ORIGINAL

Differences In High-Intensity Signal Volume Between Arterial Spin Labeling And Contrast-Enhanced T1-Weighted Imaging May Be Useful For Differentiating Glioblastoma From Brain Metastasis

Mungunbagana Ganbold¹, MD ; Masafumi Harada¹, MD, PhD ; Delgerdalai Khashbat¹, MD, PhD ; Takashi Abe¹, MD, PhD ; Teruyoshi Kageji², MD, PhD ; and Shinji Nagahiro², MD, PhD

¹Department of Radiology and Radiation Oncology, ²Department of Neurosurgery, Institute of Biomedical Sciences, Tokushima University Graduate School

Abstract : Purpose : To determine whether differences in tumor volume between arterial spin labeling (ASL) and contrast-enhanced T1-weighted MR images (CE+T1WI) can help differentiate glioblastoma (GBM) from brain metastasis. **Materials and methods :** Patients with a diagnosis of GBM (n=25) or brain metastasis (n=13) were examined by both conventional and ASL MR imaging. Volumes of interest with high signal intensity on ASL and CE+T1WI were defined using three dimensional analysis software. Tumor volume difference (ASL-CE) and tumor volume ratio (ASL/CE) were obtained. Absolute maximal tumor blood flow (TBF) and TBF ratio (normalized to white matter) were also measured. The Mann-Whitney U test and receiver operating characteristic curve analysis were performed to compare measurements between the tumor groups. **Results :** Both tumor volume difference and tumor volume ratio were significantly higher in GBM than in metastasis. Both TBF and TBF ratio were higher for GBM than for metastasis, but the differences were not significant. **Conclusion :** The difference in tumor volume as measured by ASL high signal intensity and CE+T1WI might be useful for differentiating GBM from metastasis, whereas ASL-derived TBF is insufficient. *J. Med. Invest.* 64 : 58-63, February, 2017

Keywords : arterial spin labeling, perfusion, volumetric analysis, glioblastoma, metastasis

INTRODUCTION

Glioblastoma (GBM) and brain metastasis are the most common brain neoplasms in adulthood, accounting for about half of all cases (1, 2). It is critical to distinguish GBM from metastasis for prognosis and optimal treatment. However, differential diagnosis is generally a challenge using conventional magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) because both of these neoplasms show similar imaging manifestations, like a solid contrast enhancement with peritumoral edema and a mass effect.

Perfusion MRI is helpful for the assessment of brain tumors by defining the degree of microvascular proliferation. Studies using dynamic susceptibility contrast-enhanced (DSC) MRI, the most prevalent perfusion technique, reported a relatively higher value for GBM compared with metastasis. This difference stems from the peritumoral perfusion deficit caused by edema surrounding metastatic tumors (3-5). However, the presence of tumoral edema and the difference in perfusion are still insufficient for differentiation due to a high likelihood of elevated perfusion value in metastasis (6) and tumoral edema in primary gliomas (7).

As an alternative to DSC MRI, arterial spin labeling (ASL) perfusion imaging has proven to be reliable for evaluating cerebral blood circulation. In contrast to standard perfusion imaging with exogenous contrast agents, ASL uses arterial blood water as an endogenous tracer to quantify cerebral blood flow (CBF) (8).

Estimation of CBF parameters derived from ASL are used in clinical practice to assess various neurological disorders, including tumors, stroke, and epilepsy (9, 10). In addition to CBF estimation, several groups have reported that a high-intensity signal abnormality on ASL images could aid in the diagnosis of cerebrovascular malformations (11, 12). Furthermore, a recent study conducted at our institution demonstrated that high signal intensity on ASL may be used to distinguish histologically distinct tumors (13). In that investigation, a mismatch in the high-intensity signal area between ASL and contrast-enhanced imaging was found for primary gliomas and malignant lymphomas compared to metastasis.

While conventional MRI manifestations are comparable, mechanisms of tumor angiogenesis differ markedly between GBM and metastasis. GBM is a highly vascular tumor, and microvessels always infiltrate into surrounding brain tissue (4, 5, 14). In contrast, there is a lack of angiogenic infiltration into peritumoral tissue in metastasis (15). ASL is a very sensitive modality for detecting hypervascularity, which appears as high-intensity signals (10). On the other hand, the main source of estimation errors in ASL imaging is often related to hypoperfused lesions with arterial transit delay (9).

