Analysis of the Nutritional Composition of Aquatic Species Toward Nutritional Improvement in a Lao PDR Rural Area

Kaori FUJITA^{1*}, Masataka SAITO², Bounsong VONGVICHITH³,
Katsumi HASADA¹, Philavanh BOUTSAVATH⁴,
Xaypunya MAHATHILATH⁴ and Shinsuke MORIOKA¹

¹ Japan International Research Center for Agricultural Sciences (Tsukuba, Ibaraki 305-8686, Japan)

² Laboratory of Food Science and Technology, Kagawa Nutrition University (Sakado, Saitama 350-0288, Japan)

³ Living Aquatic Resources Research Center (LARReC) (Sikhottabong District, Vientiane, Lao PDR)

⁴ Planning and Cooperation Division, National Agriculture and Forestry Research Institute (NAFRI), Ministry of Agriculture and Forestry (Xaythany District, Vientiane, Lao PDR)

Abstract

Nine species of fish (*Clarias batrachus*, *Clarias microcephalus*, *Anabas testudineus*, *Henicorhynchus siamensis*, *Puntius brevis*, *Rasbora aurotaenia*, *Channa striata*, *Channa gachua*, and *Esomus metallicus*) and three types of shellfish (*Viviparidae* spp., *Pomacea* spp., and *Unionidae* spp.) available in Nameuang Village and in the capital city Vientiane of Lao People's Democratic Republic were analyzed in terms of nutritional composition to investigate their value as food resources with the aim of improving the nutritional status in rural areas of the country. A total of 35 types of fish and shellfish were consumed in the surveyed village. Some species of fish had a high protein content (19-20 g/100 g sample) and quality (e.g., lysine content = 1,750-1,870 mg/g sample and 93.8- 97.9 mg/g protein). Their protein qualities were endorsed by amino acid score (amino acid score 100). These results suggest that the analyzed aquatic animals have high nutrient quality and are efficient/ indispensable food resources for improving the nutritional status of the rural population of the country.

Discipline: Food

Additional key words: aquatic animals, fish and shellfish, nutritional value, Lao People's Democratic Republic, rural area

Introduction

The Lao People's Democratic Republic (PDR) is still classified as one of the least developed countries in the world. In this country, malnutrition is a nationwide problem, causing the following situations: 34% of the children under the age of 5 years is underweight and more than half of the children (63%) are stunted in terms of growth (UNICEF 2012). It is reported that the catch-up growth of children can be enhanced via the intake of food with the protein and energy levels suited to the child's age (WHO/FAO/UNU 2007). Furthermore, FAO (2013b) summarized that 11.0% of households with poor and limited food consumption, and 26.7% of undernourished

in the total population. The above situations are considered to be due to insufficient animal protein intake resulting from the limited supply of animal protein sources (FAOSTAT 2018). This often causes over-dependency on rice in deprived areas (MAF 2013), particularly in semi-mountainous rural areas where access to animal proteins is more limited in quality and quantity than in urban areas (Hasada & Yamada 2017).

Lao PDR is largely dependent on inland freshwater products, including fish and shellfish, for nutritional supply (Phonvisay 2013, Halwart & Bartley 2014). The national policy for improvement of malnutrition in rural areas is to increase the animal protein supply, including aquatic products by means of fishery/aquaculture

*Corresponding author: e-mail fujitak@affrc.go.jp

Received 19 October 2018; accepted 27 December 2018.

promotion. Moreover, along with the increase in aquatic production, preparation of information on the nutritional values of such aquatic animals is also necessary as a public awareness for nutritional improvement. However, there is little information about the nutritional value of the typical diet in rural areas of Lao PDR. Although food composition databases of other countries are present (ASEAN Food Composition Database [FCD] 2014), these mainly include large and well-known types of fish or shellfish consumed and do not reflect the species that are consumed by residents of Lao PDR. In addition, the data are derived from a large number of sources including regional databases with variable sampling and analytical methods, with the sample size unclear in some cases. However, information on the nutrients in actual aquatic species consumed in rural areas of Lao PDR is essential for improving the nutritional status of the population. In the present study, therefore, we analyzed the essential nutritional compositions and protein qualities of the major aquatic animals consumed in rural areas of Lao PDR in order to prepare their actual nutritional information, which will contribute to the improvement of the nutritional status in these areas.

Materials and methods

1. Survey site

The survey site was Nameuang Village, Feuang District, Vientiane Province (Fig. 1). This village was

Fig. 1. Location of the survey sites in Lao PDR (Fujita et al.) (a map modified from “Asia Laos Map - Laos maps,” Mapsof.net, <http://mapsof.net/laos/asia-laos-map>)

selected since it is a typical village in the mountainous area of central Vientiane Province mainly inhabited by rice farmers. The demographic profile of the village has been previously reported (Ikeura et al. 2016); the population size and number of households in the village are 683 and 135, respectively. Paddy fields are distributed in lowland fields (81 ha) and in mountainous areas (12 ha).

