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The purpose of this study was to examine the impact of an application of Computer-Mediated Communication (CMC) technologies on learning community emergence and students' identity construction in the EFL context. To this end, a web-based course management system called Moodle was used in a general English class at a college. Adopting a sociocultural approach, we had a qualitative examination of the data obtained from students' online discussions. Through the lens of Wenger's (1998) notion of Communities of Practice, we found that learners' online activities facilitated the formation of an online learning community, in which learners constructed their identities. Specifically, with the deepening of the online negotiation, the participants expanded their identities from "we" as students confined in an inconvenient town to "we" as members in the other overlapping communities of the broader context. Thus, they reached a new level of understanding and developed a new sense of self in the community.

1. Introduction

Increasing attention is being given to the integration of Computer-Mediated Communication (CMC) into language education. Focusing on human-to-human communication via computer network rather than human-to-computer communication facilitated by conventional Computer Assisted Language Learning (CALL), CMC and web-based language learning can provide students with powerful learning tools which enable them to have meaningful learning activities through target language, thereby facilitating their second language development. In China and Japan, where most English as a foreign language (EFL) classes focus more on linguistic forms and less on meaningful interactions due to large class size and examination-oriented educational context, CMC can provide EFL learners with an alternative way of using English for a meaningful purpose. More and more researchers in China have realized the importance of collaborative language learning in online environment (Li, 2006; Zhang, 2006; Zhao & McConnell, 2007). Similarly, in Japan there has been a growing interest in using CMC technologies for language teaching and learning purposes through intercultural communication (e.g., Saito & Ishizuka, 2005; Tanaka, 2005).

Whereas much attention has been paid to the effect of online language learning, research on the construction of online communities and learners' identities, especially in the EFL context, has remained largely outside the focus of study. Thus, in order to fully understand the relationship between technology and language learning, research paradigms should be expanded to "engage in critical qualitative research, which takes
into account broad sociocultural factors as well as issues of human agency, identity and meaning" (Warschauer, 1998, p.760). This study, therefore, explores the application of CMC technologies to the EFL context where participants jointly create a virtual learning community and simultaneously construct their own identities. The theoretical framework underpinning this study is the sociocultural theory of language learning, especially Wenger's (1998) Community of Practice (CoP) theory.

2. Research Background

2.1 Language Learning as Social Participation and Collaboration

Recent years have witnessed a new trend of second language acquisition, which perceives language learning as social participation. Underpinning this new trend is sociocultural approach, which argues that language learning is socially situated practice, taking place first on the interpersonal level and then on the intrapersonal level. Unlike traditional language learning as Acquisition Metaphor (AM), Pavlenko & Lantolf (2001) use Participation Metaphor (PM), viewing language learning as a process of participating in and becoming a member of a certain community, which entails "the ability to communicate in the language of this community and act according to its particular norms" (p.155). (See Sfard, 1998, for further discussion of the two metaphors).

Participation without collaboration will not be of much value in language learning. This is because language learning is also a collaborative process (Donato, 1994; Ohta, 2000). Collaborative language learning has been advocated by its proponents as a means by which learners can be engaged in joint problem solving, knowledge building and identity construction. According to Donato (2004), "Collaboration is a powerful concept that moves us beyond reductive input-output models of interaction and acknowledges the importance of goals, the mutuality of learning in activity, and collective human relationships" (pp.299-300). He also addresses its relationship with community and identity, arguing that collaboration can encourage learners to become contributing members of a community and thus help sustain and develop the community.

In order to enhance and sustain learners' participation and collaboration, language teachers should be responsible for facilitating the emergence of supportive learning communities, in which learners can be encouraged to collaboratively engage in meaningful and purposeful joint activities and "represent their voices and identities" (Canagarajah, 2006, p.27). Kumaravadivelu (2006) emphasizes the importance of helping "learners in the formation of learning communities where they develop into unified, socially cohesive, mutually supportive groups seeking self-awareness and self-improvement" (p.178).