Various volumetric parameters derived from the high-intensity signals on traditional MRI sequences, such as CE+T1WI, FLAIR, and T2WI, are used for brain tumor evaluation, especially volumetric changes in contrast enhancement (16-18). It has been reported that three-dimensional (3D) volumetry may be more accurate for defining tumor volume than estimates based on 2D axial slices (19).

In this study, we measured the volumes of the ASL high-intensity signals from GBM and metastasis and compared them with those of CE+T1WI signals, allowing sensitive differentiation of these

Received for publication October 5, 2016 ; accepted October 23, 2016.

Address correspondence and reprint requests to Mungunbagana Ganbold, MD ; Department of Radiology and Radiation Oncology, Institute of Biomedical Sciences, Tokushima University Graduate School 3-18-15 Kuramoto-cho, Tokushima City, Tokushima 770-8509, Japan and Fax : +81-88-633-7174.

tumor types using the subtracted high-intensity volume (ASL minus CE+T1WI) and ratio (ASL to CE+T1WI). We have also compared CBF variables estimated by ASL between the tumor groups.

MATERIALS AND METHOD

This study was approved by the ethics committee of our institution and informed consent was obtained from all patients before the examinations.

We selected prospective patients with GBM or metastasis who had undergone MRI examinations at Tokushima University Hospital between 2010 and 2015. All patients had been evaluated by ASL and other MRI sequences using the same MRI machine prior to treatment. Of 41 patients considered for this study, 1 case with metastasis was excluded due to a visible artifact on ASL images and 2 GBM cases were excluded due to lack of contrast enhancement on CE+T1WI.

Finally, 38 patients (23 men, 15 women; age range 43-87 years; mean age, 65.26 years) with a diagnosis of GBM or metastasis were enrolled. All 25 patients with GBM were diagnosed by histopathological examination at surgical resection or biopsy, and histopathological results were used as the reference based on World Health Organization criteria (20). Of the 13 patients with metastasis, 11 were diagnosed by histopathological examination and 2 were diagnosed by clinical findings (21). The primary metastatic tumor was lung carcinoma in 5 patients, breast carcinoma in 3, colon carcinoma in 3, urothelial carcinoma in 1, and ovarian carcinoma in 1.

MRI PROTOCOLS

MRI was performed on a 3-T scanner (Discovery 750, GE Healthcare, Milwaukee, WI) using a standard 8-channel head coil. All MRI examinations included ASL, CE+T1WI, T2WI, FLAIR, T1WI, and DWI.

CE+T1WI with 3D fast spoiled gradient echo (FSPGR) images were acquired as following parameter. Four minutes after injection of 0.1 mmol/kg body weight a gadolinium-containing contrast agent (gadopentetate dimeglumine Gd-DTPA, Magnevist, Bayer HealthCare, Berlin, Germany) with a power injector (Medrad Inc, Indianola, PA, USA) at 2.5 ml/s followed with normal saline (20 ml) lock-flush at the same rate. Scan parameters for 3D FSPGR were: TR 10.4 ms, TE 4.4 ms, bandwidth 31.25 kHz, slice thickness 1.2 mm, matrix 384×256, flip angle 15°, NEX one, field of view (FOV) 24×24 cm, acceleration factor 2×2, number of slices 160, and acquisition time of 3:34 min.

ASL was obtained with pseudo-continuous labeling, background suppression, and a stack of spiral 3D fast-spin echo imaging sequences (22). Acquisition parameters were as follows: 512 sampling points on 8 spirals, field of view (FOV) 24 cm, TR 4632 ms, TE 10.5 ms, reconstructed matrix size 64×64, number of excitations 2, post-labeling delay 1525 ms, slice thickness 4 mm, number of slices 36, and total acquisition time 3:15 min.

IMAGING DATA ANALYSIS

We measured the tumor volumes by contrast enhancement on CE+T1WI and high-signal intensity on ASL images using commercial software (Synapse® 3D Vincent, Ver.4.0, Fujifilm, Tokyo, Japan) with semiautomatic drawing. Volumes of interest (VOIs) were placed only on the high signal intensity regions of CE+T1WI and ASL images (Fig. 1). Tumor position on CE+T1WI was used as the reference for VOI placement on ASL images. We did not include necrotic areas in tumors and avoided nontumoral tissues.

To reduce sampling errors due to inclusion of surrounding low-intensity regions within VOIs, we used cutoff values derived from VOIs encompassing contralateral healthy white matter. For both CE+T1WI and ASL, cutoff values were defined as the mean+2×standard deviation, which was subtracted from the VOI signal intensity histogram for each patient.

