Excessive Ventilation After Acute Myocardial Infarction and Its Improvement in 4 Months
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The relationship between ventilation (VE) and CO2 output (VCO2) is fitted linearly. The steeper gradient implies excessive ventilation. Through an evaluation of the VE–VCO2 slopes, this study investigated whether patients with acute myocardial infarction (AMI) have excessive ventilation and whether it improved in 4 months. The VE–VCO2 slopes were determined in exercise tests at 1 and 4 months in 131 patients with AMI. Patients were divided into 3 groups according to the 1 month VE–VCO2 slope value: (i) normal (<30); (ii) intermediate (30–32); and (iii) excessive (>32). In the normal group (n=76), at 4 months, the slope decreased in 10, increased in 5 and remained unchanged in 61 patients; in the intermediate (n=31) group, 9, 2 and 20; and in the excessive (n=24) group, 15, 3 and 6, respectively, showing that the slope reduction was greater in the excessive group (p<0.01). The slope correlated with age and acute phase heart failure. The percent reduction of the slope did not correlate with these parameters. In conclusion, a substantial fraction of patients with AMI have excessive ventilation that improves in 4 months. The improvement is greater in patients with greater excessive ventilation but is not associated with an improvement in exercise capacity nor hemodynamics. (Jpn Circ J 2001; 65: 399–403)
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Dyspnea on exertion is associated with excessive ventilatory response to exercise in patients with cardiac or pulmonary diseases. Ventilatory response to exercise has been evaluated using the gradient of the relationship between ventilation (VE) and carbon dioxide output (VCO2) in many reports and with high reproducibility? Because ventilation is promoted to maintain the arterial concentration of CO2, the VE–VCO2 relationship is fitted linearly until the respiratory compensation point, when VE/VCO2 starts to increase and end-tidal carbon dioxide partial pressure starts to decrease, near the point of maximal exercise! Because of this linearity, the slope of the VE–VCO2 relationship can be easily determined regardless of the patient’s effort. The slope correlates with dead space ventilation ratio (VD/VT)? which is augmented by the reduced pulmonary flow relative to ventilation. It has also been reported that ventilatory response to exercise is elevated in several morbid conditions such as pulmonary hypertension; pulmonary diseases and severe left ventricular dysfunction.

In contrast, ventilatory response to exercise is known to be greatly improved after cardiac transplantation. Also, gradient measurement has been used to assess the effect of exercise therapy for patients with heart failure or pulmonary diseases. Niederman reported that only excessive ventilatory response was ameliorated after rehabilitation in patients with obstructive pulmonary disease, without an improvement in resting pulmonary function!

There have been no reports about the ventilatory response to exercise soon after an acute phase in patients with acute myocardial infarction (AMI) and its alteration during the chronic phase. In the present study, we aimed to clarify whether ventilatory response to exercise is increased after AMI and, if so, whether it is improved in a few months. We assessed ventilatory responses to exercise in 131 patients with AMI after participating in a 3-month cardiac rehabilitation program. The patients routinely underwent exercise tests before and after exercise. Also, the relationship between VE and VCO2 and other clinical parameters were analysed to determine which factors altered ventilatory response.

Methods

Patients

Of 172 patients who suffered from AMI, we studied 131 patients who completed a 3-month rehabilitation program and underwent exercise tests 1 month before rehabilitation and 4 month post-rehabilitation, at the National Cardiovascular Center in Japan. The remaining 41 patients were those who did not participate in the rehabilitation program and did not take both exercise tests because of some disability or difficulty affecting participation. Patients who were either older than 76 years, had persistent congestive heart failure, suffered exercise-induced myocardial ischemia, or had serious arrhythmia were excluded from the rehabilitation program. The average age of patients who participated in the program was 60±9 (range 26–75) years. There were 108 male and 23 female patients. The left ventricular ejection fraction measured by the left ventriculography 1 month after the onset of AMI was 49±11%. Phase 2 of the cardiac rehabilitation program was commenced 23±13

days (median 18 days) after the onset of AMI. The first exercise test was performed 35±16 days (median 30 days) and the second test 119±22 days (median 116 days) after AMI. Congestive heart failure in the acute phase was noted in 18 patients. All patients understood the purpose and the procedure of the study, and gave written informed consent.

