Gastric peroxisome proliferator activator receptor-γ expression and cytoprotective actions of its ligands against ischemia-reperfusion injury in rats
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The beneficial effects by peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor-γ (PPAR-γ) on gastric injury induced by ischemia-reperfusion have been confirmed, however, the precise mechanism of its cytoprotection is not elucidated thoroughly. The aim of the present study was to determine the gastric localization of PPAR-γ expression in the rat gastric mucosa, and to clarify the mechanism of its cytoprotective properties. The gastric expression of PPAR-γ was confirmed by RT-PCR and western blot, and localized on gastric epithelial cells. The protective effect of PPAR-γ ligands, pioglitazone or 15-deoxy-Δ12,14-prostaglandin J2, on gastric ischemia-reperfusion injury was reversed by the co-administration with PPAR-γ antagonist. The gastric expression of tumor necrosis factor-α and cytochrome-induced neutrophil chemoattractant-1 increased significantly in rats treated ischemia-reperfusion, and these increases were significantly inhibited by treatment with pioglitazone. Among the 1,032 probes, 18 probes were up-regulated at least 1.5-fold, 17 were down-regulated at least 1.5-fold by pioglitazone. The network analysis revealed that reactive oxygen species play a crucial role in the pathogenesis of I-R-induced gastric injury, because neutrophils generate tissue damage factors such as reactive oxygen species and proteases. Using a rat model of gastric I-R, we have demonstrated that the infiltration of neutrophils into gastric tissue is related to reactive oxygen species, a variety of cytokines, including interleukin-8 (IL-8), tumor necrosis factor-α (TNF-α), and IL-1β, are released from post-ischemic gastric tissue to modulate tissue repair and adaptation. These cytokines are elaborated soon after gastric ischemic injury and can acutely regulate gastric mucosal survival or apoptosis and trigger additional cellular inflammatory response.

The peroxisome proliferator-activated receptors (PPARs) are members of the nuclear receptor supergene family that function in ligand-activated transcription. PPARs consist of at least three isoforms, α, β, and γ, encoded by unique genes. Among them, PPAR-γ is highly expressed in adipose tissue, colon, spleen, adrenal gland, and macrophages. Previous studies have demonstrated that the naturally occurring arachidonic acid metabolite, 15-deoxy-Δ12,14-prostaglandin J2 (15d-PGJ2), as well as thiazolidinedione (TZD) and certain novel non-TZD insulin-sensitizing agents, are ligands and agonist of this receptor. Although PPAR-γ play a key role in adipose differentiation, lipid metabolism, and glucose homeostasis, recent studies suggest that they might be involved in the control of inflammation and especially in the modulation of the expression of various cytokines in monocytes and macrophages.

Recently, our group and others reported that PPAR-γ plays an anti-inflammatory role in gastric inflammation induced by I-R, aspirin, and Helicobacter pylori infection in rats. In 2001, we firstly demonstrated that pioglitazone, a synthetic TZD, markedly reduced gastric erosions induced by aspirin as well as inhibited the enhanced expression of pro-inflammatory cytokine TNF-α. In addition, we have reported the gastric cytoprotection by pioglitazone and 15d-PGJ2 against I-R injury, associated with anti-inflammatory actions of these ligands. This beneficial effects by PPAR-γ ligands on I-R-induced gastric injury have been also confirmed by several investigators, however, the precise mechanism of the cytoprotection in vivo is not elucidated thoroughly. In addition, Nakajima et al showed that no expression of PPAR-γ could be detected by Western blot analysis in the rat stomach.

On the basis of these observations, we determined the gastric localization of PPAR-γ expression in the rat gastric mucosa, and examined whether GW9662, an antagonist of PPAR-γ, can attenuate the protective effects of pioglitazone and 15d-PGJ2 in order to elucidate whether the protective effects of these ligands are related to the activation of the PPAR-γ receptor. Finally, to clarify the mechanism of cytoprotective properties of pioglitazone, we performed a global analysis of gene expression in the gastric mucosal cells of rats treated with pioglitazone.
Materials and Methods

