Estimate of dietary phosphorus intake using 24-h urine collection
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Increases in serum phosphorus levels and dietary phosphorus intake induces vascular calcification, arterial sclerosis and cardiovascular diseases. Limiting phosphorus intake is advisable, however, no assessment methods are capable of estimating dietary phosphorus intake. We hypothesized that urinary phosphorus excretion can be translated into estimation of dietary phosphorus intake, and we evaluated whether a 24-h urine collection method could estimate dietary phosphorus intake. Thirty two healthy subjects were recruited for this study. Subjects collected urine samples over 24 h and weighed dietary records. We calculated dietary protein intake and phosphorus intake from dietary records and urine collection, and investigated associations between the two methods in estimating protein and phosphorus intake. Significant positive correlations were observed between dietary records and UC for protein and phosphorus intake. The average intakes determined from dietary records were significantly higher than from urine collection for both protein and phosphorus. There was a significant positive correlation between both the phosphorus and protein difference in dietary records and urine collection. The phosphorus-protein ratio in urine collection was significantly higher than in dietary records. Our data indicated that the 24-h urine collection method can estimate the amount of dietary phosphorus intake, and the results were superior to estimation by weighed dietary record.
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Serum phosphorus levels are usually maintained in the normal range of 2.5 mg/dl to 4.5 mg/dl, however, disorders of the kidney decreases phosphorus excretion in the urine and increases serum phosphorus levels. It has been reported that an increase in serum phosphorus levels induces vascular calcification, arterial sclerosis and cardiovascular diseases.1,2 In addition, serum phosphorus levels and dietary phosphorus intake are associated with mortality in dialysis patients.3,4 In healthy individuals, a high dietary phosphorus intake increases serum phosphorus levels5 and impairs endothelial function.6 Therefore, it is advisable that both kidney failure patients and healthy individuals should avoid having too much phosphorus.

Recently, energy and protein excesses have become a problem in Japanese diets due to westernized food habits,7 including intake of processed foods which have improved preservative qualities and palatability. Dietary protein is correlated with dietary phosphorus,8,9 and processed foods contain relatively large amounts of phosphorus as food additives. According to Japan’s National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey, 2008, phosphorus consumption is approximately 970 mg/day10: recommended intake amounts of phosphorus are 1,000 mg/day for adult males and 900 mg/day for adult females in Japan.11,12 However, this survey didn’t take account of dietary phosphorus intake from food additives, so the actual dietary phosphorus intake is considered to be higher.

Weighed dietary records are used as the ‘gold standard’ method in assessing nutrient intake. However, previous studies have revealed that weighed dietary records often over- or underestimate food intake12–14; there is no requirement for the phosphorus content in processed foods to be included on the nutrition label,15 and fluctuations in phosphorus content due to cooking loss, production region and picking seasons are not considered. Assessing ‘true’ dietary phosphorus intake is extremely difficult due to the absence of assessment methods capable of estimating dietary phosphorus intake. Development of valid assessment methods is increasingly needed.

We focused on a 24-h urine collection method. Urinary nitrogen and sodium excretions as recovery biomarkers for protein and salt intake, respectively, have been routinely used in clinical practice using 24-h urine collection.16,17 We hypothesized that urinary phosphorus excretion could be used to estimate dietary phosphorus intake. The purpose of this study was to evaluate whether a 24-h urine collection method could be used to estimate dietary phosphorus intake.

Materials and Methods

Subjects. Thirty two healthy subjects (14 males and 18 females) between 21 and 28 years were recruited for this study. We performed physical measurements and biochemical examinations of blood to show that subjects had no health problems. The clinical and biological characteristics of the subjects are shown in Table 1. All subjects gave informed consent and the ethical committee of the University of Shizuoka approved this study.

Protocol. Subjects performed 24-h urine collection and weighed dietary records. Subjects were asked to avoid participation in heavy exercise during the study. Subjects weren’t limited in any other activities or dietary intake and were prescribed to spend a day as normal. On the following morning, subjects presented weighed dietary records, pictures of foods they consumed and 24-h urine samples. Blood was collected from subjects after sufficient rest. Subjects participated three times in these exams with at least one day intervals.

