Effects of Anaerobic Training on Paraoxonase-1 Enzyme (PON1) Activities of High Density Lipoprotein Subgroups and Its Relationship with PON1-Q192R Phenotype
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Aim: Paraoxonase-1 (PON1) is an antiatherosclerotic enzyme located on high-density lipoprotein (HDL). The effects of anaerobic exercise on PON1 activity are unknown. Here we investigated the effects of anaerobic judo training on three different activities of same PON1 enzyme (TDPON1), including basal PON1, salt-stimulated PON1 (SPON1), and arylesterase (AE) activities, of serum, HDL, and HDL subgroups (HDLs; HDL and its subgroups) and its relationship with PON1-Q192R phenotype (PON1P).

Methods: Our study included 18 Turkish national female judoists (mean age: 17.9 ± 0.8 years). Before and after 5 months of anaerobic training, critical speed (CS), TDPON1 activities, cholesterol levels in the serum and supernatants of HDLs obtained by polyethylene glycol, and other major blood lipids and lipoproteins (BLLPs) including triglycerides were determined using blood samples taken after overnight fasting. PON1P groups (PGs) were categorized as QQ (QG; persons with low activity) and R carriers (QR + RR) (RG; persons with high activity) according to SPON1/AE activity ratios. The results were considered statistically significant at P≤0.05.

Results: Anaerobic training resulted in significantly increased the cholesterol levels of HDLs (except HDL₂-C) in all subjects, but not HDLs-C in PGs. Anaerobic training resulted in significant increases in most TDPON1 activities of serum and HDLs in all subjects and (except AE) in PGs, whereas SPON1 and HDL₂ AE activities increased only in the RG, which was related to PON1P. However, PON1P was not related to other measured markers, including basal BLLP profiles.

Conclusions: Anaerobic training improved most TDPON1 activities of serum and HDLs and HDL₂-C levels (except HDL₂-C) in all subjects, but not HDLs-C in PGs. The beneficial effects of anaerobic training on SPON1 and HDL₂ AE activities were depend on PON1P. The lack of response of HDL₂-C to anaerobic exercise will require further research.
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Introduction

Coronary artery disease (CAD) is a major cause of death worldwide. Therefore, in addition to the classical risk factors such as a passive life style, hypertension, diabetes mellitus (DM), and hyperlipidemia¹, studies are being conducted on relatively newly identified risk factors, such as blood paraoxonase-1 (PON1; EC.3.1.8.1, aryldialkylphosphatase) enzyme related to high-density lipoprotein (HDL)²–³. The major role of HDL is reverse cholesterol transport from peripheral tissues to the liver. HDL also has antioxidant and anti-inflammatory properties, which may protect against CAD¹. ⁴. It has been proposed that the antioxidant
PON1 is an esterase that is widely distributed among various tissues and in serum. PON1 hydrolyzes phenylacetate as well as paraoxon, a poisonous organophosphate compound. The form that uses paraoxon as a substrate is called PON1 and the form that uses phenylacetate as a substrate is called arylesterase (AE). There is a close physiological association between PON1 and HDL in plasma. HDL facilitates the secretion of PON1 by the liver and stabilizes this enzyme. PON1 is present in HDL subfractions and along with other HDL-associated enzymes, it has a potential role in preventing HDL and low-density lipoprotein (LDL) oxidation. It was reported that activity of blood PON1 and AE activities of HDL subgroups (HDL2 and HDL3) and PON1 activity and its concentration were lower in patients with CAD than the control subjects and low PON1 activity was related to CAD.

PON1 expression is partly under the control of its genetic variants. When the glutamine at position 192 of a PON1 construct is replaced with arginine, the result is a PON1-Q192R polymorphism. The form with glutamine at position 192 is called a Q genotype and the form with arginine is called an R genotype. It has been reported that the Q192R polymorphism results in individual variations in the ability to hydrolyze poisonous organophosphate compounds. It has also been reported that people with the Q allele as compared with those with the R allele have a more antiatherogenic lipid and lipoprotein profile and have a reduced risk of atherosclerosis suggesting a relationship between PON1-Q192R polymorphism and CAD development. Moreover, PON1-Q192R polymorphism has a modifying effect on the effects of exercise on blood lipids and lipoproteins (BLLPs) as well as PON1 enzyme activity. In a study of postmenopausal women, HDL2 and HDL3 AE activities were better for predicting CAD than the cholesterol levels of HDL and HDLs. Thus, HDL and HDLs AE activity levels could be used as a risk factor for CAD development. It has been shown that small HDL3 particles have higher PON1 antioxidant activity than large HDL2 particles. This protective effect is mediated by HDL and its associated proteins as PON1. However, it is also known that there is an inverse relationship between HDL-C and the risk of CAD development.

One study found that low HDL2 levels are more closely associated with CAD than HDL3 levels. Another study reported that both HDL2-C and HDL3-C levels are related to CAD. Thus, a study regarding the effects of anaerobic exercise on PON1 and AE activities, and cholesterol levels of HDLs, and its relationship with PON1-Q192R phenotype (PON1P) may provide important insights for predicting and treating CAD with exercise training. It is known that there are beneficial effects of aerobic exercise on classical CAD risk factors, such as blood lipids and lipoproteins, but the effects of anaerobic exercise on recently identified risk factors, such as PON1, are unknown. In addition, the influence of the methods used for measuring PON1 enzyme activities in these types of studies has not been adequately emphasized. For example, paraoxon is a substrate that can distinguish between Q and R phenotypes groups. The R phenotype responds to salt and has a higher enzyme activity against paraoxon. The low activity form Q is inhibited when PON activity is induced with 1 M NaCl, whereas the high activity form R is not. The phenylacetate substrate cannot distinguish between the Q and R groups, because it is hydrolyzed at similar rates with both isoenzymes. Therefore, there may be different effects of exercise training on these enzyme activities. However, no study has investigated the effects of anaerobic exercise on the three different activities of same PON1 enzyme (TDPON1), including basal PON1, salt-stimulated PON1 (SPON1), and AE activities, of serum, and HDL and its subgroups (HDLs; HDL, HDL2 and HDL3) and on cholesterol levels of HDLs and the possible involvement of PON1P. Thus, in this study, we investigated the effects of anaerobic judo training on the mentioned parameters and its relationship with PON1P in young trained female judoists.