Reagents. All chemicals were prepared immediately before use. Pioglitazone was donated from Takeda Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd. (Osaka, Japan). 15d-PGJ2 and GW9662 were obtained from Cayman Chemical Co. (Ann Arbor, MI) and Sigma-Aldrich Japan Inc. (Tokyo, Japan), respectively. PPAR-γ polyclonal antibody (sc-6285) was purchased from Santa Cruz Biotech. (Santa Cruz, CA). Isogen was purchased from Nippon Gene (Tokyo, Japan), and Taq DNA polymerase was from Takara Shuzo Co. (Shiga, Japan). Enzyme-linked immunosorbenent assay (ELISA) kits for TNF-α and CINC-1 were obtained from BioSource Int. (Camarillo, CA) and Immuno-Biological Laboratories Co. (Gunma, Japan). All other chemicals used were of reagent grade.

Preparation of rats for acute gastric mucosal injury induced by ischemia-reperfusion. Male Sprague-Dawley rats weighing 190–210 g were obtained from Keari Co. Ltd. (Osaka, Japan). They were housed in stainless steel cages with wire bottoms and maintained on a 12-h light and 12-h dark cycle with the temperature and relative humidity of the animal room controlled at 21–23°C and 55–65%, respectively. They were not fed for 18 h prior to the experiments, but were allowed free access to water. Maintenance of animals and experimental procedures were carried out in accordance with the US National Institutes of Health Guidelines for the Use of Experimental Animals. All experiments were approved by the Animal Care Committee of Kyoto Prefectural University of Medicine (Kyoto, Japan). After animals were given intraperitoneal urethane anesthesia (1000 mg/kg), gastric ischemia was induced by applying a small clamp to the celiac artery for 30 min, followed by the removal of the clamp for 60 min according to the previously reported method.59 Pioglitazone dissolved in 0.5% carboxymethyl cellulose (CMC) and 15d-PGJ2 dissolved in physiological saline were given to the rats by gastric intubation 1 h before the vascular clamping. To evaluate the effect of agents on ischemia-reperfusion injury, mice were divided into the following groups: 1) sham-operated mice to evaluate the effect of agents on ischemia-reperfusion injury, mice were divided into the following groups: 1) sham-operated mice, 2) I-R treated mice receiving pioglitazone, 3) I-R treated mice receiving pioglitazone or 15d-PGJ2, and 4) 1-R treated mice receiving pioglitazone or 15d-PGJ2. A specific PPAR-γ antagonist GW9662 dissolved in physiological saline was also given to rats intraperitoneally 2 h before the vascular clamping.

Assessment of gastric mucosal injury induced by ischemia-reperfusion. To estimate the severity of the gastric erosions induced by 1-R, the total area of the red gastric lesions that was measured using a dissecting microscope (×10 magnification) with a square grid by a person blinded to the treatment. The extent of any gastric mucosal lesions was expressed in terms of the total area (mm²) of hemorrhagic erosions. Removed tissues were fixed in 15% formaldehyde, embedded in paraffin, and sectioned (5-μm slices), and the sections were stained with hematoxylin eosin and viewed by an investigator blinded to the treatment.

Cell culture and preparation for anoxia-reoxygenation. The rat gastric mucosal cell line RGM-1 (RCB-0876 at Riken Cell Bank, Tsukuba, Japan), established by Matsui and Ohno,22 was used for the present study. The RGM-1 cells were grown in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium (DMEM; Gibco Laboratories, Grand Island, NY) containing 10% fetal bovine serum and antibiotics (100 U/ml penicillin, 100 μg/ml streptomycin, and 0.125 μg/ml amphotericin B). Cell cultures were incubated in atmospheric air with 5% CO2 at 37°C. Confluent RGM-1 mono-layers were exposed to hypoxia by incubation in Plexiglas chamber that was continuously purged (1/min) with an anoxic gas mixture (95% N2 + 5% CO2), according to a method reported in a previous study.23 After a 120-min period of hypoxia, reoxygenation was initiated by exposure the cells to atmospheric air with 5% CO2 at 37°C for 8 h. When we pretreated RGM-1 cells with pioglitazone, we applied 1 and 10 μM pioglitazone dissolved in 0.5% dimethyl-sulfoxide into the culture media for 60 min before anoxia treatment.