Based on sociocultural conception, identity is characterized as situated, temporal, changing and dynamic vis-à-vis a certain community or a number of communities. It can be constantly constructed, negotiated and transformed (Norton, 1995, 1997; Wenger, 1998). This is in contrast to the traditional way of either defining identity as innate or inherent human quality or perceiving it as stable trait over time under constant testing conditions (See James, 1950; Erikson, 1959; Michel, 1976).

It has been widely argued by researchers that language, identity and community are mutually constitutive and inextricably linked. First, language constructs and is needed by community. The use of the common language encourages the sharing of the
participants' previously acquired knowledge and the construction of new meanings, thereby facilitating the emergence of a community. The existence of the community, in turn, helps learners avail themselves of the community resources and the opportunities for negotiation of meaning, and thus enhance their language competence. Second, language is "constitutive of and constituted by a language learner's identity (Norton, 1995)". Weeden (1997) argues that "language is the place where our sense of ourselves, our subjectivity, is constructed" (cited in Kumaravadivelu, 2006, p.71). Thus, language is where one's social identity is constructed and manifested and this identity also in turn determines what kind of language one produces. Third, "building an identity consists of negotiating the meanings of our experience of membership in social communities (Wenger, 1998)". Social identity is understood in the community, without which identity is meaningless.

The theory of CoP founded by Lave & Wenger (1991) and Wenger (1998) can provide important insights for the creation of such learning communities, in which learners construct their identities using the target language. Lave and Wenger (1991) define community of practice as "a set of relations among persons, activity, and world, over time and in relation with other tangential and overlapping communities of practice" (1991, p.98). According to Wenger (1998), a community of practice has three important dimensions: mutual engagement (how it functions), shared repertoire (what capacity it has produced) and joint enterprise (what it is about). Through mutual engagement, members interact with each other in many ways for a common endeavor, referred to as joint enterprise. In this 'doing together', they develop a shared repertoire of common resources of language, ways of doing, artifacts and concepts, by means of which they express their identities as members of the group. Community participation, collaboration and identity formation in communities become the fundamental process of learning.

2.2 Research on Computer-Mediated Communication

In his recent literature review, Kern (2006) summarizes the role of CALL in terms of three metaphors: tutor, tool and medium. By medium, he argues "technology provides sites for interpersonal communication, multimedia publication, distance learning, community participation and identity formation" (p.192). This way of using technology reflects the new stage of CALL development, with CMC as its forefront. Not until the advent of effective CMC was it possible to use computers for genuine communication.

CMC has been found to have a number of beneficial features, which make it a good tool for language learning. CMC reflects both reflective and interactional aspects of language (Warschauer, 1997), gives participants more time and opportunities to express themselves well at their own pace, and provides a learning environment with lower anxiety (Perez, 2003). Apart from the cognitive benefits, studies have shown that CMC can bring many social benefits. Through CMC, participants not only interact with each other for carrying out an assigned task, but more importantly they tune relationship with each other in the target language. Their participation in electronic discussion is no longer a linguistic training, but a cultural and social practice (Hanna & Nooy, 2003).

Social aspect is the crucial dimension of a virtual learning community. The emergence of web learning environments like WebCT and Moodle has made it possible for learners to form virtual learning communities. Whereas a wide range of researchers
(e.g. Rogers, 2000; Guldberg & Pilkington, 2006; Potts, 2006) have begun to show their interest in the creation of virtual communities as basis for better learning condition, very little attention has been paid to the construction of identities and the role it might play on SLA. Thus, this study will explore learners’ changing identities in the emergent online learning community in an EFL context. More specifically, the study will address the following questions:

1. How do EFL learners collaborate with each other on the Moodle?
2. How do they eventually create a community through Moodle tasks and construct their identities?

3. Methodology

3.1 Context

The exploratory study is set within the context of a general English course at a Japanese college. 28 sophomores were enrolled in the course, whose objective is to improve students’ basic discussion skills in English based on a textbook titled *Discussion Matters*. Lessons were given twice a week for a 15-week semester, with each lasting 90 minutes. Moodle was used as a supplement of the face-to-face class with the aim of creating a virtual community, where learners can interact with each other meaningfully in the target language (see Figure 1). Through various tasks, students were encouraged to have discussions and debates via Moodle. They were told that 20 percent of the course grade would be assigned to the online interaction based on the quantity and quality of their postings.