**Materials and Methods**

**Subjects**

Eighteen Turkish national female judoists (mean age: 17.9 ± 0.8 years) participated in this study at the beginning and at the end of 19 weeks prior to their competition season. Their physical characteristics and biochemistry profiles were determined. Critical speeds (CSs) as an indicator of their endurance levels were determined from their maximal 400-m (y1) and 600-m (y2) running speeds using a modification of the method described by de Lucas et al. From among 25 female judoists who volunteered to fill out a questionnaire, 18 who met our inclusion criteria were selected for medical examination. These criteria were as follows: (a) ≤ 32 years of age and a normal menstrual cycle; (b) no illness that may predispose to CAD; (c) no smok-
ing or alcohol use; (d) not using drugs that could affect lipid, lipoprotein, and antioxidant metabolism; and (e) regular exercise for the last 2 months. These 18 women were assessed for their medical history and underwent physical examination and biochemical testing; they did not meet our exclusion criteria of having a body mass index (BMI) of ≥ 32, being anemic, or having an active infection. They were instructed not to exercise, not to change their diet, and not to take any medications or supplements for at least 72 h prior to blood sampling. The aims, possible benefits, tests to be used, and possible risks were explained to the participants and to their parents verbally and in writing, and written consent was obtained from the participants or their parents if they were aged <18 years. The ethics committee of the Dokuz Eylül University Medical Faculty approved our study, which was conducted according to ethics committee regulations.

**Judo Training**

All subjects were accommodated in the same training camps prior to national and international competitions for about 5 months prior to blood collection. During training camps, they regularly exercised to maintain their physical performance and body mass, as supervised by their coaches. The training intensity was increased in accordance with volume decrements. The last 2 months involved comparatively low-intensity training (approximately 20%) relative to baseline. The weekly training program included 9 training sessions of a total of 12 h (6 days/week, 2 h/day). The details of frequency, volume, and intensity are given below as mean and approximate values. Judo training during these camps covered primarily a repetitive series of short and intense exercises, including various components in a judo session, judo interval training, and technique; situation-specific drills; and Randori, NeWaza, and Tachi Waza (2 sessions of 30 min each/week). Some additional cardiovascular training, including resistance training (approximately 2 sessions of 80 min each/week), explosive power training (approximately 2 sessions of 70 min each/week), stretching (2 sessions of 80 min each/week), lactate threshold training using running velocities corresponding to 2 mmol/L and 4 mmol/L thresholds (2 sessions of 70 min/week), and fundamental resistance training (power endurance; approximately 2 sessions of 180 sec per workout), were included in these sessions. The participating judoists were undergraduate students at a school of physical education and sports. The participants’ daily activities during out of camp schedules were similarly standardized as required by the training camp environment. The participants’ daily physical activities in school outside the camps were also similar.

**Dietary Details**

Judo is an intermittent sport that includes brief bouts of high intensity, which require energy provided by both aerobic and anaerobic pathways. During very intense training, the participants drank approximately 200-250 ml of water every 15-20 min during an hour-long training session. If the training time exceeded 1 h, they drank water containing 8% glucose. The subjects’ food intake and fluid consumption during camps were assessed by a staff expert dietician according to the following energy requirements. Nutritional assessment of the daily dietary intake of the judoists was a total of 3000-3500 kcal. It was assessed as daily energy expenditure (kcal/day) with no training: 1800 kcal; daily sports activity: 1700 kcal; daily energy expenditure (kcal/day): 3350 kcal; fat 33.0%; carbohydrate 52%; and protein 15.0%, with protein intake varying from 1.5 to 1.8 g per kg of body mass. The participants were asked to maintain their usual dietary habits during the recording period and to be as accurate as possible in recording the amounts and types of food and fluid consumed. Average daily macro- and micronutrient intakes were calculated from a 7-day food record using a dietary survey similar to that reported previously. In addition, the participants in this study also performed lifestyle activities such as jogging and swimming.

**Blood Sampling and Analysis**

Venous blood samples were obtained after an overnight fasting period (not during the participants menstrual periods) in 8-mL serum vacuum tubes at 9:00 am. The blood samples were allowed to clot at 25°C for 30 min and then centrifuged at 2000 g for 10 min. Then, the serum samples were separated and maintained at −70°C until the biochemical assays were performed as a single batch. Biochemical variables were determined within 1 month after obtaining the serum samples.

**Assays for HDL-C, HDL$_2$-C, and HDL$_3$-C (HDLs-C)**

Supernatants with HDL and HDL$_3$ were obtained using polyethylene glycol (MW: 20,000; Merck) according to previously described methods and cholesterol, PON1, SPON1, and AE contents of serum and HDLs (HDL and its subgroups) were analyzed by following methods. HDL$_2$ contents were estimated by calculating the difference between HDL and HDL$_3$. Serum total cholesterol (TC), triglycerides (TGs), HDL, and its subgroups’ cholesterol levels...
Measurement of PON1 and SPON1 Activities

PON1 and SPON1 activities of serum, HDL, and HDL₃ subgroup supernatants were determined with an autoanalyzer (Moduler DP, Roche Diagnostics, Japan) using paraoxon (Sigma Chemical Co, St. Louis, USA) as the substrate.

PON1 Activity

PON1 and SPON1 activity was measured after the paraoxon hydrolysis reaction in terms of p-nitrophenol and diethylphosphate production catalyzed by the enzyme. PON1 activity was determined from the initial velocity of p-nitrophenol production (after subtracting spontaneous paraoxon hydrolysis) at 412 nm and 37°C. The assay mixture included 1.0 mM paraoxon, 1.0 mM CaCl₂, and serum in 50 mM Tris-HCl buffer (pH 7.4). One unit of PON1 activity was defined as 1.0 μmol of p-nitrophenol produced per minute. The precision of PON1 analysis after 10 runs with a serum pool was 115.1 ± 1.2 U/L [constant of variation (CV) = 1.07%], with blanks of 0.9 ± 1.5 U/L. Linearity analysis using 1/3, 1/2, and 2/3 dilutions of a 71.3-U/L sample gave good linear regression results (R² = 0.999) that was linear up to 675 U/L.

SPON1 Activity

Serum SPON1 activity was determined according to a previously described method. With this method, 1.0 M NaCl was added to the mixture included 1.0 mM paraoxon, 1.0 mM CaCl₂ in a 50 mM glycine buffer (pH 10.5). One unit of SPON1 activity was defined as 1.0 μmol of p-nitrophenol produced per minute. This was performed using the same conditions and methods as used for PON1 activity. The precision of basal SPON1 analysis after 10 runs with a serum pool was 331.7 ± 3.5 U/L (CV = 1.06%), with blanks of 0.7 ± 0.7 U/L. Linearity analysis using 1/3, 1/2, and 3/4 dilutions of a 339-U/L sample gave a linear regression equation of y = 338.9x - 8.3 (R² = 0.999) that was linear up to 675 U/L.