24-h urine collection method. Subjects performed the 24-h urine collection method using URIN-MATEP (Sumitomo Chemical, Japan). Subjects performed two 24-h urine collections, and samples over 24 h and weighed dietary records. We calculated urinary phosphorus excretion using 24-h urine collection. We focused on a 24-h urine collection method. Urinary nitrogen and sodium excretions as recovery biomarkers for protein and salt intake, respectively, have been routinely used in clinical practice using 24-h urine collection.16,17 We hypothesized that urinary phosphorus excretion could be used to estimate dietary phosphorus intake. The purpose of this study was to evaluate whether a 24-h urine collection method could be used to estimate dietary phosphorus intake.
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Y. Morimoto calculated UC-Pro using Maroni’s formula collection (UC), of protein (UC-Pro) and phosphorus (UC-P). We measured urine creatinine levels and urine inorganic phosphorus levels. Weighing the total volume of urine samples, we dispensed them into storage vessels for storage at 4°C until use. The analysis company, SRL Inc. (Tokyo, Japan) measured urine creatinine (Cre), sodium (Na), potassium (K), chloride (Cl), calcium (Ca), phosphorus (P-Pi), intact parathormone (iPTH) by the analysis company, SRL Inc.

**Statistical analysis.** Data were described as means ± SD. The data were tested for normality using the Shapiro-Wilk test. All statistical analyses were performed with SPSS Statistic, ver. 19.0 for Windows (1989–2010, SPSS, Inc., an IBM Company, Chicago, IL) and were considered statistically significant at p<0.05.

Spearman’s rank correlation coefficient was used to evaluate association between DR and UC for phosphorus and protein, and between phosphorus and protein of DR and UC. Wilcoxon signed rank test was used to compare means and the phosphorus-protein ratio between DR and UC. Pearson’s correlation coefficient was used to evaluate association between the difference in DR and UC. Bland-Altman plots were used to evaluate the difference between DR and UC in further detail.

**Results**

The characteristics of the subjects are shown in Table 1. Mean age was 22.1 ± 1.5 years and body mass index was 21.1 ± 2.6 kg/m². Subjects had normal glucose and lipid metabolism, hepatic function and renal function.

Positive correlations were observed between DR and UC for protein and phosphorus (r = 0.553, p<0.001) (Fig. 1).

The average dietary protein intake from DR and UC was 71.1 ± 27.1 g/day and 56.6 ± 15.8 g/day, respectively (p<0.001). The average phosphorus intake was 1,051 ± 386 mg/day and 943 ± 323 mg/day, respectively (p<0.01). The average intakes from the DR were significantly higher than from UC for both protein and phosphorus (Fig. 2).

A positive correlation between protein and phosphorus was observed in the DR (r = 0.930; p<0.001) and UC (r = 0.833; p<0.01) (Fig. 3A and B). We then analyzed the correlation between the difference in phosphorus and protein between DR and UC (DR-UC) and observed a significant positive correlation (r = 0.745; p<0.001) (Fig. 3C).

Bland-Altman plots for protein and phosphorus are shown in Fig. 4A and B. The mean differences between DR and UC and their corresponding 95% CIs were 14.5 g, 10.1 to 19.1 for protein and 108 mg, 38 to 179 for phosphorus. The data suggested a fixed bias and proportional bias for protein and phosphorus, respectively. Furthermore, we calculated a correction phosphorus value (CO-P) without the effect of DR-Pro, and analyzed the difference between CO-P and UC-P. However, the values did not show an exact match (mean difference −96; 95% CI −143 to −49; p=0.05) (Fig. 4C).

Fig. 5 demonstrates the phosphorus-protein ratio. The phosphorus-protein ratio in DR was nearly 15, and was significantly lower than the ratio in UC (15.1 ± 2.2 mg/g, and 16.6 ± 3.3 mg/g, respectively; p<0.001).

**Discussion**

There was a significant positive correlation between DR-Pro and UC-Pro and between DR-P and UC-P. Thus, it was suggested that dietary phosphorus intake could be estimated from the 24-h urine collection method. The average intake of both phosphorus and protein were significantly higher from DR compared with UC. In the present study, we estimated food intake using pictures, and interviews when subjects were unable to weigh the food. Even if the subjects were able to weigh the food, they may have failed to...
take account of the weight change during cooking. Thus, weighed dietary records can estimate the inaccuracy in food intake measurements. It is reported that people with a high BMI tend to underestimate how much they eat. In addition, the difference in dietary protein intake between weighed dietary records and 24-h urine collection tends to increase as people age. This has also been reported in type 2 diabetes. Based on the above, weighed dietary records are likely to cause errors dependant upon the characteristics of the subjects. Furthermore, the amount of phosphorus in foods isn’t necessarily in agreement with food labels, and that’s why there is a difference between weighed dietary records and 24-h urine collection.

