Reduction of Electrical Contact Resistance between Components of Solid Oxide Fuel Cells
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Aiming at lowering contact resistance between SOFC components, we produced an Air-Side Electrical Connector (ASEC) which deforms easily under compressive load at high temperature. The ASEC was prepared by soaking a cloth of a carbon fiber with a (La₀·₇₀Sr₀·₃₀)₀·₅₀MnO₃ (LSM) slurry and firing at 1200°C. The porous ASEC was then pressed at a temperature of 1500°C. It deformed easily, and its thickness changed to be 38%, 46%, and 58%, of the pre-deformed one under a stress of 98 kPa, 49 kPa, and 20 kPa, respectively. Dense LSM bulks or (La₀·₇₀Ca₀·₃₀)₀·₅₀O₂ (LCC) bulks were bonded with the ASEC as an intermediate material at 1500°C. The contact resistances at 1000°C in air between the LSM and the ASEC, and between the LCC and the ASEC were 1.1 mΩ cm² and 4.2 mΩ cm², respectively, at the bonding condition of 98 kPa. The difference was attributed to the formation of a new perovskite phase which has high electrical resistance at the interface between the LCC and the ASEC. However, the estimated I–R drop at the contact of LSM/LCC under the cell current density of 0.3 A cm⁻² was 4 mV, an efficiently low value for practical use.
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1. Introduction

Solid Oxide Fuel Cells (SOFC)¹⁻¹¹⁻¹³ have attractive features, such as high energy conversion efficiency, low emission of pollutant gases, no noise, and high flexibility for fuel selection. We have developed planar type SOFC, aiming at higher power density and mass productivity. Figure 1 shows our ceramic gas separator. Button-shaped LaCrO₃ current passages¹⁰ are placed on MgO–MgAl₂O₄ separator plate. These smaller passages are very effective for lowering manufacturing cost, and suppressing a bowing problem¹¹ under the gradient of oxygen partial pressure.

This structure of the separator divides the cell current into twenty four (24) LaCrO₃ current passages. The current density in the button-shaped LaCrO₃ is much higher than the one in the plate type LaCrO₃. To obtain excellent stack performance, the uniform and reliable electrical contact between the current passage and a current collector is needed.

In this study, we focused on the electrical contact between a (La₀·₅₀Sr₀·₅₀)₀·₅₀MnO₃ (LSM) current collector and a (La₀·₇₀Ca₀·₃₀)₀·₅₀O₂ (LCC) current passage. To obtain a good contact, we prepared an Air-Side Electrical Connector (ASEC) which deformed easily like a sponge at the elevated temperature. The ASEC was sandwiched between the LSM bulks or the LCC bulks, and they were bonded under the compressive stress at high temperature. The thickness change during the bonding process was monitored. The contact resistances between the LSM and the ASEC, and that between the LCC and the ASEC were evaluated.

2. Experimental

The flow of the sample preparation is shown in Fig. 2. The ASEC is made of (La₀·₅₀Sr₀·₅₀)₀·₅₀MnO₃ (LSM), Lanthanum acetate (Mitsuwa Chemical Co., Ltd., Extra Pure), Sr acetate (Kanto Chemical Co., Ltd.), Mn acetate (Kanto Chemical Co., Ltd.) were used as starting materials. They were weighed and mixed in water with a ball milling machine for 24 h. They were dried, decomposed at 400°C for an hour, then ground, pressed, and fired at 1200°C for 5 h. The fired body was ground into the powder. The LSM slurry was obtained by mixing the LSM powder, a binder, a plasticizer and solvent. A felt of the carbon fiber (Nippon Carbon Co., Ltd., GF–20) which was 3 mm in thickness was used as a pore-former. The felt was soaked into the LSM slurry in a vacuum vessel. The felt was dried, cut into the size of 25 mm in diameter, then fired at 1200°C. A porous...
A SEC was obtained. To evaluate deformations of the ASEC under the compressive stress at the elevated temperature, the ASEC was treated as following. The ASECs were sandwiched between the dense LSM bulks (ϕ 25 mm × 15 mm). The sandwiches were set in an electric furnace with the different compressive stress; 20 kPa, 49 kPa, and 98 kPa. The sandwiches were heated up and held at 1000°C for one hour and cooled down. Then they were heated up again to the temperature which was 50°C higher than the former heat treatment. As a final heat treatment, they were held at 1500°C for one hour. Their thickness was measured after each heat treatment.