Immunohistochemistry for PPAR-γ. Expression and localization of PPAR-γ in gastric tissue was detected by standard immunohistochemical techniques using anti-PPAR-γ polyclonal antibody on paraffin sections. The mAab were diluted in PBS containing 1% BSA and 0.1% Triton X-100 and incubated for overnight at 4°C. The sections were then incubated with biotinylated anti-mouse IgG (Vectastain Elite ABC kit, Vector Laboratories) for 30 min. After they were washed, the specimens were incubated with a mixture of avidin and horseradish peroxidase-conjugated biotin for 30 min and were reacted with 3,3’-di-amino-benzidine tetrahydrochloride at 0.2 mg/ml and 0.005% H2O2 in Tris buffer. Nonimmune mouse IgG was used as a negative control.

Reversed transcription-polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR). For the evaluation of PPAR-γ, TNF-α and CINC-1 mRNA, the gastric wall of rats was rinsed in PBS, snap-frozen in liquid nitrogen, and then stored at −80°C until the time of RNA extraction. Total RNA was isolated from the gastric mucosal with the acid guanidinium phenol chloroform method using an Isogen kit (Nippon Gene, Tokyo, Japan). The concentration of RNA was determined by absorbance at 260 nm in relation to absorbance at 280 nm. RNA was stored at −70°C until reverse transcription was performed. The RNA was used for reverse-transcription polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) amplification. The amplification was carried out in a 50 μl-mixture containing 2 μl of the RT product, 0.6 μM of both the sense and antisense primers, 0.4 mM dNTP mix, and 0.5 μl Taq DNA polymerase (Takara Shuzo Co., Shiga, Japan). The reaction was performed as follows: 35 cycles of amplification (denaturation at 94°C for 30 s, annealing at 50°C for 30 s, and extension at 72°C for 60 s), followed by a final extension step of 7 min at 72°C. Sequences for primers were: PPAR-γ forward primer 5'-ATCGTGCGCGCGCTAGGCA-3'; PPAR-γ reverse primer 5'-TGCGCTTGGGTTGAGGGG-3'; TNF-α forward primer 5'-ATGACGACAGAAAGCATGATC-3'; TNF-α reverse primer 5'-TACAGCGTTCAGTAATT-3'; PPAR-γ forward primer sense 5'-CTGTGCGGGGCACCACGCCG-3'; CINC-1 reverse primer 5'-ACAGTCTTTGGAACACTCTCGT-3'; β-actin forward primer 5'-ATCGTGGGCGCCCTTAGGCA-3'; β-actin reverse primer 5'-TGGCCTTAGGTCCAGAGGGG-3'. The PCR reaction products were separated electrophoretically in a 2.5% agarose gel and stained by ethidium bromide.

Quantitative real-time PCR. After 2 h of administration with vehicle solution or pioglitazone (10 mg/kg), total RNA was isolated from the gastric mucosal with the acid guanidinium phenol chloroform method using an Isogen kit (Nippon Gene). An aliquot (1 μg) of extract RNA was reverse-transcribed into first-strand complementary DNA (cDNA) at 42°C for 40 min, using 100 U/ml reverse-transcriptase (Takara Biochemicals, Shiga, Japan) and 0.1 μM of oligo (dT)-adapter primer (Takara) in a 50 μl reaction mixture. Real-time polymerase chain reaction (PCR) was carried out with a 7300 Real Time PCR system (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA) using the DNA-binding dye SYBER Green I for the detection of PCR products according to the manufacturer’s instructions. The reaction mixture (RT-PCR kit, Code RRO43A, Takara) contained 12.5 μl Premix Ex Taq, 2.5 μl SYBER Green I, custom-synthesized primers, ROX reference dye, cDNA (equivalent to 20 ng total RNA) to give a final reaction volume of 25 μl. Sequences for primers were: calnexin (CANX) forward primer 5’-TGGCATCTCTGGTAAACGTAACA-3’; CANX reverse primer 5’-CACCACCGCATTCTATTCACA-3’; endoplasmic reticulum stress protein 72 (ERP70) forward primer 5'-ATGCTCTCAGGAAATTGTGCACTG-3’; ERP70 reverse primer 5’-CACCTCTAGCTGCCCTTGTG-3’; heat-shock 70 kD protein 5 (HSP5) forward primer 5’-CATCACCAATGACCAAAACC-3’; HSP5 reverse primer 5’-GGCTCTTGTGAGTCTTGTG-3’; heat-shock protein 86 (HSPCA) forward primer 5’-CAGGCAGAAATGCCCCAGATT...
of the intensities of the were used to calculate mRNA copy number in each sample. Ratios generated using the amplified PCR product as a template, and (Applied Biosystems). Standard curves relating initial template PCR was performed with universal cycling conditions (2 min at 50°C, 10 min at 95°C, 40 cycles of 15 s at 95°C, and 1 min at 60°C). Data were analyzed using ABI Prism 7300 SDS Software (Applied Biosystems). Standard curves relating initial template copy number to fluorescence and amplification cycle were generated using the amplified PCR product as a template, and were used to calculate mRNA copy number in each sample. Ratios of the intensities of the β-actin signals were used as a relative measure of the expression level of each specimen.

