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Abstract: High-intensity zone (HIZ) was originally described as a high-intensity signal on T2-weighted magnetic resonance (MR) images, located in the posterior annulus fibrosus, clearly separated from the nucleus pulposus. Among symptomatic patients with low back pain, HIZ is present in 28%-59% of cases. In morphologically abnormal discs, high sensitivity and specificity of 81% and 79%, respectively, were reported for HIZs and concordant pain during discography. In contrast, another report indicated low rates. Although most papers reported high sensitivity and specificity for this relationship, it remains controversial. Regarding the pathology of HIZs, inflammatory granulation tissues are found at sites showing HIZs. Such inflammatory tissues produce pro-inflammatory cytokines and mediators, which sensitize the nociceptors within the disc and cause pain. An effective treatment for this condition is yet to be established. Recently, minimally invasive surgery using percutaneous endoscopic discectomy (PED) under local anesthesia was introduced. After removal of the degenerated disc material, the HIZ is identified with the endoscope and then coagulated and modulated with a bipolar radio pulse. This technique is called thermal annuloplasty. In conclusion, HIZs is an important sign of painful intervertebral disc disruption, if identified precisely based on factors such as location and intensity. J. Med. Invest. 63:1-7, February, 2016
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INTRODUCTION

High-intensity zone (HIZ) has been described as an important sign visualized on magnetic resonance (MR) images for the diagnosis of internal disc disruption causing discogenic low back pain (DLBP). However, its identification in asymptomatic individuals has made it a controversial issue. This report discusses recent and changing trends in the diagnostic significance and management of HIZs.

BACKGROUND

In approximately 85% cases with low back pain, the specific cause remains unidentified (1, 2). More than 40% of cases with chronic LBP are attributed to intervertebral disc damage, of which over 70% of cases have no nerve root compression (3-6). DLBP due to internal disc disruption is considered to be the most common cause for chronic LBP (4, 5). Since clinical examination alone is not capable of identifying the exact source of chronic LBP, various investigations and related signs have been identified in the last few decades (7,8). Provocative discography, first described by Lindholm and Hirsch in 1948, provides information on the morphological characteristics of the disc as well as the provoked pain response (9, 10). Hence, it is still considered the gold standard by many clinicians for the diagnosis and management of DLBP. However, provocative discography remains controversial due to its invasive nature and associated complications, such as infection, neurological injury, and possible contrast medium reaction. Moreover, the interpretation of the results is strongly influenced by the psychological condition of the patient (11, 12). For this reason, many clinicians have reservations regarding the significance and utility of provocative discography in the diagnosis of DLBP.

A significant breakthrough was made in 1992, when, for the first time, Aprill and Bogduk described HIZs on MR images for diagnosing DLBP (13). Their study demonstrated a prevalence of 28.6% for HIZs, with sensitivity, specificity, and positive predictive value (PPV) of 71%, 89%, and 86%, respectively, for diagnosing symptomatic LBP patients (13). After that, various studies have investigated the significance of HIZs: some reports have described a limited role of HIZs in the diagnosis of LBP due to low sensitivity and high prevalence in asymptomatic subjects, while many other reports have considered HIZs to be a reliable non-invasive means of confirming painful internal disc disruption.

Figure 1 shows typical MR images in a patient with DLBP. This patient is a 36-year-old male baseball player who experienced severe pain, he was treated by intradiscal injection. He was able to play through the season by repeating the intradiscal injection therapy. At the end of the season, he retired from professional baseball.

DEFINITION AND EPIDEMIOLOGY

Originally, HIZ was defined as a high-intensity signal on T2-weighted MR images, located posteriorly in the annulus fibrosus, which is clearly dissociated from the signal of the nucleus pulposus (13). However, most authors now believe that a similar lesion occurring at the posterolateral annulus fibrosus should also be
considered as HIZ, and such lesions are usually included in related studies (14-18). Bogduk (19) and Liu et al. (18) emphasized that HIZ must be an intense signal, rather than any spot, in the posterior annulus.