AE Activity

AE activities of serum, HDL, and HDL₃ supernatants were determined spectrophotometrically (Shimadzu UV160A, Japan) using phenylacetate as the substrate (Merck-Schuchardt, Germany) by recording phenol absorbance at 270 nm at 37°C. AE activity was determined according to previously described methods. 3.0 ml assay mixture contained 1.0 mM phenylacetate, 0.9 mM CaCl₂, and 5 μl of serum in 9.0 mM Tris-HCl buffer (pH 8.0). One unit of AE hydrolyses 1.0 mmol of the substrate per minute, with the results presented as kilo units (KU/L) of serum. Serum dilutions of 1:3-1:4 were used. The AE activity of HDL₂ was estimated by calculating the difference between the AE activities of HDL and HDL₃. The precision of basal AE analysis after 10 runs with a serum pool was 50.1 ± 2.7 KU/L (CV = 5.4%). Linearity analysis using 1/5, 1/3, and 1/2 dilutions of a 71.3-KU/L sample gave good linear regression results (R² = 0.998). The interassay CV for AE was < 7.0%.

Statistical Analysis

Data were analyzed using SPSS 20.0 for Windows (Release 22, Chicago, IL, USA). The data for overall subjects (OS) and the phenotype groups (PGs) were normally distributed. To statistically compare changes in PON1, SPON1, and AE enzyme activities and BLLPs after exercise training with regard to the PON1 activity levels. Correlations between variables were determined using Pearson correlation analysis. P value of ≤ 0.05 was considered significant. The G*Power 3.0 statistical power analysis program was used to test for possible interactions with regard to PON1 activity levels. Correlations between variables were determined using Pearson correlation analysis. P value of ≤ 0.05 was considered significant. The G*Power 3.0 statistical power analysis program was used to test for the size effects for any differences that were found.

Results

PON1-Q192R Phenotyping

PON1-Q192R phenotype (PON1P) distributions in OS were determined using both paraoxon and phenylacetate as substrates, according to the method of Eckerson et al. To determine the phenotype of a given participant as QQ (homozygous low activity), QR (heterozygous moderate activity), or RR (homozygous high activity), the ratio of SPON1 to AE activities was used to assign phenotypes to individuals. After a frequency analysis, those with a SPON1 to AE ratio of ≤ 2.90 were considered as the QQ phenotype group (QQ; n = 9), those with ratios between 2.90 and 5.07 were considered as the QR group (n = 6), and...
those with a ratio of ≥ 5.08 (n=3) were considered as the RR phenotype group. Thus, 9 (50.0%) participants were classified as QQ, 6 (33.3%) as QR, and 3 (16.6%) as RR. Because the number of participants was low, the RR and QR groups together were defined as the R carrier group (RG; n=9). The QG frequency in this sampling group paralleled that for Caucasian cohorts\(^2\). The frequency distribution of our participants showed a trimodal distribution. The frequency distribution for the QQ and RR phenotype groups confirmed to a Hardy-Weinberg distribution\(^7\).

**Subjects’ Physical and Physiological Characteristics**

The physical and physiological characteristics of the judoists in this study before (pre-training; Pre-T) and after (post-training; Post-T) training are shown in Table 1. CS was calculated on the basis of maximal 400-m and 600-m running times. Regarding PON1P, there were no significant differences Pre-T and Post-T with regard to the maximal results for both 400-m (81.6±11.9 versus 80.9±11.7 s) and 600-m (137.69±17.30 versus 138.23±17.25 s) running times. In addition, there were no significant differences Pre-T and Post-T for the results of the other variables shown in Table 1 among the different PGs. Thus, there were no significant differences among the PGs for these subjects’ physical and physiological characteristics Pre-T and Post-T.

**BLLP Profiles**

BLLP profiles Pre-T and Post-T are shown in Table 2, both with and without considering PON1P.

**Effects of Exercise Training**

When PON1P were not considered, only serum HDL-C and HDL\(_{3}\)-C levels were significantly increased compared with their basal levels Post-T (Table 2). TC, LDL-C, HDL\(_{2}\)-C, and TG levels did not change significantly. However, TG levels did decrease Post-T (11.2%), although this was not significant.

When PON1P were considered, although HDL-C and HDL\(_{3}\)-C levels did not change significantly in the PGs Post-T, the effect sizes of the differences in these variables were found in the RG, d\(_1\)=1.23 and d\(_2\)=0.66, which were determined using the G\(^8\)Power program\(^2\). This trend for an increase was similar to that in OS. There were no significant differences between the PGs for basal BLLP levels, except TGs (QG > RG) (Table 2).

**PON1, SPON1 and AE Activities**

The results for PON1, SPON1 and AE activities of serum, and HDLs Pre-T and Post-T are shown in Tables 3 and 4, respectively, both with and without considering PON1P.

**Effects of Exercise Training**

When PON1P were not considered, only serum HDL-C and HDL\(_{3}\)-C levels were significantly increased compared with their basal levels Post-T (Table 2). TC, LDL-C, HDL\(_{2}\)-C, and TG levels did not change significantly. However, TG levels did decrease Post-T (11.2%), although this was not significant.

When PON1P were considered, although HDL-C and HDL\(_{3}\)-C levels did not change significantly in the PGs Post-T, the effect sizes of the differences in these variables were found in the RG, d\(_1\)=1.23 and d\(_2\)=0.66, which were determined using the G\(^8\)Power program\(^2\). This trend for an increase was similar to that in OS. There were no significant differences between the PGs for basal BLLP levels, except TGs (QG > RG) (Table 2).

**PON1, SPON1 and AE Activities**

The results for PON1, SPON1 and AE activities of serum, and HDLs Pre-T and Post-T are shown in Tables 3 and 4, respectively, both with and without considering PON1P.

**Effects of Exercise Training**

When PON1P were not considered, only serum HDL-C and HDL\(_{3}\)-C levels were significantly increased compared with their basal levels Post-T (Tables 3 and 4).

