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1. Introduction

The development of ceramic-fiber-reinforced ceramic-matrix composites (CMCs) is promising for realizing structural materials combining high strength with improved fracture toughness and damage tolerance. Considerable research efforts have been made on the optimization of a CMC material system recently; including nonoxide CMCs and all-oxide CMCs. Because of the susceptibility of nonoxide CMCs in a high-temperature oxidizing environment, all-oxide CMCs, such as the alumina/alumina system, have been highly expected and have become a strong candidate to meet the mechanical and chemical/thermal requirements for high-temperature applications, because they are inherently stable with oxygen and offer an advantage over currently commercial available nonoxide ceramic-matrix composites.1,2

It is well known that the properties of the interface between the matrix and the reinforcement are essential in all types of fiber-reinforced ceramic-matrix composite. Fiber coating has been confirmed as an effective way to protect fibers from bonding with the matrix during sintering and to achieve debonding and pullout of fibers from matrix during the fracture of dense matrix composites.3-6 For an Al2O3/Al2O3 system, many experimental results have shown that monazite is a suitable interface compound for achieving fiber debonding and pullout, since it forms an effective crack deflection layer, and shows high-thermal and chemical stabilities with alumina.7-12 Furthermore, it can withstand a high temperature for a long-time exposure, e.g., at 1200°C for 1000 h.13,14

In the previous study, a coating technique for fabric clothes was developed.15 The uniform coating of all fibers in bundles or cloths to achieve better pseudoplasticity of the composites has been achieved.16 Furthermore, the effects of fabrication conditions on the room-temperature (RT) mechanical properties of composites using coated fibers were examined.17 However, the temperature of the practical application environment of these composites is generally very high (e.g., above 1000°C), thus, the mechanical properties of the composites at a high temperature should be assessed. Therefore, in this study, we evaluate the influences of sintering condition and fiber volume fraction on the high-temperature mechanical properties of the monazite-coated alumina fiber-reinforced alumina composites.

2. Experimental procedures

Details of the fabrication processes of the composites are described elsewhere,15-17 and simply introduced as follows. The fiber used in this experiment was two-dimensionally woven (0°/90°) α-alumina fabric cloth (Nextel 610, 99 mass% Al2O3, 3M Co., Ltd., USA). One bundle consisted of 420 fibers and the fiber diameter was approximately 10-12 μm. The density, tensile strength and elastic modulus of the as-supplied fiber are reported to be 3.9 g/cm³, 3.3 GPa and 375 GPa, respectively. After desizing, the cloths were dipped into 0.07 mol/l concentration monazite slurry of pH 7. A rotary pump was used to enhance slurry infiltration into fiber bundles, which were then dried. This dipping and drying process was repeated 10 times, and the coating was finally solidified at 600°C for 1 h. Following that, the coated cloths were infiltrated by 5 mass% α-alumina (99.99%, 180 nm, Taiimei Chemical) suspension. The impregnated fabric cloths were laminated alternately with alumina green sheets, which were separately prepared using the doctor blade method. Then the stacked alumina-cloths/alumina-green sheets were pressed using a die
at 20 kPa and heated at 300°C for 24 h in air. Finally, they were hot-pressed at 1200–1300°C at 40 MPa applied pressure in Ar atmosphere for 1 h in a hot-pressing facility (Hi-multi 5000, Fuji Dema Kogyo Co.). The fiber volume fraction ($V_f$) of most of the obtained composite was approximately 35% except for two of the composites for fiber volume examination. The relative densities of these composites hot-pressed at 1200°C with 27 vol%, 35 vol%, and 41 vol% fibers were 80%, 82%, and 85%, respectively. In order to analyze the fracture behavior of the matrix, an alumina green body containing only the green sheets used for the composite preparation was prepared and hot-pressed at 1200°C and 1300°C for 1 h.

The sintered specimens were cut into $3.5 \times 35 \times 35 \text{ mm}^3$ bars along the 0°/90° yarn direction for the measurement of their mechanical properties. The bulk density and porosity of the hot-pressed composite were measured by the Archimedes method using water. Care was taken to avoid excessive removal of water from the surface of the porous specimens. Flexural strength was measured at room temperature (RT) in air and high temperature (HT) in vacuum by the three-point flexural method using a universal testing machine (Type 1185, Instron Co., Ltd., USA). The crosshead speed was 0.1 mm/min with a span of 30 mm. Before the load was applied for the high-temperature test, the specimens were soaked at the test temperature for 30 min to obtain temperature uniformity. Maximum strength at a given temperature was the average of three tested specimens. The fracture surface of the composites was observed by scanning electron microscopy (SEM). The phases produced in the coated fabric cloth after heat treatment at 600°C and 1300°C were analyzed by X-ray diffractometry (XRD).