2. Methods of sample selection

The species were selected from a list of fish and shellfish based on an interview with the village chief (Table 1). The list was edited to include data from previous surveys of food consumption in the village (Hasada 2014) and from two reports on the diversity of freshwater animals of the Mekong river basin (NEF 2015, Poulsen et al. 2004). Table 1 shows the results of the interview with the village chief conducted in June 2015, which included information on the types of fish and shellfish that are regularly consumed by the villagers. The samples for nutritional analysis were selected from Table 1 based on the following criteria: they constitute a major part of the diet, are frequently consumed (year-round), and are the preferred food of most of the villagers. Thus, five groups of fish (Pa duk, Pa keng, Pa khao, Pa kor, and Pa siew) and three types of shellfish (Hoy chup, Hoy pakkuang, and Hoy kii) were selected.

3. Sampling and identification

Sampling was conducted in a village and two domestic markets from Nameuang Village and Vientiane, the capital of Lao PDR, during December 2-8, 2015. The size of the samples depended on the activities of the individuals supplying the village. NaNga market (18°13'59.9"N, 102°29'21.4"E) in the north and Dongmakkhay market (18°04'55.4"N, 102°40'14.4"E) in the mid-eastern part of the Vientiane Capital were selected owing to the abundance of natural fish and shellfish traded directly between the villagers and the traders rather than through a hub market. Samples from the two markets were investigated by questioning the sellers to ensure that their origins differed. In the village, the sample collection was dependent on the activities of the villagers. In total, 39 fish and shellfish samples were collected from Vientiane and Nameuang Village. The number of samples varied for each species but was approximately 1 kg (on a wet weight basis) for each pooled sample from the market. In the village, it was difficult to collect large amounts of a single pooled sample, and approximately 400 g was therefore used for the analysis.

Detailed information on each species, including the

common names in the local language and the scientific names, is shown in Table 1. We sampled two species of the walking catfish, Pa duk—i.e., Pa duk en (*Clarias batrachus*) and Pa duk oui (*Clarias macrocephalus*)—since they are widely consumed in the country. Regarding the swamp barb (Pa khao), at least three genera (five

species) were available from the market, but only three species (swamp barb A, B, and C) were analyzed since there was insufficient material available for the other two. Two species of snakehead, Pa kor (*Channa striata* and *Channa gachua*), were also evaluated.

Table 1. Current consumption status of fishes and shellfishes in the village

No.	Lao name	English name	Scientific name	Availability*
<i>Fishes</i>				
1	Pa duk en	Walking catfish	<i>Clarias batrachus</i>	++
2	Pa duk oui	Bighead catfish	<i>Clarias macrocephalus</i>	++
3	Pa eed	Hasselt's loach	<i>Lepidocephalichthys hasselti</i>	+
4	Pa gadaeng	Beardless barb	<i>Cycloheilichthys apogon</i>	++
5	Pa gadeud	Tree spotted gourami	<i>Trichogaster trichopterus</i>	++
6	Pa kaa	Malayan leaffish	<i>Pristolepis fasciata</i>	++
7	Pa karbkong	Glass perch	<i>Parambassis siamensis</i>	++
8	Pa keng	Climbing perch	<i>Anabas testudineus</i>	++
9	Pa khang	Bonylip barb	<i>Osteochilus vittatus</i>	++
10	Pa khao	Swamp barb	<i>Barbodes aurotaeniatus</i>	++
11	Pa khao	Swamp barb	<i>Puntius brevis</i>	++
12	Pa khao	Swamp barb	<i>Puntius stoliczkanus</i>	-
13	Pa khao	Javaen barb	<i>Systomus rubripinnis</i>	++
14	Pa kor	Snakehead	<i>Channa striata</i>	+++
15	Pa kor kan	Dwarf snakehead	<i>Channa gachua</i>	++
16	Pa kot		<i>Hemibagrus filamentus</i>	++
17	Pa lard	Tire track eel	<i>Mastacembelus favus</i>	++
18	Pa lod	Peacock eel	<i>Macrogathus siamensis</i>	++
19	Pa nai	Common carp	<i>Cyprinus carpio</i>	++
20	Pa nil	Nile tilapia	<i>Oreochromis niloticus</i>	++
21	Pa pak	Silver barb	<i>Barbonymus gonionotus</i>	++
22	Pa seuam, Pa khoun		<i>Ompok miostoma</i>	++
23	Pa siew, Pa gasiew	Flying barb	<i>Esomus metallicus</i>	++
24	Pa siew, Pa gasiew	Cherry spot rasbora	<i>Rasbora rubrodorsalis</i>	++
25	Pa siew, Pa gasiew	Black line rasbora	<i>Rasbora borapetensis</i>	++
26	Pa siew, Pa gasiew	Sidestripe rasbora	<i>Rasbora paviei</i>	-
27	Pa sotong	Needlefish, Freshwater garfish	<i>Xenentodon cancila</i>	++
28	Pa sood	Hampala barb	<i>Hampala macrolepidota</i>	++
29	Pa sood	Eye-spot barb	<i>Hampala dispar</i>	++
30	Pa tong	Bronze featherback	<i>Notopterus notopterus</i>	++
<i>Snails and Clams</i>				
31	Hoy pakkouang	Apple snail	<i>Pomacea</i> spp.	+++
32	Hoy po	Apple snail	<i>Pila</i> sp.	++
33	Hoy pan	Apple snail	<i>Pila</i> sp.	++
34	Hoy choup	River snail	<i>Viviparidae</i> spp.	+++
35	Hoy lekchan	River snail	<i>Broia</i> spp.	+
36	Hoy kii	Margaritiferidae (Freshwater bivalve)	<i>Unionidae</i> spp.	+++
37	Hoy kii noy	Asian clam (Freshwater bivalve)	<i>Corbicula</i> sp.	+

