Does Fear of Falling Relate to Low Physical Function in Frail Elderly Persons?: Associations of Fear of Falling, Balance, and Gait
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Abstract. Falls are a major public health problem for older people. Recent research suggests that fear of falling may be a more pervasive and serious problem than falls among the elderly. The present study was conducted to determine whether frail elderly persons with fear of falling have lower physical function. A total of 47 subjects (aged 73 to 95) were recruited from a geriatric health services facility in Osaka. Physical function including balance, mobility, and muscular strength were measured using the following tests: Timed up & go test (TUG), functional reach test, single limb stance with eyes open, ten-meter walk, and knee extensor strength. Twenty-nine subjects (62%) had fear of falling and 18 (38%) had no fear of falling. There was no significant difference in age, sex, or the proportion using assistive devices. Results from the statistical tests showed that there were no differences in physical function, except in the functional reach test. The ratio of TUG to 10 m walk was used to determine the association between balance and mobility. In frail elderly persons with fear of falling and in those without fear of falling, the means of the ratios were 1.20 (SD=0.27) and 1.03 (SD=0.16), respectively. The ratios for frail elderly persons with fear of falling were higher (p=0.024) than the frail elderly without fear of falling. Our study suggests that even if frail elderly individuals walk slowly, they are not afraid of falling if there is a feasible balance function. We conclude that, in low-functioning frail elderly, fear of falling is associated with a combination of balance function and gait speed.
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association between functional balance and fear of falling.

Frailty, defined as a reduction in physiological reserves\textsuperscript{11}, and behavioral capacities\textsuperscript{12} can affect individuals as they age; that is, older individuals have lower levels of physical function. Kressig \textit{et al.}\textsuperscript{5} reported on a significant inverse correlation between fear of falling and balance among older adults transitioning to frailty. However, few studies have defined associations between fear of falling and physical function in frail elderly persons.

Our clinical experience indicated that some frail elderly persons have confident behavior in daily life, even if they walk slowly. We assumed that for these elderly, it is not necessarily true that low physical function is associated with fear of falling. The purpose of the present study was to determine whether frail elderly persons with fear of falling have lower physical function. Our hypotheses were that low levels of balance, mobility and strength themselves would not be sensitive factors in the development of fear of falling in frail elderly persons, and that the relationship between balance and mobility would be a specific and sensitive factor in the development of fear of falling.

\textbf{Method}

\textbf{Subjects}

A sample of frail elderly subjects was recruited from a geriatric health services facility in Osaka. Inclusion criteria was the ability to walk with or without assistive devices and the disability score for dementia of rank I or better, which approved by the Ministry of Health and Welfare of Japan and means independent in daily life activities with slight dementia. Subjects who satisfied the criteria were asked for their consent to participate. At that time the activities involved with each clinical measure were explained, and informed consent was obtained. In addition, the physical therapist and nurse in charge of each potential subject were contacted to determine problems that would preclude participation in the study.

There were a total of 47 subjects (38 females, 9 males). They ranged in age from 73 to 95 years with a mean age of 83.3 years. Twenty-eight (60\%) were admitted to the facility, and 19 (40\%) were outpatients. The participants’ main diagnoses are presented in Table 1.

Prior to participation, to measure fear of falling, the question “Are you afraid of falling?” was used with a yes/no response format\textsuperscript{13}. Responses to the simple question about the presence of fear of falling correlate with the Tinetti Falls Efficacy Scale (FES) and have similar predictive validity\textsuperscript{14}. Individuals were characterized as fearful or not fearful based on the above question. Body weight was recorded for each subject prior to beginning the clinical measures.

\begin{table}
\centering
\caption{Sample characteristics (n=47)}
\begin{tabular}{|l|c|}
\hline
Variable & number (\%) \\
\hline
Low back pain & 9 (19\%) \\
Knee osteoarthritis & 7 (15\%) \\
Stroke & 6 (13\%) \\
Fracture & 5 (11\%) \\
Spondylarthritis & 5 (11\%) \\
Parkinson’s disease & 1 (2\%) \\
Diabetes & 1 (2\%) \\
RA & 1 (2\%) \\
Others & 4 (9\%) \\
None & 8 (17\%) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\end{table}

