Increase in $^{18}$F-FDG accumulation in gingival cancer with bone resorption compared with $^{18}$F-choline
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Abstract: We often observed that $^{18}$F-FDG accumulation in gingival cancer with bone resorption was higher than that in tongue cancer. In this study, we statistically compared accumulation of $^{18}$F-FDG in gingival cancer with bone resorption with accumulation in tongue cancer. We also compared it with $^{18}$F-labeled choline ($^{18}$F-choline) PET to clarify the characteristics of $^{18}$F-FDG accumulation in bone resorption.

The subjects were 57 patients with gingival cancer and 34 patients with tongue cancer. Histologically, all cases were squamous cell carcinoma. $^{18}$F-FDG and $^{18}$F-choline accumulations were evaluated using the maximum standardized uptake value (SUV).

Comparison of $^{18}$F-FDG SUV between tongue cancer and gingival cancer without bone resorption showed no significant difference; however, the comparison between tongue cancer and gingival cancer with bone resorption resulted in values of 6.6 and 10.4, respectively, showing a significantly higher $^{18}$F-FDG SUV in gingival cancer accompanied by bone resorption ($p = 0.001$). The SUV of $^{18}$F-choline in tongue cancer was similar to that of $^{18}$F-FDG, but unlike with $^{18}$F-FDG, the SUV was not significantly higher in gingival cancer with bone resorption.

The mean $^{18}$F-FDG PET SUV was higher in gingival cancer with bone resorption than in tongue cancer, although this finding was not observed with $^{18}$F-choline. It was assumed that the high $^{18}$F-FDG SUV was due to accumulation in osteoblasts and osteoclasts involved in bone metabolism in addition to cancer stromal cells.
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Introduction

Positron emission tomography (PET) has an important role as a new imaging diagnosis method for malignant tumors in the head and neck region. A glucose analog, $^{18}$F-fluorodeoxyglucose ($^{18}$F-FDG), is the most widely used radiopharmaceutical for PET...
within this region, although $^{11}$C-labeled choline ($^{11}$C-choline) or $^{18}$F-labeled choline ($^{18}$F-choline) PET is also used as a diagnostic tool in clinical oncology. The uptake level of $^{18}$F-FDG is considered an important factor, and it is used not only to judge the effect of radiotherapy, but also to predict the outcome. Generally, the accumulation level is numerically presented as a standardized uptake value (SUV). Kitagawa et al. reported that, after administering combined intra-arterial chemotherapy and radiotherapy to 15 head and neck cancer patients, residual tumor was present in three of the eight patients with a pretreatment SUV higher than 7, whereas the post-treatment biopsy was negative in all patients with a pretreatment SUV lower than 7. Halfpenny et al. reported that the survival rate of head and neck cancer patients markedly decreased when the SUV of the primary lesion was higher than 10, thus demonstrating the importance of SUV. However, SUV changes depending on the state of tumor cells. For example, Minn et al. reported that the SUV rose with an increase in the cell proliferation index, and Izumisawa et al. reported that the SUV rose with an increase in the tumor cell density of squamous cell carcinoma of the head and neck region. Although the SUV may be influenced by several factors, as described above, the influences of the cancer development site and invaded region have not been sufficiently clarified. We often observe that $^{18}$F-FDG accumulation in gingival cancer is higher than in other oral cavity cancers, which most frequently develop from head and neck cancers. This study focuses on tongue and gingival cancers because of the large numbers of patients with oral cavity cancer. We investigated changes in $^{18}$F-FDG accumulation due to the presence or absence of cancer resorption of bone in the mandible and maxilla, and examined whether another PET tumor tracer, $^{18}$F-choline, shows a similar accumulation characteristic. This study aimed to clarify the characteristics of $^{18}$F-FDG uptake in oral cavity cancers.