* Availability was estimated based on the village chief comments, ++: commonly available, +: occasionally available, -: not available.

4. Sample pre-treatment

Fresh or live samples were collected and packed in plastic bags at the collection site, and transported with ice to the Living Aquatic Resources Research Center on the same day. Thereafter, the samples were stored frozen at -30°C . The frozen samples were transported to the Japan International Research Center for Agricultural Sciences, Tsukuba, Ibaraki, Japan, for nutrient composition analysis.

The edible parts of fish may or may not include the head, internal organs (viscera), scales, bones, and other parts according to traditional practices and depending on the species. The edible parts of the snails also differed with the species. Fillets with skin were analyzed in all kinds of fish without small size fish. i.e., flying barb (*Pa siew*, *Esomus metallicus*) and swamp barb C (*Pa khao*, *Rasbora aurotaenia*). These two kinds of fish were analyzed using whole-fish body. Clam (*Hoy kii*, *Unionidae* spp.) was used for analysis without shell, and the snails were prepared as follows: the river snail (*Hoy chup*, *Viviparidae* spp.) was used after removal of the shell and its juveniles inside if they were present, whereas the apple snail (*Hoy pakkuang*, *Pomacea* spp.) was used after removal of the shell and internal organs (viscera). The length, height, and weight of the frozen samples were measured using the method of NEF (2015) (Fig. 2). After dissecting the samples, the edible fractions were calculated with the following formula:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Edible fraction (\%)} \\ = \frac{\text{total weight of edible part (g)}}{\text{total weight of sample (g)}} \times 100 \end{aligned}$$

The samples were homogenized and subsamples of the homogenate were used for individual analytical tests.

5. Analytical methods

(1) Proximate composition

Essential food properties such as moisture, protein,

fat, carbohydrates, and ash contents were evaluated according to the Standard Tables of Food Composition in Japan (STFCJ) (2015) based on the Association of Official Agricultural Chemists methods (Table 2). Each measurement was carried out in duplicate.

The protein content was analyzed using the Kjeldahl method and the quantity was calculated as 6.25 times the N content. The energy was calculated using the Atwater's coefficient as a conversion factor: 4 for proteins and carbohydrates and 9 for fat. The total carbohydrate was calculated by subtracting measured moisture, protein, fat and ash from total weight as content was calculated from the total weight of each of the other components with the following formula:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Carbohydrate (g/100g)} \\ = 100 - (\text{total moisture (g/100g)} + \text{protein (g/100g)} \\ + \text{fat (g/100g)} + \text{ash (g/100g)}) \end{aligned}$$

(2) Amino acid analysis

The amino acid composition of each sample was determined according to STFCJ (2015) using an automated amino acid analyzer (JLC-500/V; JEOL, Tokyo, Japan) except for tryptophan, which was detected by HPLC with a RF-20Axs spectrofluorometric detector (Shimadzu). The contribution of each species to the recommended nutrient intake of amino acids at each age was determined by comparisons to reference data. The amino acid score determines the effectiveness with which absorbed dietary N can meet the essential amino acid requirement at a safe level of protein intake, and is based on the amount of the limiting amino acid in 1 g of protein in a sample relative to the required amount (FAO/WHO/UNU 2007) using the following formula:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Amino acid score} \\ = \frac{\text{mg of amino acid in 1g test protein}}{\text{mg of amino acid requirement pattern}} \times 100 \end{aligned}$$

Fig. 2. Length and height in fish, snail, and clam

Results and discussion

1. Fish and shellfish in Nameuang Village

The people of Nameuang Village depend on aquatic animals for nutrition (Kimura et al. 2014), as in other rural areas of Lao PDR (Funge-Smith 2014, Halwart & Bartley 2014). Approximately 79% of the total animal protein intake by weight for the villagers is reported to be from fish and shellfish (note that data regarding birds and reptiles are not available), and that 33 types of fish and shellfish are consumed in the village, making these the second most diverse animal food resources next to insects (Kimura et al. 2014).

