In Vivo Evidence for a Lack of Central Effect of Ebastine, an Antihistaminic Agent, in Rats: a Microdialysis Study
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ABSTRACT—The effects of ebastine and its active metabolite carebastine on brain dopamine uptake and the accessibility to brain were compared with those for a classical antihistaminic agent chlorpheniramine by using the microdialysis technique. Both carebastine and chlorpheniramine potently inhibited brain \[^{3}H\]dopamine uptake and increased the extracellular concentration of dopamine in the striatum after local perfusion via microdialysis probes, although systemic injection of ebastine but not chlorpheniramine did not change the dopamine level. These findings suggest that neither ebastine nor carebastine affects central dopamine metabolism because of a limited access to brain, in spite of having a potent inhibitory action on neuronal dopamine uptake.
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A variety of antihistaminic agents inhibit the neuronal uptake of monoamines (1–3). We have previously reported that several antihistaminic agents augment the central action of L-dopa via inhibition of neuronal dopamine uptake in rodent brain (4). Among them, ebastine is a highly potent inhibitor of dopamine uptake in the rat striatum (4). Ebastine, a second generation non-sedative antihistaminic drug, is readily metabolized by the intestinal and hepatic oxidizing enzymes, such as cytochrome 3A4, into the active metabolite carebastine (5), and virtually no parent compound is detected in human plasma after its oral administration. Ebastine is practically devoid of central nervous system side effects (6), indicating that the compound poorly penetrates into brain. Since carebastine is a substrate of P-glycoprotein (7), the exclusion by this glycoprotein is considered to be associated with the limitation of its distribution into brain (7). However, there is still little in vivo neurochemical evidence for the poor penetration of ebastine or carebastine into brain. Therefore, in the present study, the effects of ebastine or carebastine on central dopamine metabolism were investigated in rats by using intracerebral microdialysis.

The present experiments were reviewed by the ethics committee for animal experiments at the Faculty of Medicine, Kyushu University and law No. 105 and notification No. 6 of the Japanese government. Male Wistar rats (8-week-old; Kyudo, Saga) were used. Ebastine was a gift from Dainippon Pharmaceutical Co. (Osaka). Carebastine was kindly donated from Kyowa Hakko Kogyo Co., Ltd. (Shizuoka). (+)-Chlorpheniramine maleate and nomifensine maleate were purchased from Sigma Chemical (St. Louis, MO, USA). \[^{3}H\]Dopamine (28.0 Ci/mmol) was obtained from NEN Life Science Products (Boston, MA, USA). The uptake of \[^{3}H\]dopamine was measured in the striatal membranes, as described by Richelson and Pfennig (8). Briefly, the crude synaptosomal membranes were prepared in ice-cold 2-[4-(2-hydroxyethyl)-1-piperazinyl]ethanesulfonic acid (HEPES) buffer containing 10 \(\mu\)M pargyline and 1 mM ascorbic acid. A 100-\(\mu\)l aliquot of the synaptosomal suspension (2 mg protein/ml) was added to 0.7 ml of HEPES buffer and preincubated at 37°C for 5 min. The uptake was determined by incubating the synaptosomal preparation with 10 nM \[^{3}H\]dopamine for a further 5 min in the presence of various test compounds. The non-specific uptake was defined as that measured at 0°C. The extracellular concentration of dopamine was measured by microdialysis in urethane-anesthetized rats. A microdialysis probe (I-shaped: A-I-8-02, Eicom, Kyoto) was inserted into the rat striatum and Ringer’s solution was perfused at 2 \(\mu\)l/min. After a 2-h period of perfusion, microdialysates were collected every 20 min into tubes containing 10 \(\mu\)l of 0.1 M formic acid. For local drug perfusion, carebastine, chlorpheniramine or nomifensine was dissolved in Ringer’s solution and perfused through the microdialysis probe. Throughout the experiment, rats were wrapped in a blanket...
to maintain constant body temperature. Dopamine was
determined by HPLC with electrochemical detection, as
described previously (9). Data were analyzed by one-way
ANOVA, followed by Dunnett’s test.

Ebastine, carebastine and chlorpheniramine inhibited
the \[^{3}H\]dopamine uptake into synaptosomal membranes of
rat striatum with Ki values of 0.23 \(\mu M\), 0.16 \(\mu M\) and
0.92 \(\mu M\), respectively (data not shown).