3.2 Moodle

Moodle is a course management system (CMS) — an Open Source software package, which can be redistributed for free and its source code is open for further development. One of the main theoretical underpinnings behind Moodle is social constructivism proposing that "the focus (of Moodle) is not on delivering information; it's on sharing ideas and engaging in the construction of knowledge" (Cole, 2005, p.5). By using Moodle installed in a web server, educators can create a course website and exercise access control, providing an easy way to upload and share handouts and materials, hold online discussions, give quizzes and surveys, and record students' grades. Thus, Moodle has a great potential for fostering collaborative language learning through community building for EFL learners.

3.3 Task

Altogether six Moodle tasks (Task 4 was the focus of the study) were provided with each lasting about three or four weeks. Our aim was to create a virtual community as an adjunct to the face-to-face class, where learners can collaboratively use the target language. To this end, we thought of some ways of giving students a sense of belonging when designing the Moodle interface. For example, we put up the class picture at the top of the site (see Figure 1). We also let students introduce each other by posting to the forum (Task 1). After completing two closed tasks (Tasks 2 and 3), Task 4 was assigned when we felt sure that students had become familiar with this new space for language learning. Students were given the ownership of the process as the topics were left open for discussion and negotiation. Task 4 was a discussion task
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3.4 Data Analysis

After closing the discussion forums, all the posted messages were downloaded for further analysis. Through the comparison of the 4 groups, we found that the members of Group A posted the largest number of messages among all the groups. As the dynamics of the group exchange or collaboration should be identified through detailed analysis of the data, we decided to focus on this group as a unit for analysis so that we could find in details how its members would collaborate with each other to complete the task. This was in line with our original plan, that is, to find out if any community could emerge from the four groups.

We decided to employ a qualitative data-driven method of analysis. That is, instead of assigning predetermined categories, we first segmented each message posted by the group into discrete sentences, which were then examined and coded in a qualitative way. Then, the first author and the second author discussed until they reached 100% agreement upon the coding of the data (shown in Table 1). [TY1] The following example shows how students' postings were segmented and coded:

Excerpt1 (from Zhao' posting)
Hi [Greeting] I agree with Hasegawa's opinion. [Agreement] Yashiro is attractive because of its nature. The cherry blossoms in spring and the changing leaves in autumn are so pretty. It is a good idea to develop tourism so that Yashiro will attract more tourists to enjoy its beautiful scenery. [New opinion] In this way, not only is nature protected, but also the economy will be developed and Yashiro will get its fame. [Reason] Looking forward to your replies! [Inviting opinions] (bold type and parenthesis mine)
Table 1. Emerging Categories

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Praising</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greeting</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recognition</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agreement</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disagreement or doubt</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Self criticism</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New opinions+ reasons</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inviting opinions</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

By segmenting each posting, we analyzed how students progressed through the discussion towards the common values and goals. More importantly, we examined how they interacted with each other and how their interaction facilitated the emergence of the community, in which they constructed their identities.

We will now take a closer look at how learners in the group proceed with their discussion. As Figure 2 shows, when the task was assigned, the discussion was initiated by a student who brought about the transportation issue, arguing that he would improve the transportation if he were mayor. In response, almost all the other participants agreed and they provided three possible solutions—“school bus service”, “weekend bus service” and “city-bus service”. Opinions were divided on the three solutions, with some supporting them and others doubting their practicality. Besides transportation, one participant mentioned the need to build convenience stores near the university in order to make shopping more convenient for students. It can be concluded from the evidence that all the students argued over the issue from their own point of view. They were only concerned with what was convenient for them as students. Interestingly, one participant made a posting containing a proposal beyond students’ point of view arguing that car accidents and air pollution should be decreased. However, she didn't further clarify her opinion but returned to her student’s perspective in her subsequent posting, arguing that mayor’s job is to build a students’ “paradise town”.