When PON1P were considered, as expected, PON1 and SPON1 activities of serum, and HDLs were significantly higher for R carriers (RG) than for

---

**Table 1. Physical and physiological characteristics of overall subjects and phenotype groups Pre-T and Post-T**

| Overall (n=18) | Pre-T | Post-T | Difference | P  
|----------------|-------|--------|------------|-----
| Age (years)    | 17.9±0.8 | 17.9±0.8 | P>0.05 | P>0.05 | P>0.05 | P>0.05 | P>0.05 | P>0.05 |
| Height (cm)    | 162.8±7.0 | 162.9±7.1 | P>0.05 | P>0.05 | P>0.05 | P>0.05 | P>0.05 | P>0.05 |
| BM (kg)        | 65.6±15.1 | 64.9±13.0 | P>0.05 | P>0.05 | P>0.05 | P>0.05 | P>0.05 | P>0.05 |
| BMI (kg/m\(^2\)) | 24.6±4.8 | 24.5±4.0 | P>0.05 | P>0.05 | P>0.05 | P>0.05 | P>0.05 | P>0.05 |
| CS (km/h)      | 13.1±1.6 | 12.7±1.4 | P>0.05 | P>0.05 | P>0.05 | P>0.05 | P>0.05 | P>0.05 |
| SE (years)     | 7.1±2.4 | 7.1±2.4 | P>0.05 | P>0.05 | P>0.05 | P>0.05 | P>0.05 | P>0.05 |

| QG (n=9) | Pre-T | Post-T | Difference | P  
|----------------|-------|--------|------------|-----
| Age (years)    | 18.0±0.9 | 18.0±0.9 | P>0.05 | P>0.05 | P>0.05 | P>0.05 | P>0.05 | P>0.05 |
| Height (cm)    | 164.6±7.2 | 164.6±7.2 | P>0.05 | P>0.05 | P>0.05 | P>0.05 | P>0.05 | P>0.05 |
| BM (kg)        | 65.3±11.9 | 65.8±11.7 | P>0.05 | P>0.05 | P>0.05 | P>0.05 | P>0.05 | P>0.05 |
| BMI (kg/m\(^2\)) | 24.0±3.4 | 24.3±0.8 | P>0.05 | P>0.05 | P>0.05 | P>0.05 | P>0.05 | P>0.05 |
| CS (km/h)      | 13.8±1.6 | 13.1±0.7 | P>0.05 | P>0.05 | P>0.05 | P>0.05 | P>0.05 | P>0.05 |
| SE (years)     | 6.8±2.9 | 6.8±2.9 | P>0.05 | P>0.05 | P>0.05 | P>0.05 | P>0.05 | P>0.05 |

| RG (n=9) | Pre-T | Post-T | Difference | P  
|----------------|-------|--------|------------|-----
| Age (years)    | 17.9±0.8 | 17.9±0.8 | P>0.05 | P>0.05 | P>0.05 | P>0.05 | P>0.05 | P>0.05 |
| Height (cm)    | 161.0±6.7 | 161.0±6.7 | P>0.05 | P>0.05 | P>0.05 | P>0.05 | P>0.05 | P>0.05 |
| BM (kg)        | 65.8±18.5 | 63.9±14.8 | P>0.05 | P>0.05 | P>0.05 | P>0.05 | P>0.05 | P>0.05 |
| BMI (kg/m\(^2\)) | 25.2±3.5 | 24.7±4.7 | P>0.05 | P>0.05 | P>0.05 | P>0.05 | P>0.05 | P>0.05 |
| CS (km/h)      | 12.33±1.5 | 12.30±1.8 | P>0.05 | P>0.05 | P>0.05 | P>0.05 | P>0.05 | P>0.05 |
| SE (years)     | 7.3±1.9 | 7.3±1.9 | P>0.05 | P>0.05 | P>0.05 | P>0.05 | P>0.05 | P>0.05 |

Values are presented as means±SDs. Pre-T: Pre-training, Post-T: Post-training, QG: QQ homozygous phenotype group, RG: R carriers phenotype group, CS: Critical speed, BM: body mass, BMI: Body mass index; SE: Sport experience. P-values were derived from comparisons between the results obtained before and after training.
Table 2. Serum lipid and lipoprotein profiles for overall subjects and phenotype groups Pre-T and Post-T

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>TC (mM)</th>
<th>TG (mM)</th>
<th>LDL-C (mM)</th>
<th>HDL-C (mM)</th>
<th>HDL2-C (mM)</th>
<th>HDL3-C (mM)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Overall (n = 18)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre-T</td>
<td>4.58 ± 1.01</td>
<td>0.89 ± 0.27</td>
<td>3.00 ± 0.86</td>
<td>1.16 ± 0.24</td>
<td>0.30 ± 0.12</td>
<td>0.85 ± 0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post-T</td>
<td>4.47 ± 0.82</td>
<td>0.79 ± 0.26</td>
<td>2.76 ± 0.76</td>
<td>1.35 ± 0.24</td>
<td>0.37 ± 0.15</td>
<td>0.98 ± 0.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Difference</td>
<td>P &gt; 0.05</td>
<td>P &gt; 0.05</td>
<td>P &gt; 0.05</td>
<td>P &lt; 0.05</td>
<td>P &lt; 0.05</td>
<td>P &lt; 0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QG (n = 9)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre-T</td>
<td>4.88 ± 0.83</td>
<td>1.03 ± 0.25</td>
<td>3.17 ± 0.74</td>
<td>1.24 ± 0.23</td>
<td>0.33 ± 0.11</td>
<td>0.98 ± 0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post-T</td>
<td>4.77 ± 0.84</td>
<td>0.75 ± 0.23</td>
<td>3.03 ± 0.83</td>
<td>1.37 ± 0.28</td>
<td>0.34 ± 0.19</td>
<td>1.02 ± 0.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Difference</td>
<td>P &gt; 0.05</td>
<td>P &gt; 0.05</td>
<td>P &gt; 0.05</td>
<td>P &gt; 0.05</td>
<td>P &gt; 0.05</td>
<td>P &gt; 0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RG (n = 9)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre-T</td>
<td>4.24 ± 1.12</td>
<td>0.77 ± 0.23</td>
<td>2.82 ± 0.98</td>
<td>1.07 ± 0.22</td>
<td>0.28 ± 0.13</td>
<td>0.79 ± 0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post-T</td>
<td>4.17 ± 0.71</td>
<td>0.75 ± 0.29</td>
<td>2.48 ± 0.59</td>
<td>1.34 ± 0.22</td>
<td>0.39 ± 0.11</td>
<td>0.94 ± 0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Difference</td>
<td>P &gt; 0.05</td>
<td>P &gt; 0.05</td>
<td>P &gt; 0.05</td>
<td>P &gt; 0.05</td>
<td>P &gt; 0.05</td>
<td>P &gt; 0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre-T QG–RG</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Difference</td>
<td>P &gt; 0.05</td>
<td>P &lt; 0.05</td>
<td>P &gt; 0.05</td>
<td>P &gt; 0.05</td>
<td>P &gt; 0.05</td>
<td>P &gt; 0.05</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are presented as means ± SDs. Pre-T: Pre-training, Post-T: Post-training, QG: QQ homozygous phenotype group, RG: R carriers phenotype group. TC: Total cholesterol, HDL-C: High-density lipoprotein cholesterol, HDL2-C: High-density lipoprotein 2 cholesterol, HDL3-C: High-density lipoprotein 3 cholesterol, LDL-C: low-density lipoprotein cholesterol, TG: Triglycerides. P-values were derived from comparisons between the results obtained before and after training.

