Aim: The accumulation of Visceral fat is known to precede metabolic disorders and atherosclerosis. This study aimed to determine the relationships between body mass index (BMI), waist circumference (WC), estimated visceral fat area (eVFA) measured by bioelectrical impedance analysis (BIA), and obesity-related cardiovascular risk factors.

Methods: The study population was 2,870 middle-aged Japanese employees (males/females = 2,322/548), who had undergone a health check-up.

Results: In the receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve, the cutoff levels yielding maximal sensitivity plus specificity for predicting the prevalence of ≥2 risks were, 24.5 kg/m² for BMI, 84.6 cm for WC, and 111 cm² for eVFA in males, and 23.6 kg/m², 81.5 cm, and 67 cm² in females. The average number of risk factors was over 1.0 in those with a BMI ≥25 kg/m² and with a WC ≥85 cm for males, ≥28 kg/m² and ≥95 cm respectively for females, and those with an eVFA ≥100 cm² for both males and females. In males, it was around 1.0 with cutoff levels of BMI, WC, and eVFA from the ROC curve. However, in females, it was around 0.6, because the prevalence of subjects with obesity and multiple risks was very low.

Conclusions: These results suggested that the cutoff level for visceral fat reduction should be set based on an absolute value of risk factors, rather than a calculated value. In regular health check-up, it may be useful to set an absolute cutoff value for eVFA at 100 cm² as criteria to screen for multiple obesity-related cardiovascular risk factors.
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Introduction

Obesity is a major risk factor for hyperglycemia, diabetes, and hypertension. However, not all obese subjects have such disorders and, in Japan, mildly obese subjects sometimes have multiple obesity-related cardiovascular risk factors. We also demonstrated that a long period of exposure to multiple risk factors, including mild obesity, is involved in the onset of atherosclerosis in Japanese employees, and studies of Japanese-Americans in Hawaii and Seattle also suggest that the Japanese as a race can not handle glucose...
metabolism as well as Caucasians when over-nourished and are liable to develop intolerance and complications even with a mild degree of adiposity. In fact, many obese Japanese have mild adiposity, compared with Europeans and Americans. Clinical research has demonstrated the distribution of body fat rather than the total amount of fat to be associated with obesity-related disorders. Recently, there is recognition that the distribution of body fat, especially visceral fat, accompanied by various metabolic disorders, should be distinguished from simple obesity. We and others have demonstrated that the accumulation of intra-abdominal visceral fat precedes obesity-related metabolic disorders, including insulin resistance, glucose intolerance, dyslipidemia, and elevated blood pressure, leading to atherosclerosis based on a clustering of multiple risks. The Japanese Visceral Fat Syndrome Study Committee of the Ministry of Health and Welfare was organized to establish diagnostic criteria for obesity-related disease and visceral fat accumulation with obesity-related cardiovascular multiple risk factors. They have also set waist circumference (WC) as an embodiment of visceral fat accumulation. There, a WC over 85 cm in males and over 90 cm in females was decided according to the value of visceral fat area by computed tomography at the umbilical level, which was the cut-off for both male and females in terms of more than one cardiovascular risk factor.

In this study, the relationship between visceral fat accumulation and the number of obesity-related cardiovascular risk factors was analyzed in 775 men (age, 55 ± 11 years, mean ± SD) and 418 women (55 ± 12 years). However, there were some limitations as follows, 1) the cutoff values for VFA were analyzed in men and women combined, 2) the study sample was relatively small for females, and 3) the study sample was not a general population but composed of outpatients receiving treatment for metabolic disorders. Previously, we also reported marked gender differences in the proportions of adiposity and marked acceleration of visceral fat accumulation after menopause in women. We established a new technique to noninvasively evaluate VFA using an abdominal bioelectrical impedance analysis (BIA). Accordingly, we assume that it is important to establish a correlation between BIA-measured visceral fat area and presence of obesity-related cardiovascular risk factors for middle-aged Japanese men and women, separately.

**Aim**

The aim of the present study is to establish gender-specific cutoff values for BIA-measured visceral fat area with obesity-related cardiovascular risk factors for urban Japanese workers.