\textbf{Clinical measures}

Physical function was measured by five items including balance, mobility, and muscular strength. Balance was evaluated using the timed up & go test, functional reach, and single limb stance with eyes open. The timed up & go test (TUG)\textsuperscript{15} was originally developed as a clinical measure of balance in elderly people. It measures the time it takes a subject to stand up from an armchair, walk a distance of 3 m, turn, walk back to the chair, and sit down at a comfortable and safe pace\textsuperscript{15}. If necessary, the subjects used their customary walking aids. Functional reach\textsuperscript{16} is a dynamic measure of stability during a self-initiated movement. Functional reach was defined as the distance one can reach forward beyond arm’s length while maintaining a fixed base of support while standing. A safety handrail was mounted just in front of the subject. The single limb stance with eyes open was assessed and timed by asking the individual to stand on his/her preferred leg with eyes open, hands placed on waist, and feet close to each other but not folded. Mobility was evaluated by measuring the length of time required to walk the middle 10 meters of a 13-meter walk at a comfortable pace. During the functional test of balance and mobility the experimenter stood directly behind the subject. Muscular strength was measured by assessing unilateral knee extensor strength. This was performed by using a hand-held dynamometer (MUSCULATOR GT-10, OG GIKEN CO., LTD, Okayama, Japan) with knee flexion at 60° in a sitting position. The dynamometer placement was on the front of lower leg just proximal to ankle. The force gauge of the dynamometer was properly zeroed before each measurement according to the manufacturer’s recommended procedure. It can measure forces from 0.0 to 30.0 kg to the nearest 0.1 kg. The value was calibrated using known body weights. On each performance, the subjects tried the test once before they were timed. The best physical function of two trials on each test was recorded.
Statistical analysis

Descriptive statistics were used to identify the mean and standard deviation of all outcome variables. Statistical tests, Student’s t-test for two-group comparison and chi-square tests for proportions, were used. The significance level was set at .05, and the statistical analysis was accomplished by using the SPSS 10.0J software package.

Results

Out of a total of 47 subjects, 29 (62%) had fear of falling and 18 (38%) had no fear of falling (Table 2). For those with fear of falling, the mean age was 84.3 years, and for those without fear the mean was 81.7 years. Forty-five percent of the sample required the use of assistive devices for ambulation. There were no significant differences between the two groups in age, sex, or the proportion of subjects using assistive devices. Thirty-eight percent of the sample reported having fallen in the previous year, and the group with fear of falling reported significantly more falls in the past one year.

Influence of fear of falling on physical function

Table 4 compares the physical function of frail elderly persons with fear of falling and that of frail elderly persons without fear of falling. Results from the statistical tests showed that there were no differences in TUG, single limb stance with eyes open, ten-meter walk and unilateral knee muscle strength adjusted by body weight. There were differences in functional reach (p=.048). Figure 1 shows
the distribution of functional reach in subjects who were unable to perform the single limb stance (0 seconds), subjects who balanced for at least 3 seconds, and subjects who balanced for over 3 seconds. One subject in the unable-to-balance group had the best record of 27.5 cm in the functional reach, and this record was distributed out of the trend the others were showing. With the exception of the subject’s data, the mean of functional reach for the fearful group was 13.6 cm, and there were no differences in the two groups statistically (p=0.071).

**Table 4.** Comparison of physical function in frail elderly persons with fear of falling and without fear of falling

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Fearful (n=29)</th>
<th>Not fearful (n=18)</th>
<th>p-Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Balance</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Timed up &amp; go test (sec)</td>
<td>21.3 ± 11.4</td>
<td>18.5 ± 8.1</td>
<td>0.376</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Functional reach test (cm)</td>
<td>14.1 ± 7.1</td>
<td>9.74 ± 6.6</td>
<td>0.048</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single limb stance with eyes-opened (sec)</td>
<td>2.5 ± 3.4</td>
<td>1.3 ± 1.9</td>
<td>0.187</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Mobility</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ten-meter walk (sec)</td>
<td>19.0 ± 10.5</td>
<td>18.6 ± 9.8</td>
<td>0.902</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Strength</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right knee muscle strength /wt (kg/wt)</td>
<td>0.25 ± 0.08</td>
<td>0.27 ± 0.08</td>
<td>0.432</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left knee muscle strength /wt (kg/wt)</td>
<td>0.24 ± 0.08</td>
<td>0.25 ± 0.08</td>
<td>0.653</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Fig. 1.** Relationship between the functional reach and the time of single limb stance with eyes open.

**Fig. 2.** The association between Timed up & go test and 10 m walk test.

**Association between balance and mobility and its relation to fear of falling**

Figure 2 presents the difference in fear of falling according to the association between TUG and the 10 m walk. Another way of determining whether the difference occurred was to compare the ratio of TUG to 10 m walk in those who have fear of falling with the ratio in those without fear of falling (Fig. 3). Higher values of the ratio indicated less physical dysfunction of balance compared with his/her mobility. For example, in case of the same gait speed, the person who performed TUG faster obtained lower values of the ratio. Figure 3 shows that the mean of the ratios was 1.20 (SD=0.27) for those with fear, and 1.03 (SD=0.16) for those without fear. The ratio for those with fear of falling was higher significantly (p=0.024) than that for those without fear of falling.
ASSOCIATIONS OF FEAR OF FALLING, BALANCE, AND GAIT

Discussion

The elderly persons in this study, with means of approximately 20 seconds for TUG and 0.53 m/s for gait speed (10 m walk test in 18.9 seconds), had lower physical function than community-dwelling elderly observed in previous studies where the mean of TUG was reported to be 9–14 seconds\(^{17,18}\) and gait speed was 1.15–1.38 m/s\(^{19}\). The mean of the functional reach in the present study was also much lower than that in elderly people with fear of falling\(^5\).