The prevalence of HIZs ranges from 28% to 59% in patients with LBP, but its prevalence has also been reported to be as high as 56% in patients without LBP (Table 1). In a prospective study of 144 patients, Lam et al. (20) concluded that HIZs are a reliable indicator of symptomatic annular tears causing pain, with prevalence up to 51%. Their findings were comparable to the results of April and Bogduk (13). Wang et al. (16) reported that among 623 patients, 32.1% exhibited an HIZ in at least one disc, and the LBP rate among the patients with HIZs was significantly higher than that among the patients without HIZs (57.5% vs. 47.8%, P< 0.05). In a prospective comparative study conducted by Liu et al. (18), the prevalence of HIZs was 45.8% in symptomatic LBP patients but 20.2% in the asymptomatic control group.

Table 1: Prevalence of HIZs in symptomatic and asymptomatic populations.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Symptomatic (%)</th>
<th>Asymptomatic (%)</th>
<th>No. of patients</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>April and Bogduk (13)</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liu et al. (18)</td>
<td>45.8</td>
<td>20.2</td>
<td>72/79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lam et al. (20)</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>144</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rankine et al. (21)</td>
<td>45.5</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>156</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mitra et al. (22)</td>
<td>28.6</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>650</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carragee et al. (23)</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weishaupt et al. (24)</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stadnik et al. (25)</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Correlation between HIZs and exact or similar pain reproduction on discography.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Sensitivity (%)</th>
<th>Specificity (%)</th>
<th>PPV (%)</th>
<th>NPV (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hebelka et al. (26)</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smith et al. (27)</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lam et al. (20)</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schellhas et al. (15)</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>88.9</td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ito et al. (14)</td>
<td>26.7</td>
<td>95.2</td>
<td>88.9</td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aprill and Bogduk (13)</td>
<td>32.2</td>
<td>95.6</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liu et al. (18)</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lam et al. (20)</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hebelka et al. (26)</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PATHOGENESIS

In the literature, discogenic pain is described as LBP with or without leg pain, caused by disc degeneration and/or annular rupture...
Potential causes of non-discogenic LBP include spondylolisthesis, spinal stenosis, degenerative scoliosis, disc herniation, spinal fracture, infection, and neoplasm. Routine MR imaging of the lumbosacral spine for investigating the cause of DLBP can reveal various abnormalities of the disc, but the presence of an abnormality does not necessarily indicate that it is responsible for the pain (24, 31).

In normal adults, the annulus fibrosus is innervated by the recurrent meningeal nerve and by branches from the ventral rami of the somatic spinal nerve (32). Most of the nerve supply of the intervertebral disc is limited to the periphery of the annulus fibrosus (32-34). With this knowledge, Sach et al. (35) found that annular tears extending to the inner third of the annulus fibrosus were asymptomatic, whereas tears that extended to the peripheral third produced pain in 70% of their patients. Since the posterior annulus is considered structurally weak and experiences high stress concentrations, this site is more vulnerable to disruption and HIZs compared with the anterior annulus (36).

Various authors have proposed that HIZs comprise fluid-filled zones, possibly due to a detached nucleus pulposus that is trapped between the lamellae of a torn annulus fibrosus, following secondary inflammation that results in edema, causing the characteristic signal abnormality on MR images (13, 15, 37, 38). This area appears to enhance on gadolinium DTPA-MR images, indicating the presence of granulation tissue or neovascularization induced by inflammation (39). This was supported by the results of a cadaveric study by Yu et al. (40), which demonstrated that radial and transverse tears could be identified on MR images, as well as a histological study by Peng et al. (41) on lumbar intervertebral discs containing HIZs in the posterior annulus. Such inflammatory granulation tissue produces pro-inflammatory cytokines and mediators, which sensitize the nociceptors within the disc, causing pain (8, 41). These findings suggest that biomechanical mediators are more important than mechanical compression alone in the pathogenesis of back pain (8, 17).

### INFLUENCE OF THE MEASUREMENT CONDITIONS AND STRENGTH OF MAGNETIC FIELD

Originally, HIZ was identified and defined on T2-weighted MR pulse sequence (13), and most of the studies about HIZ have used 1.5 Tesla (T) superconducting magnet in common (14-16, 18, 20, 22, 27). It is not clear whether strength or any other sequence of MR imaging influences the detection of HIZ. It is considered that more powerful MR imaging system has more resolution, making the detection of HIZ easier and effective (20). Pandey et al. (42) used 0.5 T system for evaluating significance of HIZ in 200 patients. They demonstrated prevalence of HIZ was 13% and 17% according to observer A and B respectively, without any clinical significance or diagnosis of disrupted and painful disc. Similarly, only 27 HIZs were identified in MR images, out of 152 discs (17.7%) examined by Saifuddin et al. (14) using 0.5-1.5 T system. The exact numbers of patient examined by 0.5 T or 1 T or 1.5 T, was not included. Rankine et al. (21) demonstrated prevalence of HIZ as high as 45.5% using 1 T system in their study. Hence, it is difficult to comment the significance of strength of MR imaging for detection of HIZ. We believe 1.5 T system frequently used worldwide is optimum strength for detection of HIZ.