Western blotting. The frozen tissue from rat stomach was thawed on ice and homogenized at 4°C in a solution of 50 mmol/l Tris-ICl, pH 7.6, 300 mmol/l NaCl, 0.5% Triton X-100, 100 mg/ml aprotinin, 10 mg/ml leupeptin, 1 mmol/l PMSF, 1.8 mg/ml iodoacetamide, 50 mmol/l Tris, 1 mM DTT and 1 mM EDTA for examining total cell protein. Proteins were separated by sodium dodecyl sulfate-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE) and transferred onto nitrocellulose (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Hercules, CA). Membranes were probed with polyclonal antibody against PPAR-γ (Santa Cruz Biotechnology Inc. Santa Cruz, CA). The immune complexes were visualized by Western blotting with a commercial kit (ECL by Amersham, Buckinghamshl-Re, England) according to the manufacturer’s recommendations.

Measurements of TNF-α and CINC-1. The concentrations of inflammatory cytokines (TNF-α and CINC-1) in the supernatant of mucosal homogenates and cell culture medium were determined by rat TNF-α and CINC-1 specific enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) kits according to the manufacturer’s instructions.

Laser capture microdissection, isolation of RNA, cDNA synthesis, cRNA amplification, and GeneChip hybridization. We used laser-assisted microdissection to obtain cell-specific RNA. Gastric epithelial cells were identified on cryostat sections (8 μm) of the specimens obtained from the stomach of the rat, and the cells were isolated by laser-assisted microdissection using an LM200 system (Olympus, Tokyo, Japan). A sample containing several hundred cells was collected from each stomach. According to the Affymetrix GeneChip Eukaryotic Small Sample Target Labeling Assay protocol (Version II). Using this protocol, we succeeded in obtaining a sufficient amount of biotinylated cRNA to perform the GeneChip analysis from the small amount of gastric epithelial cells obtained by laser captured microdissection.[2,3] Labeled cRNA target was cleaned up using RNeasy columns. The fragmentation, hybridization, washing, and staining were carried out according to the instructions described in the GeneChip Expression Analysis Technical Manual. Affymetrix GeneChip arrays (Affymetrix, Santa Clara, CA) were hybridized with the biotinylated products (5 μg/chip) for 16 h at 45°C using the manufacturer’s hybridization buffer. After washing the arrays, hybridized RNA was detected by staining with streptavidin-phycocery-thrin. The DNA chips were scanned using a specially designed confocal scanner (GeneChip Scanner 3000, Affymetrix).