QG Pre-T and Post-T. There were no differences among the PGs for basal AE activities of serum and HDLs (Tables 3 and 4). These results showed that PON1P was related to on PON1 activities, but it had no effect on blood AE activities, which confirmed the phenotyping method used in this study.7

When PON1P were considered, Post-T in the QG, serum PON1, HDL PON1, and HDL2 PON1 activities were significantly increased, whereas serum and HDLs SPON1 activities (except HDL SPON1) did not change significantly. In the RG, HDL2 and HDL3 PON1 activities did not significantly change, whereas serum PON1, SPON1, HDL PON1, and HDLs SPON1 activities increased significantly (Table 3).

With regard to the PGs, AE activities of serum and HDLs did not change significantly, except for an increase in HDL2 AE in the RG (Table 4). Significant interactions were found among training effects and PON1P for serum SPON1 (P = 0.010) and HDL2 AE (P = 0.05) activities.

Correlations Among Variables

As expected, for all subjects, serum PON1 and SPON1 activities were significantly correlated (r = 0.992, p = 0.000). No significant correlations were found between serum PON1 and SPON1 activities with AE and between serum PON1, SPON1, and AE activities with CS, HDL-C, and TG basal values. However, significant correlations were found between CS and HDL PON1 (r = 0.516, P < 0.05), HDL2 PON1 (r = 0.563, P < 0.05), HDL SPON1 (r = 0.542, P < 0.05), and HDL3 SPON1 (r = 0.553, P < 0.05) results only Post-T in OS.

Discussion

In this study, for the first time, we assessed the effects of anaerobic training on three different activities of the PON1 enzyme of HDLs and its relationship with PON1P in humans. Our main findings were that anaerobic judo training resulted in significant increases in most TDPON1 activities of serum and HDLs (except AE activity) and cholesterol levels of HDLs (except HDL2-C). These effects were independent of PON1P. Changes in SPON1 and HDL2 AE activities by anaerobic training were depend on PON1P, but not for basal BLLP levels.

Training Effects on BLLP Profiles and Possible Mechanisms

Although anaerobic judo training significantly increased cholesterol levels of HDLs (except HDL2-C) in OS, BLLP levels did not change significantly Post-T in PGs. However, the effect sizes for the differences in HDL-C and HDL3-C levels in the RG were d1 = 1.23 and d2 = 0.66, respectively. The trend for an increase in these two variables was similar to the trend.
Table 3. PON1 and SPON1 activities of serum, HDL, and HDL subgroups for overall subjects and phenotype groups pre-T and post-T

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>PON1 (U/L)</th>
<th>HDL1 PON1 (U/L)</th>
<th>HDL2 PON1 (U/L)</th>
<th>HDL3 PON1 (U/L)</th>
<th>SPON1 (U/L)</th>
<th>HDL SPON1 (U/L)</th>
<th>HDL2 SPON1 (U/L)</th>
<th>HDL3 SPON1 (U/L)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Overall</td>
<td>61.7 ± 41.8</td>
<td>56.1 ± 28.0</td>
<td>23.6 ± 16.9</td>
<td>32.5 ± 15.5</td>
<td>315.0 ± 176.3</td>
<td>193.5 ± 96.2</td>
<td>78.1 ± 35.1</td>
<td>115.4 ± 65.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre-T</td>
<td>71.2 ± 44.8</td>
<td>67.3 ± 33.4</td>
<td>26.2 ± 21.8</td>
<td>41.1 ± 15.4</td>
<td>369.23 ± 200.3</td>
<td>303.3 ± 172.5</td>
<td>102.5 ± 55.4</td>
<td>200.9 ± 131.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Difference</td>
<td>P &lt; 0.01</td>
<td>P &lt; 0.01</td>
<td>P &lt; 0.05</td>
<td>P &lt; 0.01</td>
<td>P &lt; 0.01</td>
<td>P &lt; 0.05</td>
<td>P &lt; 0.05</td>
<td>P &lt; 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QG (n = 9)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre-T</td>
<td>30.9 ± 11.8</td>
<td>14.8 ± 6.4</td>
<td>7.1 ± 5.4</td>
<td>7.7 ± 2.1</td>
<td>188.9 ± 50.0</td>
<td>122.2 ± 33.6</td>
<td>57.4 ± 19.6</td>
<td>64.9 ± 23.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post-T</td>
<td>34.6 ± 12.9</td>
<td>32.1 ± 18.3</td>
<td>20.8 ± 15.7</td>
<td>11.3 ± 5.1</td>
<td>205.0 ± 56.9</td>
<td>185.3 ± 99.4</td>
<td>70.3 ± 32.5</td>
<td>115.0 ± 69.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Difference</td>
<td>P &lt; 0.05</td>
<td>P &lt; 0.05</td>
<td>P &gt; 0.05</td>
<td>P &lt; 0.05</td>
<td>P &gt; 0.05</td>
<td>P &lt; 0.05</td>
<td>P &lt; 0.05</td>
<td>P &gt; 0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RG (n = 9)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre-T</td>
<td>92.4 ± 38.11</td>
<td>49.8 ± 23.3</td>
<td>25.2 ± 13.6</td>
<td>24.6 ± 11.4</td>
<td>441.1 ± 166.6</td>
<td>264.7 ± 84.3</td>
<td>98.8 ± 35.7</td>
<td>165.9 ± 54.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post-T</td>
<td>7 ± 33.1</td>
<td>89.1 ± 59.9</td>
<td>41.7 ± 26.9</td>
<td>47.4 ± 36.1</td>
<td>533.4 ± 146.2</td>
<td>421.4 ± 148.3</td>
<td>134.6 ± 56.0</td>
<td>286.8 ± 122.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Difference</td>
<td>P &lt; 0.05</td>
<td>P &lt; 0.05</td>
<td>P &gt; 0.05</td>
<td>P &gt; 0.05</td>
<td>P &lt; 0.01</td>
<td>P &lt; 0.01</td>
<td>P &lt; 0.01</td>
<td>P &lt; 0.01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are presented as means ± SDs. Pre-T: Pre-training, Post-T: Post-training, QG: QQ homozygous phenotype group, RG: R carriers phenotype group, PON1: PON1 activity, HDL PON1: HDL PON1 activity, HDL2 PON1: HDL2 PON1 activity, HDL3 PON1: HDL3 PON1 activity, SPON1: Salt-stimulated PON1 activity, HDL SPON1: HDL salt-stimulated PON1 activity, HDL2 SPON1: HDL2 salt-stimulated PON1 activity, HDL3 SPON1: HDL3 salt-stimulated PON1 activity. P-values were derived from comparisons between the results obtained before and after training.