**Study Populations**

The study group comprised 2,870 Japanese who were employees of Amagasaki city office, Hyogo; an urban area, and had underwent an annual health check-up in 2004. Table 1 summarizes the profiles of the male and female subjects (males; $n = 2,322$, females; $n = 548$). The study was approved by the human ethics committee of Osaka University and written informed consent from all study participants.

Table 1. Clinical characteristics of all subjects

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Males ($n = 2,322$)</th>
<th>Females ($n = 548$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (year)</td>
<td>47.9 ± 10.5 (22–68)</td>
<td>46.8 ± 9.3 (21–63)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body Weight (kg)</td>
<td>69.1 ± 9.2 (42.6–110.2)</td>
<td>56.3 ± 7.7 (39.7–100.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body mass index (kg/m²)</td>
<td>24.2 ± 2.9 (16.4–36.7)</td>
<td>23.1 ± 3.1 (17.2–38.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waist circumference (cm)</td>
<td>84.4 ± 7.8 (65.0–114.6)</td>
<td>79.2 ± 8.0 (63.5–114.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Estimated visceral fat area (cm²)</td>
<td>99.6 ± 38.9 (14–254)</td>
<td>62.4 ± 31.1 (22–220)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Systolic blood pressure (mmHg)</td>
<td>130.4 ± 18.6 (84–218)</td>
<td>120.1 ± 18.7 (83–205)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diastolic blood pressure (mmHg)</td>
<td>80.3 ± 13.0 (46–140)</td>
<td>73.4 ± 13.2 (42–130)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total cholesterol (mmol/L)</td>
<td>5.24 ± 0.89 (2.51–9.69)</td>
<td>5.37 ± 0.91 (2.98–9.40)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDL cholesterol (mmol/L)</td>
<td>1.46 ± 0.42 (0.47–3.34)</td>
<td>1.69 ± 0.44 (0.52–3.99)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDL cholesterol (mmol/L)</td>
<td>2.90 ± 0.76 (0.44–7.04)</td>
<td>2.93 ± 0.79 (0.70–6.58)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triglyceride (mmol/L)</td>
<td>1.96 ± 1.47 (0.29–19.91)</td>
<td>1.25 ± 0.94 (0.28–14.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glucose (mmol/L)</td>
<td>5.96 ± 1.80 (3.16–24.9)</td>
<td>5.56 ± 1.43 (3.39–25.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HbA1c (%)</td>
<td>5.27 ± 0.85 (3.0–12.6)</td>
<td>5.06 ± 0.61 (2.8–9.3)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are mean ± SD (range).

HDL: high density lipoprotein, LDL: low density lipoprotein, HbA1c: hemoglobin A1c.
consent was obtained from each participant. This trial (called The Amagasaki Visceral Fat Study) is registered with the University hospital Medical Information Network, number UMIN 000002391. We already reported the relationship between visceral fat accumulation and metabolic factors in the Amagasaki Visceral Fat Study\textsuperscript{13-15}, a study of middle-aged Japanese in whom visceral fat accumulation was estimated non-invasively by BIA, using a method described previously\textsuperscript{12}.

**Anthropometry and Laboratory Tests**

Height, weight and waist circumference were measured in a standing position. Waist circumference at the umbilical level was measured with a non-stretchable tape in late expiration while standing (in cm). Visceral fat area (VFA) was estimated by BIA, as reported previously\textsuperscript{12}. Briefly, the voltage recorded at the flank to the flow of current between the umbilicus and the back correlates significantly with VFA and is not influenced by subcutaneous fat. We reported previously that VFA estimated by BIA (eVFA) correlates significantly with that determined by computed tomography (CT)\textsuperscript{12}. The coefficient of variation of BIA with the value of CT was 0.89% in the standing position and with late exhalation.

Blood pressure was measured with a standard mercury sphygmomanometer on the right arm after the subject had rested in a sitting position for at least 10 minutes. Venous blood samples were collected for measurements of blood glucose, high-density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL-C), and triglyceride (TG) levels while the subject was in the supine position.