Statistics. Data of total area of erosions, contents of cytokines, and real-time PCR analysis are expressed as mean (SEM). One-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) with Scheffe’s multiple comparison test was performed when more than two groups were compared. Differences in mRNA expression determined by real-time PCR between vehicle and pioglitazone treatments were determined by Student’s t test. A p value of <0.05 was considered statistically significant. All analyses were performed using Stat View 5.0-3 program (Abacus Concepts Inc. Berkeley, CA) on a Macintosh computer. Array data analysis was carried out using Affymetrix GeneChip Operating Software (GCOS) version 1.0. GCOS analyzes image data and computes an intensity value for each probe cell. Briefly, mismatch probes act as specificity controls that allow the direct subtraction of both background and cross-hybridization signals. To determine the quantitative RNA abundance, the average difference values (i.e., gene expression levels) representing the perfect match/mismatch for each gene-specific probe family is calculated, and the fold changes in average difference values were determined according to Affymetrix algorithms and procedures. For the pathway analysis, gene probe set ID numbers were imported into the Ingenuity Pathway Analysis software (Ingenuity Systems, Mountain View, CA). The identified genes were mapped to genetic networks available in the Ingenuity database and were then ranked by a score. The score is the probability that a collection of genes is equal to or greater than the number in a network that could be achieved by chance alone. A score of 3 indicates that there is a 1/1000 chance (significance = 0.001) that the focus genes are in a network randomly. Therefore, gene sets with scores of 3 or higher have a 99.9% confidence of not being randomly generated. This score was used as the cut-off for identifying gene networks significantly affected by indomethacin.

Results

Expression of PPAR-γ in rat gastric mucosa. To identify the localization of PPAR-γ within stomach, we performed immunohistochemical analysis of PPAR-γ protein. As shown in Fig. 1a, the expression of PPAR-γ was observed in mucosal epithelial cells and parietal cells. Using cultured rat gastric mucosal cells (RGM-1), the nuclear-dominant localization of PPAR-γ was confirmed (Fig. 1b and c), although the levels of PPAR-γ expression in normal gastric mucosal cells was much lower than those of gastric cancer cells. Western blotting also showed the clear expression of PPAR-γ in the rat gastric mucosa (Fig. 1f), and its levels were not changed by I-R but slightly increased by the treatment with pioglitazone. RT-PCR also demonstrated the PPAR-γ mRNA expression in the gastric mucosa (Fig. 1g). The expression levels were slightly decreased after I-R.

Effect of PPAR-γ ligands and antagonist on I-R-induced gastric mucosal injury. According to our previous studies(14,18) in which pre-treatment with pioglitazone or 15d-PGJ2: 1 h before the ischemia inhibited I-R-induced gastric mucosal injury in a dose-dependent manner, we used pioglitazone at a dose of 10 mg/kg and 15d-PGJ2 at a dose of 0.3 mg/kg and examined its pharmacological action in the present study. Neither ligand alone nor GW9662, a PPAR-γ antagonist, alone produced any macroscopic lesions in the rat stomach. I-R resulted in increase in total area of erosions developed in the glandular stomach. Both pioglitazone and 15d-PGJ2: significantly reduced the increase in total area of erosions after I-R, and the protective effect of these ligands was almost completely reversed by the co-administration with GW9662 (Fig. 2). The protective effect of pioglitazone and 15d-PGJ2: was confirmed histologically (data not shown). I-R resulted in large areas of epithelial crypt loss, predominantly neutrophil infiltrate throughout the mucosa and submucosa, erosion, and mucosal bleeding. In contrast, pretreatment with pioglitazone or 15d-PGJ2: resulted in smaller erosions with few neutrophils. Effects of pioglitazone on mucosal contents and mRNA expression of TNF-α and CINC-1 after I-R, and on the CINC-1 production from stimulated gastric epithelial cells. To test if pioglitazone treatment could modulate the inflammatory response through regulation of cytokine production, we analyzed gastric mucosal levels of TNF-α and CINC-1. The gastric concentrations of TNF-α and CINC-1 increased significantly in rats treated I-R, and these increases were significantly inhibited by treatment with pioglitazone at a dose of 10 mg/kg (Fig. 3 a and b). To further confirm the inhibitory effect of pioglitazone on TNF-α and
CINC-1 production, we analyzed gastric expression of TNF-\(\alpha\) and CINC-1 using RT-PCR yielding 225 and 907 base pair products to identify TNF-\(\alpha\) and CINC-1 gene expression, respectively. As shown in Fig. 3c, we found the expression of these genes in the rat treated with sham-operation to be negligible or faint. In contrast, transcription was readily shown in I-R-treated rats. Treatment with pioglitazone suppressed mRNA expression for each gene. In addition, pioglitazone markedly inhibited the CINC-1 production from RGM-1 cells stimulated by 2 h-anoxia and 6 h-reoxygenation (Fig. 3d).