### LOCATION

More than two-third of HIZs are identified at lower lumbar segments, with the highest number being identified at L4-5, followed by L5-S1 (Table 3). Wang et al. revealed that the prevalence of multisegmental HIZs (Figure 2) was 16.3%, and 25 of 33 cases with multisegmental HIZs exhibited HIZs in an adjacent disc (16). In the same study, HIZs were more frequently observed in the inferior part of the annulus fibrosus (superior-middle-inferior ratio, 39 : 59 : 140), with statistically significant differences (16). Thus, along with the original definition for the location of HIZs, which included lesions in only the posterior annulus fibrosus, many authors now think that HIZs exist circumferentially and that the original definition should be expanded to include non-midline lesions, while keeping in mind that posterior lesions are the commonest (15-17).

### INTENSITY

With growing controversies surrounding the definition of HIZ and its significance, an important factor is the identification of true HIZs. Failure to distinguish true HIZs from low/medium intensity zones may lead to a lower diagnostic value of HIZs (44). Reliable and sophisticated measures are needed for the precise detection and assessment of HIZs to improve the clinical utility of this finding (26). O’Neil et al. (45) divided HIZs into grades of mild, moderate, and marked hyperintense. They found that moderate and marked lesions had higher specificities. Carragee et al. (23) found that if the signal was within 10% of the cerebral spinal fluid (CSF) intensity, it could be considered as a true HIZ, but they did not discuss reliability. In a quantitative study conducted by Liu et al. (18), the mean signal of HIZs was significantly brighter in symptomatic subjects than in asymptomatic subjects. Therefore, they defined a true HIZ as a lesion with signal intensity at least as bright as 50% of the CSF signal intensity. In their study, the corresponding disc with HIZs was outlined first on T1-weighted MR images. The contour of the disc obtained on T1-weighted MR images was copied

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>L1-2</th>
<th>L2-3</th>
<th>L3-4</th>
<th>L4-5</th>
<th>L5-S1</th>
<th>Total no. of HIZs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Saifuddin et al. (14)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schellhas et al. (15)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wang et al. (16)</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>238</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lam et al. (20)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ricketson et al. (37)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wilkens et al. (43)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3: Distribution of HIZs in different lumbar intervertebral discs.
showing multisegmental HIZs within the posterior annulus fibrosus of L4-5 are significantly brighter and are a reliable marker of discogenic disease, whereas the symptomatic population will have true HIZs, which can be found in MR images in asymptomatic populations. The hypothesis is that HIZ is a potential marker of an osteoarthritic degenerative process and is related to the secretion of pro-inflammatory mediators (8), and that HIZs may contain IL-1β. Previous research has also demonstrated the inability of glucosamine to reduce LBP or LBP-related disability (47).

Various minimally invasive procedures, such as intradiscal electrothermal therapy (IDET), intradiscal injections, and percutaneous endoscopic discectomy and thermal annuloplasty (PEDTA) have been assessed. Promising effects have been observed but no clear conclusions have been reached (48-50). While these therapies offer satisfactory symptomatic relief from LBP, as noted by treating clinicians, their mechanisms of action have yet to be comprehensively explained. Narvani et al. (51) reported symptomatic improvement in 8 of 10 patients treated with IDET at 6 months post-procedure, though the HIZs were noted to persist even after the procedure. They postulated three possible explanations for their findings: (1) the presence of HIZ does not accurately predict annular tear; (2) IDET does not seal the annular tear but rather stiffens the annulus and offloads the pain-sensitive areas; and (3) IDET seals the tears but does not reverse the chronic inflammatory changes of HIZs.