Through the course of our interview, we determined that some species of fish were not consumed or not available in the village, but that a total of 35 types of fish and shellfish were regularly consumed (Table 1). Although this represents a smaller variety than in Attapeu province (61, 95, and 102 species of fish in the Tamoyot, Gayeu, and Sausa Villages, respectively; FAO 2003), aquatic animals are clearly an indispensable food resource in Nameuang.

2. Size and weight of the fish and shellfish in Nameuang Village

There were no differences in the length of the samples collected at the two locations (Table 3). The edible fraction of almost all fish species was over 43%, except for climbing perch (*Anabas testudineus*), which has hard and heavy head, bones, and scales and thus have fewer edible parts than other fish. The edible fractions of swamp barb C (*R. aurotaenia*) and flying barb (*E. metallicus*) were considered to be 100% (w/w) since in

both cases the whole fish is regularly consumed according to the interview in the village.

The edible fractions of apple snails (*Pomacea* spp.) and river snails (*Viviparidae* spp.) totaled around 30%, while Margaritiferidae (*Unionidae* spp.) had a higher proportion of edible parts (>52%). The lower fraction of edible parts in apple snail was due to the removal of the large viscera from the body per the dietary custom of the village. The main reasons for the low proportion in river snails are the hard and heavy shell and the fact that this organism is ovoviviparous; the removal of the embryos from the body shells of a pregnant snail prior to consumption of the latter decreases its edibility value. However, edibility rates of livestock animals in Japan are 35-55% and vary according to cultural and dietary practices, condition of the livestock, or status of the breeding lines. Others have reported edibility rates of 40-55% in livestock (van Huis et al. 2013). The rates in freshwater animals do not differ significantly from those in marine fish (STFCJ 2015).

3. Proximate composition

The proximate food composition, including crude protein, fat, carbohydrate, ash, moisture and energy content of the edible parts of the 12 species of nine fishes and three shellfishes are shown in Table 4. Although most residents of Nameuang Village consume apple snail without the viscera, in other studies reporting on the nutritional value of these animals it is unclear whether the analyses were performed with or without the viscera (Baby et al. 2010, Nurhasan et al. 2010). We, therefore, investigated the viscera separately so that we could compare our results with previous data.

Table 2. Analytical methods used for determining nutrient composition

Analyzed items	Unit	Method	Sample weight (g)	LOQ*
Proximate composition				
Energy	g/100g	Calculation		
Moisture	g/100g	Air oven method	1.0-4.0	0.1 g/100g
Protein	g/100g	Kjedahl method	0.7-3.0	0.1 g/100g
Fat	g/100g	Soxlet extraction method	1.0-5.0	0.1 g/100g
Carbohydrate	g/100g	Calculation		
Ash	g/100g			
Amino acid				
Amino acid (17 kinds)**	g/100g	Amino acid analyzer method	0.5-1.0	0.1 mg/100 g
Amino acid (Tryptophan)	g/100g	HPLC	1.0-2.0	0.1 mg/100 g

* LOQ: Limit of quantitation

** 17 kinds of amino acids: Histidine, Isoleucine, Leucine, Lysine, Methionine, Cysteine, Phenylalanine, Tyrosine, Threonine, Valine, Arginine, Alanine, Glycine, Proline, Glutamic acid, Asparagine, Serine

Table 3. Size (length and weight) of fish, snails, and clams collected from the village and Vientiane