Table 4. AE activities of serum, HDL, and HDL subgroups for overall subjects and phenotype groups Pre-T and Post-T

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>AE (KU/L)</th>
<th>HDL AE (KU/L)</th>
<th>HDL2 AE (KU/L)</th>
<th>HDL3 AE (KU/L)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Overall</td>
<td>60.9 ± 25.7</td>
<td>57.5 ± 12.0</td>
<td>15.7 ± 7.0</td>
<td>41.8 ± 11.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre-T</td>
<td>71.4 ± 25.2</td>
<td>67.1 ± 15.3</td>
<td>21.0 ± 8.6</td>
<td>46.2 ± 14.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Difference</td>
<td>P &lt; 0.05</td>
<td>P &lt; 0.05</td>
<td>P &gt; 0.05</td>
<td>P &gt; 0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QG (n = 9)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre-T</td>
<td>69.9 ± 27.0</td>
<td>61.3 ± 13.9</td>
<td>17.6 ± 6.9</td>
<td>43.7 ± 15.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post-T</td>
<td>78.6 ± 32.2</td>
<td>70.3 ± 17.9</td>
<td>17.9 ± 6.0</td>
<td>52.5 ± 15.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Difference</td>
<td>P &gt; 0.05</td>
<td>P &gt; 0.05</td>
<td>P &gt; 0.05</td>
<td>P &gt; 0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RG (n = 9)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre-T</td>
<td>51.8 ± 22.3</td>
<td>53.7 ± 9.1</td>
<td>13.8 ± 7.0</td>
<td>39.9 ± 7.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post-T</td>
<td>64.2 ± 14.2</td>
<td>63.9 ± 12.3</td>
<td>24.0 ± 10.0</td>
<td>39.9 ± 10.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Difference</td>
<td>P &gt; 0.05</td>
<td>P &gt; 0.05</td>
<td>P &gt; 0.05</td>
<td>P &gt; 0.05</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are presented as means ± SDs. Pre-T: Pre-training, Post-T: Post-training, QG: QQ homozygous phenotype group, RG: R carriers phenotype group, AE: Arylesterase activity, HDL AE: HDL arylesterase activity, HDL2 AE: HDL2 arylesterase activity, HDL3 AE: HDL3 arylesterase activity, KU/L: Kilo units /Liter. P-values were derived from comparisons between the results obtained before and after training.
In OS. In addition, PON1P was not related to the effects of training on BLLP levels. Therefore, the main reason for these differences between the PGs and OS may have been the low number of participants in PGs. Thus, the increases in HDL-C and HDL3-C levels were deemed to be worth consideration in PGs, but independently from PON1P.

In general, physical activity is beneficial for preventing cardiovascular disease because of its effects on the classical risk factors such as BLLP levels. Physical activity results in reduced plasma TG levels and increased HDL levels, as well as HDL-associated enzymes, such as PON1. Bailey et al. found decreases in serum TC, HDL-C, and LDL-C levels in trained subjects who performed at 70%-85% of their maximum heart rates for 4 weeks, which is different from the findings of the present study. In contrast, 3 months of moderate aerobic exercise did not improve BLLP levels in females.

The differences in BLLP levels due to exercise primarily depend on exercise intensity, duration, and frequency, as well as lifestyle factors such as diet, sex, smoking, and alcohol consumption. Therefore, our study results could have been affected by these factors. It has been reported that the potential effects of exercise training on lipoprotein subclass distributions, enzymes, and apoproteins often occur together with changes in the diet and body composition and that these changes may be responsible for all or part of the lipoprotein changes attributed to aerobic exercise. Unlike other studies, in the present study, the significant increases in HDLs-C (except HDL2-C) occurred without any change in body composition, CS, and TC and LDL-C levels, despite judo training being primarily anaerobic in nature.

It has previously been shown that changes in TC, LDL-C, and HDLs-C levels due to training are inversely related to their basal levels. The participants in the present study had normal BLLP and body composition profiles. Therefore, the main reason for no change in body fatness in the judoists in the present study may also have been a ceiling effect; that is, it may not be possible in young women whose baseline levels are already low. Furthermore, because trained judoists should maintain their body fitness, they have to pay attention to their diet. Thus, the factors noted above may have been involved in the improvements in HDLs-C (except HDL2-C), without any change in body composition and TC and LDL-C levels in the present study.

In contrast to the results of the present study, HDL2-C levels were shown to increase in basketball and wrestling athletes, sports that are primarily anaerobic like judo, whereas HDL3 levels increased in all groups and controls because of acute maximal endurance exercise. Therefore, it would be expected that anaerobic exercise training like judo would also induce chronic modifications and intermolecular redistributions of HDL-C and subfractions. It is also possible that an increased flux of lipids to HDL-C molecules may result from the regulatory actions of lipoprotein lipase (LPL), and thus, HDLs-C levels could change.

In support of our proposition, in another study on the acute effects of a resistance exercise like judo and intense exercise, it was found that HDL-C levels and lecithin-cholesterol acyltransferase (LCAT) activity were increased; these enzymes increase HDL-C levels. It was also found that changes in the clearance rates of exogenous fat were directly related to changes in HDLs-C levels. In the present study, the significant increases in HDLs-C levels (except HDL2-C), without any significant changes in performance (CS) or physical changes. Furthermore, it was shown that lifestyle activities such as jogging or swimming can improve BLLP levels, including HDL-C levels.

The participants in the present study also performed lifestyle activities such as jogging and swimming. Thus, there may also have been an additive effect of these lifestyle activities, as well as other factors for improvements in HDLs-C levels. However, in this study, HDL2-C levels did not change significantly in both the different PGs and OS Post-T, which was independent of PON1P. The reason why HDL2 levels did not change by judo training is unknown.