Since the peripherally administered ebastine is mostly
metabolized to carebastine (5), the effect of local perfusion
of carebastine on striatal dopamine concentration was
examined. As shown in Fig. 1A, local perfusion of carebas-
tine (2 – 10 \(\mu M\)) produced a concentration-dependent in-
crease in dopamine concentration. However, the effect of
carebastine was no longer observed when it was applied
after blockade of dopamine uptake with 20 \(\mu M\) nomifensine
(Fig. 1B). Therefore, it is suggested that the increase in
dopamine level induced by local carebastine perfusion
results predominantly from dopamine uptake inhibition.
Likewise, the local perfusion of chlorpheniramine (10 –
50 \(\mu M\)) elevated concentration-dependently the extra-
cellular dopamine concentration (Fig. 2). In spite of a
potent dopamine uptake inhibitory action of carebastine,
intraperitoneal injection of the parent compound ebastine
(20 mg/kg) had no influence on the level of extracellular
dopamine (Fig. 3A). On the other hand, systemic injection
of chlorpheniramine (20 mg/kg, i.p.) significantly increased
the extracellular concentration of dopamine (Fig. 3B).

In the present study, carebastine was found to be a potent
inhibitor of neuronal dopamine uptake, as evidenced by
uptake inhibition using \[^{3}H\]dopamine as well as the micro-
dialysis study. A similar but less potent dopamine uptake
inhibition was observed with chlorpheniramine.

It has been reported that oral doses of ebastine are well
absorbed and almost exclusively metabolized to the bio-
logically active acidic metabolite carebastine both in

---

Fig. 1. Effect of local perfusion of carebastine in the absence (A) or presence of a dopamine uptake inhibitor nomifensine (B)
on the extracellular concentration of dopamine in the striatum of urethane-anesthetized rats, as measured by intracerebral micro-
dialysis. Each column represents the mean ± S.E.M. of 5 animals. The basal dopamine concentration was 32.3 ± 3.0 pg/20 min
(A) or 38.9 ± 8.9 pg/20 min (B) (mean ± S.E.M.). \(*P<0.05, **P<0.01\) vs average of 3 consecutive basal values (A); no significant
difference was observed in dopamine levels before and after carebastine treatment (B).

Fig. 2. Effect of local perfusion of chlorpheniramine on the extra-
cellular concentration of dopamine in the striatum of urethane-
anesthetized rats. Each column represents the mean ± S.E.M. of 5
animals. The basal dopamine concentration was 24.9 ± 4.7 pg/
20 min. \(**P<0.01\) vs average of 3 basal values.
 humans and animals (10). Therefore, in the present study, we determined whether the extracellular dopamine concentration increases after systemic injection of ebastine. Ebastine even at 20 mg/kg (i.p.) did not enhance the extracellular dopamine level in the rat striatum. On the other hand, systemic injection of chlorpheniramine (20 mg/kg, i.p.) significantly increased the dopamine concentration. It has been shown that chlorpheniramine inhibits neuronal dopamine uptake and decreases in the levels of dopamine metabolites 3,4-dihydroxyphenylacetic acid and homovanillic acid in rodent brains (2 – 4). Thus, our present data also indicated that the compound easily penetrates into brain to elevate extracellular dopamine concentration by inhibiting dopamine uptake. The classical antihistaminic agents are associated with adverse central nervous system effects such as sedation, since they easily penetrate into brain to reach concentrations that sufficiently block central H_{1} receptors (11). In contrast, second generation antihistaminic compounds including ebastine are generally non-sedative because of the poor penetration into brain (12, 13). Thus, the present in vivo data clearly indicate that the brain permeability of ebastine or carebastine is extremely limited. The distribution of carebastine into brain may be severely regulated by P-glycoprotein (7).

In conclusion, both ebastine and carebastine revealed potent inhibitory action on neuronal dopamine uptake. Thus, carebastine, when perfused locally into rat striatum, markedly elevated the extracellular concentration of dopamine. However, systemic administration of ebastine did not increase the dopamine level. Therefore, the present in vivo findings suggest that the brain permeability of ebastine or its predominant metabolite carebastine is too severely limited to fulfill its potent inhibitory action on neuronal dopamine uptake.
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