Kind	Sample name	Scientific name	Length (mm)			Height (mm)			Weight (g)			Edible parts (%)	
			Village	VTE		Village	VTE		Village	VTE		Village	VTE
Fish	Walking catfish	<i>Clarias batrachus</i>	168.3 ± 39.5	161.7 ± 31.3		19.7 ± 5.7	23.1 ± 6.1		40.3 ± 25.5	34.1 ± 17.7		49.2 ± 3.4	51.4 ± 2.5
Fish	Broadhead catfish	<i>Clarias macrocephalus</i>	—	182.3 ± 21.0		—	30.1 ± 5.7		—	52.0 ± 20.2		—	53.0 ± 2.5
Fish	Climbing perch	<i>Anabas testudineus</i>	83.0 ± 13.2	103.3 ± 12.3		23.0 ± 3.9	28.3 ± 3.4		9.6 ± 4.4	21.1 ± 6.1		38.9 ± 2.7	39.4 ± 4.0
Fish	Swamp barb A	<i>Henicorhynchus stamensis</i>	—	129.3 ± 21.8		—	27.8 ± 5.5		—	25.6 ± 12.8		—	51.4 ± 7.0
Fish	Swamp barb B	<i>Puntius brevis</i>	—	80.9 ± 13.5		—	21.2 ± 4.0		—	7.3 ± 2.7		—	46.3 ± 6.5
Fish	Swamp barb C	<i>Rasbora aurotaenia</i>	—	99.2 ± 8.1		—	18.3 ± 2.4		—	7.0 ± 2.1		—	—
Fish	Snakehead	<i>Channa striata</i>	150.5 ± 40.7	203.3 ± 49.0		20.1 ± 7.2	26.0 ± 6.7		62.1 ± 18.7	62.6 ± 20.2		45.6 ± 3.0	43.7 ± 3.4
Fish	Dwarf snakehead	<i>Channa gachua</i>	142.0 ± 60.3	—		15.9 ± 7.5	—		40.6 ± 41.7	—		43.3 ± 3.1	—
Fish	Flying barb	<i>Esomus metallicus</i>	—	45.1 ± 12.7		—	8.5 ± 2.3		—	0.9 ± 0.6		—	—
Snail	River snail	<i>Viviparidae</i> spp.	20.4 ± 7.8	31.2 ± 3.4		26.2 ± 6.6	25.5 ± 2.8		7.7 ± 5.0	7.0 ± 2.8		36.1 ± 7.3	35.2 ± 7.5
Snail	Apple snail	<i>Pomacea</i> spp.	47.2 ± 16.4	35.2 ± 8.5		49.1 ± 15.3	26.2 ± 5.2		36.4 ± 27.3	13.7 ± 7.2		29.6 ± 6.7	32.9 ± 3.7
Clam	Margaritiferidae	<i>Unionidae</i> spp.	83.8 ± 6.5	90.6 ± 9.5		40.4 ± 3.6	38.2 ± 4.2		22.0 ± 5.1	31.4 ± 8.4		52.6 ± 4.7	53.8 ± 3.2

Values are the mean ± standard deviation.

Table 4. Essential nutritional compositions in fish, snail and clam

Kind	Sample name	Energy (kcal)***		Moisture (g)**		Protein (g)**		Fat (g)**		Carbohydrate (g)**		Ash (g)**	
		Village	VTE	Village	VTE	Village	VTE	Village	VTE	Village	VTE	Village	VTE
Fish	Walking catfish	101.5 ± 2.1	79.0	76.7 ± 0.6	80.0	20.6 ± 0.6	19.1	2.4 ± 0.1	0.3	00.0 ± 0.0	0.0	1.1 ± 0.1	1.2
Fish	Broadhead catfish	—	94.0 ± 14.1	—	77.4 ± 1.7	—	19.9 ± 0.1	—	1.60 ± 1.5	—	0.15 ± 0.2	—	1.15 ± 0.1
Fish	Climbing perch	118.5 ± 0.7	125.0	74.5 ± 0.6	74.0	19.4 ± 0.2	19.2	4.3 ± 0.1	5.3	0.6 ± 0.8	0.0	1.6 ± 0.1	1.5
Fish	Swamp barb A*	—	97.0	—	77.7	—	17.8	—	2.5	—	0.7	—	1.3
Fish	Swamp barb B*	—	108.0	—	74.8	—	19.5	—	3.1	—	0.5	—	2.1
Fish	Swamp barb C*	—	168.0	—	65.5	—	19.1	—	10.1	—	0.2	—	5.1
Fish	Snakehead	88.0 ± 0.0	83.5 ± 3.5	77.3 ± 0.1	79.1 ± 0.8	20.7 ± 0.3	19.9 ± 0.6	0.5 ± 0.1	0.4 ± 0.1	0.3 ± 0.2	0.0 ± 0.0	1.4 ± 0.1	1.2 ± 0.0
Fish	Dwarf snakehead	87.0	—	77.6	—	20.9	—	0.4	—	0.0	—	1.4	—
Fish	Flying barb	93.5 ± 17.7	114.0 ± 11.3	76.8 ± 0.9	74.8 ± 2.0	14.7 ± 1.3	14.9 ± 1.7	3.7 ± 2.6	5.8 ± 0.4	0.4 ± 0.0	0.6 ± 0.3	4.5 ± 0.4	4.0 ± 0.4
Snail	River snail	59.0 ± 2.8	66.0	82.7 ± 0.6	80.4	8.7 ± 0.1	9.6	0.5 ± 0.0	0.6	4.9 ± 0.9	5.6	3.2 ± 0.1	3.8
Snail	Apple snail	55.5 ± 10.6	70.0 ± 7.1	85.0 ± 3.0	80.8 ± 1.2	10.3 ± 1.6	12.3 ± 1.1	0.5 ± 0.1	0.45 ± 0.1	2.6 ± 0.9	4.4 ± 0.6	1.8 ± 0.4	2.25 ± 0.5
Clam	Margaritiferidae	52.0	83.0	85.1	77.1	7.8	8.8	0.7	0.9	3.7	10.0	2.7	3.2

Values are the mean ± standard deviation, except some samples, which are shown as a value n = 1.