**Identification of genes differentially expressed in pioglitazone-treated gastric mucosa.** We next asked what genes are modulated following treatment of gastric mucosa with pioglitazone and if there are differences between the affected gene networks in pioglitazone-treated mucosa compared to non-treated mucosa. Among the 1,032 probes (Rat Toxicology U34 array, Affymetrix), the number of genes, the expression levels of which increased or decreased more than 1.5-fold in pioglitazone-treated...
mucosa, was 35 including 10 ESTs. 18 probes were up-regulated and 17 were down-regulated (Table I). Table 2 showed a gene network affected by pioglitazone, which obtained a most highest score (score 14) as defined by the Pathway Analysis, and Fig. 4 demonstrated the associated network that was significantly affected by pioglitazone. The shown network was significantly associated with cell cycle, cell-to-cell signaling and interaction, and cancer. This network included CANX, ERP70, heat-shock protein 60 (HSP60), HSPA5, HSPCA, and PSMB4 genes.

**Real time PCR for CANX, ERP70, HSP60, HSPA5, and HSPCA genes.** To confirm the data derived from GeneChip analysis, we further validated the expression of some genes by real-time quantitative PCR. As shown in Fig. 5, real time PCR study showed that the expression of CANX, ERP70, HSPCA, and PSMB4, but not HSPA5, was significantly increased by the treatment with pioglitazone.

**Discussion**

In the present, we demonstrated the gastric expression of PPAR-γ in rats by the immunohistochemistry and Western blotting using a monoclonal antibody for PPAR-γ, and by mRNA expression for PPAR-γ, and showed that GW9662, a PPAR-γ antagonist, reversed the gastro-protective effects of pioglitazone and 15d-PGJ2 against I-R injury. In addition, enhanced expression of proinflammatory cytokines in the stomach after I-R was markedly inhibited by pioglitazone. Finally, the global analysis of mRNA expression of gastric mucosal cells obtained by the microdissection showed that up- and down-regulated genes after the pioglitazone treatment, and that anti-inflammatory effects of pioglitazone may be associated with the up-regulation of HSPs and endoplasmic reticulum-related proteins.

The most striking findings of the present study are that expression of PPAR-γ is observed in mainly gastric epithelial cells in vivo and in cultured normal gastric epithelial cell line, which was confirmed by immunohistochemistry, Western blot, and RT-PCR. This is the first report in which the gastric PPAR-γ expression in rats is confirmed. More interestingly, the expression of PPAR-γ protein in the stomach was enhanced after the treatment of pioglitazone without increased mRNA transcription, indicating that pioglitazone may stabilize the protein of PPAR-γ. In contrast to a previous report using renal cells by Matsuyama et al.,(26) PPAR-γ expression was not enhanced after ischemia-reperfusion in rats. Although the mechanism in regulating gastric mucosal PPAR-γ expression is still unknown, the present data using DNA microarray may give possible information about it.

In order to confirm whether the protective effect of PPAR-γ ligands is due to activation of PPAR-γ, we have investigated whether the PPAR-γ antagonist GW9662 attenuates the observed protective effects of these ligands. We demonstrate here that GW9662 completely reversed the gastro-protective effects of pioglitazone and 15d-PGJ2 against I-R injury. Recently, Wada et al.(17) further defined the protective effects of endogenous PPAR-γ activity in gastric injury induced by I-R through an examination of PPAR-γ-deficient mice. They provided strong evidence in support of an important role for endogenous PPAR-γ activity in protecting from I-R injury in the stomach. Taken together, these findings support the view that the activation of PPAR-γ contributes to the protective and anti-inflammatory effects of PPAR-γ ligands in rats subjected to gastric I-R.

The next meaningful finding of the present study is that treatment with pioglitazone significantly inhibited I-R-driven activation of proinflammatory cytokines (CINC-1 and TNF-α) both in vivo (gastric mucosa) and in vitro (cultured gastric epithelial cells). We demonstrated that, in I-R-induced gastric inflammation, the expression of pro-inflammatory cytokines (CINC-1 and TNF-
α) was enhanced in association with neutrophil accumulation, as determined by myeloperoxidase activity in the homogenate of the stomach.(27) These results suggest a transcriptional mechanism by which PPAR-γ agonists may protect against gastric mucosal injury via an inhibition of cytokine production.