We investigated the presence of three obesity-related cardiovascular risk factors (Fig. 1): 1) elevated blood pressure, 2) dyslipidemia, and 3) dysglycemia/impaired glucose tolerance. Elevated blood pressure was represented by a systolic blood pressure (SBP) of $\geq 130$ mmHg and/or diastolic blood pressure (DBP) $\geq 85$ mmHg, or regular treatment with anti-hypertensive agents. Dyslipidemia was represented by hypertriglyceridemia (fasting or postprandial triglyceride of $\geq 1.69$ or 2.27 mmol/L, respectively\textsuperscript{16}), and/or low HDL cholesterol (HDL cholesterol $< 1.04$ mmol/L), or regular treatment with hypolipidemic agents. Dysglycemia/impaired glucose tolerance was represented by hyperglycemia (fasting or postprandial serum glucose concentration of $\geq 6.10$ or 7.77 mmol/L, respectively\textsuperscript{17}), or regular treatment with anti-diabetic agents.

**Statistical Analysis**

A receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve was used to determine the appropriate cutoff value for BMI, WC, and eVFA in identifying subjects with two or more of the above risk factors (Fig. 2). Differences in the mean number of obesity-related cardiovascular risk factors between males and females were analyzed with the Kruskal-Wallis test (Fig. 3). Linear regression and a quadratic curve were used to analyze the relationship between eVFA and WC (Fig. 4). The level of significance was set at $p < 0.05$. Continuous variables are presented as the mean $\pm$ SD (Table 1) or mean $\pm$
Results

Gender-Specific Receiver Operating Characteristic (ROC) Curve Analysis

Table 1 shows the characteristics of the study population. The participants had a mean age 47.9 years for men and 46.8 years for women, a mean BMI of 24.2 kg/m² for men and 23.1 kg/m² for women, a mean WC of 84.4 cm for men and 79.2 cm for women, and a mean eVFA of 99.6 cm² for men and 62.4 cm² for women.

We analyzed the proportion of subjects with each number of obesity-related cardiovascular risk factors (Table 1). In males, 42.8% \((n=993/2,322)\) had one risk factor, and 26.5% \((n=616/2,322)\) had two or more (Fig. 1A). In females, 29.2% \((n=160/548)\) had one risk factor, and 10.6% \((n=58/548)\) had two or more (Fig. 1B). Furthermore, 60.2% of females \((n=330/548)\) were free from any obesity-related cardiovascular risk (Fig. 1B), compared with only 30.7% \((n=713/2,322)\) of males (Fig. 1A).

Fig. 2 shows the gender-specific ROC curves of BMI, WC and eVFA for detecting the clustering of obesity-related cardiovascular risk factors. The area under the curve (AUC) of BMI was 0.661 (95%CI, 0.635-0.686) for males (Fig. 2A, left) and 0.705 (95%CI, 0.629-0.780) for females (Fig. 2A, right). The AUC of WC was 0.702 (95%CI, 0.678-0.72) for males (Fig. 2B, left) and 0.687 (0.613-0.760) for females (Fig. 2B, right), and the AUC of eVFA was 0.711 (0.688-0.734) for males (Fig. 2C, left) and 0.710 (0.637-0.783) for females (Fig. 2C, right). Each AUC value was statistically significant for both males and females. There was no significant difference in the AUC values for BMI, WC and eVFA between males and females.

The cutoff levels yielding maximal sensitivity plus specificity for predicting the prevalence of two or more obesity-related abnormalities were, 24.5 kg/m² for BMI (Fig. 2A, left), 84.6 cm for WC (Fig. 2B, left), and 111 cm² for eVFA (Fig. 2C, left), in males, and 23.6 kg/m² (Fig. 2A, right), 81.5 cm (Fig. 2B, right), and 67 cm² (Fig. 2C, right). The cutoff level established from the ROC curve might be influenced by the characteristics of the study participants.