* Swamp barb A: *Henicorhynchus stamensis*; B: *Puntius brevis*; C: *Rasbora aurotaenia*

** The value shows mg/100g sample (wet weight basis).

*** The value shows kcal/100g sample (wet weight basis).

The total protein content in the fish species ranged from 19-20 g/100 g, except in swamp barb A and flying barb. The latter had the lowest content among all fish, but this was assumed to be because all parts of the fish such as the head, bones, and viscera were included in the analysis. On the other hand, the protein contents in the three types of shellfish ranged from 7.8-12.3 g/100 g, with no significant differences among the species. It is well known that the main food elements of aquatic animals are moisture and protein; the values measured in this study were within the ranges previously reported in ASEAN FCD (2014), Nurhasan et al. (2010) and STFCJ (2015).

Climbing perch and members of the family *Cyprinidae* (three swamp barbs and flying barb) had lower water and protein contents, and had also higher fat content (2.5-10.1 g/100 g) than the other species (e.g., catfish, 0.3-2.4 g/100 g; snakehead, 0.4-0.5 g/100 g; and shellfish, 0.5-0.9 g/100 g). This is in accordance with previous data obtained for fish species in Lao (Nurhasan et al. 2010), although others have reported a two to seven times higher fat content in these fish than that observed in the present study (James 2006, ASEAN FCD 2014).

Although the reason for these discrepancies is unclear, most of these data were from fishes in Thailand, Cambodia, and Vietnam, and those might have been cultured fishes that were considered to have more fat than the wild fishes used in this study.

The total energy content varied widely among species from 79-168 kcal/100 g, which was mainly due to differences in fat content. In general, the carbohydrate content is low in fish and high in shellfish (STFCJ 2015). We observed the same trend in fish (0.0 to 1.1 g/100 g) and shellfish (2.6-10.0 g/100 g) in this study, but dwarf snakehead had a high value 3.2 g/100 g. All shellfish and two fish species (swamp barb C and flying barb) had a high ash content ranging from 1.8 to 4.9 g/100 g and 4.0 to 5.1 g/100 g, respectively. The high amount of ash in the two fish species can be explained by the fact that the viscera, bones, and head were included in the measurement. Similarly, the high ash content of shellfish (river snail and Margaritiferidae members) was likely due to the inclusion of the viscera during the pre-treatment for the proximate analysis.

Table 5. Amino acid compositions in fish, snail and clam

Sample name	Walking catfish		Climbing perch		Snakehead		Flying barb		River snail		Apple snail		Margaritiferidae	
	mg/g*	mg/100g**	mg/g	mg/100g	mg/g	mg/100g	mg/g	mg/100g	mg/g	mg/100g	mg/g	mg/100g	mg/g	mg/100g
Histidine	22.5	430	23.4	450	24.6	480	28.6	460	22.9	220	18.4	210	20.5	180
Isoleucine	46.1	880	42.2	810	44.1	860	41.0	660	38.5	370	38.6	440	43.2	380
Leucine	83.2	1,590	78.6	1,510	80.5	1,570	74.5	1,200	76.0	730	80.7	920	76.1	670
Lysine	97.9	1,870	91.1	1,750	93.8	1,830	82.6	1,330	60.4	580	59.6	680	76.1	670
Methionine	29.8	570	28.6	550	30.3	590	26.7	430	19.8	190	17.5	200	25.0	220
Cysteine	9.9	190	9.9	190	10.3	200	9.3	150	12.5	120	10.5	120	12.5	110
Phenylalanine	44.0	840	43.2	830	43.1	840	41.0	660	40.6	390	37.7	430	37.5	330
Tyrosine	34.0	650	31.8	610	33.8	660	31.7	510	34.4	330	32.5	370	34.1	300
Threonine	49.2	940	45.3	870	45.1	880	41.6	670	46.9	450	44.7	510	45.5	400
Tryptophan	9.9	190	9.9	190	10.3	200	9.3	150	12.5	120	10.5	120	11.4	100
Valine	50.3	960	47.4	910	47.2	920	45.3	730	46.9	450	45.6	520	46.6	410
Arginine	67.5	1,290	65.6	1,260	64.1	1,250	61.5	990	76.0	730	85.1	970	72.7	640
Alanine	66.0	1,260	69.3	1,330	64.6	1,260	65.8	1,060	55.2	530	61.4	700	51.1	450
Glycine	73.3	1,400	74.0	1,420	60.5	1,180	70.8	1,140	60.4	580	70.2	800	46.6	410
Proline	44.0	840	44.8	860	38.5	750	44.7	720	45.8	440	49.1	560	35.2	310
Glutamic acid	162.0	3,090	152.0	2,920	156.0	3,040	144.0	2,320	145.0	1,390	161.0	1,830	145.0	1,280
Aspartic acid	109.0	2,090	103.0	1,970	104.0	2,030	96.3	1,550	105.0	1,010	103.0	1,170	95.5	840
Serine	43.5	830	41.7	800	42.1	820	39.8	640	45.8	440	47.4	540	47.7	420

