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Zirconia Implant with Rough Surface Produced by YAG Laser Treatment: Evaluation of Histomorphology and Strength of Osseointegration
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Abstract: The aims of this study were to produce rough surfaces on zirconia by laser treatment and to examine how changes in surface topography affect tissues surrounding zirconia implants. Threaded zirconia implants with a diameter of 2 mm and length of 7 mm were used. The experimental implants had surfaces treated with laser (YAG laser) irradiation (R-ZIs). The controls were not treated with laser irradiation (S-ZrIs). Twenty R-ZIs and twenty S-ZrIs were placed in the tibiae of 8-week-old male SD rats. The peri-implant tissues with implant bodies were collected 4 weeks after implant placement. Light microscopic and histomorphological evaluations were performed, and removal torque (RTQ) was measured. The bone-implant contact (BIC) ratio was approximately 1.25 times higher for R-ZrI than for S-ZrI on the side of the cortical bone, indicating a statistically significant difference (p<0.05). There was no statistically significant difference in their BIC ratios on the side of the bone marrow. On the cortical bone side and bone marrow side, there was no statistically significant difference between R-ZrI and S-ZrI in the peri-implant bone area (BA), the area of peri-implant bone within the implant threads. RTQ was approximately 7 times higher for R-ZrIs than for S-ZrIs, indicating a statistically significant difference (p<0.05). In this study, the results of the animal experiment revealed new bone formation in the surroundings of the zirconia implants at 4 weeks after implant placement, indicating achievement of osseointegration. The results suggest that laser-produced rough implant surfaces effectively enhance osseointegration.
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Introduction

Titanium has high processability and is one of the metals with the least amount of ion elution and corrosion compared with other dental metals. Titanium is also highly compatible with bone and is used widely in clinical settings as a dental implant material. The standard of success for titanium implants is the achievement of osseointegration, i.e., a direct contact of bone and implant surface. Previous efforts have been made to enhance osseointegration and to achieve early osseointegration by modifications of implant surface topography.1-4,11-18. Esthetic problems can arise in metal implants depending on the metal color of the implant material. In addition, metal allergy and hypersensitivity have been reported in a growing number of cases with the increased use of titanium implants.5-10. Zirconia ceramics have been reported to be highly useful because of their esthetic properties and biological safety. There are some reports on zirconia ceramics used clinically as implant bodies.5-7. They have gained interest as a second generation implant material that has esthetic advantages and has a very low risk for allergy. However, surface modification of zirconia ceramics is technically difficult.11-13. Thus, only a very small number of studies have been performed on their surface topography and the response of the surrounding tissue.5,8,10,12-16. In the clinical application of zirconia ceramic implant bodies, it is essential to examine the effects of their surface topography on the surrounding tissue. The aims of this study were to produce rough surfaces on zirconia by laser treatment and to examine how changes in surface topography affect tissues surrounding zirconia implants.

Materials and Methods

Implants

In this experiment, zirconia ceramic implants were made of yttria-tetragonal zirconia polycrystal (Y-TZP) ceramics. The threaded implants were used with a diameter of 2 mm and length of 7 mm. Material processing involved zirconia powder (HSY-3FSD: Daiichi Kigenso Kagaku Kogyo Co., Ltd.) subjected to isostatic pressing to form a green body. Green ceramics were machined into a screw shape using diamond tools. They were sintered at 1500°C, and subsequently the sintered thread areas were subjected to YAG laser irradiation. Laser irradiation parameters were 0.15 mJ (energy), 3 W (power), 50 mm/s (speed), 5 KHz (Q switch), and 50 μm (line width).
test (ISO 14704) was conducted and the mean value was 1167±225 MPa ±SD. The controls were machined-surfaced zirconia implants (S-ZrI) without laser irradiation. The implants were cleaned ultrasonically in alcohol, dried, and sterilized by autoclave (120 °C at 2 atm for 20 min).

**SEM**

The zirconia implant surfaces were coated with platinum, and their surface topography was examined using a scanning electron microscope (JSM-6330 F, JEOL, Tokyo, Japan).

**Topographic evaluation**

The implant surfaces were evaluated according to the guidelines in a previously published report.20) Measurements were made at the top of the thread, flank, and valley. Three points were measured in each of these sites, and the mean value of the total of these 9 points was calculated. In our study, the evaluation was performed using three parameters: arithmetic mean height of the surface (Sa), ratio of developed interfacial surface area (Sdr), and density of peaks (Spd) (ISO-25178). The laser microscope used was a Keyence Laser Microscope VK-100 with a filter size of 50 m × 50 m.