Gender-Specific Number of Obesity-Related Cardiovascular Risk Factors According to BMI, WC, and eVFA Levels, and Gender-Specific Body Fat Distribution

We evaluated the association between BMI, WC, or eVFA and the number of obesity-related cardiovascular risk factors. The male and female groups were divided into eight bins of BMI (every 1.5 kg/m², Fig. 3A, 3B), WC (every 5 cm, Fig. 3C, 3D), and eVFA (every 20 cm², Fig. 3E, 3F).
First, the mean number of obesity-related cardiovascular risk factors increased significantly with a higher BMI, in both males (Fig. 3A, upper panel) and females (Fig. 3B top) \( p<0.0001 \) for trend, the Kruskal-Wallis test. Fig. 3A and 3B show that, the average number of risk factors was more than 1.0 (black bar)
at over 25 kg/m² for males and over 28 kg/m² for females. The proportion of subjects with a BMI ≥ 25 kg/m² was 35.8% (n=832/2,322) for males (Fig. 3A, bottom, solid bars) and 21.9% (n=120/548) for females. That with a BMI ≥ 28 kg/m² was only 6.9% (n=38/548) for females (Fig. 3B, bottom, solid bars). When the BMI was 24.5 kg/m² for men, which is the cutoff level yielding maximal sensitivity plus specificity for predicting the prevalence of two or more obesity-related abnormalities using the ROC curve, the mean number of metabolic complications was approximately 1.0. In women, although the mean number of abnormalities increased as the BMI increased, it was around 0.6 with a BMI of 23.6 kg/m², which is the cutoff obtained from the ROC curve.

Second, the mean number of obesity-related cardiovascular risk factors increased significantly with a higher WC, in both males (Fig. 3C, top) and females (Fig. 3D, top) (p<0.0001, the Kruskal-Wallis test). Fig. 3C and 3D show that, the average number of risk factors was more than 1.0 at a WC of over 85 cm for males and over 95 cm for females. In males, 44.5% (n=1,033/2,322) had a large WC (≥85 cm, solid bars) (Fig. 3C, bottom), while only 4.9% (n=27/548) of females had a large WC (≥95 cm, solid bars) (Fig. 3D, bottom). When WC was 84.6 cm for men, which is the cutoff level obtained from the ROC curve, the mean number of metabolic abnormalities was approximately 1.0. In women, although the mean number of abnormalities increased as WC increased, it was around 0.6 with a WC of 81.5 cm, which is the cutoff obtained from the ROC curve.

Finally, the mean number of obesity-related cardiovascular risk factors increased significantly with a higher eVFA, in both males (Fig. 3E, top) and females (Fig. 3F, top) (p<0.0001, the Kruskal-Wallis test). The horizontal dotted lines in Fig. 3E and 3F represent eVFA of the 100- cm² category and the average number of risk factors of 1.0 for both male and female subjects. In males, 45.2% (n=1,049/2,322) had accumulated visceral fat (eVFA ≥100 cm², solid bars) (Fig. 3C, bottom), while in females, this figure was 9.6% (n=57/548) (Fig. 3F, bottom). When the eVFA was 111 cm² for men, the cutoff level from the ROC curve, the mean number of metabolic abnormalities was approximately 1.0. In women, although the mean...
number of abnormalities increased as the eVFA increased, it was around 0.6 with an eVFA of 67 kg/m², the cutoff level obtained from the ROC curve.

**Gender-Specific Correlation between eVFA and WC**

WC and eVFA were plotted using a simple regression equation and quadratic curve. Based on the simple regression equation, WC correlated with eVFA in both men ($p<0.0001$, $r=0.971$) and women ($p<0.0001$, $r=0.947$); a WC value of 84.5 cm corresponded to an eVFA of 100 cm² in males, while a WC of 89.4 cm corresponded to an eVFA of 100 cm² in females (Fig. 4A). In addition, calculations using a quadratic curve showed that WC correlated with eVFA in both males ($p<0.0001$, $r=0.971$) and females ($p<0.0001$, $r=0.963$): a WC of 85.1 cm corresponded to an eVFA of 100 cm² in men whereas a WC of 89.9 cm corresponded to an eVFA of 100 cm² in women (Fig. 4B).

**Discussion**

The present analysis of a large number of middle-aged Japanese demonstrated that the average number of obesity-related cardiovascular risk factors was more than 1.0 in those with a BMI over 25 kg/m² for males and over 28 kg/m² for females, in those with a WC over 85 cm for males and over 95 cm for females, and in those with an eVFA over 100 cm² for both sexes. Several clinical studies have demonstrated that the accumulation of visceral fat is more closely associated with obesity-related metabolic disorders than is the BMI in Japanese. Therefore, waist circumference and eVFA may be more useful for detecting obesity-related cardiovascular risk factors than the BMI, although the current study showed the cutoff value for the BMI, over 25 kg/m² for males and over 28 kg/m² for females. The current study demonstrated a relationship between eVFA measured non-invasively and multiple cardiovascular risk factors.

