Relationship between Biological Apatite Alignment and Hemi-occlusion in Rabbit Mandibular Cortical Bone
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Abstract: Biological apatite (BAp) crystallite c-axis alignment is known to one of the factor in mechanical function in bone. The purpose of this study was to investigate BAp crystallite alignment as a potential factor in the evaluation of bone strength in clinical practice by evaluating the relationship between BAp alignment and bone mineral density (BMD) using an experimental hemi-occlusion model in rabbit mandibular cortical bone.

A higher degree of BAp crystallite c-axis alignment was observed in the mesiodistal direction on the non-occlusion than on the occlusion side in the alveolar area, a tendency that was not seen in the base of the mandible. No significant differences were observed in BMD between the alveolar area and the base of the mandible or between the occlusion and non-occlusion sides. No correlation was observed between BAp crystallite alignment and BMD.

These results demonstrate that removal of occlusal force caused change in BAp crystallite alignment in the alveolar area in mandibular cortical bone. This indicates the importance of evaluating BAp crystallite alignment in addition to BMD in clinical practice. Moreover, clarification of BAp crystallite alignment in the jawbone would allow direction of occlusal load to be taken into account in orthodontic treatment involving tooth alignment.
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Introduction

The mandible is exposed to direct stress on occlusion of the teeth and indirect stress via the masticatory muscles and soft tissue during mastication. Long-term masticatory disturbances caused by abnormal occlusion or abnormal functioning may therefore alter the shape and inner structure of the mandible. Tooth grinding or tooth extraction can change the magnitude of mechanical stimuli, thus affecting the strength and elastic modulus of bone and trabecular structure.1,2) The direction and magnitude of mechanical stress are difficult to measure, so predictions of mechanical stress have largely been based on simulation analyses.3) The most commonly used indicator for evaluation of bone is the bone mineral density (BMD) index, because direct measurement of the influence of mechanical stimuli generated in vivo on bone is difficult. Moreover, the BMD has been reported to show a high correlation with bone strength.4) However, determination of the BMD alone is insufficient for accurate evaluation of bone strength.5)

At the NIH consensus development conference on osteoporosis held in 2000, bone quality was proposed as a potential indicator of bone strength where the BMD alone was insufficient.6) Among the parameters proposed, the alignment of biological apatite (BAp) crystallites, in particular, attracted interest. C-axis alignment of BAp crystallites along the c-axis in collagen fibers is closely related to the mechanical function of bone. A strong correlation has been reported between alignment of BAp crystallites in the main direction of stress and bone strength.7,8)

Using a microbeam X-ray diffractometer system, Nakano et al. were able to measure BAp crystallite alignment over even a small region.9) In this system, a collimator is used to narrow the X-ray beam to as little as 10 to 100 µm, enabling quantitative evaluation of BAp crystallite alignment in microscopic regions of the mandible. In an earlier study, Koizumi et al. investigated the effect of masticatory laterality on the morphology and internal structure of the mandible using experimental hemi-occlusion in growing rabbits and showed that mechanical stimuli affected the morphology and architecture of trabecular bone in rabbit mandible...
during the growth period. However, the relationship between bone quality, including BAp crystallite alignment, and the micro-architecture of cortical bone remains to be clarified.

The purpose of this study was to investigate BAp crystallite alignment as a potential factor in the evaluation of bone strength in clinical practice using an experimental hemi-occlusion model in rabbit.

Materials and Methods

Experimental methods

Six, 5-week-old (747 ± 64.7 g each), male, Japanese, white rabbits (Sankyo Labo Service Corporation Inc., Tokyo, Japan) were used in this experiment. All animals were fed a solid diet.

After placing the rabbits under general anesthesia with 3% to 4% isoflurane, the left maxillary and mandibular molars were cut as far as the cervical area using a rabbit molar cutter (PROMICLOS Corporation, Tokyo, Japan), taking care not to expose the pulp. Under these conditions of experimental hemi-occlusion, the right side was defined as the occlusion side and the left side as the non-occlusion side. Thereafter, the teeth were cut once every 2 weeks and the rabbits kept until they were 17 weeks old (approximately 3000 g each).

After this designated period, the rabbits were euthanized with an overdose of sodium thiopental administered intravenously. The rabbits were then decapitated and the mandibles extracted after resection of soft tissue. All procedures were performed in accordance with the Guidelines for Animal Experiments at Tokyo Dental College (Approval Number 222805) and the Helsinki Declaration, ensuring that no rabbit experienced pain. This procedure was the same as that described by Koizumi et al.