**Animal experiment**

Twenty 8-week-old male SD rats were used as experimental animals. Each rat received one experimental implant in one tibia and one control implant in the other tibia (Fig. 1). The rats were given inhalation anesthesia and subsequent intraperitoneal pentobarbital anesthesia (0.1 mg/100 g). The fur surrounding their knee joints was shaved under general anesthesia.

An incision of approximately 15 mm was made from the knee joint along the anterior border of the tibia. A mucoperiosteal flap was reflected and the bone was exposed. Each implant was placed approximately 10 mm distal to the tibial knee joint, perpendicular to the tibial long axis, and from the medial to lateral side. After implant placement, the fascia and periosteum were sutured, subsequently the skin was sutured (Coated Vicryl: polyglactin 910), and the surgical treatment was completed. After the surgical treatment, no rat developed complications or died. This study was approved by the Animal Experimentation and Ethics Committee at Fukuoka Dental College and performed in accordance with the guidelines on animal experiments.

**Histological evaluation**

Four weeks after implant placement, the rats were sacrificed with an overdose of anesthesia. Tibiae, including the implant bodies, were collected as specimens. Immersion fixation was performed on the specimens in 10 % formalin (pH 7.0). Dehydration was performed. The specimens were embedded in MMA resin using a conventional method, and undecalcified ground specimens were prepared and stained with toluidine blue. The undecalcified ground sections were prepared by sectioning the area close to the center of the implant body in the direction of the implant long axis. A light microscope (BX51-DP 12, Olympus, Tokyo, Japan) was used for histomorphological evaluation of the undecalcified ground specimens. For each implant, observation was made on a total of 6 sites in the peri-implant area: 4 sites on the side of the cortical bone and 2 sites on the side of the bone marrow. Measurements were made on 3 threads per site, and evaluation was performed on the bone-implant contact (BIC) ratio and the bone area (BA) within the implant thread (Fig. 2).

**Measurement of removal torque**

The tibiae, including implants, were collected from 9 rats at 4 weeks after implant placement to measure the removal torque. The implant bodies were removed under reverse torque rotation using a torque gauge (BTG60CN-S, Tohnichi MFG, Co., Ltd.), and the removal torque was measured.

**Statistical analysis**

Statistical analysis was performed using a t-test (two sample assuming equal variance).

**Results**

The surface topography of the experimental implant bodies was examined using SEM. The results showed that the experimental
implants had characteristic rough surfaces due to laser irradiation compared to the control implant surfaces (Fig. 3). The mean surface roughness of S-ZrI and R-ZrI was Sa=1.06±0.439 μm and 3.33±2.112 μm, respectively (Table 1). This experiment used a total of 40 implants (20 S-ZrIs and 20 R-ZrIs). No rat showed any findings of inflammation, which could be caused by infection, following wound healing after implant placement surgery. A total of 22 implants were used for undecalcified ground specimens and 18 implants for removal torque measurement. The results of light microscopy revealed that new bone formation occurred around both control and experimental implants at 4 weeks after placement. On the side of the cortical bone, new bone formation was observed.
between the existing bone and implant. On the side of the bone marrow, new bone formation was observed along the implant surface. Thus, achievement of osseointegration was confirmed (Fig. 4). In S-ZrI, the bone-implant contact (BIC) ratio was 27.1±22.74% on the cortical bone side and 33.92±19.69% on the bone marrow side. In R-ZrI, the BIC ratio was 57.26±18.70% and 41.50±17.60%, respectively. In S-ZrI, the bone area (BA) was 48.43±14.17% and 18.44±5.10%, respectively. In R-ZrI, the BA was 51.18±23.74% and 11.33±12.56%, respectively. Removal torque was 1.67±3.74 Ncm for the control implants and 12.19±6.58 Ncm for the experimental implants (Figs. 5, 6, 7).

Figure 5. Bone to implant contact (BIC) at 4 weeks after implantation. *: statistically significant difference at the cortical bone region (P < 0.05; n=11).

Figure 6. Peri-implant area (BA) at 4 weeks after implantation. There were no statistically significant differences.

Figure 7. Removal torque (RTQ) at 4 weeks after implantation. **, statistically significant differences (P < 0.05; n = 9).

**Discussion**

In recent years, titanium alloys have become the predominant material used for dental implants. Generally, titanium has high biological safety and a low risk for allergy. However, some patients with titanium implants were reported to have developed symptoms of metal allergy, including facial rash and oral mucosal hyperemia, and underwent removal of implant bodies5). Some reports have indicated that titanium allergy and hypersensitivity could cause implant failure34). Most commercially available abutments are made of titanium alloy, and other metals in the titanium alloys have been suggested as a possible cause of metal allergy and hypersensitivity35,36). Measures need to be devised against metal allergy and hypersensitivity as the use of titanium implants increases in the future.