Over-eating and physical inactivity cause visceral fat to accumulate, leading to the development of obesity-related risk factors and an increased risk of cardiovascular diseases. This condition is called “metabolic syndrome” and prevalent in the general Japanese population. Metabolic syndrome is a useful clinical entity to predict cardiovascular risk, beyond the classic risk factors such as age, hypercholesterolemia and smoking. Therefore, a decrease in multiple risk factors by reducing accumulated visceral fat would be important in preventing cardiovascular diseases. Several studies reported that WC and VFA correlated with the number of cardiovascular risk factors in Japanese subjects. Some reports proposed using cutoff values for WC and VFA obtained from ROC curves. Here, we performed a gender-specific ROC analysis to assess WC and eVFA as an indicator of at least two of the three obesity-related cardiovascular risk factors. Similar to previous reports, low cutoff points for WC and eVFA for women yielding maximal sensitivity plus specificity might lead to the detection of more subjects with metabolic abnormalities. However, as we showed here, among women with a significantly low prevalence of obesity-related abnormalities, low cutoff points resulted in a high rate of false positives, with women not at risk being identified as abnormal. Hence, the relative cutoff points for WC and eVFA for women from the ROC curve may not be effective to screen multiple obesity-related cardiovascular risk factors in middle-aged Japanese women in regular health check-ups. Therefore, a proposed relative cut-off value of VFA estimated from the ROC curve in middle-aged Japanese females should be read with caution. These results suggested that the cutoff value for visceral fat reduction should be based on an absolute value, rather than a relative value calculated from the ROC curve, in middle-aged Japanese. More practically, the average number of risk factors was over 1.0 at an eVFA of 100 cm² for both males (Fig. 3E, top) and females (Fig. 3F, top), indicating that an eVFA of 100 cm² to be suitable to screen multiple obesity-related cardiovascular risk factors in regular health check-ups.

One limitation of this study was that the mean age of the female subjects was 46.8 years (Table 1), relatively young compared to the age of retirement (age ≤60). Therefore, the ratio of post-menopausal women was small. We and others have shown that visceral fat starts to accumulate after menopause in women. Hayashi et al. recommended an age-specific assessment of VFA when evaluating obesity-related cardiovascular risk factors in females. Other studies have also confirmed increases in cardiovascular events and the frequency of metabolic risk factors after menopause. Taken together, it is possible that the female subjects in the present study were too young to assess the effect of visceral fat on the number of metabolic risk factors. In other words, adiposity and visceral fat may not matter as much in pre-menopausal women in terms of multiple obesity-related cardiovascular risk factors. Further study is needed to examine this issue in a large number of post-menopausal females with a wide range of body mass values.

Visceral adiposity is usually measured by computed tomography. However, the procedure is expensive, takes time, and involves radiation. The measure-
ment of visceral adiposity by BIA (eVFA) is much more feasible and relatively reliable. It may be meaningful that WC equivalent to a visceral fat area of 100 cm$^2$ as estimated by BIA was $\pm 85$ cm for males and $\pm 90$ cm for females in middle-aged Japanese (Fig. 4).

**Conclusion**

In conclusion, setting an absolute cutoff value for BIA-measured visceral fat area when screening for multiple obesity-related cardiovascular risk factors rather than a relative cutoff value using the ROC curve in a general health check-up, may be effective in preventing cardiovascular diseases.

**Limitations of the Study**

Our study measured VFA by BIA. Measurements of both visceral and subcutaneous fat areas by computed tomography are more accurate. Subjects who were on medication for diabetes, hyperlipidemia, or hypertension were included (male; $n=513$, female; $n=71$). The results were similar to the eVFA value when subjects using medication were excluded (data not shown). The proportion of subjects who received blood tests postprandially anytime between the afternoon and early evening for the annual health check-up was 82.7% in males ($n=1921/2322$) and 84.9% in females ($n=465/548$). Therefore, we evaluated each parameter using postprandial criteria reported previously.$^{16,17}$
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