**Materials and Methods**

All study protocols were approved by the ethics committee at Iwate Medical University, School of Dentistry (no. 01083). The subjects were 34 patients with tongue cancer (mean age: 62.2 years old) and 57 patients with gingival cancer (21 maxilla and 36 mandible, mean age: 68.0 years old) who visited our institution between November 1995 and December 2011 (Fig.1). $^{18}$F-FDG PET was...
performed in 28 tongue cancer patients and 50 gingival cancer patients (15 maxilla and 35 mandible), while ${}^{18}$F-choline PET was performed in six tongue cancer patients and seven gingival cancer patients (six maxilla and one mandible). All patients were histopathologically diagnosed with squamous cell carcinoma. In the gingival cancer patients, the presence or absence of bone resorption was examined using panoramic and CT images. Bone resorption was present in all of 15 gingival cancer patients in the maxilla examined with ${}^{18}$F-FDG PET. When bone resorption was present in the mandible, the resorption type was classified into pressure or moth-eaten types. The pressure type was defined as clear and smooth bone resorption, with relatively homogeneous radiolucency of the lesion, and the moth-eaten type was defined as bone resorption, with an irregular unclear boundary, and diffuse and heterogeneous radiolucency of the lesion. The bone resorption type was judged by three dental radiologists with 5 years or more of experience in imaging diagnosis. Bone resorption in the mandible was present in 20 out of 35 patients examined by ${}^{18}$F-FDG: 7 and 13 cases of the pressure and moth-eaten types, respectively. Bone resorption in the mandible and maxilla was present in all of the seven gingival cancer patients examined with ${}^{18}$F-choline PET. Bone resorption type of one mandible cancer patient examined with ${}^{18}$F-choline was moth-eaten type.

**PET scanning protocol**

${}^{18}$F-FDG and ${}^{18}$F-choline were synthesized at Iwate Medical University Cyclotron Research Center. ${}^{18}$F-fluoromethyl choline (${}^{18}$F-choline) was synthesized from [${}^{18}$F]fluoromethyl triflate ([${}^{18}$F]CH2FOTf) according to the method reported by Iwata et al.8) ${}^{18}$F-FDG or ${}^{18}$F-choline was intravenously administered to patients after they had fasted for 6 hours or longer. The blood glucose level at the time of PET was lower than 150 mg/dl in all patients. The PET devices used were a Head Tome IV (Shimadzu, Kyoto, Japan), or a SET 3000GCT/M (Shimadzu, Kyoto, Japan), both with a full width at half maximum of 6 mm. PET images were acquired under the following conditions: field of view, 256 mm × 256 mm; matrix, 128 × 128; pixel size, 2.0 × 2.0 mm; and slice thickness, 2.6 mm. Transmission scans were obtained for 10 min using a standard ring source of $^{68}$Ge/$^{68}$Ga for attenuation correction of the emission images. Subsequently, a dose of 3.7 MBq/kg of ${}^{18}$F-FDG was injected intravenously 60 min before the start of the whole-body PET/CT scan. For the ${}^{18}$F-choline imaging, a dose of 3.7 MBq/kg of ${}^{18}$F-choline was injected intravenously 5 min before the start of the PET/CT. PET and CT data were analyzed using the medical imaging analysis application Dr. View (AJS, Tokyo, Japan). For the quantitative evaluation of regional radioactivity from the static PET images, regions of interest (circular, 10 mm in diameter) were examined in the area of

![Fig. 1: Number of subjects by classification criteria in this study](image-url)
highest accumulated radioactivity. These tissue radioactivities were corrected with the injected doses and patients’ body weights, to calculate the SUV using the following formula:

\[ \text{SUV} = \frac{\text{radioactivity concentration in the ROI [Bq/ml]}}{\text{(injected dose of } ^{18}\text{F-FDG or } ^{18}\text{F-choline) [Bq]}} \div \text{patient body weight [g]}} \]

**Statistical analysis**

SUV values were compared by classifications shown in Fig. 1. Before comparison tests, normality of distribution of each group was examined by Kolmogorov-Smirnov test. In case of normal distribution, t-test was performed to compare the difference of mean SUV between groups. While, in not normal distribution, Mann-Whitney’s U test was used for comparison between 2 groups, and Kruskal-wallis test following multiple comparisons with Bonferroni’s correction was used for comparisons for 3 groups. All statistical analyses were conducted using SPSS version 23 for Windows (IBM).

**Results**

1. **18F-FDG accumulation in tongue and gingival cancers**

18F-FDG PET/CT images of tongue cancer and gingival cancer are shown in Fig. 2. This is an initially examined a patient. When an ROI was set in the region with high 18F-FDG accumulation within the primary lesion, the SUV values were 4.2 and 15.2, respectively, showing higher SUV in gingival cancer with bone resorption.

   a. right tongue cancer, SUVmax = 4.2
   b. right lower gingival cancer accompanied by bone resorption (arrow), SUV = 15.2.