Finally, to investigate cytoprotective action by pioglitazone further, we compared the gene expression profiles between vehicle- and pioglitazone-treated gastric mucosal cells. By the pathway analysis, we demonstrated the associated network that was significantly affected by pioglitazone. The shown network was associated with cell cycle, cell-to-cell signaling and interaction, and cancer. This network includes CANX, ERP70, HSP60, HSPCA, and PSMB4 genes, and the mRNA expression of these genes were also confirmed by real-time PCR. In eukaryotic cells, the endoplasmic reticulum (ER) plays an essential role in the synthesis and maturation of a variety of important secretory and membrane proteins. For glycoprotein, the ER possesses a dedicated maturation system, which assists folding and ensures the quality of final products before ER release. Essential components of this system include the lectin chaperones CANX and calreticulin (CRT) and their associated co-chaperone ERP, a calcium-binding protein. The components of this system include the lectin chaperones CANX and calreticulin (CRT) and their associated co-chaperone ERP, a calcium-binding protein.

### Table 1. Selected genes up- or down-regulated by pioglitazone treatment in rats

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Probe Set ID</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Pioglitazone</th>
<th>Normal</th>
<th>Log Ratio</th>
<th>Fold difference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Up-regulated</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rc_AL236601_at</td>
<td>strong similarity to mouse heat-shock protein 105 kDa, 110 kDa protein</td>
<td>404.4</td>
<td>101.1</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>8.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rc_AL176546_at</td>
<td>heat shock protein 86</td>
<td>2691.4</td>
<td>724</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K00996mRNA_s_at</td>
<td>cytochrome P450, 2b19</td>
<td>1098.4</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>3.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rc_AL100725_g_at</td>
<td>calnexin</td>
<td>614.6</td>
<td>126.2</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>3.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J05132_s_at</td>
<td>UDP glycosyltransferase 1 family, polypeptide A6</td>
<td>8504.1</td>
<td>2629.8</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>2.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M14050_s_at</td>
<td>heat shock 70kD protein 5</td>
<td>853</td>
<td>374.2</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>2.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rc_AL1009141_at</td>
<td>Transcribed sequences</td>
<td>315.8</td>
<td>141.9</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>2.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rc_AL236795_s_at</td>
<td>Rattus norvegicus heat[−] shock protein 90 beta mRNA, partial sequence</td>
<td>6173.3</td>
<td>2105.4</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>2.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L17127_g_at</td>
<td>proteasome (prosome, macropain) subunit, beta type 4</td>
<td>772.1</td>
<td>286.7</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>2.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rc_AL172452_at</td>
<td>weak similarity to cytochrome-c oxidase (EC 1.9.3.1) chain VIIa precursor - rat</td>
<td>1114.6</td>
<td>363.5</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>2.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rc_AA859957_at</td>
<td>moderate similarity to NADH dehydrogenase 1 beta subcomplex, 4</td>
<td>1152.9</td>
<td>706</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rc_AL10725_s_at</td>
<td>calnexin</td>
<td>686.4</td>
<td>356.8</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>2.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M86870_at</td>
<td>protein disulfide [isomerase]-related protein (calcium-binding protein)</td>
<td>465.7</td>
<td>239.4</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>1.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X54793_at</td>
<td>heat shock protein 60 (liver)</td>
<td>1504.5</td>
<td>816.4</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>1.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Down-regulated</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rc_AA891651_g_at</td>
<td>moderate similarity to NADH dehydrogenase acyl carrier chain, mitochondrial</td>
<td>348</td>
<td>705.1</td>
<td>-0.8</td>
<td>0.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rc_AA818226_s_at</td>
<td>cytochrome c oxidase, subunit 4a</td>
<td>2388.7</td>
<td>5302.6</td>
<td>-0.9</td>
<td>0.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rc_AA900199_s_at</td>
<td>Rattus norvegicus DD6C4-4 mRNA, partial sequence</td>
<td>345.5</td>
<td>695.8</td>
<td>-0.9</td>
<td>0.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rc_AA892041_at</td>
<td>peroxiredoxin 6</td>
<td>411.5</td>
<td>849.8</td>
<td>-1.2</td>
<td>0.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rc_AL102505_g_at</td>
<td>cytochrome c oxidase, subunit VIIIa</td>
<td>1560</td>
<td>3382.2</td>
<td>-1.3</td>
<td>0.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rc_AL177256_at</td>
<td>Transcribed sequences</td>
<td>263.6</td>
<td>986.5</td>
<td>-1.5</td>
<td>0.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rc_AA963674_at</td>
<td>eukaryotic translation elongation factor 2</td>
<td>1132.1</td>
<td>3972.2</td>
<td>-1.6</td>
<td>0.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Z78279_at</td>
<td>collagen, type 1, alpha 1</td>
<td>146.9</td>
<td>327.3</td>
<td>-1.7</td>
<td>0.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rc_AA945054_s_at</td>
<td>cytochrome b5</td>
<td>186.3</td>
<td>637.5</td>
<td>-1.9</td>
<td>0.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J05425cds_s_at</td>
<td>cytochrome c oxidase, subunit 4a</td>
<td>218.9</td>
<td>1097.6</td>
<td>-2.7</td>
<td>0.15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 2. Genetic networks up-regulated by pioglitazone treatment analyzed by Pathway Analysis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Network ID</th>
<th>Genes in Network</th>
<th>Score</th>
<th>Focus Genes</th>
<th>Top Function</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>APOB, BACE, CANX*, CDK4, ERP70, GAPD, HSP60, HSPA4, HSP5, HSPCA, IGK8, MYC, PPP1CC, PSMA1, PSMA2, PSMA3, PSMA4, PSMA5, PSMA6, PSMA7, PSMA1, PSMA10, PSMB2, PSMB3, PSMB4, PSMB5, PSMB6, PSMB7, PSMB8, PSMB9, SERPINA1, TRA8, TRY, TYRP1, VWF</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>Cell cycle, Cell-to-Cell Signaling and Interaction, Cancer</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Bold - Up-regulated function genes.** Gene/Protein identified that made the user-defined cutoff and map to the Global Molecular Network are displayed with bold text. *-Duplicates. Gene IDs marked with asterisks indicate that multiple identifiers from our input list mapped to a single gene in the Global Molecular Network.