It is reported that phosphate intake correlates with dietary protein intake. In the present study, there were significant positive correlations between DR-P and DR-Pro and between UC-P and UC-Pro. We suggest that the difference in phosphorus between DR and UC was affected by the difference in protein in these two groups. We analyzed the correlation between the difference in phosphorus and the difference in protein for DR and UC: we observed a significant positive correlation. Our results suggested that the difference in phosphorus was associated with the difference in protein in DR and UC.

Bland-Altman plots were performed to evaluate in further detail the difference in phosphorus and dietary protein intake between

Fig. 1. Correlation between dietary record and 24-h urine collection. Correlation between dietary record (DR) and 24-h urine collection (UC) for protein (A) and phosphorus (P) (B). Spearman’s correlation coefficients ($r_s$) and their $p$ values for $r_s = 0$ are presented for each association.

Fig. 2. Average protein and phosphorus intake in dietary record and 24-h urine collection. Values are mean ± SD. Average intake in DR and UC for protein (A) and phosphorus (P) (B). *$p < 0.001$, **$p < 0.01$: DR vs UC by Wilcoxon signed-rank test.

Fig. 3. Correlation between phosphorus and protein intake in each dietary record and 24-h urine collection and their differences. Correlation between phosphorus (P) and protein intake in each dietary record (DR) (A), and 24-h urine collection (UC) (B) and differences between DR and UC for protein and P (C). Spearman’s correlation coefficient ($r_s$), Pearson’s correlation coefficient ($r_p$) and their $p$ value for $r_s = 0$ and $r_p = 0$ are presented for each association.
The present study found that dietary and 24-h urine collection showed a larger difference between DR and UC. It has been suggested that the difference in protein and phosphorus intake was most likely caused by estimation error in the DR. In addition, the observed proportional error which showed greater intake of protein or phosphorus, produced a larger difference between DR and UC. The mean differences in both dietary phosphorus and urinary phosphorus excretion were significantly higher than those in DR. We hypothesized there were two reasons for this: first, food additives such as preservatives and pH adjusters which contain phosphate may be used in processed foods (disodium phosphate, sodium acid pyrophosphate). Recently, dietary phosphorus intake from food additives has rapidly increased. Phosphorus derived from food additives weren't included in the weighed dietary records which is why phosphorus-protein ratios in DR were lower than those in UC. The second reason may be the difference in phosphorus absorption rates. Dietary phosphorus has two main sources: one is organic, including animal and vegetarian protein, the other is inorganic, mostly food preservatives. Inorganic phosphorus is presented as a salt that more readily disassociates and is more readily absorbed than organic phosphorus in the intestine. In addition, it is reported that animal and vegetable phosphorus absorption rates differ: in patients with advanced chronic kidney disease (CKD), serum phosphorus levels were lower after eating a vegetable protein diet compared with animal protein. Dietary animal-derived or supplement-derived serum phosphorus levels and urinary phosphorus excretion were significantly higher than plant-derived levels in healthy individuals. Dietary phosphorus intakes could be estimated from the 24-h urine collection method used in this study but it could not be estimated from weighed dietary records because it is unable to take into account differences in absorption rates. In maintenance hemodialysis patients, phosphorus absorption was reduced as calcium intake increased, suggesting that phosphorus absorption depends on the balance with calcium intake.

In conclusion, we suggest that the 24-h urine collection method can estimate the amount of dietary phosphorus intake, and it is a superior method to using weighed dietary records. Further studies on phosphorus absorption and interaction with other nutrients are now required.
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Abbreviations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Acronym</th>
<th>Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BMI</td>
<td>body mass index</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ca</td>
<td>calcium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CCr</td>
<td>creatinine clearance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CKD</td>
<td>chronic kidney disease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cl</td>
<td>chloride</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO-P</td>
<td>correction phosphorus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cre</td>
<td>creatinine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DR</td>
<td>dietary intake estimated from dietary records</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DR-P</td>
<td>phosphorus intake estimated from DR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DR-Pro</td>
<td>protein intake estimated from DR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HbA1c</td>
<td>hemoglobin A1c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDL-Cho</td>
<td>high-density lipoprotein cholesterol</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>iPTH</td>
<td>intact parathormone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K</td>
<td>potassium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDL-Cho</td>
<td>low-density lipoprotein cholesterol</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na</td>
<td>sodium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S-Pi</td>
<td>serum phosphorus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TG</td>
<td>triglyceride</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TP</td>
<td>total protein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UC</td>
<td>dietary intake estimated from urine collection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UC-P</td>
<td>phosphorus intake estimated from UC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UC-Pro</td>
<td>protein intake estimated from UC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UN</td>
<td>urea nitrogen</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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