Next, we calculated the volume difference by subtracting the high-intensity volume obtained by ASL from the CE+T1WI volume (ASL tumor volume - CE tumor volume). We also calculated the volume ratio (ASL volume/CE volume) for each patient.

We investigated CBF parameters such as the absolute maximal tumor blood flow (TBF) and TBF ratio (normalized to blood flow in healthy white matter) between tumor groups using the Advantage Window AW 4.6 workstation (GE, Medical Systems, Milwaukee, WI). The regions of interest (ROI)'s were placed on maximal signal intensity areas of the tumor lesions on CE+T1WI and copied to corresponding ASL maps. All measurements were acquired independently by two neuroradiologists (M.G and M.H) blinded to the diagnostic information and averaged for analyses. Only values with intra-class correlation coefficients (ICC) ≥ 0.90 ($p < 0.001$) were included in the final analysis.

STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

All statistical analyses were performed using SPSS Ver. 20 (IBM, Armonk, NY, USA). The Mann-Whitney U test was used to assess differences in variables between GBM and metastasis. Receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve analysis was used to assess diagnostic accuracy for differentiating GBM from metastasis. The level of significance was set at $p < 0.05$.

RESULTS

Both subtracted tumor volume (ASL-measured volume minus CE-T1WI-measured volume) and ratio of tumor volume (ASL-measured volume/CE-T1WI-measured volume) differed significantly between the tumor types (Table 1), with subtracted tumor volume significantly larger for GBM than for metastasis ($p < 0.001$). Similarly, the volumetric ratio was significantly higher for GBM than for metastasis ($p < 0.001$). In contrast, differences in the TBF and TBF ratio did not reach statistical significance ($p = 0.85$ and $p = 0.69$, respectively).

The areas under the ROC curves for subtracted tumor volume and tumor volume ratio were 0.865 and 0.852, respectively (Fig. 2). Subtracted tumor volume > 2.7 ml distinguished GBM from metastasis with 96% sensitivity and 76.9% specificity, and a ratio > 1.14 distinguished GBM from metastasis with 96% sensitivity and 69.2% specificity. The AUC for TBF was 0.674, with 60% sensitivity and 69.2% specificity. The AUC of the TBF ratio was 0.683, with 56% sensitivity and 76.9% specificity. Diagnostic accuracy was significant for both tumor volume difference and tumor volume ratio ($P < 0.001$ for both) but not for TBF or TBF ratio.

DISCUSSION

The similar imaging manifestations of GBM and metastasis can complicate differential diagnosis, particularly when metastasis has a single mass. Survival time and treatment options differ substantially between GBM and metastasis. Median survival time is only 12.61 months for GBM and even shorter for metastasis, necessitating timely and accurate diagnosis. The main treatment for GBM is immediate removal of as much of the tumor as possible, followed by radiation and chemotherapy. Metastasis treatment

Fig. 1. An example volume of interest (VOI) drawn on an image of glioblastoma (GBM). (1A, 1B) Contrast-enhanced T1-weighted images (CE+T1WI), (2A, 2B) ASL images (from left, axial, sagittal, and coronal planes) and (1C, 2C) 3D view of VOI. The tumor manifested as a contrast enhancement on CE+T1WI and a high signal intensity on ASL images. Tumor VOI (green) included only abnormal high signal intensity.

Table 1

	GBM (n=25)	MET (n=13)	P value
Sex (male%)	68	46.15	0.286
Age	67.36±10.79	61.23±10.21	0.970
Volume difference (ml)	21.55±22.12	3.49±11.59	< 0.001
Volume ratio	3.2±2.4	1.2±0.89	< 0.001
TBF (ml/100 g/min)	136.5±58.16	107.6±64.93	0.85
TBF ratio	5.66±2.35	4.26±2.36	0.69

GBM, glioblastoma multiforme ; MET, metastasis ; TBF, tumor blood flow

options depend on whether there is a single or multiple lesion ; generally, for patients with a single lesion, surgery is considered effective, but a recent study concluded that whole brain radiation therapy combined with stereotactic radiosurgery could be better (23-25).

In the present study, comparative volumetric assessment using ASL and CE+T1WI differentiated GBM from metastasis with clinical significance. ROC analysis (Fig. 2) showed that both volume difference and volume ratio were higher for GBM compared with metastatic tumors. The area under the ROC curve was highest for subtracted tumor volume (0.865) and lowest for TBF (0.674), and both TBF and TBF ratio were less sensitive and specific indicators of tumor type than were volumetric parameters. In sum, a larger high-intensity signal volume on ASL compared with CE volume is suggestive of GBM, while a smaller volume difference suggests

metastasis.