3. Results and discussion

3.1 Properties of composites at room temperature

The back-scattered scanning electron photograph of monazite-coated alumina fibers in the composite hot-pressed at 1200°C is shown in Fig. 1(A). The bright area, i.e., heavier elements, around fibers should be monazite coating. A relatively uniform coating on the fiber surface was confirmed. The thickness of the monazite coating was estimated to be approximately 200–500 nm using field emission SEM at a high magnification. Fiber debonding and pullout in the composite during fracture are observed as shown in Fig. 1(B). The density of monazite itself was measured to be 5.02 g/cm³ using the bulk specimen prepared from the slurry for the coating and hot-pressed at 1300°C. The value is close to the reported theoretical density (5.13 g/cm³). The XRD patterns of the coated fabric cloths after heat treatment at 600°C and 1300°C showed that there was no other new phase except for La-monazite, as shown in Fig. 2. Thus, all fibers in fiber bundles seemed to be coated by a relatively dense crystalline monazite layer.

Details of the room temperature mechanical properties of the composite using coated fibers were described previously, and are simply summarized as follows. The mechanical properties of the composites were greatly influenced by the sintering conditions, such as sintering temperature and fiber volume fraction. If the sintering temperature was increased, both the density and strength of the composites were improved but the ductility of the composite was suppressed. While the increase in fiber volume fraction caused not only an improvement in maximum strength, but also an improvement in pseudoductility. All composites sintered at a temperature lower than 1250°C using coated fibers showed nonbrittle fracture with fiber debonding and pullout. In the case of the composite sintered at 1200°C under 40 MPa for 1 h, fiber debonding and pullout from matrix were observed, as shown in Fig. 1(B). A monazite layer was observed on both pulled-out fiber surfaces and matrix troughs, as shown in Fig. 1(A), suggesting that
cracks progressed predominantly along the coating surface (coating/fiber or coating/matrix) or within the coating rather than in the matrix. However, the composite sintered above 1250°C became brittle even with coated fibers.

3.2 Properties of composite at high temperature

Figure 3 shows the maximum strength of the composites tested at a high temperature. These composite were sintered at 1200°C, 40 MPa for 1 h with different fiber volume fractions. All composites showed no sufficient strength change from RT to 1100°C regardless of fiber volume fractions, but strength decreased markedly at more than 1150°C. The strength of composites fabricated under the same conditions increased with fiber volume fraction at all measurement temperatures. In order to clarify the state of the fiber and matrix in the composites, the HT strengths of the fiber, the alumina matrix and the composite are compared in Fig. 4. The RT strength of the alumina matrix sintered at 1200°C under 40 MPa for 1 h was 196 MPa. At 1100°C, the strength of the fibers retained more than 60% RT strength (RT strength of fiber: 3.3 GPa), while the strength of the matrix retained 42% of RT strength. At 1150°C, the strength of the matrix was further decreased to 23% RT strength. It should be noted that the strength retention value of the fiber was still up to 45% RT strength at this temperature. Actually fiber strength would be mainly determined during fabrication process temperature of the composite, i.e., 1200 or 1300°C, and may not largely be influenced by the test temperature below the process temperature. In other words, it is believed that the fiber strength in the composite does not significantly change up to 1200°C in the case of the composites shown in Fig. 3, therefore the maximum strength of the composite was retained up to 1100°C. It is notable that the fibers played a very important role in the HT performance of the composite above 1000°C, even in the marked decrease in strength of the matrix at this temperature region.

Representative flexure load-displacement curves of the composite with 35 vol% of fibers tested at different temperatures are presented in Fig. 5. At RT, the composite showed an initial linear region as all of the other composites fabricated in this experiment. After the maximum load-carrying capacity was attained, there was a gradual decrease in load in accordance with fiber pullout from the matrix and the breaking of fibers in the crack-wake region. This behavior is typical for tough fiber-reinforced CMCs and has been observed in detail for this composite in a previous publication. The curve obtained at 1100°C exhibited the same feature but the elastic modulus of the composite during the increase in load was lower than that of the composite measured at RT. This can be attributed to the gradual decrease in the elastic modulus of the matrix at this temperature. The behavior of the composite tested at 1150°C showed a completely different fracture feature. Besides a slightly lower maximum strength than those obtained at lower temperatures, the initial linear region mostly disappeared. After several small steps of load reduction, a large drop of the load was observed. Finally, nearly a constant load was applied for large displacement, indicating plastic-like deformation. The further decrease in the strength of the
matrix combined with the still higher strength of the fiber correspond to the plastic-like deformation of the composite at this temperature, as mentioned above and indicated in Fig. 4. Monazite in the fiber/matrix interface supplied a weak interface (fracture energy of bulk monazite is 7 J/m²)^3 for fiber debonding and pullout, as shown in Fig. 1(C), which may have enhanced the large deformation of the composite during fracture. It is known that the area under the flexure load-displacement curve is proportional to the work of fracture (WOF). In order to evaluate the WOF value at different test temperatures, the following are supposed. The surrounding area of the load-displacement curve with the displacement axis as a work of fracture is denoted as \( U_{\text{w}l} \), and \( E = U_{\text{w}l}/2S \) is the apparent fracture energy, where \( S \) represents the cross-sectional area of the specimen. At RT, \( E \) was calculated to be 0.88 kJ/m² for the composite hot-pressed at 1200°C, and 40 MPa for 1 h, however at 1100°C and 1150°C, \( E \) became 1.87 kJ/m² and 2.34 kJ/m², respectively. The fracture energy of the composite markedly increased with the increase in test temperature due to the large deformation of the composites at high temperature.