Evidence shows a big change in their perspectives during their later discussion. Three postings might be considered to have contributed to this change. First, one
student posted a message doubting the possibility of the students' paradise town. Second, the instructor participated in order to facilitate by validating the contributions and posing an open-ended question. The direct catalyst was the posting made by a student suggesting the necessity of expanding the perspectives. His motive (according to his posting) was from his experience in the face-to-face class, in which a similar topic was picked up from the textbook and opinions were invited from different perspectives. This helped him gain a new insight for the ongoing discussion. His posting triggered a series of positive responses from other participants, who came to realize that they were not only students in the college, but also student teachers, members of the local community, and so on. They began to bring in their knowledge obtained from experiences outside the university to formulate their own opinions taking into consideration the town, its people and environment. For example, one participant suggested the need of delivery service, which might benefit the senior citizens. Another participant who had suggested building more convenient stores near the university later denied her own proposal as she thought it might trouble the neighbors. Others mentioned the coexistence of people and nature through experiences in nature. When choosing between nature and convenience, all the participants agreed nature was the most important. They stated that convenience is secondary and they do not want the convenience at the sacrifice of the environment. Thus, consensus has finally been reached on the mayor's job of preserving nature. As illustrated from the figure, this is in contrast to the original one of building students' paradise town.

4. Results and Discussions

In this section, we will discuss the three findings through qualitative analysis in accordance with the framework of Wenger's CoP theory.

4.1 Change from Displaying Knowledge to Cultural Practice

It is evident that Moodle provided students with a new way of writing — writing as a cultural practice (e.g., Rogiff, 1995), which is different from traditional way of writing — writing as displaying knowledge. In F2F class, the learners' purpose of writing is for the teacher's check or evaluation, which is focused on language form rather than meaning. Their writings are isolated in nature and can only be read by the teacher as an evaluator. The correction based on the teacher's feedback marks the end of the writing process. One learner's work has no or little connection with that of others. In a word, they write just for displaying knowledge. By contrast, evidence shows that the learning environment via Moodle entailed a new way of writing — writing for a meaningful purpose. This was because they wrote as a cultural practice, involving a way of being in the world. Their writings had a wider audience, and they worked towards making their own voices heard, and their contributions recognized by the other participants. Thus, they participated not just as a way of doing the group work, but also a way of communicating who they are as individual participants in relation to the community. They brought in their own values and beliefs based on their own background and experience in the lived world and shared them with others. In other words, they translated who they are into their writing, thereby expressing and developing their identities. This process of collective learning can be characterized as "use value"—writing for genuine communication, which is different from the
conventional process of learning as "exchange value" — writing for evaluation. Accordingly, the teacher's role also changes from an authoritative evaluator assessing the writing itself to a facilitative guide helping learners to engage in communicating with each other for a meaningful purpose. This new way of writing provides learners with opportunities to experience meaningful use of English within a socially situated context.

4.2 Emergence of a Learning Community

Another notable finding of this study is the emergence of an online learning community. We approached the data through the lens of Wenger's three dimensions of a CoP: mutual engagement, joint enterprise, and shared repertoire. They were used as the criteria for determining the existence of this online learning community. We found the three characters of a CoP were present in the online learning environment.

Mutual engagement was characterized as mutual support, respect, and trust. In the process of working towards the goal of "finding ways of improving life in the town", students engaged and motivated each other, and their contributions were valued as important resources. This can be shown from the high ratio of agreement (13), praising (11) and inviting opinions (17) obtained from the data (see Table 1). The following message is one example showing their mutual engagement:

**Excerpt 2 (from Ikuko's posting)**
Hello ☃ conveniece stores and deliverise services are nice ideas. I think that most important things is coexistence (kyouzonn).We should protect nature and our life. So we must try to change disvantage to advantage. what do you think????

As shown in the excerpt above, following the greeting to the other members, Ikuko expressed her appreciation of the ideas proposed in the previous messages, showing that she valued the contributions from the other participants. After stating her own opinion, she ended her message with the invitation of comments from the other members. The exaggerated use of the five question marks suggests her eagerness to receive responses. The way she began and ended her message demonstrates that her work was correlated with those of others and thus becomes part of the whole. In so doing, the participants could benefit not just from their own investment, but more importantly from the investment of others and from this doing together. It can be inferred that the positive relationship the interaction entails might help enhance their mutual engagement.