It is well known that ASL signal intensity is strongly correlated with tumor vascularity, allowing histopathological tumor diagnosis and grading (9, 10, 26). The extensive tumor angiogenesis associated with GBM (neovascularization) results in vascular abnormalities such as microvessel proliferation, endothelial hyperplasia, vessel dilation, and high vessel tortuosity. GBM generally grows diffusely into normal brain tissue due to the rich vascular network created (14, 24, 27). In contrast, vascularity of metastasis is mostly resembled the vessels of primary site tumors and microvessel density is only higher in intratumoral lesion. It is observed that angiogenesis in metastasis is higher than in normal tissue but metastasis is much less vascular. While angiogenesis is higher than in normal tissue, angiogenic proliferation is very low in the peritumoral region (14, 15). Therefore, several studies have found

Fig. 2. Receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curves for determining the discrimination accuracy of the variables. The volume difference and volume ratio showed greater sensitivity and specificity for distinguishing GBM from metastasis than absolute maximal tumor blood flow (TBF) and TBF ratio as indicated by areas under the ROC curves.

Fig. 3. Glioblastoma with surrounding edema (WHO grade IV) in a 75-year-old male patient. (A) A CE+T1WI showing a solid contrast-enhanced lesion. (B) The tumor exhibits a large hyperintensity on ASL images.

associations of intratumoral vascular shunts with tumor growth, particularly for GBM (12, 28). Neovascularization related with tumor development can lead to blood-brain barrier disruption, which produces leaky vessels with stagnant flow (29). Both of vascular shunts with rapid tracer transit and stagnant blood flow would give high signal intensity on ASL images (11, 12, 30).

On the other hand, a difference in tumoral edema can affect tracer

transit time in ASL. It is found that a higher degree of surrounding edema was more likely in metastasis, while GBM produced greater contrast enhancement. Cerebral edema in patients with metastatic neoplasms is often vasogenic due to vascular permeability, whereas GBM often exhibits a combination of cytotoxic edema and cell infiltration (4, 5, 14). Due to these differences in angiogenesis, we suspect that a difference in ASL signal stemming from the tumor

Fig. 4. A histologically confirmed metastatic tumor from lung cancer in a 71-year-old female patient. (A) Ring enhanced solid lesion on CE+T1WI. (B) The tumor shows hyperperfusion on ASL, but the area of high signal intensity is small compared with the contrast intensity.

itself is unlikely between GBM and MET.

Cerebral blood flow is considered the most reliable measurement parameter of ASL for clinical evaluation (9). In our study, GBM exhibited a slightly higher TBF than metastasis, but neither the difference of TBF and TBF ratio reached the level of statistical significance (Table 1). Previous studies of contrast perfusion MRI found no significant difference in TBF between malignant glioma and metastasis (3-5), consistent with our results. It is noted that both GBM and metastasis are highly vascular malignant tumors, and specific types of metastasis such as melanoma and renal carcinoma may show markedly elevated TBF (3, 6). In the present study, a metastatic tumor from colon carcinoma showed the highest perfusion value by ASL. Alternatively, several studies have reported higher perfusion for malignant glioma than for metastasis (6), and greater perfusion in the peritumoral region is considered sufficient to differentiate malignant glial tumors (4, 5). However, we did not focus on peritumoral regions as these have been examined in many previous comparative studies on GBM and metastasis. Nevertheless, the major portion of GBM lesions show surrounding edema (7), while some types of metastases show very high perfusion (3, 6, 14). It thus appears difficult to differentiate metastasis from GBM by differences in ASL-measured TBF.

Multiple volumetric parameters derived from conventional MRI have been investigated for brain tumor evaluation, most assessing tumor treatment response rather than differentiation of tumor type. In this study, we used 3D volumetry of ASL, a novel perfusion method for assessing various cerebral diseases (9). According to our findings, the combination of volumes of ASL high signal and contrast enhancement may be useful for differentiating GBM from metastasis, particularly as the use of ASL is increasing due to other non-contrast benefits. In addition to diagnostic applications, volumetric analysis of ASL signal changes could be used for physiological measurement of microvascular changes, which could be complemented by traditional sequences for assessment of morphological changes.