**Exercise Test**

Within 2 weeks of starting the cardiac rehabilitation program and at 3 months, symptom-limited spiroergometry was performed using the breath-by-breath method with an AE-280 spiroergometer (Minato Co, Ltd, Osaka, Japan) while being monitored by an electrocardiogram and blood pressure. Patients pedaled at 55rpm without added load for 1 min. The work rate was then increased by 15 W per minute until the point of exhaustion. VE, oxygen uptake (VO2) and VCO2 were measured.

**Evaluated Variables**

To evaluate ventilatory responses to exercise, the gradient of the VE–VCO2 relationship (Fig 1) was determined. The VE–VCO2 slope was able to be linearly regressed in all patients until the respiratory compensation point, which is when VE/VCO2 starts to increase and end-tidal carbon dioxide partial pressure starts to decrease,4 with regression coefficients higher than 0.95. Patients were divided into 3 groups according to the VE–VCO2 slope at 1 month: (i) normal ventilatory response group (VE–VCO2 slope<30, n=76); (ii) intermediate ventilatory response group (30≤VE–VCO2 slope≤32, n=31); and (iii) excessive ventilatory response group (32<VE–VCO2 slope, n=24). From our previous data, 95% of 107 healthy people (52±17 years) were included below the VE–VCO2 gradient measurement of 30. Healthy people were never included above the slope measurement of 32. The slopes at 1 month and at 4 months after AMI were compared for each patient. If the slope was statistically significantly decreased, ventilatory response after AMI was considered to be decreased. Ventilation is plotted against VCO2 for a 64-year-old male patient with acute inferior myocardial infarction (left ventricular ejection fraction 54%). Both plottings are linearly regressed until the RC (respiratory compensation) point. The left side of the plot is at 1 month and the right side is at 4 months after AMI. The regressed slope of the VE–VCO2 relationship is significantly reduced from 39 to 26 in 3 months with the aid of cardiac rehabilitation. The unit of the slope is determined by representing the units of VE and VCO2 as L/min.

![Fig1. Relationship between ventilation and CO2 output (Ve–VCO2) at 1 month and 4 months after acute myocardial infarction (AMI) (a representative case). Ventilation is plotted against VCO2 for a 64-year-old male patient with acute inferior myocardial infarction (left ventricular ejection fraction 54%). Both plottings are linearly regressed until the RC (respiratory compensation) point. The left side of the plot is at 1 month and the right side is at 4 months after AMI. The regressed slope of the VE–VCO2 relationship is significantly reduced from 39 to 26 in 3 months with the aid of cardiac rehabilitation. The unit of the slope is determined by representing the units of VE and VCO2 as L/min.](image)

**Cardiac Rehabilitation Program**

After completing phase 1 of the cardiac rehabilitation program in the coronary care unit, patients underwent submaximal treadmill exercise tests at a heart rate up to 75% of the maximum. When the results were negative for ischemia, patients were allowed to enter phase 2 of the rehabilitation program. The program consisted of walking, calisthenics and bicycle ergometer for 90 min, including warm-up and cool-down, 5 days a week under supervision while patients were in hospital. After being discharged, patients exercised 3–5 times a week, which included a combination of gymnastic exercise at the rehabilitation facility and home exercise. Home exercise protocol was after the onset of myocardial infarction; and the presence or absence of congestive heart failure in the acute phase and peak VO2 were evaluated.
VO2 was similar among the 3 groups. However, the percentage of improvement in peak VO2 at 1 and 4 months after AMI compared to the other 2 excessive group showed significantly lower values for peak VO2 at 1 month after AMI and change in the VE–VCO2 gradient. Change in the VE–VCO2 slope was represented as the ratio of the slope at 4 months compared with the slope at 1 month after AMI.

prescribed individually to attain a target heart rate, which was calculated according to Karvonen’s equation as (peak heart rate – resting heart rate)×0.6 + resting heart rate, obtained during the symptom-limited exercise test before discharge.