Region of interest and measurement sites

Images of the mandible were obtained using micro-CT (HMX225-ACTIS, Tesco Corporation, Tokyo, Japan). Micro-CT was performed with a tube voltage of 130 kV, tube current of 80 µA, magnification of 4.62, and a slice width of 50 µm.

Determination of BAp crystallite alignment

After embedding in epoxy resin, the specimens were cut buccolingual direction with a diamond cutter and polished with a series of SiC papers of #400, #800, and #1200 using a polishing machine (ECOMET3, Buehler, Germany). A microbeam X-ray diffractometer (RINT2500, Rigaku Corporation, Tokyo, Japan) was used for the X-ray diffraction analysis. Measurement was carried out using Cu-Kα beams with a voltage of 40 kV and current of 200 mA. The incident beam was focused on a spot 100 µm in diameter using a collimator. To obtain the averaged diffraction data within a particular azimuth range, oscillation was carried out under the following conditions: α, 17.3° ± 5.5° (step width: 1.1°),
χ, 0° ± 0.6° (step width: 0.3°), and ϕ, 180° ± 180° (continuous). This diameter was sufficiently smaller than analytical area of region of interest (Fig. 2) even though under the fluctuations (17.3° ± 5.5°) during XRD measurement. Diffracted X-rays were recorded with a curved position sensitive proportional X-ray counter.

Figure 3 shows a typical example of the location at which the base of the specimen mandible was measured. Fig. 3b represents a magnification of the boxed area in Fig. 3a. In order to determine BAp crystallite alignment, we used a CCD camera equipped with an optical microscope to enlarge the specimen, focusing on the area between the external and internal circumferential lamellae of the cortical bone. X-ray diffraction (XRD) measurements were performed at 3 different points within each of the selected areas shown in dotted circles in Fig. 3a.

Biological apatite crystallite alignment was evaluated by calculating the ratio {I(002)/I(310)} of the integrated intensity of the (002) surface (in the vicinity of 2θ = 25.9°) of the XRD profile to that of the (310) surface (in the vicinity of 2θ = 39.8°). Increase in the intensity ratio indicated an increase in c-axis alignment of the BAp crystallites. Hydroxyapatite (HAp) particles with no crystal alignment (Wako Pure Chemical Industries, Ltd., Japan) were used as a control. The (002)/(310) intensity ratio of the HAp particles as an indicator with no alignment was 0.74.

**Bone mineral density (BMD) measurement**

Images were taken of phantoms with various layers of density (200 to 800 mg/cm², ϕ 6 x 1 mm), and a standard curve was created between the µCT data obtained and existing phantom densities. A 3-D trabecular structure measurement software (TRI/3D-BON-BMD-PNTM2, RATOC System Engineering, Japan) was then used to convert the mandible µCT image data into BMD values and create color labeled images. Slice images were created at 1-mm widths in the ROIs of the converted BMD images, and cortical bones were selected by means of binarization. Measurement was carried out on the occlusion and non-occlusion sides at each of sites (1) to (4) in the same way as in X-ray diffraction analysis.

5. Statistical analysis

A two-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) was performed with differences in occlusal force (between the occlusion and non-occlusion sides) as factor A and structural differences in the mandible (between Al and Ba) as factor B. Subsequently, Scheffé’s multiple comparisons were performed. A value of $p<0.05$ was considered statistically significant.

**Results**

1. **BAp crystallite alignment**

Figure 4 shows typical X-ray profiles obtained from rabbit mandible (a: Al/Occlusion side; b: Al/Non-occlusion side; c: Ba/Occlusion side; d: Ba/Non-occlusion side). Larger intensity (002)/(310) ratios were observed at Ba on both the occlusion and non-occlusion (c, d) sides than in Al (a,b). In addition, larger intensity (002)/(310) ratios were observed on the non-occlusion side (b) than on the occlusion side (a).

Table 1 shows the results of the two-way ANOVA on BAp crystallite alignment with differences in occlusal force designated as factor A and structural differences in the mandible as factor B. Highly significant differences were found between levels for both factor A (differences in occlusal force; $p<0.05$) and factor B (structural differences in the mandible; $p<0.01$). Figure 5 shows BAp crystallite alignment categorized by differences in occlusal force (occlusion and non-occlusion sides) and structural differences in mandible (Al: (1) and (4), Ba: (2) and (3)). The (002)/(310) intensity ratios at all sites were larger than those of the HAp particles with no crystal alignment used as a control (0.74), and the results indicated preferential BAp crystallite alignment along the longitudinal axis (mesiodistal direction) of the mandible. Intensities were larger at Ba than in Al, and within Al, removal of occlusal force resulted in greater intensities on the non-occlusion than on the occlusion side.