Limitations of the study include a relatively small number of tumor cases, in particular metastasis cases. We also did not consider tumor size, and it is possible that larger metastatic tumors show more expansive ASL high signal volumes than smaller GBMs do. The acquisition time of this volumetry method may be longer than conventional MRI studies due to the need for multiple 3D

imaging datasets (ASL and CE sequences). Furthermore, this method may be inappropriate for subjects with low signal intensity lesions on both imaging modalities.

CONCLUSION

We demonstrated that the larger volume of tumoral high signal intensity on ASL images compared with conventional CE+T1WI can differentiate GBM from metastasis with relatively high sensitivity and specificity, whereas ASL-derived TBF cannot. Differences in lesion volume between GBM and metastasis were much larger on ASL images than conventional CE+T1WI images.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST STATEMENT

None

REFERENCES

1. Davis FG, Freels S, Grutsch J, Barlas S, Brem S : Survival rates in patients with primary malignant brain tumors stratified by patient age and tumor histological type : an analysis based on Surveillance, Epidemiology, and End Results (SEER) data, 1973-1991. *J Neurosurg* 88 : 1-10, 1998
2. Gavrilovic IT, Posner JB : Brain metastases : epidemiology and pathophysiology. *J Neurooncol* 75 : 5-14, 2005
3. Hakyemez B, Erdogan C, Bolca N, Yildirim N, Gokalp G, Parlak M : Evaluation of different cerebral mass lesions by perfusion-weighted MR imaging. *J Magn Reson Imaging* 24 : 817-24, 2006
4. Tsougos I, Svolos P, Kousi E, Fountas K, Theodorou K, Fezoulidis I, Kapsalaki E : Differentiation of glioblastoma multiforme from metastatic brain tumor using proton magnetic resonance spectroscopy, diffusion and perfusion metrics at 3 T. *Cancer Imaging* 12 : 423-36, 2012
5. Law M, Cha S, Knopp EA, Johnson G, Arnett J, Litt AW : High-grade gliomas and solitary metastases : differentiation by using perfusion and proton spectroscopic MR imaging. *Radiology*