* mg/g protein

** mg/100g sample

4. Amino acids

Protein quality can be predicted basically based on the indispensable amino acid score from a comparison of the pattern of its amino acid composition to the pattern of human amino acid requirement (FAO 2013a). In the present study, it was not possible to evaluate the digestibility of protein. The amino acid compositions of each sample are shown in Table 5. The two most abundant amino acids, i.e., glutamic acid and asparagine, were the same across samples. The third and fourth most abundant amino acids (lysine and leucine) were the same in all fish samples, whereas in shellfish the third and fourth were leucine and arginine in the river snail, arginine in the apple snail, and leucine and lysine in the Margaritiferidae. The glutamic acid content was also high (2,920–3,090 mg/100 g and 152–162 mg/g) in fishes, except in swamp barb (2,320 mg/100 g and 144 mg/g). These observations differ not only from previously reported values (Nurhasan et al. 2010) but also from those of common sea fish (STFCJ 2015), as the amino acid content is generally species-specific. Overall, the glutamic acid content of shellfish was not very high (1,280–1,390 mg/100 g and 145 mg/g), except in the apple snail (1,830 mg/100 g), for which the content in 1 g of protein (162 mg/g) was almost the same as the highest value in all the fishes (walking catfish, 161 mg/g).

Lysine is an essential amino acid. Especially in rural areas of Asia there is predominance of rice farming and consumption, which causes a deficiency of this amino acid in the diet (Vasal, 2002). In this study, the lysine content of fish (1,330–1,870 mg/100 g and 82.6–97.9 mg/g) was higher than that of shellfish (580–680 mg/100 g, 59.6–76.1 mg/g). Notably, the lysine contents of walking catfish and snakehead (1,870 mg/100 g and 97.9 mg, and 1,830 mg/100 g and 93.8 mg/g, respectively) were higher than the previously reported values (1,503 mg/100 g and 79.1 mg/g, and 1,493 mg/100 g and 80.3 mg/100 g, respectively) in Nurhasan et al. (2010). The lysine contents in fish and shellfish are higher than in livestock animal proteins such as fillet of lean meat of beef (90–95 mg/g), rib roast lean meat of beef (88–91 mg/g), fillet lean meat of pork (87 mg/g), and roast lean meat of pork (89 mg/g) (STFCJ 2015).

The amino acid scores were calculated for each age category based on the new amino acid scoring pattern (WHO/FAO/UNU 2007). The analyzed fish and shellfish samples of the present study were rich in essential amino acids and showed a good balance of protein in all categories for each age with appropriate amino acid scores (>100), particularly for children from the age of 1 to 18 years. As previously mentioned, lysine is a critical component of the typical diet in rural Asia where milled

rice—which is low in lysine, a limiting amino acid in protein synthesis—is the staple food (STFCJ 2015). Thus, the aquatic animals consumed by the people of Nameuang Village can potentially prevent malnutrition.

Conclusions

The purpose of this study was to evaluate the nutrient composition of fish and shellfish species consumed in Lao PDR with a specific focus on rural areas. The results here demonstrate that the aquatic animals analyzed here, in particular fish such as the walking catfish, climbing perch, and snakehead, had high protein contents and quality with appropriate amino acid scores. These types of fish also had especially high lysine contents. Although their supply amount in rural areas is currently considered insufficient (Hasada & Yamada 2017), and increase of their supply through fishery/aquaculture promotion may contribute to reduce the malnutrition status (UNICEF 2012) that is caused by protein deficiency (Vongvichith et al. 2018). Moreover, because of these freshwater fish and shellfish species are widely consumed in inland area of the world, these data can also be useful from a nutritional public health standpoint for improving the nutritional status in rural areas not only in Lao PDR but widely in other developing countries and areas.

Acknowledgments

The authors thank the National Agriculture and Forestry Research Institute and the Living Aquatic Resources Research Center of Lao PDR for the technical assistance and support in surveying the village and Vientiane capital; and Dr. Kouichi Shibukawa, Museum of Natural and Environmental History, Shizuoka, Japan, for assistance in identifying fish species. Research activities for this study were funded by the Japan International Research Center for Agricultural Sciences, Japan.