In this study of frail elderly individuals aged 73 to 95, we found that fear of falling did not distinguish those with low physical function from those with high function, whereas several studies have reported that fear of falling is associated with functional decline\(^{13}\). Maki et al.\(^8\) reported that ambulatory and independent elderly people who expressed fear of falling performed significantly more poorly in the eyes-open one-leg stance test. In a study of outpatients of the Fall Prevention Clinic\(^6\), the group whose activity was restricted because of fear of falling had a significantly reduced performance in the eyes-open one-leg stance test. However, the participants of these studies functioned independently and were free from pathologies. Moreover Maki et al.\(^8\) reported that the means in the one-leg stance test were 6.8 seconds in the group with no fear of falling and 3.4 seconds in the group with fear of falling. The latter study showed that even the group whose activity was restricted because of fear of falling had a result of over 10 seconds in the one-leg stance test. Our single limb stance data in all subjects showed a mean of 2.1 seconds, which suggested that the previous reports investigated elderly people with a higher level of physical function than did the present study.

Kim et al.\(^6\) reported that the group whose activity was restricted because of fear of falling had TUG results (a mean of 18.5 seconds) that were lower than those of the non-restricted group (11.9 seconds). The mean of our TUG data was just below 20.2 seconds. Podsiadlo\(^{15}\) reported that the subjects who performed the test in less than 20 seconds tended to be independently mobile. Several studies confirmed that the longitudinal risk factors for falls were TUG >16 seconds, history of stroke, and depression\(^{20}\). They also showed that TUG >17 seconds was one of the independent predictors of declines in ADL\(^{21}\). It should be emphasized that the subjects of this paper had lower physical function than the subjects of previous reports, and our results thus indicated some of the functional characteristics of frail elderly persons.

Functional reach was the only physical function in which significant differences were observed between the fearful and not-fearful groups. As shown in Fig. 1, a subject who was unable to stand on one leg had the best result of 27.5 cm for functional reach. This record was distributed out of the trend the others were showing. A possible explanation relates to the fact that a safety handrail was mounted just in front of all subjects, whether they were fearful or not. In spite of we prohibited the subjects from touching the handrail, it may be that some subjects touched the safety handrail and kept their balance. The results suggested that it was difficult for the frail elderly people to perform the functional reach test safely and accurately.

In a recent study\(^4\), muscle strengthening and balance retraining were effective in reducing fall-related injuries and fear of falling in those aged 80 years and older. However, those researchers did not measure the actual muscle strength.

Declining balance and unsteady gait are major problems for the elderly\(^23\). Various studies have examined the effect of physical therapy on balance and mobility function in older people, and have suggested that...
appropriate exercise programs may be effective\textsuperscript{24}, resulting in a reduced risk of falling\textsuperscript{25,26}. In a randomized study\textsuperscript{24} of elderly subjects with balance dysfunction, individualized exercise programs were found to significantly improve balance and gait, but no significant difference was observed regarding fear of falling. Those results suggested that the independent results of balance and gait in the frail elderly mightn’t be related to an improvement of fear of falling.

Therefore we originally used the ratio of TUG to the 10 m walk as an index that indicated the relationship between balance function and mobility. The results of this study confirmed that fear of falling was associated with the ratio of TUG to 10 m walk in the frail elderly. Our results were supported by the finding that, in the physical therapy evaluation of the elderly in residential care facilities, a combination of measuring balance and gait speed yielded the most promising results\textsuperscript{27}. Our results suggest that even if frail elderly individuals walk slowly, they are not afraid of falling if they have a feasible balance function.

The study may be limited by assessing fear of falling with a simple question. Although it was reported that responses to the simple question about the presence of fear of falling correlate with the Tinetti Falls Efficacy Scale (FES), recently many authors have used FES to quantify fear of falling or fall-related efficacy\textsuperscript{13}. However, we hesitate to use FES because the validity and reliability of a Japanese edition has not yet been verified. Some measurement tools of fear of falling in the Japanese edition should be established immediately.

We conclude that, in low-functioning frail elderly, fear of falling is associated with a combination of balance function and gait speed. The ratio of TUG to 10 m walk is a simple clinical assessment; we believe this indicator is a potentially useful measurement in frail elderly persons.
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