INTRODUCTION

Santoni et al. (1) proposed in 2009 a new lumbar spine reconstruction technique called the cortical bone trajectory (CBT) technique. This technique differs from the conventional pedicle screw (PS) technique in which a screw is inserted through the anatomical axis of the pedicle into the vertebral body. In the CBT technique, a screw follows a sagittal caudocephalad path and a laterally directed path in the transverse plane to maximally engage the cortical bone from the pedicle to the vertebral body. Santoni et al. (1) measured the pullout strengths of the conventional PS and new CBT technique and found a 30% increase in uniaxial yield pullout load when employing CBT. Thus, CBT screwing is especially beneficial for osteoporotic spine in elderly patients.

The CBT technique also has other advantages due to the screw insertion point. The screw insertion through a medial starting point may minimize damage to the paravertebral muscles, facet joint,
and capsule. Especially on the cranial side of fusion, a conventional PS needs to be inserted laterally from the facet joint so that the screw insertion maneuver will not damage the facet joint and capsule. Thus, to insert a conventional PS at the cranial level of fusion, the paravertebral muscles need to be dissected laterally to the transverse process including the entire lamina. However, the CBT technique at the cranial level requires soft tissue dissection at only the inferior part of the lamina. Similarly, at the caudal level of fusion, CBT needs soft tissue dissection at the inferior lamina. However, PS at the caudal level can be inserted without any further dissection after decompression and facetectomy.

Because of the low invasiveness of CBT at the cranial level, we have started to perform a hybrid technique with CBT at the cranial level and PS at the caudal level (Figure 1). To date, only a few studies have reported on the CBT procedure, and to the best of our knowledge, very few studies have reported on the hybrid CBT-PS technique. In this paper, we introduce our hybrid technique as a technical note and review the clinical and radiological outcomes of the initial 6 cases of degenerative spondylolisthesis.

**SURGICAL TECHNIQUE**

We explain the surgical technique in a representative case of L4 spondylolisthesis. A skin incision of about 5-6 cm is made around the interlaminar area at L4-5 (Figure 2). Decompression including L4 laminotomy, L4-5 flavectomy, L5 laminotomy, and single-sided facetectomy is performed. A cage is inserted by the transfornaminal interbody fusion technique. At L4, 2 screws are inserted by the CBT technique on each side, and at L5, 2 PS are inserted according to the conventional technique proposed by Roy-Camille et al. (2). Unlike Weinstein’s technique for PS insertion (2), Roy-Camille’s technique does not require paravertebral dissection at the transverse process. Figure 3 shows the insertion point with both PS insertion techniques. The dotted lines indicate the pathway for Roy-Camille’s technique and the straight lines indicate that for Weinstein’s technique.

The insertion point of the CBT screw is determined on an anteroposterior radiological view using a C-arm image intensifier. For the right and left...
CBT screw insertion, the points are at 7 o’clock and 5 o’clock of the pedicle, respectively (Figure 4). This is similar to the technique proposed by Matsukawa et al. (3). The lateral view on the image intensifier is used to understand the sagittal trajectory of CBT screwing (Figure 5). First, the cortex at the insertion point is drilled. Then, a pedicle probe is inserted while observing the lateral view on the image intensifier. For the laterally directed path in the transverse plane, screw insertion is performed at 10 degrees to the insertion angle in the medial to lateral direction.

To reduce slippage in this series, we used the MESA system (K2M Inc., Leesburg, VA). The postsurgical radiographs in Figure 1 show that the CBT screws at L4 follow a caudocephalad path in the sagittal plane and a laterally directed path in the transverse plane. On the other hand, the screws at L5 are inserted along the anatomic axis of the pedicle into the vertebral body.

**METHOD**

Six cases of degenerative spondylolisthesis were treated with this hybrid CBT-PS technique. The affected vertebra was L3 in 1 case and L4 in the remaining 5 cases. All patients were women, aged 55, 65, 72, 75, 76, and 77 years. Clinical outcome was evaluated by Japanese Orthopaedic Association (JOA) score (4). Radiological outcome was evaluated by comparing the percent slippage before surgery with that immediately after surgery and 3 months later.

