least of 2 dermatomers/cycle under the level of lesion.

	T1			T2		
	Positive	Negative	Total	Positive	Negative	Total
Treatment	7	3	10	7	3	10
Control	0	10	10	0	10	10
Total	7	13	20	7	13	20
FISHER-YATES TEST: p 0.002			FISHER-YATES TEST: p 0.002			

flexion. Shoulder flexion increased by an average of 24.2 N in the treatment group at the first follow up and 26.5 N at the second while an unappreciable increase was noticed in the control group. In this way, after three months we found an increase of 50.7 N with respect to the baseline (**Fig.1**) This increase in the treatment group was statistically significant (ANOVA), in addition, the strength change obtained between fol-

low-up, (T0-T1) (T1-T2) (T0-T2) are statistically significant (Post-Hoc Test) (**tab.8**).

Elbow extension increased by an average of 21.7 N in the treatment group at the first follow up and 16.1 N at the second while no appreciable increase was noticed in the control group. In this way, after three months we found an increase of 37.8 N with respect to the baseline (**Fig.2**) This increase in the treatment

Table 7

Muscle Activity (on/off)

Results have been regarded as positive if sEMG shows activity at least in two muscles under the level of lesion

	T1			T2		
	Positive	Negative	Total	Positive	Negative	Total
Treatment	10	0	10	8	2	10
Control	1	9	10	0	10	10
Total	11	9	20	8	12	20
FISHER-YATES TEST: p 0.000			FISHER-YATES TEST: p 0.000			

Table 8

SHOULDER FLEXION

		T0	T1	T2
TREATMENT GROUP	AVERAGE	70,80	95,00	121,50
	STANDARD DEVIATION	50,453	53,143	62,426
	STANDARD ERROR	15,955	16,805	19,741
	ANOVA	0,000		
	POST HOC	T0-T1 0,025	T1-T2 0,006	T0-T2 0,001
CONTROL GROUP	AVERAGE	90,10	93,20	90,60
	STANDARD DEVIATION	38,010	43,091	43,066
	STANDARD ERROR	12,020	13,627	13,619
	ANOVA	0,657		
	POST HOC	T0-T1 ----	T1-T2 ----	T0-T2 ----

Fig. 1

Fig. 2

group was statistically significant (ANOVA), in addition, the strength change obtained between follow-up, (T1-T2) (T0-T2) are statistically significant (Post-Hoc Test) (**tab.9**).

Trunk flexion increased by an average of 30.2 N in the treatment group at the first follow up and 26.5 N at the second while no appreciable increase was noticed in the control group. In this way, after three months we found an increase of 56.7 N with respect to the baseline (**Fig.3**) This increase in the treatment group was statistically significant (ANOVA), in addition, the strength change obtained between follow-up, (T0-T1) (T1-T2) (T0-T2) are statistically significant (Post-Hoc Test) (**tab.10**).

The control group showed some minor improve-

Fig. 3

Table 9

ELBOW EXTENSION

		T0	T1	T2
TREATMENT GROUP	AVERAGE	57,50	79,20	95,30
	STANDARD DEVIATION	47,263	68,195	63,610
	STANDARD ERROR	14,946	21,565	20,115
	ANOVA	0,000		
	POST HOC	T0-T1 0,084	T1-T2 0,028	T0-T2 0,003
CONTROL GROUP	AVERAGE	57,60	57,70	57,30
	STANDARD DEVIATION	36,265	36,022	38,480
	STANDARD ERROR	11,468	11,391	12,168
	ANOVA	0,987		
	POST HOC	T0-T1 ----	T1-T2 ----	T0-T2 ----