The presence of a joint enterprise was proved by the constant negotiation and renegotiation of the goal, and the accountability for mutually achieving the goal. Data show the participants negotiated and renegotiated the goal to keep it on track. For example, realizing that the ongoing discussion was off the task, one participant posted the following message:

**Excerpt 3 (from Tah's posting)**
We attended today[s]' class, and I think that it is necessary [to] change the perspective for us. Because these are our hope to students aspect rather than the opinion to mayor aspect. Therefore, I will make a different opinion…
This indicates that they collaboratively built up the knowledge and negotiated meaning for the common goal. Nonetheless, there was not always peace and harmony in this doing together. For example, showing his disagreement with another student's proposal of "building a students' paradise town by setting up more shops", one participant argued:

**Excerpt 4 (from Hanako's posting)**
I think paradise town for students fails. If you will keep managing paradise town for students, what [shop do] you open and how do you di [guarantee] the profit is raised and the shop is continued?

His disagreement was followed by a thought-provoking question, inviting the others to reconsider the proposal in terms of its practicality. This message shows the participants did not always blindly comply with every proposal presented in the forum. They were trying to negotiate for the appropriateness of these proposals. In fact, disagreement and doubt in the forum proved to be a productive part of the enterprise, and they were crucial as they revealed "a greater commitment than does passive conformity" (Wenger, 1998, p.77). Through negotiation, the participants developed a mutual accountability for their joint enterprise. For example, one learner, after a short period of disappearance from the forum, apologized to the other members for her absence and contributed more in the subsequent discussions.

The existence of a shared repertoire was mainly reflected from the shared resources available for their communicative purposes. Two types of resources can be identified in the online learning environment, namely preexistent resources and emerging resources. Preexistent resources refer to different kinds of tools (e.g., online dictionary, glossary) built in the Moodle interface, from which the learners chose for their online activities. They also refer to the shared background knowledge of the place where the participants live and study (e.g., the inconvenience of the town). Emerging resources are the resources the learners developed for negotiation of meaning through their joint pursuit of the common goal over time. As the created resources are permanently recorded in the forum, they could be revisited, reflected on, appropriated and argued over for both their individual goals and the collective goal. These resources consist of opinions, vocabulary, and ways of addressing each other. For example, a shared vocabulary emerged as the result of their interactions, including words like *transportation, delivery service, weekend bus service, convenience stores, school bus service, students' paradise town, environment, and pollution*. The resources not only reflected a history of the mutual engagement but also provided possibility for their future engagement as the common points of reference.

It is worth mentioning that the discussion in this group lasted for another few days after the task was supposed to be closed. This is consistent with Wenger's argument that "a community of practice takes a while to come into being, and it can linger after an official group disbanded" (Wenger, 1997). Thus, with the discussion unfolding, a learning community emerged in a discursive and situated context. In this learning community, where the learners were socially involved, the responsibility for learning was shared, new meanings were developed, and knowledge was collaboratively constructed among the group members. They each used their own knowledge and skills and jointly built new knowledge to contribute to the community endeavor.

The symmetrical relationship between the instructor and the learners contributed
to the emergence of the community. This relationship is illustrated by the following message in the forum. In this example, the instructor posted in response to one student's proposal of having a school bus service:

**Excerpt 5 (from Instructor's posting)**

Tairo, thanks for your contribution.
Having a school bus service sounds very nice. Actually, some private universities run their own buses. However, this means that the cost of the service must be included in your tuition fee. You may think that those who would take the bus service should pay by themselves, but maintaining the service (including drivers, car maintenance, fuels etc.) would cost much more. What do you think?