Statistics

Data are shown as mean±SD. To compare the 2 VE–VCO2 slope relationships at 1 and 4 months, analysis of covariance (ANCOVA) (statistical software STATFLEX, Viewflex Co, Ltd, Tokyo, Japan) was used. Comparisons of parameters among the groups were performed by analysis of variance (ANOVA) and chi-square test. Comparisons of paired parameters at 1 and 4 months were done by paired t-test. To determine whether the independent variables contributed to the VE–VCO2 gradient, multiple regression analysis was used. A p value less than 0.05 was considered significant.

Results

Characteristics of Patients With Excessive Ventilation at 1 Month After AMI

Table 1 summarizes the clinical characteristics of the 3 groups. Patients in the excessive ventilatory response group were older, and showed a higher incidence of congestive heart failure in the acute phase. Although there were no significant differences in the left ventricular ejection fraction or end-diastolic pressure among the 3 groups, the excessive group showed significantly lower values for peak VO2 at 1 and 4 months after AMI compared to the other 2 groups. However, the percentage of improvement in peak VO2 was similar among the 3 groups. Duration from the onset of AMI to the start of phase 2 of the rehabilitation program did not differ among the 3 groups.

Improvement of Excessive Ventilation

Fig 1 shows examples of VE–VCO2 relationships during symptom-limited spiro-ergometry tests in a representative patient who demonstrated a significant improvement in the VE–VCO2 slope within 3 months. In the normal ventilatory response group (VE–VCO2 slope<30), the slope significantly decreased in 10 patients, increased in 5 and remained unchanged in 61 patients. In the intermediate ventilatory response group (30≤VE–VCO2 slope≤32), the slope significantly decreased in 9 patients, increased in 2 and remained unchanged in 20 patients. In the excessive ventilatory response group (32<VE–VCO2 slope), the slope significantly decreased in 15 (63%) patients, increased in 2 and remained unchanged in 7 patients (Fig 2). The fraction of patients who showed a reduction in the gradient value within 3 months was significantly greater in the excessive response group compared to the other 2 groups (p<0.01 by chi-square test). As a whole, ventilatory response improved significantly in 34 of 131 patients (26%).

Fig 3 plots the relationship between the relative reduction in the VE–VCO2 gradient and the 1 month VE–VCO2 gradient value in all 131 patients. There was a significant correlation between the 2 variables, indicating that patients with a more excessive ventilatory response to exercise achieved a greater improvement in their response at 4 months after AMI.

Factors Affecting the Worsening and Improvement of Ventilatory Response

Fig 4 shows the relationship between patients’ age and the VE–VCO2 slope at 1 month after AMI and the relative improvement in the slope. Although older patients exhibited more excessive ventilatory responses at baseline, improvement in the ventilatory response did not correlate with age. Fig 5 shows the relationship between peak VO2 per bodyweight and the VE–VCO2 slope 1 month after AMI (left panel), and the relationship between improvements in the 2 parameters relative to their respective baseline values. The former 2 parameters were weakly correlated but improvements were not, indicating that improvement in ventilatory response was not associated with an improvement in exercise capacity. Patients with acute phase congestive heart failure (CHF) had a worse ventilatory response than those without (VE–VCO2 slope: CHF 32±4, no CHF 29±4, p<0.05), but improvement in ventilatory response was not influenced by a history of heart failure (improvement in the slope: CHF 6±11%; no CHF 4±11%, not significant). Neither left ventricular ejection fraction nor end-diastolic pressure had a significant correlation with the VE–VCO2 slope or an improvement in the slope.
When a multiple regression analysis for the 1 month VE–VCO₂ slope as a criterion variable was done among peak oxygen consumption per bodyweight, age and presence of acute-phase congestive heart failure, only age and a history of heart failure remained as independent contributors to excessive ventilation. Peak VO₂ was correlated well with age (r=0.50).

Discussion

The major new findings of the present study are: (i) among 131 patients with acute myocardial infarction, 24 patients (18%) had an excessive ventilatory response to exercise as assessed by the VE–VCO₂ slope at 1 month after AMI; (ii) of the 24 patients with an excessive response, 15 patients (63%) showed a significant improvement in ventilatory response within 4 months; (iii) the VE–VCO₂ slope value at 1 month after AMI independently was correlated positively with age and was greater in patients with a history of acute phase heart failure; and (iv) conversely, an improvement in the VE–VCO₂ slope did not correlate with the variables of exercise capacity nor hemodynamics.