These results demonstrated that the degree of BAp crystallite alignment along the longitudinal axis of the rabbit mandible was
higher at Ba than in Al, and that removal of occlusal force affected BAp crystallite alignment in the alveolar area.

2. BMD

Table 2 shows the results of the two-way ANOVA on BMD with differences in occlusal force designated as factor A and structural differences in the mandible as factor B. No significant
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No significant differences were observed between each level ($p > 0.05$).

Figure 6 shows BMD values categorized by structural differences in the mandible (A1: (1) and (4), B1: (2) and (3)) and differences in occlusal force (between occlusion and non-occlusion sides). No significant differences were observed between each level ($p > 0.05$).

3. Relationship between BAp crystallite alignment and BMD

Figures 7 and 8 show the relationship between BAp crystallite alignment and BMD values on the occlusion and non-occlusion side, respectively. No correlation was identified between BAp crystallite alignment and BMD on either the occlusion or non-occlusion sides ($p > 0.05$).

**Discussion**

1. BAp crystallite alignment

In vivo, BAp crystallites tend to align along the direction of stress. In a study on monkey mandibles, Nakano et al.\textsuperscript{11} reported that while BAp crystallites were basically aligned in the mesiodistal direction along the longitudinal axis, they aligned in the occlusal direction immediately below the dental crown. Furthermore, in a study on developing rat mandibles, Nakano et
reported that BAp crystallite alignment in the site immediately below the dental root was influenced by occlusion. The present study also confirmed preferential BAp crystallite alignment along the longitudinal axis of the mandible. In addition, alignment was confirmed to be low in Al and high at Ba. Similar results were reported in an earlier study by Nakano et al. investigating BAp crystallite alignment in monkey mandible, as mentioned above. This suggests that force applied to the teeth affects BAp crystallite alignment in Al in mandible cortical bone, that is, occlusal force may increase BAp crystallite alignment along the occlusal direction, and decrease BAp alignment along the mesiodistal direction in Al.

In the present study, a higher degree of BAp crystallite alignment was observed along the mesiodistal direction on the non-occlusion side, the side on which occlusal force had been removed. In studies on beagle mandible, Fujitani et al. reported that removal of occlusal force led to a change in BAp crystallite alignment at sites immediately beneath the teeth, from two-dimensional alignment to one-dimensional alignment. The present results support this finding. Thus, decrease in BAp crystallite alignment in the occlusal direction may have caused the increase in alignment in the mesiodistal direction (one-dimensional direction) observed here.

2. BMD
While some reports indicate that BMD decreases after a decline in mechanical stress, others indicate a rise in BMD. Other reports found no change in BMD with a decline in mechanical stress. In the present study, no significant differences were found in BMD between the occlusion and non-occlusion sides in either Al or Ba. This may be because measurements were taken in cortical bone and no resorption had taken place in Al as no teeth were extracted and BMD was maintained by functional stress generated by the masticatory muscles.

3. Relationship between BAp crystallite alignment and BMD
The results of the two-way ANOVA revealed significant differences in factor A (p<0.05), which analyzed differences in BAp crystallite alignment due to differences in occlusal force between the occlusion and non-occlusion sides, but no significant differences in factor A for BMD. In BAp crystallite alignment, significant differences were observed in factor B (p<0.01), which analyzed differences in BAp crystallite alignment (between Al and Ba) caused by structural differences in the mandible. However, no significant differences were seen in factor B for BMD. These results demonstrate that differences in occlusal force and structural differences in the mandible between Al and Ba mainly affect BAp alignment.

Examination of the relationship between BAp crystallite alignment and BMD (Figs. 5 and 6) revealed that the BMD values were very similar, but that BAp crystallite alignment was dispersed. Moreover, no correlation was observed between BAp crystallite alignment and BMD values on either the occlusion or non-occlusion sides. These results indicate that BAp crystallite alignment and BMD should be understood as independent factors.

4. Clinical implications
The results of the present study suggest that BAp crystallite alignment and BMD values represent different responses to mechanical stress. Furthermore, while previous studies reported a high correlation between BMD and bone strength, the present study found no significant differences in BMD between sites in mandibular cortical bone. In contrast, BAp crystallite alignment showed a strong response to mechanical stress that differed significantly between sites. Although BMD can be evaluated using medical imaging modalities such as computed tomography, it is impossible to evaluate bone micro-architecture and thus extremely difficult to evaluate bone quality encompassing bone strength. In a clinical setting, this indicates the potential of evaluating bone quality in addition to BMD when determining bone strength in clinical practice. Moreover, clarification of BAp crystallite alignment in the jawbone would allow direction of occlusal load to be taken into account in orthodontic treatment involving tooth alignment.
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