The bonding test was carried out with the two plates of the ASEC and the dense materials. One plate of the ASEC was sandwiched between dense LSM bulks (ϕ 25 mm × 15 mm) and the other was sandwiched between dense LCC bulks[12,13] (ϕ 25 mm × 15 mm). The LSM slurry was screen printed on the surfaces of the LSM and the LCC as a bonding agent. They were bonded at the different compressive stress of 20 kPa, 49 kPa, and 98 kPa for five hours at 1500°C in air. The bonded was machined into the sizes of 3 × 3 × 20 mm³ for the sample A and B as is shown in Fig. 3. The dense LSM and the LCC were also machined into the size of 2 × 2 × 20 mm³ for the sample C and D. Their electrical conductivity was measured in air with the DC four probe method at the temperature range between 700°C and 1000°C. The distance between the probes were 10 mm and the sample current was 100 mA.

To evaluate the chemical stability at the interface between the LSM and the LCC, their powders were mixed at the mass ratio of 1:1, then pressed and sintered at 1500°C for five hours. The sintered body was analyzed by X-ray diffraction for detecting reaction products. In addition, it was machined into the size of 2 × 2 × 20 mm³ (sample E) for electrical conductivity measurement.

3. Results and discussion

The deformations of the ASEC under the compressive stress at the elevated temperature were evaluated. The results were shown in Fig. 4. The vertical axis denotes the thickness which was normalized with the thickness of pre-compression. At the temperature lower than 1100°C, the thickness did not change at the compressive stress of 20 kPa, 49 kPa, and 98 kPa. The sample started being deformed at 1200°C at the stress of 98 kPa, and its thickness changed to be 38% of the former one after 1500°C treatment. The deformation started at 1250°C and 1300°C at the compressive stress of 49 kPa and 20 kPa, respectively. Their thickness changed to be 46% and 58%, respectively. The porosities of the samples after 1500°C treatment were examined. Their densities were calculated with their sizes and weights. The density of (La0.8Sr0.2)0.82MnO3 measured by using a pycnometer was 6.20 × 10⁹ kg m⁻³. With the measured density, their porosities were calculated to be 57%, 46%, and 35% at the compressive stress of 20 kPa, 49 kPa, and 98 kPa, respectively. Figure 5 shows the cross section of the ASEC which was compressed at 1500°C and 98 kPa. Near the edge of ASEC, compressed and non-compressed parts were observed. These photographs prove the large deformation of the ASEC. This deformation should be very effective for obtaining the uniform and reliable contact shown in Fig. 1.

Figure 6 and Table 1 show the electrical conductivity of the sample A and C at different temperatures. The sample A was prepared by bonding the LSM and the
ASEC, while the sample C was the LSM only. The sample A\(^7\) had the conductivity of 160 S cm\(^{-1}\) and the activation energy of 11.7 kJ mol\(^{-1}\), which were almost the same as the sample C had. It suggests that the interface between the LSM and the ASEC had a negligibly low contact resistance. On the other hand, the sample B which was prepared by bonding the LCC and the ASEC, had the lower conductivity of 10 S cm\(^{-1}\) and the higher activation energy of 20.3 kJ mol\(^{-1}\) as is shown in Fig. 7. From these data, it may be inferred that there was a chemical product at the interface between the LCC and the ASEC. In a previous paper,\(^8\) we reported that the element of Ca and Cr in (La\(_{0.9}\)Ca\(_{0.1}\))CrO\(_3\) diffuse into (LaSr)MnO\(_3\) beyond their interface during the high temperature bonding. The chemical composition of the components near the interface would be changed. To simulate the interface, LSM and LCC powders were mixed and fired. The fired body was examined by X-ray diffraction. The result was shown in Fig. 8. The mixture had no other phases except for a perovskite one. Its lattice constant was between those of LSM and LCC. The conductivity of the mixture was also evaluated and shown with the symbol V in the Fig. 7. The mixture had the lower conductivity than LSM and LCC. Its activation energy was 28.3 kJ mol\(^{-1}\), much higher than that of LSM and LCC.

![Fig. 5. Cross sections of the bonded interface between the LSM and the ASEC. Photo B (SEM image) is an enlarged part of photo A (optical microscope image).](image)

![Fig. 6. Electrical conductivity of the samples A and C. The sample A is prepared by bonding the dense LSM bulk with the ASEC as an insert layer. The sample C is made of the dense LSM.](image)

![Fig. 7. Electrical conductivity of the samples B, D and E. The sample B is prepared by bonding the dense LCC bulk with the ASEC as an insert layer. The samples D and E are made of the dense LCC and the LSM + LCC mixture, respectively.](image)