CANX, calnexin; ERP70, endoplasmic reticulum stress protein 72; HSP60, heat-shock protein 60; HSP5, heat-shock 70 kD protein 5; HSPCA, heat-shock protein 86; PSMB4, proteasome subunit beta type4.
Fig. 4. A network of genes commonly regulated after pioglitazone treatment. Seven genes that were up-regulated in pioglitazone-treated stomach were analyzed by the Ingenuity Pathway Analysis tool. The shown major network that was found to be significantly up-regulated by pioglitazone was associated with cell cycle, cell-to-cell signaling and interaction, and cancer. Shaded genes are the genes identified by microarray analysis and others are those associated with the regulated genes based on the pathway analysis. The meaning of the node shapes is also indicated. Asterisks indicate genes that were identified multiple times.

Fig. 5. Quantitative real-time PCR for genes up-regulated by pioglitazone treatment. cDNA was prepared from gastric mucosa, and PCR was performed using an ABI 7300. The bars show levels of expression of each gene normalized to that of β-actin.
ER stress activation was associated with an attenuation of cytokine signaling in pancreatic β-cells.129

In summary, we confirmed the expression of PPAR-γ in the rat gastric mucosa, and determined that the gastroprotective action of PPAR-γ ligand was dependent on the activation of the PPAR-γ receptor. Finally, we demonstrated that DNA microarray was a highly effective way to generate a novel hypothesis on mechanism of action of PPAR-γ ligand. In conclusion, activation of PPAR-γ may represent a novel therapeutic approach for the therapy of gastric inflammation.
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CINC cytokine-induced neutrophil chemoattractant
CMC carboxymethyl cellulose
EMSA electromophoretic mobility shift assay
I-R ischemia-reperfusion
MPO myeloperoxidase
PPAR peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor
RT-PCR reverse-transcription polymerase chain reaction
TZD thiazolidinedione

References