Previous Studies

Worsened ventilatory response to exercise and its improvement have been reported in patients with atrial fibrillation and after conversion;¹¹ cardiomyopathy and after transplantation;⁸ and with congestive heart failure² and pulmonary disease with an improvement after rehabilitation.¹⁰ However, to our knowledge, excessive ventilation in patients after AMI and an improvement within 4 months have not been reported.

Possible Mechanism of the Excessive Ventilatory Response and Its Improvement

Age Age was independently related to excessive ventilatory response after AMI. The normal values of the VE–VCO₂ slope used in the present study were based on a population that was aged 52±17 years, which is much younger than the average age of patients who participated in the present study. In addition, patients in the excessive ventilatory group were older than patients in either the intermediate or normal group. Therefore, age is related to exercise hyperpnea at 1 month after AMI. But more excessive ventilation was improved further in 4 months, meaning that the improvement is not related to age and is brought about by another mechanism, such as the effect of exercise.

Hemodynamic Factor According to Sullivan, the ventilatory response in terms of the VE–VCO₂ slope was determined by anatomical and physiological Vd/Vt. A physiological cause of an increase in Vd/Vt may be associated with ventilation–perfusion (VA/Q) mismatch. VE–VCO₂ is theoretically determined by Vd/Vt and PaCO₂, but is mostly dependent on Vd/Vt because hyperventilation disappears and PaCO₂ is changed little when exercise is in progress. In the case of converted atrial fibrillation, cardiac output should increase, resulting in reduced Vd/Vt and improved ventilatory response.¹¹ Also, patients who received cardiac transplantation may be able to reduce excessive ventilation by increasing cardiac output and decreasing high pulmonary arterial pressure.⁸ Thus, improvement in the ventilatory response in patients with converted atrial fibrillation and cardiac transplantation are mainly caused by central hemodynamic change.

In the present study, the fact that the hemodynamic parameters such as left ventricular ejection fraction and end-diastolic pressure or the presence of congestive heart failure did not correlate with an improvement in the VE–VCO₂ gradient suggests that improved hemodynamics may not be the main reason for the reduction in excessive ventilation. However, patients with acute phase congestive heart failure had a more excessive ventilatory response, suggesting that hemodynamic abnormality may contribute to hyperpnea at 1 month after AMI. As an increase in physiological dead space and the resulting exercise hyperpnea is caused by pulmonary hypertension and pulmonary diseases,⁷ subclinical pulmonary hypertension or congestion leading to respiratory abnormality, which acute phase congestive heart failure gives rise to, may remain and contribute to excessive ventilation. After 4 months after AMI, hemodynamic improvement and increased pulmonary perfusion might contribute to improved ventilation–perfusion relationship and decreased VE–VCO₂ slope.

Muscular Effect Mancini suggested that muscular changes are connected with ventilatory improvement.¹³ However, in the present study, the improvement in excessive ventilation did not correlate with an improvement in exercise capacity, which is considered to be related to an improvement in muscular oxygen utilization (peripheral effect). This suggests that peripheral changes may not be associated with an improvement in excessive ventilation.

Davey et al claimed that the training effect may be
to clarify which mechanism improves excessive ventilatory response to exercise.

In the present study we did not measure respiratory function with both the exercise tests. Therefore, we cannot completely deny the possibility of improved respiratory function as a mechanism for an improvement in excessive ventilation. In addition, neither respiratory muscle work nor deoxygenation was assessed.

**Clinical Implications**

In the present study, a greater fraction of patients in the excessive ventilatory response group showed improved responses compared to the other 2 groups, and the relative improvement correlated with the severity of excessive ventilation after AMI. This implies that it may be possible for patients with originally impaired ventilatory response to exercise more comfortably and with less sense of dyspnea at 4 months after AMI. This effect might be one of the benefits of exercise in patients with AMI.
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