**Table 1. Density and Electrical Conductivity at 1000°C**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Material</th>
<th>Size/mm(^3)</th>
<th>(\rho)/10(^{-4})kgm(^{-3})</th>
<th>(\sigma)/S cm(^{-1})</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>LSM(<em>{0.8})ASEC(</em>{0.2})/LSM</td>
<td>3 × 3 × 20</td>
<td>5.87</td>
<td>164</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>LCC(<em>{0.8})ASEC(</em>{0.2})/LCC</td>
<td>3 × 3 × 20</td>
<td>5.85</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>LSM</td>
<td>2 × 2 × 20</td>
<td>5.93</td>
<td>166</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>LCC</td>
<td>2 × 2 × 20</td>
<td>3.90</td>
<td>10.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>LSM + LCC</td>
<td>2 × 2 × 20</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

LSM: dense \((\text{La}_{0.8}\text{Sr}_{0.2})\text{MnO}_3\)

ASEC: porous \((\text{La}_{0.8}\text{Sr}_{0.2})\text{MnO}_3\) for electrical connection.

LCC: dense \((\text{La}_{0.8}\text{Co}_{0.2})\text{CrO}_3\)

The setup for measuring the contact resistances\(^6\) between the LSM bulk and the ASEC, and between the LCC and the ASEC, were shown in Fig. 3. The contact resistances were calculated as Eqs. (1) and (2).

\[
R_{\text{cont.(LSM)}} = 0.5\left(R_{\text{all(A)}} - R_{\text{LSM}}\right) \\
R_{\text{cont.(LCC)}} = 0.5\left(R_{\text{all(B)}} - R_{\text{LCC}}\right)
\]
where, $R_{\text{cont}-\text{LSM}}$, $R_{\text{LSM}}$, and $R_{\text{LSM}}$ are contact resistance between the ASEC and the LSM, overall resistance of the bonded sample of LSM/ASEC/LSM, and the resistance of the LSM. It is obvious that the $R_{\text{cont}}$ includes the resistance of the ASEC. Table 2 and Fig. 9 show the results of the calculated contact resistances. The horizontal axis of the figure denotes the compressive stress of the bonding. The contact resistances between the LSM and the ASEC were 6.7 mΩ·cm², 1.9 mΩ·cm², 1.1 mΩ·cm² at the bonding condition of 20 kPa, 49 kPa, and 98 kPa, respectively. The interface between the LCC and the ASEC has higher electric contact resistance than the one between LSM and ASEC. The contact resistances were 5.0 mΩ·cm², 4.2 mΩ·cm² at the bonding condition of 49 kPa, and 98 kPa, respectively. These contact resistances originate from the formation of the perovskite material at the interface, which has a comparatively high electrical resistance.

With the obtained contact resistance at the interface, the $I$–$R$ drop in the gas separator was estimated. The focused contact point in the gas separator is shown in Fig. 1. We used the contact resistance of 1.1 mΩ·cm² for between the LSM and the ASEC, and 4.2 mΩ·cm² for between the LCC and the ASEC. The LCC current passages are 18 mm in diameter, and twenty four (24) LCC pellets are placed on the separator plate. When the 150 cm² cell is operated at the current density of 0.3 A cm⁻², the current of 1.88 A go through in the each LCC current passage. At the interface between the LSM current collector and the LCC current passage, the $I$–$R$ loss of 3.9 mV occurs. We have conducted the SOFC power generation tests. After the test, we had a random sampling; cut off the twenty (20) samples from the stack to evaluate the electrical contact. The contact resistance between the LSM and the LCC was around 5 mΩ·cm². No sample had the resistance higher than 10 mΩ·cm². The developed ASEC was very effective to obtain the reliable contact between the LSM current collector and the LCC current passage.

4. Conclusion

Aiming at lowering contact resistance between SOFC components, we demonstrated the Air-Side Electrical Connector (ASEC) which deforms easily under the compressive load at high temperature. The ASEC was prepared by soaking the cloth of the carbon fiber with the LSM slurry and firing at 1200°C. The dense LSM bulks or the LCC bulks were bonded with the ASEC as an intermediate material at 1500°C. The thickness change of ASEC under the compressive stress was measured. The contact resistances between the LSM and the ASEC, and between the LCC and the ASEC were evaluated. The obtained results lead to the following conclusions.

1. The ASEC deformed easily at the elevated temperature. Its thickness changed to be 38%, 46%, and 58% of the pre-deformed ones under the stress of 98 kPa, 49 kPa, and 20 kPa, respectively.
2. The contact resistances at 1000°C between the LSM and the ASEC, and between the LCC and the ASEC were 1.1 mΩ·cm² and 4.2 mΩ·cm² at the bonding condition of 98 kPa, respectively. The estimated $I$–$R$ drop at the con-
tact of LSM/LCC was limited as low as 4 mV at the cell current density of 0.3 A cm$^{-2}$. It is efficiently low value for practical use. According to the X-ray diffraction and the electrical resistant measurements, the new perovskite phase was recognized to form at the interface between the LCC and the ASEC.
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