- 222 : 715-21, 2002
6. Kremer S, Grand S, Berger F, Hoffmann D, Pasquier B, Remy C, Benabid AL, Le Bas JF : Dynamic contrast-enhanced MRI : differentiating melanoma and renal carcinoma metastases from high-grade astrocytomas and other metastases. *Neuroradiology* 45 : 44-9, 2003
7. Schoenegger K, Oberndorfer S, Wuschitz B, Struhal W, Hainfellner J, Prayer D, Heinzl H, Lahrmann H, Marosi C, Grisold W : Peritumoral edema on MRI at initial diagnosis : an independent prognostic factor for glioblastoma? *Eur J Neurol* 16 : 874-8, 2009
8. Detre JA, Alsop DC : Perfusion magnetic resonance imaging with continuous arterial spin labeling : methods and clinical applications in the central nervous system. *Eur J Radiol* 30 : 115-24, 1999
9. Detre JA, Rao H, Wang DJ, Chen YF, Wang Z : Applications of arterial spin labeled MRI in the brain. *J Magn Reson Imaging* 35 : 1026-37, 2012
10. Noguchi T, Yoshiura T, Hiwatashi A, Togao O, Yamashita K, Nagao E, Shono T, Mizoguchi M, Nagata S, Sasaki T, Suzuki SO, Iwaki T, Kobayashi K, Mihara F, Honda H : Perfusion imaging of brain tumors using arterial spin-labeling : correlation with histopathologic vascular density. *AJNR Am J Neuroradiol* 29 : 688-93, 2008
11. Le TT, Fischbein NJ, Andre JB, Wijman C, Rosenberg J, Zaharchuk G : Identification of venous signal on arterial spin labeling improves diagnosis of dural arteriovenous fistulas and small arteriovenous malformations. *AJNR Am J Neuroradiol* 33 : 61-8, 2012
12. Wolf RL, Wang J, Detre JA, Zager EL, Hurst RW : Arteriovenous shunt visualization in arteriovenous malformations with arterial spin-labeling MR imaging. *AJNR Am J Neuroradiol* 29 : 681-7, 2008
13. Abe T, Mizobuchi Y, Sako W, Irahara S, Otomi Y, Obama Y, Nakajima K, Khashbat D, Majigsuren M, Kageji T, Nagahiro S, Harada M : Clinical Significance of Discrepancy between Arterial Spin Labeling Images and Contrast-enhanced Images in the Diagnosis of Brain Tumors. *Magn Reson Med* 14 : 313-9, 2015
14. Cha S, Lupo JM, Chen MH, Lamborn KR, McDermott MW, Berger MS, Nelson SJ, Dillon WP : Differentiation of glioblastoma multiforme and single brain metastasis by peak height and percentage of signal intensity recovery derived from dynamic susceptibility-weighted contrast-enhanced perfusion MR imaging. *AJNR Am J Neuroradiol* 28 : 1078-84, 2007
15. Bugyik E, Dezso K, Reiniger L, Laszlo V, Tovari J, Timar J, Nagy P, Klepetko W, Dome B, Paku S : Lack of angiogenesis in experimental brain metastases. *J Neuropathol Exp Neurol* 70 : 979-91, 2011
16. Joe BN, Fukui MB, Meltzer CC, Huang QS, Day RS, Greer PJ, Bozik ME : Brain tumor volume measurement : comparison of manual and semiautomated methods. *Radiology* 212 : 811-6, 1999
17. Wang MY, Cheng JL, Han YH, Li YL, Dai JP, Shi DP : Measurement of tumor size in adult glioblastoma : classical cross-sectional criteria on 2D MRI or volumetric criteria on high resolution 3D MRI? *Eur J Radiol* 81 : 2370-4, 2012
18. Ellingson BM, Malkin MG, Rand SD, LaViolette PS, Connelly JM, Mueller WM, Shmainda KM : Volumetric analysis of functional diffusion maps is a predictive imaging biomarker for cytotoxic and anti-angiogenic treatments in malignant gliomas. *J Neurooncol* 102 : 95-103, 2011
19. Georgiadis P, Cavouras D, Kalatzis I, Glotsos D, Athanasiadis E, Kostopoulos S, Sifaki K, Malamas M, Nikiforidis G, Solomou E : Enhancing the discrimination accuracy between metastases, gliomas and meningiomas on brain MRI by volumetric textural features and ensemble pattern recognition methods. *Magn Reson Imaging* 27 : 120-130, 2009
20. Louis DN, Ohgaki H, Wiestler OD, Cavenee WK, Burger PC, Jouvet A, Scheithauer BW, Kleihues P : The 2007 WHO Classification of Tumours of the Central Nervous System. *Acta Neuropathol* 114 : 97-109, 2007
21. Osborn AG, Salzman KL, Barkovich AJ : Diagnostic Imaging Brain. In : Osborn AG eds. Section 6 Neoplasms. Amirsys Publishing Inc, Canada, 2009. pp. I-6-2-182
22. Dai W, Garcia D, de Bazelaire C, Alsop DC : Continuous flow-driven inversion for arterial spin labeling using pulsed radio frequency and gradient fields. *Magn Reson Med* 60 : 1488-97, 2008
23. Johnson DR, Ma DJ, Buckner JC, Hammack JE : Conditional probability of long-term survival in glioblastoma : a population-based analysis. *Cancer* 118 : 5608-13, 2012
24. Omuro A, DeAngelis LM : Glioblastoma and other malignant gliomas : a clinical review. *JAMA* 310 : 1842-50, 2013
25. Jenkinson MD, Haylock B, Shenoy A, Husband D, Javadpour M : Management of cerebral metastasis : evidence-based approach for surgery, stereotactic radiosurgery and radiotherapy. *Eur J Cancer* 47 : 649-55, 2011
26. Cebeci H, Aydin O, Ozturk-Isik E, Gumus C, Incekli F, Bekar A, Kocaeli H, Hakyemez B : Assessment of perfusion in glial tumors with arterial spin labeling ; comparison with dynamic susceptibility contrast method. *Eur J Radiol* 83 : 1914-9, 2014
27. Jain RK, di Tomaso E, Duda DG, Loeffler JS, Sorensen AG, Batchelor TT : Angiogenesis in brain tumours. *Nat Rev Neurosci* 8 : 610-22, 2007
28. Mariani L, Schroth G, Wielepp JP, Haldemann A, Seiler RW : Intratumoral arteriovenous shunting in malignant gliomas. *Neurosurgery* 48 : 353-7 ; discussion 7-8, 2001
29. Krakstad C, Chekenya M : Survival signalling and apoptosis resistance in glioblastomas : opportunities for targeted therapeutics. *Mol Cancer* 9 : 135, 2010
30. Zaharchuk G, Bammer R, Straka M, Shankaranarayan A, Alsop DC, Fischbein NJ, Atlas SW, Moseley ME : Arterial spin-label imaging in patients with normal bolus perfusion-weighted MR imaging findings : pilot identification of the borderzone sign. *Radiology* 252 : 797-807, 2009