Table 10

TRUNK FLEXION

		T0	T1	T2
TREATMENT GROUP	AVERAGE	60,20	90,40	116,90
	STANDARD DEVIATION	48,689	60,519	76,208
	STANDARD ERROR	15,397	19,138	24,099
	ANOVA	0,001		
	POST HOC	T0-T1 0,004	T1-T2 0,005	T0-T2 0,004
CONTROL GROUP	AVERAGE	57,60	57,70	57,30
	STANDARD DEVIATION	36,265	36,022	38,480
	STANDARD ERROR	11,468	11,391	12,168
	ANOVA	0,620		
	POST HOC	T0-T1 ----	T1-T2 ----	T0-T2 ----

ments strength throughout all the follow ups but without any statistical significance. (tab.10)

Conclusion

Associating laser treatment and Grimaldi's Muscle

References

- 1: Longo L. (2010). Non-Surgical Laser and Light in The Treatment of Chronic Diseases: a review based on personal experiences. *Laser Phys. Lett.* 7, 11, pp 771–786.
- 2: L. Longo, MD, F. Giubilo, MD, C. Romanelli, MD, D. Longo, PT *Laser and Physical Therapy Applied to Traumatic Central Nervous System Injuries: Update* Advances in Laserology, Selected Papers of Laser Florence 2013 Congress, Medimond Publisher, Bologna, 2013
- 3: Latash M.L., Levin F., Scholz J.P., Schoner G. Motor control theories and their applications [Journal] // *Medicine (Kaunas)*. - 2010. - 46(6). - pp. 382-392.
- 4: A. G. Feldman The nature of voluntary control of motor actions [Book Section] // *Motor control and learning* / book auth.
- 5: L. Grimaldi, M. Fantozzi, P. Marri, P. Lippi, G. Catelani Evocazioni di componenti motorie assenti nelle lesione del sistema nervoso centrale II - Criteri di organizzazione degli atti motori [Book]. - Pisa: Giardini Editori e Stampatori in Pisa, 1986.
- 6: Longo L. *Laser Manual of Medical Technology*, OEO Publisher, Firenze, 2014
- 7: Rochkind S., Roussio M., Nissan M., Villarreal M., Barr-Nea L., Rees D.G. (1989). Systemic effects of low-power laser irradiation on the peripheral and central nervous system, cutaneous wounds, and burns. *Lasers Surg. Med.* 9, pp 174-82
- 8: Waynant R., Tata D. (2008). Editors, Proceedings of Light-Activated Tissue Regeneration and Therapy Conference, Springer NY.
- 9: Anders J, (2009). The Potential of Light Therapy for Central Nervous System Injury and Disease. *Photomedicine and Laser Surgery*, 27(3), pp. 379-80
- 10: Oda-Mochizuki N. (2007). Neuronal and cellular effects of low level laser: Basic and clinical research. *Nippon Laser Igakkaishi*, 28, pp 57-57.
- 11: Fork R.L. (1971). Laser stimulation of nerve cells in aplysia. *Science*. 171, pp 907-908
- 12: Yoshimi Asagai (2007). Application of LLLT in patients with cerebral palsy of the adult tension athetosis type. *Nippon Laser Igakkaishi*, 28, pp 74-76
- 13: Morselli M., Soragni O., Anselmi B.P. Very Low Energy Density Treatment by CO₂ laser in sport injuries. *Lasers in Surgery and Medicine*, 5:150, 1985
- 14: Longo L, Tamburini A, Monti A, Cattaneo L, Sesti AG: *Treatment with 904 and 10600 nm laser of acute lumbago: double blind control* *Laser I* (3):16-20, 1988
- 15: Longo L, Clementi F *CO₂ laser treatment of calcific metaplasias* in P. Spinelli, M Dal Fante, R Marchesini ed. *Photodynamic therapy and Biomedical lasers*, Excerpta Medica, Internat. Congress Series 1011, Elsevier Publisher, Amsterdam, pp 186-190,1993

[Acknowledgements]

All procedures performed in studies involving human participants were in accordance with the ethical standards of the institutional and/or national research committee and with the 1964 Helsinki declaration and its later amendments or comparable ethical standards.

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.