Oxide ceramics used in dental materials have biological safety and corrosion resistance superior to metals. In particular, zirconia ceramics have high fracture toughness and satisfactory color tone. Thus, they have been used widely in prostheses and implant abutments in dental clinical settings. Zirconia ceramics are an attractive implant material with high biological safety. However, there are issues with their mechanical properties, including poor flexibility and processability. Therefore, there are few reports on treatment to roughen zirconia ceramic surfaces compared with reports for titanium surfaces. There have been studies regarding surface modifications, involving machining5, sandblasting6-8, and calcium phosphate coating on ceramics14-19). In recent years, several studies have been reported in which zirconia ceramic surfaces were etched or sandblasted much like on titanium implant surfaces20-29).

Our newly developed zirconia implant (R-ZrI) had surface...
roughness of $Sa=3.33 \pm 2.112 \mu m$. This value is similar to the values of clinically used titanium implants such as plasma-sprayed titanium implants and hydroxyapatite-coated implants. In our study, these rough-surfaced zirconia implants were placed in rat tibiae. The results revealed new bone formation in the peri-implant area at 4 weeks after placement, and osseointegration was achieved.

This study examined how changes in surface topography affect peri-implant tissue. The results showed that the BIC ratio was approximately 1.25 times higher for R-ZrI than for S-ZrI on the cortical bone side ($p<0.05$). The bone area within the thread was slightly higher for R-ZrI than for S-ZrI on both the cortical bone side and the bone marrow side, but there was no statistically significant difference. RTQ was approximately 7 times higher for R-ZrI than for S-ZrI ($p<0.05$). This finding suggests that removal torque resistance of rough-surfaced implants is positively affected by osseointegration due to new bone formation in the cortical region. The BIC ratio was higher for S-ZrI than for R-ZrI on the bone marrow side. These results suggest that the difference in zirconia surface topography causes the difference in the rate of peri-implant bone formation and the difference in morphology of new bone$^{17,18,24}$. When the cortical bone side and bone marrow side were examined, there was no difference in BA between S-ZrI and R-ZrI on the cortical bone side. It is thought to be the effect of existing bone. Future studies will be necessary to examine the changes over time in tissue surrounding this newly developed zirconia implant. These results suggest that laser treatment of zirconia implant surface was effective in enhancing osseointegration.

In several past reports, the BIC ratio and BA did not differ greatly between rough-surfaced titanium implants and zirconia implants, or zirconia implants had higher values. However, titanium implants had higher removal torque. Thus, good results have been reported regarding bone formation surrounding zirconia implants. However, the difference in implant materials has been suggested to cause the difference in mechanical strength of osseointegration at the bone-implant interface$^{17,18,24}$. There are many studies on the effects of titanium surface roughness. However, studies on zirconia surface topography have just begun. Sennerby et al. $^{19}$ reported that removal torque increased when osseointegration of the peri-implant area was enhanced due to rough surface processing. However, even if the roughness is similar between titanium and zirconia surfaces, their chemical compositions differ. Thus, it was suggested that the mechanism of osseointegration could be different$^{19}$. In observations using a SEM, there was no degeneration of surfaces due to YAG laser treatment to produce rough surfaces. However, YAG laser treatment was thought to have damaged portions of the tips of the threads. Stübingier et al.$^{27}$ examined degeneration of zirconia surface caused by irradiation by diode, YAG, and $CO_2$ lasers. Degeneration of surface was reported when a $CO_2$ laser was used.

Future studies need to be performed to examine laser treatment methods that can produce effective rough surfaces. Such examinations include the study of various types of lasers. In addition, examination is needed regarding occlusal load and reaction of intraoral soft tissue for clinical application of our zirconia implant system.

In conclusion, newly developed zirconia implants were subjected to laser treatment to produce rough surfaces and their properties were investigated. The implants were placed in rat tibiae. Four weeks after implant placement, new bone formation was observed in the peri-implant areas and osseointegration was achieved. On the cortical bone side, the BIC ratio was approximately 2 times higher and removal torque was approximately 7 times higher for R-ZrI than for S-ZrI, with statistically differences ($p<0.05$). The results of this study suggest that our zirconia implants were highly biocompatible with peri-implant tissue and that surfaces roughened by laser treatment markedly enhanced osseointegration.
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