The maximum strength of the composite hot-pressed at different temperatures and tested from RT to 1200°C is shown in Fig. 6. The relative density of the composite sintered at 1300°C was 87%, which is clearly higher than that of the specimen sintered at 1200°C (82%) under the same conditions. The maximum strength of all composites slightly decreased with temperature up to 1100°C, and decreased markedly at more than 1150°C regardless of the sintering temperature. The strength of the composites at elevated temperatures still has the same tendency against sintering temperature as those of composites tested at RT. This indicates that the maximum strength of the composite is mainly determined by the sintering conditions. Flexure load-displacement curves of the composite sintered at 1200–1300°C and tested at 1200°C are shown in Fig. 7. As mentioned before, the fracture behavior of the composite at RT was completely brittle if the composite was sintered at a temperature higher than 1250°C, due to the decrease in fiber strength and increase in matrix strength. It is also noted that all composites tested at RT showed an initial linear increase in load with displacement (linear region) till the first crack in the flexure load-displacement curve appeared. However, the initial linear region disappeared when the composite was tested at 1200°C. This indicates that the elastic modulus of all composites decreases markedly at 1200°C. For the composite sintered at 1200°C, large plastic-like deformation was observed. Thus, the plasticlike deformation of the composite seemed to be the principal mechanism of energy dissipation at this temperature.

For the alumina matrix, the sintering temperature to obtain nearly full density (3.96 g/cm³) is approximately 1300–1500°C. Below this temperature, strength should be weakened by porosity in the alumina matrix. Therefore, increasing sintering temperature has been regarded as an effective way to reduce porosity, and then increase density and strength. The higher matrix density in the composites sintered at a higher temperature may be the reason the composites maintained a relatively higher strength than that of the composites sintered at a lower temperature, as shown in Fig. 6. On the other hand, the fiber strength should decrease with increasing sintering temperature, as mentioned above. As a consequence, the relative strength ratio of fiber to matrix should decrease with increasing sintering temperature. Thus, the relatively higher fiber/matrix strength ratio should be the main reason the larger deformation occurred at the test temperature of 1200°C for the composites sintered at 1200°C, as shown in Fig. 7. The composite sintered at 1300°C and tested at 1200°C showed small inelastic deformation in the initial region and then complete drop of the load after it reached the maximum stress due to the lower fiber/matrix strength ratio. Another possible reason for the change in fracture mode at 1200°C might be attributed to the difference in the size of matrix grains. After higher temperature sintering, i.e., 1300°C, the average grain size of the matrix (360 nm) was larger than that of the composite sintered at a lower temperature, i.e., 1200°C (200 nm), as described in another report.\(^\text{20}\) The yield stress required for creep deformation is strongly related to the grain size of alumina,\(^\text{21–25}\) i.e., larger grain size corresponds to lower creep rate. Thus, the difference in composite performance in the 1200°C-test with sintering temperature should correspond to the differences in fiber/matrix strength ratio and in the grain
that fibers were separated by the coating, but no obvious fiber-pullout could be observed. Thus, it is concluded that the sintering process dominates the fracture properties of the composite at both room and elevated temperature, since fiber properties are very temperature-dependent at more than 1200°C. Fiber-pullout feature would be decided mainly by the combination of matrix strength and fiber strength. If fiber degradation took place and densification of matrix progressed during sintering at a higher temperature, the ductility of composites should be reduced regardless of test temperature.

4. Conclusion

The high-temperature mechanical properties of monazite-coated alumina-fiber/alumina-matrix composite were examined. All of the examined composites had a similar strength when measured from RT up to 1100°C regardless of sintering conditions and fiber volume fraction. Above this temperature, the maximum strength of the composite decreased rapidly. The fracture behavior of the composite hot-pressed at a temperature lower than 1250°C changed markedly at a high test temperature. Fracture energy increased and elastic modulus decreased with increasing test temperature, at the same time, the deformation behavior of the composites changed from pseudoductile to plasticlike in manner. For the composite hot-pressed at a temperature higher than 1250°C, ductility markedly decreased at both room and high temperature even using the coated fibers, since the decrease in fiber strength and the increase in the strength of the matrix layers. It seems that the fiber debonding and pullout are mainly determined by the sintering process, and no obvious change with the test temperature was observed, i.e., the brittle composites at room temperature are still brittle at high temperature, and the high pseudoductile composite still high at high temperature.
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