**RESULTS**

The mean operation time was 175.8 min (150-200 min). Blood loss was 70-200 mg, and no patients required blood transfusion. In addition, there were no surgical complications such as dural tear, cauda equina injury, nerve root injury, or deep surgical site infection requiring removal of the spinal implants. Only 1 patient had a mild infection of unknown origin 6 weeks after the surgery, in which the white blood cell count and C-reactive protein (CRP) level increased to 9800 cells/μL and 0.7 mg/dL, respectively. The infection required antibiotics for 2 months; however, it resolved without needing to remove the instrumentation. The mean JOA score was 15.5 before surgery and improved to 24.5 after the surgery.

Figure 6 shows the time course of the percent slippage in each case. The slippage was reduced from 19.8% to 3.9% using the MESA system, and the reduced position was maintained 3 months later. Figures 7 and 8 show 2 cases treated by this procedure. In both cases, slippage was reduced to
almost the normal position, which was maintained for 3 months.

**DISCUSSION**

**Minimal invasiveness :**

In theory, the present procedure is less invasive than conventional PS fusion surgery. At our institution, conventional single-level transforaminal lumbar interbody fusion surgery with PS requires a skin incision of around 8-10 cm, whereas this hybrid CBT-PS procedure requires an incision of 5-6 cm. In terms of incision length, our technique is less invasive than the conventional PS technique.

To understand the invasiveness to bone and muscle during the back surgery, CRP, creatinine kinase (CK), and interleukin-6 values have sometimes been evaluated (5-7). However, in this technical note, we need to concentrate on the technical note. Presently, we have initiated to understand its minimally invasiveness with evaluating these parameters.

**Comparison with the other minimally invasive fusion techniques :**

Use of the percutaneous pedicle screwing (PPS) procedure is another option for minimally invasive fusion surgery. Although the midline skin incision in PPS can be smaller, 2 additional skin incisions (and as many as 4) are needed. Furthermore, in the PPS procedure, the PS must pass through the paravertebral muscles, which may damage them, although the CK level after surgery is reported to be lower than that with the conventional PS technique (8). As a next step in examining invasiveness, we need to compare the invasiveness of the CBT technique with that of the PPS technique.

**Repositioning and holding power with our hybrid CBT-PS technique :**

We applied the hybrid CBT-PS technique to patients with degenerative spondylolisthesis. The mean percent slippage was 19.8% before surgery and 3.9% after surgery, and was maintained at 4.5% at the 3-month follow up, thus indicating sufficient holding strength of the slipped vertebra by CBT screwing. Compared with reports of the PS system, our reduction ratio using the hybrid CBT-PS technique would be similar to that of the conventional PS procedure. All patients were over 50 years old, and 3 were over 70 years old and likely had an osteoporotic spine. Matsukawa et al. (3) recently measured the final
insertional torque (IT), which is reported to be a
good indicator of screw pullout strength, in the PS
and CBT techniques and found that the IT of CBT
was about 2-fold that of PS. Thus, it is reasonable to
regard the holding strength of the vertebral body
with CBT screwing in our cases to be in good agree-
ment with the previous biomechanical data reported
by Santoni et al. (1) and Matsukawa et al. (3).

Future investigation:
Santoni et al. first described the CBT technique
in 2009 (1) but reported only biomechanical not
clinical data. Moreover, only a few studies have re-
ported the biomechanical, clinical, and radiological
outcomes in actual surgical cases (3, 9). Therefore,
the data on this technique are as yet limited. In the
future, comprehensive clinical and radiologic data
are needed to clarify the efficacy of this theoreti-
cally ideal and minimally invasive screwing tech-
nique, which we believe has the potential to become
the gold standard for minimally invasive spinal fu-
sion surgery.
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