The histologic type was squamous cell carcinoma in both cases, but 18F-FDG accumulation was higher in gingival cancer with bone resorption.

The mean SUV in tongue cancer (n = 28) and gingival cancer (n = 50) was 6.6 and 9.2, respectively, showing that 18F-FDG more markedly accumulated in gingival cancer than in tongue cancer (p = 0.005). The gingival cancer patients were further divided into those
with \( n = 35 \) and without \( n = 15 \) bone resorption, and the \(^{18}\)F-FDG SUV was compared with that in the tongue cancer patients \( n = 28 \) (Fig. 4). A comparison between tongue cancer and gingival cancer without bone resorption revealed mean SUV values of 6.6 and 6.5, respectively, whereas the mean SUV in gingival cancer with bone resorption \( n = 35 \) was 10.4. Kruskal-Wallis test showed difference among the 3 groups. By post-hoc multiple comparison tests, statistically significant differences were found between tongue and bone resorption \( p = 0.001 \), and between without bone resorption and bone resorption. These findings clarified the observations that \(^{18}\)F-FDG accumulation changed markedly depending on the presence or absence of bone resorption.

On the basis of the bone resorption type, the lower gingival cancer patients were classified into the pressure \( n = 7 \) and moth-eaten \( n = 13 \) types, according to the criteria described in the Methods section. Since distribution of SUV in both groups had normality, t test was performed to compare the averages. The mean \(^{18}\)F-FDG SUV was 11.3 and 11.2 for the pressure and moth-eaten types, respectively (Fig. 5), showing no significant difference \( p = 0.945 \). There was therefore no indication that \(^{18}\)F-FDG accumulation depended on the bone resorption type.

2. \(^{18}\)F-FDG and \(^{18}\)F-choline accumulations

As shown in Fig. 4, the \(^{18}\)F-FDG SUV was high in gingival cancer with bone resorption. For comparison purposes, PET was also performed using \(^{18}\)F-choline. Firstly, to confirm that the \(^{18}\)F-choline SUV in malignant tumors is equivalent to the \(^{18}\)F-FDG SUV, the SUV
from the two tracers was compared within the tongue cancer patients. As shown in Fig. 6, the mean $^{18}$F-FDG (n = 28) and $^{18}$F-choline SUV (n = 6) in tongue cancer was 6.6 and 4.8, respectively, showing no significant difference.

from the two tracers was compared within the tongue cancer patients. As shown in Fig. 6, the mean $^{18}$F-FDG (n = 28) and $^{18}$F-choline SUV (n = 6) in tongue cancer was 6.6 and 4.8, respectively. The mean $^{18}$F-choline SUV was slightly lower, but there was no difference in rank of SUV between $^{18}$F-FDG and $^{18}$F-choline groups by Mann-Whitney’s U test. The SUV of the two tracers was then compared in the patients with gingival cancer accompanied by bone resorption. Since distributions of SUV in both groups were normal, t test was performed to compare between groups. As shown in Fig. 7, the mean $^{18}$F-FDG (n = 35) and $^{18}$F-choline (n = 7) SUV was 10.4 and 5.3, respectively, showing that $^{18}$F-choline did not result in an increased SUV (p = 0.011).

**Discussion**

Head and neck cancers most frequently develop in the oral cavity, and squamous cell carcinomas account for more than 90% of cases. Gingival cancer has the second highest incidence rate among head and neck cancers (tongue cancer has the highest), and the frequency of mandibular resorption in gingival cancer is high (12–56%). CT and MRI have routinely been used for imaging diagnosis of oral cavity cancers, with $^{18}$F-FDG PET recently becoming established as an index of the biological malignancy of cancer. Glucose metabolism is promoted in malignant tumor cells, and the glucose analog $^{18}$F-FDG, is incorporated into tumor cells; therefore, $^{18}$F-FDG PET allows visualization of this promoted tumor cell glucose metabolism. By contrast, choline is incorporated into two classes of phospholipids that are constituents of cell membranes. In general, the choline-containing compound level of tumor cells is enhanced because of the high proliferation rate, although the presence of a complex...
interaction between oncogenic signaling and choline metabolic pathways was recently reported[16], [17]. Choline-based PET tracers are used to diagnose malignant tumors in various parts of the body, including prostate cancer, brain tumors, and head and neck cancers[18]. 18F-choline analogs include 18F-fluoroethyl-choline and 18F-fluoromethyl-choline, and DeGrado et al.[19] demonstrated the superiority of the latter for use as an oncologic tracer. In our study, we used 18F-fluoromethyl-choline, which was chemically synthesized according to the method of Iwata et al.[8] and it may be that this was favorably accumulated in the primary lesions.