The instructor did not push his opinion on the student. Instead, he first praised the student's idea and then expressed his personal view that the proposal would be hard to carry out due to the high cost. Finally, he ended his message with the invitation to comment. In so doing, the issue was still left open for the learners to develop their own ideas. It is safe to say, from the message above, that the instructor assumed a facilitative role rather than the instructive role, providing resources, sharing the opinions, and inviting contributions. He positioned himself as an equal member working with the learners for the common enterprise. This demonstrates a more parallel power relationship between the instructor and learners, different from their conventional vertical power relationship. It is evident that the learners did not always align with the instructor's opinions. They sometimes questioned and doubted them or even ignored them. The instructor's role facilitated the learners' easy access to the online discussion and helped create a safe environment, which gives students control over the virtual space.

In sum, this virtual learning community facilitates experience of meaning rather than mechanics of learning. The group product resulted from negotiation process amongst the participants rather than the predetermined design. Thus, the learning that took place in the present study is in line with Wenger's argument that "learning cannot be designed: it can only be designed for—that is, facilitated or frustrated" (Wenger, 1998, p. 229). The existence of the community provided opportunities for learners to maintain meaningful connections and develop culturally and linguistically appropriate techniques to project voice and identity.

**4.3 Construction of Identities**

Along with the emergence of the learning community was the construction of learners' identities. Identity formation is a dual process consisting of identification and negotiability (Wenger, 1998). By identification, participants invest the self and thus obtain their membership. By negotiability, they control over the meanings in which they are invested. This process can be identified in the Moodle discussion. Different from the F2F environment, the members in the virtual environment had to send messages to the forum to show their existence. As Table 1 indicates, *greeting, agreement* and *praising* were frequently shown in their messages. Their *disagreement* and *doubt* were indirect and based on the appreciation and recognition of others' opinions. In so doing, they showed not only their own presence but also their consciousness of the presence of the other members and the common goal of their doing together. Thus, they identified themselves and others with the community.
identity. This reflects a very important dimension of identity formation—identification, by which the participants invested themselves in the community and thus established their membership status. Identification is just part of the picture. In addition, they had to endeavor to claim some say in the ongoing discussion. Based on agreement or disagreement with the previous postings, they proposed their own opinions followed by reasons and examples. Besides, they usually ended their messages with the invitation for contributions from the other members. This showed the other important dimension of identity formation—negotiability, by which the participants tried to influence the meanings invested in the community to claim the ownership of the meaning. This can also be reflected from some strategies they employed. For example, they used code switching (たけんきょうしцу; ありがとう; こんにちは; おはよう) to further clarify their assertions, as they were afraid their English might not reach the other learners' understanding. Among other strategies are exaggerated use of punctuations and emoticons. All these illustrate how they were struggling to make themselves understood and their voices heard. In so doing, they managed to establish themselves and be recognized as legitimate and increasingly competent members of the community. To sum up, they were engaged in identity construction and negotiation by constantly reflecting on who they were vis-à-vis the community in which they were practicing members.

Now through the use of "we", we will further analyze how students constructed their identities. Two different types of "we" were identified in the students' messages: "egocentric we" and "multilayered we". In the earlier postings, the word "we" can be indicative of their identities as college students who live in an inconvenient town asking for a better life. This can be shown from their proposals like "school bus service", "students' paradise town" and so on. Their opinions are limited within the confines of the university campus, which could be observed from the following message:

Excerpt 6 (from Hanako's posting)
I agree with Taiero's and Aya's opinion. Yashiro is located in inconvenient place, so we must have cars. It costs too much money to maintain cars for us. So if I am Yashiro's mayor, I increase public transport and improve transportation. Besides I need to make more shops near the collage. We may not eat any food if I want something to eat. Because college's shop and restaurant close regularly, then we don't buy anything to eat if we don't have car. Therefore if I am Yashiro's mayor, I want to make more shops near the college. (bold type mine)

The message above indicates that this participant was discussing the issue from the point of view of "we" as university students, who only considered the convenience of their own.

In contrast to this egocentric use of "we", the use of "we" in their later postings became multilayered. It was expanded to include their membership in the other communities. They began to talk about taxi drivers, bus company managers, shop owners, and the elderly people, and reconsidered the issues in these people's stance. Furthermore, by using "we", some participants included themselves into the local community calling on each other to protect nature even at the sacrifice of their own convenience. The use of "we" in the later stage of the discussion, therefore, reflected their promoted shared values and understandings. The following message reflects this different use of "we":

Excerpt 7 (from Hanako's posting)
It is important to protect nature even if it is inconvenient for us. If I am the mayor of Yashiro, I will request all the citizens to protect nature. We must consider the needs of all citizens, not just for our own convenience. It is our responsibility to preserve our environment.