It was previously reported that high-intensity aerobic training results in improvements in HDL-C levels, whereas for resistance and combined exercises, the results were inconsistent. In a meta-analysis of 19 randomized controlled trials, aerobic exercise resulted in increased HDL-C levels in adults, with a statistically significant increase of only approximately 11% for HDL-C. These factors may also affect the results. The possible explanations for this are that HDL-C may be more insensitive to exercise stress than HDLs-C or the anaerobic nature of judo training may limit or not affect HDL metabolism and the major enzymes that regulate HDL compositions, including LPL and LCAT activities.
Effects of PON1P on BLLP Levels

A previous study reported an association between PON1-192 polymorphism and BLLP levels, and those who were homozygous (QQ) for the low-activity allele of PON1 had less atherogenic lipoprotein profiles. In studies of middle-aged men in the R carrier group (RR + QR) and nonmenopausal women in the RR homozygous group, the effects of physical activity on BLLPs and basal BBLLP levels were modified by PON1 192 polymorphism; in contrast, some studies, including a study of a Turkish population, did not show any association between PON1 192 polymorphism and lipoprotein profiles, similar to the results of the present study. The differences between these studies may be due primarily to PON1 192 polymorphism and other factors noted above. In the present study, which is the first study of a healthy Turkish population, no relationship was found between PON1P and basal BLLP levels, and PON1P was not related to the effects of training on BLLP levels.

Therefore, the results of the present study show that anaerobic (Judo) training can also improve HDLs-C levels (except HDL2-C) without any significant changes in performance or physical characteristics and independently of PON1P.

Training Effects on Blood TDPON1 Activities and PON1P Involvement

One of the best known antiinflammatory and antioxidant functions of HDL is its inhibition of LDL oxidation. This function of HDL may be due to the chemical composition of HDL, presence of liposoluble antioxidants associated with these particles, and enzymes such as platelet-activating factor acetylhydrolase (PAF-AH), LCAT, and PON1. A previous study demonstrated a significant decrease in PON1 concentrations and AE and PON1 activities in CAD patients compared with that in control subjects. It has also been reported that CAD is associated with oxidative stress and that antioxidants may increase PON1 activity, whereas oxidative stress may inhibit PON1 activity. Thus, determining PON1 activity in CAD patients and healthy persons is important. In a previous study, PON1 activities and HDL-C levels were positively correlated in control subjects and CAD patients. In the present study, no significant correlations were found between PON1, SPON1, and AE activities and HDL-C levels and CS results. Thus, the changes in TDPON1 activities were independent of HDL-C and physical performance levels.

In one study, serum AE activity increased by 17% after aerobic cardiac therapy exercise, in subjects with CAD similarly to the present study results, although different exercises were applied in these two studies. In another study of men and women, PON1 activities in the physical activity group were higher than those in the control group and smokers. However, inactive smokers had significantly lower PON1 activity levels than inactive non-smokers.

These findings show that physical activity with both aerobic and anaerobic (as judo) exercises improves AE and PON1 activities. In another study, after maximal exercise, an increase in PON1 activities was found; however, no changes in AE activity were found in trained rugby players. PON1 changes during ME were dependent on age, body composition, and training experience as different from the present study, although the influence of PON1P on PON1 changes at ME was uncertain.

In the present study, PON1P was not related to the effects of exercise training on AE activity. In addition, AE activity was not related to PON1 and SPON1 activities. These findings show that the increases in AE activity are independent of PON1P and support that the finding AE activity does not depend on PON1Q192R polymorphism. It has been reported that AE activity can be used as a marker for the PON1 protein. Thus, in this study, the increases in AE activity may have been the result of an increase in PON1 enzyme protein levels in OS. However, significant any change in AE activities of serum or HDLs (except an increase in HDL2 AE activity in the RG) did not occur in PGs Post-T. The reasons for this are unknown and difficult to explain on the basis of these findings. However, in the present study, a weak interaction was found between PON1P and HDL2 AE activities in PGs (P = 0.05).

We showed that basal serum AE activities and the differences in AE activity were independent of PON1P. However, serum PON1 and SPON1 activities increased concomitantly with HDLs PON1 activities, whereas serum AE and HDL AE activities increased without any significant increases in HDL2 AE and HDL3 AE activities and independently of these variables in OS. These data show that the activities of HDLs can also vary independently. However, although AE activity is not associated with any known polymorphism, it is possible that HDL2 AE activity could be affected by PON1P in the different PGs. This is possible because it has been reported that linkage disequilibrium with other functional mutations in the PON gene cluster or at another gene on chromosome 7q can cause interactions and that these interactions may produce phenotype differences.
fore, the increase in HDL\textsubscript{2} AE activity in the RG may have been a result of the interactions between gene and environmental factors, such as exercise. However, more studies are needed for a complete description of this state.

In another study that supported our view, Thomas et al.\textsuperscript{16} did not find a significant effect of acute and chronic aerobic exercise on SPON1 activity. However, it was found that exercise had a significant effect on SPON1 activity when PON1 192 polymorphism was considered. No significant relationship was found between physical activity and PON1 activity in those aged 18-75 years, different from the observations of the present study\textsuperscript{33}. These findings show that PON1 192 polymorphism can affect the study results.

It has been shown that with regard to resistance to oxidative stress, the Q group had better protection than the R group\textsuperscript{16}. Therefore, according to this hypothesis, it is possible for these two phenotypes to vary with regard to adapting to oxidative stress caused by exercise. With regard to the negative effect seen in the R group, two hypotheses are possible\textsuperscript{16}. First, people with the R phenotype may be much more sensitive to a possible inhibition of PON1 activity caused by oxidative stress resulting from exercise. Second, there may be miscommunication between PON1-192 polymorphism and another polymorphism in the PON1 gene promoter area or another area may alter PON1 synthesis or activity.

In contrast to the results of Thomas et al.\textsuperscript{16}, in the present study, the increases in both SPON1 and HDL\textsubscript{2} AE activities in the RG were depend on PON1P. That is, serum SPON1 and HDL\textsubscript{2} AE activities increased only in the RG after the training but not in the QG (Tables 3 and 4). In Turkish and Japanese populations, it was found that PON1 192 RR alleles have greater protective effects than QQ alleles against oxidative stress in individuals with type 2 DM and non-diabetic control subjects\textsuperscript{47, 51}. Therefore, the improvements in SPON1 and HDL\textsubscript{2} AE in the RG but not in the QG in the present study could also be explained by the reasons noted in the study by Thomas et al.\textsuperscript{16}. The results regarding PON1 and HDL\textsubscript{2} AE in the present study are the first to be reported in the literature and in a Turkish population.

Cakmak A, et al.\textsuperscript{52} found that PON1 activities, were significantly higher in trained adolescent athletes than in controls. PON1 activities were remarkably higher in adolescents involved in wrestling (which is anaerobic in nature) at baseline than in those who were sedentary. In addition, anaerobic wrestling exercise for a healthy life reduces DNA damage and enhances antioxidant variables\textsuperscript{53}. However, we did not determine the oxidative stress status in the present study. Wrestling sports are very similar to judo; therefore, a high antioxidant defense capacity may also have derived from chronic judo training in the present study. In addition, Koury et al.\textsuperscript{54} showed that the antioxidant system could improve in elite judo athletes. Therefore, the increases in PON1 activities may have reflected improvements in antioxidant capacity Post-T.