We adopted the maximum SUV (SUVmax) as a parameter to represent 18F-FDG accumulation in PET; however, some studies report that SUVmax does not accurately reflect the overall metabolism of a tumor as it is based on the evaluation of one voxel[20]. Metabolic tumor volume (MTV) and total lesion glycolysis (TLG) are increasingly being reported as being useful for representing volume-based tumor 18F-FDG accumulation[21]. However, MTV and TLG have not yet been widely employed in general medical practice, as they require specialized analysis. Thus, we employed SUVmax, which is a simple and frequently used index.

Many studies used 18F-FDG SUV to evaluate the malignancy and proliferation rate of head and neck cancers, and enhanced glucose metabolism correlates with patient outcome, regardless of the selected treatment method reported[3], [5], [22]. SUV is also an independent prognostic factor for the survival rate of recurrent cases[23], [24]. Therefore, both the accumulation site and the SUV of 18F-FDG are important information for the diagnosis of malignant tumors. The SUV depends on the mitotic index of a tumor and tumor cell density[6], [7]. However, as shown in Figs. 3 and 4, 18F-FDG accumulates more markedly in gingival cancer than in tongue cancer. In addition, no difference was noted between tongue cancer and gingival cancer in the absence of bone resorption, but in the presence of bone resorption 18F-FDG accumulation was about twice as high. The submucosal fat layer is absent in gingiva, the origin of gingival cancer, and bone tissue is present directly below the mucosa. Accordingly, when cancer advances, the tumor infiltrates into the alveolar bone and the body of the bone, and frequently shows an osteolytic property. It was reported that, in bone metastases of breast cancer, the 18F-FDG SUV was higher in osteolytic than in osteoblastic bone metastases[25], [26], which supports our finding of increased SUV in osteolytic resorption. As shown in Fig. 5, the 18F-FDG SUV did not depend on the bone resorption type, that is, the pressure and moth-eaten types. This may have been due to the absence of differences in the density or activation of bone metabolism-related cells.

In our study, an accumulation of 18F-choline in tongue cancer was noted, similar to the observed 18F-FDG PET accumulation (Fig. 6), and, although the mean SUV was slightly lower for 18F-choline PET, the difference was not significant. The slightly lower 18F-choline SUV in tongue cancer in comparison with the 18F-FDG SUV may have been due to 18F-choline not being incorporated into inflammatory cells, and may not actually be due to lower uptake by tumor cells[27]. Kubota et al.[28] reported that, when 18F-FDG was administered to a mouse tumor model prepared by transplanting FM3A tumors into mice, 77% of the accumulation was in tumor cells, but 23% was in cancer stromal cells.
surrounding tumor cells, such as macrophages and granulation tissue. Additionally, $^{18}$F-choline did not result in increased SUV in cases with bone resorption, unlike $^{18}$F-FDG (Fig. 7).

Histological analysis of gingival squamous cell carcinoma demonstrated that tumor stroma is composed of various cells, such as fibroblasts, myofibroblasts, endothelial cells, and inflammatory cells. Kayamori et al. reported that parathyroid hormone-related peptide produced by oral squamous cell carcinoma cells, and stromal cell-mediated interleukin-6, bind to receptors on fibroblastic cells and osteoblasts and promote NF-kB ligand (RANKL) production. RANKL then activates osteoclast formation and bone resorption through osteoclast precursor cells. On this basis, it is assumed that the high SUV in cases with bone resorption is closely related to $^{18}$F-FDG accumulation in not only tumor cells and tumor stromal cells, but also bone metabolism-related cells represented by osteoclasts, osteoblasts, and osteoclast precursor cells. As low sensitivity to $^{18}$F-FDG has been reported for osteoblastic lesions, it may be more likely to accumulate in osteoclasts and osteoclast precursor cells than in osteoblasts. However, a detailed study is necessary to verify this hypothesis. Generally, oral cavity cancers accompanied by bone resorption are progressive and which have a bad prognosis. This study confirmed high $^{18}$F-FDG SUV in gingival cancer with bone resorption. The SUV serves as an important prognostic factor, and it may appear high because of bone resorption by the cancer, which then requires imaging diagnosis using CT and MRI.
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