References

- ASEAN Food Composition Database (2014) *ASEAN Food Composition Database*. ASEANFOODS Regional Center and INFOODS Regional Database Center, Institute of Nutrition, Mahidol University, Nakhon Pathom.
- Baby, R.L. et al. (2010) Nutrient analysis of some commercially important molluscs of Bangladesh. *J Sci Res*, **2**, 390–396.
- FAOSTAT (2018) Country profiles: Lao People's Democratic Republic. <http://www.fao.org/faostat/en/#country/120>.
- Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO) (2003) *The role and nutritional value of aquatic resources in the livelihoods of*

- rural people, a participatory assessment in Attapeu Province. Regional Office for Asia and the Pacific of FAO, Bangkok.
- Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO) (2013a) Dietary protein quality evaluation in human nutrition. *Food and Nutrition Paper* **92**, FAO, Rome.
- Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO) (2013b) *Statistics: Food security indicators*. Available at <https://www.fantaproject.org/sites/default/files/download/Laos-Nutrition-Profile-Apr2014.pdf>.
- Funge-Smith, S. (2014) *Small scale rural aquaculture in Lao PDR*. Provincial Aquaculture Development Project 2014. http://lad.nafri.org.la/show_record.php?mfn=3950.
- Halwart, M. & Bartley, D. (2014) *Aquatic biodiversity in rice-based ecosystems. Studies and reports from Indonesia, Lao PDR and the Philippines*. FAO, Rome.
- Hasada, K. (2014) Utilization of diverse bioresources and its significance for household livelihood in a semi-mountainous village of central Laos: a preliminary survey on fish resources in watersides. In Symposium abstracts of the Japanese Society of Regional and Agricultural Development Spring 2014, Japanese Society of Regional and Agricultural Development, 53-54 [In Japanese].
- Hasada, K. & Yamada, R. (2017) Current status and issue on food access in a semi-mountainous village of Central Laos. *J Jpn Soc Irrig Drain Rural Devel*, **85**, 469-474 [In Japanese with English summary].
- Ikeura, H. et al. (2016) Factors affecting differences in the rainy season rice yield in a lowland area of a mountainous village in Lao PDR. *Paddy Wat Environ*, **14**, 343-353.
- James, D.G. (2006) The impact of aquatic biodiversity on the nutrition of rice farming households in the Mekong Basin: consumption and composition of aquatic resources. *J Food Composition Anal*, **19**, 756-757.
- Kimura, K. et al. (2014) The economic evaluation on the non-timber forest products collected in the rural areas in central Laos - case study on N Village in Fuang District, Vientiane Prefecture -. *Environ Inform Sci*, **28**, 55-58 [In Japanese with English summary].
- Ministry of Agriculture and Forestry (MAF) (2013) *Lao P.D.R.: Risk and vulnerability survey 2012/2013. Analysis Report*, Vientiane.
- Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology, Japan. *Standard tables of food composition in Japan (STFCJ, 7th revised version)* (2015) http://www.mext.go.jp/en/policy/science_technology/policy/title01/detail01/1374030.htm.
- Nagao Natural Environment Foundation (NEF) (2015) *Mizube no Sachi*, Gift of Waterfront (2016 Revised version); *Illustrated handbook of edible plants and animals indigenous to the Mekong River Basin*. <http://www.nagaofoundation.or.jp/e/publication/index.html>.
- Nurhasan, M. et al. (2010) Nutritional composition of aquatic species in Laotian rice field ecosystems. *J Food Composition Anal*, **23**, 205-213.
- Phonvisay, S. (2013) *An introduction to the fisheries of Lao PDR, Mekong Development Series No.6*. Mekong River Commission, Phnom Penh.
- Poulsen, A.F. et al. (2004) *Distribution and ecology of some important riverine species of the Mekong River Basin*. Mekong River Commission, Phnom Penh.
- United Nations Children's Fund (UNICEF) (2012) *Lao PDR - social indicator survey 2011-12*, UNICEF, New York.
- van Huis, A. et al. (2013) Edible insects: future prospects for food and feed security. *FAO Forestry Paper* **171**, FAO, Rome.
- Vasal, S.K. (2002) The role of high lysine cereals in animal and human nutrition in Asia. In Protein Sources for the Animal Feed Industry, Expert Consultation and Workshop. FAO, Rome, 167-183.
- Vongvichith, B. et al. (2018) Factors influencing fish productivity in rice paddy: a case study in Vientiane Province, Central Laos. *JARQ*, **52**, 359-366.
- World Health Organization and Food and Agriculture Organization and United Nations University (WHO/FAO/UNU) (2007) Protein and amino acid requirements in human nutrition 2007. *WHO Technical Report Series*, **935**, WHO, Geneva.