Excerpt 8 (from Taiero's posting)
I agree with Hanako's opinion. We must not only think about our convenience, but also consider the needs of others. If Yashiro is a paradise town, we must protect our natural environment. We must work together to make Yashiro a better place for everyone. (italics mine)
Excerpt 7 (from Tah’s posting)

Taikengakusyu (nature-experiencing activity) is a nice idea. Certainly important that we know nature, and we (take) action based on knowledge of nature. But if you want to (have) convenience, I think that we can’t protect environment. For example, People use cars for movement’s convenience, but it destroy environment. If we think that we must protect environment, I think we must receive even inconvenient thing. (bold type mine)

This message demonstrates, with the deepening of the negotiation, the participants expanded their identities from “we” as students confined in an inconvenient town to “we” in the broader context. As stated previously, the change emerged as the result of their awareness of a narrow perspective, teacher’s guide and their experience in the real world. It should be noted here that the students joined volunteer activities as part of the curriculum during the period of this online discussion. Through these activities, they had opportunities to contact the local people from all walks of life, which might help them look at the issues brought up in the discussion with a fresh eye. As such, the realization of their multimembership enabled by both their online and offline experiences contributed to the change of their perspectives, and therefore the use of “we”.

As shown in Figure 3, a learner’s identity is not limited as a member in Group A, but has been expanded to include his or her other identities in other communities. For example, he is simultaneously a college student, a student teacher, a resident in a local community and so on. These other identities have helped form a common identity of “we” in a broader context. They began to have a new sense of self vis-à-vis others and the real life world. Thus, the virtual community became a special space where learners constructed and negotiated their identities on various levels of communities surrounding them. Wenger (1998) states:

Multimembership is a critical source of learning because it forces an alignment of perspective in the negotiation of an engaged identity. Identity then becomes a
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Figure 3. Multi-membership of overlapping communities
living bridge to [...] bring diverging perspectives together (p.218).

Thus, the learners began to reconsider their self in the current community and the other communities they belonged to, and the position of the community in the broader context, thereby integrating other meanings and perspectives into their identities.

5. Conclusion

Overall, the social and cultural practice using the target language via Moodle facilitated the emergence of an online language learning community, in which learners constructed their identities. Obviously, the changes facilitated by the online interactions show that learners were constantly working towards the core of the community and their online practices and offline volunteer activities provided them with a sense of multimembership of different overlapping communities. Their active and creative process of reconciling their various forms of membership gave rise to the identity construction. Wenger (1998) argues, "Education, in its deepest sense...concerns the opening of identities—exploring new ways of being that lie beyond our current state" (p.263). Thus, learning and identity served each other in this community. By critically reviewing their own identities, they tried to reshape them. It should be noted here that language is used as a tool for this identity constructing process and the competence of using the language without doubt is increasing along this process. The growth of both the individuals and the community itself can be identified in the dual process of developing the community and negotiating their identities.

It should be acknowledged that this research was conducted under some limitations. For example, we should have included more qualitative data including interviews with the learners. The difficult access to the Internet might have affected the learners' motivation. Furthermore, the learners could not organize their ideas well due to their limited written competence. Therefore, further research should consider using data from various sources in order to ensure the validity. Meanwhile, learners should be provided with an appropriate, engaging task and support must be available to provide learners with ready assistance in monitoring progress.

Notes

1. Newcomers' participation in the community involves "a way of learning—of both absorbing and being absorbed in—the 'culture of practice' " (Lave and Wenger, 1991, p. 95). Rogoff (1995) also argues that people participate in culturally organized activities of their community, which facilitate their individual development.

2. "The commoditization of learning engenders a fundamental contradiction between the use and exchange values of the outcome of learning, which manifests itself in conflicts between learning to know and learning to display knowledge for evaluation" (Lave and Wenger, 1991, p.112).
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