In a prospective study, Miller et al. (48) suggested that intradiscal injection of a solution consisting of 50% dextrose and 0.25% bupivacaine may have a place in the management of pain arising from advanced lumbar degenerative disc disease. Since oral glucosamine was ineffective, Derby et al. (49) compared IDET with intradiscal restorative injections consisting of injectable glucosamine and chondroitin sulfate combined with hypertonic dextrose and dimethylsulfoxide to reduce pain and disability in patients with chronic DLBP. The results were similar for intradiscal injections and IDET, but the injections provided a better cost-benefit ratio. IDET was performed in 74 patients, while 55 patients received intradiscal injection; while satisfactory results were noted in both procedures, outcomes were slightly better for the injections than those for IDET. Moreover, only 47.8% of the patients who underwent IDET reported improvement, whereas 65.6% of the patients who received intradiscal injection were satisfied with the outcome.

Percutaneous endoscopic discectomy (PED) was initially established as a novel surgical technique for the management of lumbar disc herniation (52). It is a minimally invasive procedure, where an endoscope is inserted intradiscally via the posterolateral, transforaminal approach through an 8 mm skin incision under local anesthesia, causing minimum injury to the back muscles (52, 53). Additionally, radiofrequency thermal annuloplasty (TA) with PED (PEDTA) has been reported as the most recent advancement in the treatment of DLBP (54-56).

In a retrospective study of 113 patients of DLBP treated by PEDTA, Tsou et al. (54) reported 73.5% satisfactory outcomes (excellent 15%, good 28.3%, and fair 30.1%) at 2-year follow-up, while 26.5% patients were found to have poor results. Sairyo et al. (55) reported the cases of 4 professional athletes with DLBP, including 2 showing HIZs on MR images, who were successfully treated by PEDTA. The endoscopic visualization of vascularized granulation tissue in the outer region of the annulus fibrosus, which correlated with the location of HIZs on MR images, further supports the significance of HIZs and helps in radiofrequency TA under direct vision (54, 55, 57). Hence, PEDTA appears to provide better results than other procedures, as it can significantly reduce intradiscal pressure, remove the inflammed nucleus pulposus under direct vision, provide the TA effect, and reduce the presence of inflammatory factors involved in pain through irrigation (52-57).

Figure 3 demonstrates a case of DLBP due to HIZs, a 48-year-old man who had chronic DLBP for approximately 20 years. MR images revealed HIZs at L4-5, and discography showed concordant pain at the same level; thus, PEDTA was performed under local anesthesia. Before surgery, the patient had LBP graded 2/10 on the visual analog scale (VAS). He also had a history of 3-4 episodes of severe LBP requiring sick leave every year. After the surgery, his LBP almost completely resolved. After undergoing strenuous rehabilitation, including hamstring stretching, trunk
muscle core exercises, and thoracic spine stretching, he was able to resume activities of daily living without LBP. Sugiura et al. (57) endoscopically observed the site showing HIZs and found red coloration at the site, suggesting neovascularization into the disc space. Thus, with direct vision of the inflammatory site, TA could be performed as pinpoint surgery that accurately targeted the origin of the pain. Figure 4 shows the MR images of a 36-year-old professional baseball player. He presented with a 1-year history of chronic LBP. The initial MR images (Figure 4a, 4b) revealed herniated nucleus pulposus at the L3-4 and L4-5 levels, with additional HIZs at the L4-5 level. However, provocative discography revealed concordant pain at only the L4-5 level. Hence, discogenic pain due to HIZs at the L4-5 level was diagnosed. Treatment with intradiscal injections was attempted, but little symptomatic relief was achieved. Repeat MR examination (Figure 4c, 4d) after 10 months revealed that the HIZs had become more prominent, with increased intensity at the L4-5 level. PEDTA was therefore performed. Postoperatively, his LBP disappeared, and he returned to playing at the professional level from the next season. At 5 years postoperatively, he has remained very active as a professional baseball player.

PEDTA is a comparatively new technique, and there is not yet much evidence regarding its effects on DLBP. As presented in Figures 3 and 4, PEDTA appears to be highly effective in certain cases. Due to its minimal invasiveness, PEDTA could become the gold standard surgical procedure for DLBP associated with HIZs, following meticulous clinical and basic studies.

CONCLUSION

HIZ is not merely a feature of disc degeneration in MR images; we consider it to be an important sign of painful intervertebral disc disruption, if identified precisely based on factors such as location and intensity. With advances in MR imaging techniques and minimally invasive surgical techniques, further studies are essential for clarifying the controversies regarding the diagnostic significance and management of HIZs.
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