In general, regular physical activity causes a repeated increase in the release of free radicals as a result of each exercise session, which hypothetically acts as a transcription inducer for endogenous antioxidant genes, particularly PON1\textsuperscript{55}. Thus, judo training may have also improved antioxidant capacity in the subjects of the present study. In addition, we found relationships between CS and HDL PON1, HDL\textsubscript{2} PON1, HDL SPON1, and HDL\textsubscript{3} SPON1 results in all subjects only Post-T. These results show that CS had beneficial effects on PON1 activities (except AE activity) due to training without quantitative improvements in CS. CS reflects some aerobic enzymes’s potential capacities and can predict the fitness status of athletes\textsuperscript{23}. Thus, CS is a marker of aerobic endurance capacity. Although CS did not quantitatively change Post-T, some metabolic changes, such as the release or production of PON1, may have been triggered during training. Therefore, the relationships found in the present study may reflect developments in PON1 activities. Some studies showed that there were changes in PON1 activity or antioxidant capacity of HDLs in the absence of changes in BLLP levels and antioxidant capacity\textsuperscript{56, 57}.

These study results support our proposition that exercise can change PON1 release and its activity by internal developments in organisms without any quantitative changes in other factors, such as physical composition, performance, and BLLP levels in well-trained athletes Post-T. In addition, it has been reported that PON1 levels and activity could be modified by lifestyle determinants, such as smoking, antioxidant vitamins, alcohol consumption, and sex\textsuperscript{32, 33, 48}. Tomas et al.\textsuperscript{10} used salt-stimulated PON1, Chemitus et al.\textsuperscript{13} evaluated both PON and AE activities, and other studies determined PON1 activity (by paradox). There were differences among the results of the present study and those of previous studies. If we had used only basal PON1 activity in the present study, then it appears that our results would be very different. These results show that the measurement methods used for PON1 enzyme activities may have resulted in the differences among other studies as in the present study.
Effects of Exercise on HDLs and Clinical Significance

Because PON1 has been suggested to be responsible for the antioxidant properties of HDL, PON1 and AE activities, particularly AE activity, may reflect HDL dysfunctionality, despite no differences in HDL levels between patients and controls. And blood AE activity was higher in sportsmen. PON1 has been found in the HDL2 species of HDL in particles enriched in triacylglycerols. Because PON1 tends to bind to larger-sized species of HDL both in vivo and in vitro, but PON1 activity and HDL3 size may decrease in certain diseases as type 2 DM. However, one study reported that in both diabetic and non-diabetic groups, small dense HDL3 particles exerted more potent protection against LDL oxidation than large light HDL2 particles and that the antioxidative dysfunctionality of small dense HDL3 particles in type 2 DM was closely associated with elevated oxidative stress and with the degree of glyceremia and triglyceridemia.

Previous studies have reported a significant reduction in PON1 activity and HDL2-C in persons with CAD. In addition, HDL2 and HDL3 AE activities in women with CAD were lower than those in the control group, and these variables were better for predicting CAD than the cholesterol levels of HDLs; thus, HDLs AE activities levels could be used as a risk factor for CAD. The causal relationship between blood HDL-C levels and CAD has been explained by the involvement of these lipoproteins in reverse cholesterol transport, as well as by other potentially antiatherogenic properties of HDL, such as its antioxidative enzymes and other effects.

Because the major role of HDL2-C appears to be as a final receptor in the reverse cholesterol transport process, increases in HDL2-C as a result of aerobic exercise occurred independently of changes in physical characteristics, and statistically significant increases were found in HDL2-C but not important in HDL-C. In addition, of the two major HDL-C subfractions, HDL2-C may provide greater protection against CAD than HDL3-C. Therefore, no increase in HDL-C in the clinical setting may not be indicative of a lack of cardioprotection within this lipoprotein group. Therefore, it may be important to determine PON1 and AE activities and the cholesterol contents of HDLs to control HDL functions.

In the present study, HDL2-C levels in OS and HDL2 AE activities in the QG did not change Post-T. Despite their similarities, the turnover of the Q and R isozymes of PON1 differ with paraoxon, but are similar with phenylacetate. Therefore, in addition to PON1P, one other possible model that could explain these differences in HDL2 AE activities in the RG is that the rate of phenylacetate hydrolysis is far greater (about 1000-fold) than the rate of paraoxon hydrolysis. Furthermore, the favorable improvements in HDL2-C levels and HDL2 AE activities may have been due to a different threshold of training as well as other factors in OS or the QG. Thus, further research is needed to provide an adequate description for these phenomena.

The absence of favorable changes in HDLs AE activities of serum and cholesterol levels of HDL2 may be a disadvantage for some individuals in the QG after a physical activity or any therapy. Therefore, the results of this study should provide insights on these issues.

Limitations

Based on our literature search, this is the first study to show that anaerobic training has effects on three different activities of the same PON1 enzyme and the cholesterol contents of HDLs and its relationship with PON1P. However, this study was limited because it was conducted with a small number of athletes including only young females and did not involve genotyping or determination of the oxidative stress status. However, we believe that our study results contribute considerably to current knowledge through the measurements used for various PON1 activities of serum, and HDLs and for emphasizing the effects of PON1P and PON1 activity measurement methods on this type of study. Our data also reveal that for predicting the risk of CHD and preparing a rehabilitation program that also includes exercise, it may be necessary to monitor cholesterol contents as well as SPON1, PON1 and AE enzyme activities of HDL and its subgroups and PON1P.

Conclusions

Anaerobic training resulted in improvements in most TDPON1 activities of serum and HDLs and HDLs-C levels in OS, but not in HDLs-C in PGs. The effects of training on SPON1 and HDL2 AE activities were depend on PON1P. HDL2-C in all of our subjects, and AE activity in most PGs may have been insensitive to anaerobic exercise. The different measurement methods of PON1 enzyme activity may affect the study results.

Acknowledgments

We express our sincere gratitude to Özden Açıkkol and her athletes.
Conflicts of Interest

The authors have no conflicts of interest to disclose and have no financial relationships with any organization that sponsored this research. We did not receive any funding for this study.

References

28) Paul F, Erdfelder E, Lang AG, Buchner A: G*Power 3: a flexible statistical power analysis program for the social, behavioral, and biomedical sciences. Behav Res Methods,
39) Sady SP, Thompson PD, Cullinane EVI, Kantor VIA, Domoyala E, Herbert PN: Prolonged exercise augments plasma triglyceride clearance. JAMA, 1986; 256; 2552-2555