Boron isotope compositions of antigorite-grade serpentinites in the Itoigawa–Omi area of the Hida–Gaien Belt, Japan
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The antigorite-grade serpentinite and Late Paleozoic high-pressure schists are main components of a serpentinite-matrix mélangé in the Itoigawa–Omi area, Hida–Gaien Belt, Japan. Based on the petrologic characteristics of the high-pressure schists, the mélange is divided into two units, namely an ‘eclogitic unit’ and a ‘non-eclogitic unit’. Our preliminary in-situ boron isotope analyses of five serpentinites using a laser-ablation multiple-collector inductively-coupled-plasma mass spectrometry (LA–MC–ICPMS) found a systematic difference of boron isotopic trends among the two units in the same mélange. The ‘eclogitic unit’ serpentinites from Yunotani and Kotagi-gawa are characterized by lower δ11B value (mostly lower than +10‰), whereas the non-eclogitic unit serpentinite from Omi-gawa is higher than +10‰. Although the δ11B value of <0‰ was not measured from the eclogitic unit serpentinites, the relatively low δ10B values of <-10‰ might have recorded the signature of fluids released from deep subducted dehydrating slab. In contrast, the non-eclogitic unit serpentinite might have been affected by fluids released from shallower portion. Our new data confirmed the potential sensitivity of the boron isotope signature of serpentinites reflecting variation of high-pressure metamorphism.
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INTRODUCTION

Boron is a fluid-mobile element with two stable isotopes (10B and 11B). Boron isotope fractionation is strongly affected by temperature, pH, and phase partitioning (e.g., Wunder et al., 2005; Konrad-Schmolke and Halama, 2014). In general, 11B is preferentially partitioned into fluids with trigonal coordination, whereas 10B is mainly partitioned into solids with tetrahedral coordination (Oi et al., 1989; Palmer and Swihart, 1996; Peacock and Hervig, 1999). Therefore, it has been known that boron is useful to quantify fluid transfer between the slab and the overlying mantle wedge in subduction zones. Serpentinite minerals contain relatively high B concentration (e.g., Vils et al., 2011; Prigent et al., 2018). During last two decades, whole-rock and in-situ boron isotope analyses have been carried out on serpentinites in convergent margins around the world (e.g., Peacock and Hervig, 1999; Benton et al., 2001; Boschi et al., 2008; Vils et al., 2009; Scambelluri and Tonarini, 2012; Angiboust et al., 2014; Martin et al., 2016; Yamada et al., 2019). Particularly, Martin et al. (2016) characterized the two distinct origins of serpentinite in central Guatemala. In their study, serpentinites from the high-pressure mélange show low δ11B values ranging from −14.4 to +9.7‰ in the same range as metamorphic blocks (−15.3 to +4.3‰), whereas serpentinites from the low-pressure ophiolite display high δ11B values (0 to +18‰). They proposed an idea that the tectonic origin of serpentinites in a subduction zone can be discriminated based on boron isotopic composition. More recently, Yamada et al. (2019) also discriminated the Franciscan serpentinites, northern California into two groups using boron isotope composition. Serpentinites associated with blueschist-facies metamorphic rocks showed lower δ11B value (+12 to +8.8‰) than blueschist-absent serpentinites (+7.2 to +24.4‰). The results indicate that blueschist-bearing serpentinites were affected by forearc slab fluids with lighter boron isotope signature in deeper conditions (>~ 2 GPa). It also supports the effectiveness of boron isotope to identify the tectonic origin of serpentinites.

In order to evaluate the versatility of boron isotope reconnaissance for serpentinites associated with high-pressure metamorphic rocks, we investigated five serpentinites with two contrasting types of high-pressure metamorphic rocks in the Itoigawa-Omi area. We applied same method developed by Kimura et al. (2016) and used in Yamada et
Here we report for the first time, the boron isotope compositions of serpentinites in the Hida–Gaien Belt.

GEOLOGIC SETTING

The Hida–Gaien Belt is a composite geotectonic unit that tectonically lies between the Hida Belt and the Mino–Tamba Belt (Fig. 1a). It consists of fragments of various pre-Jurassic geotectonic units widely developed in the Inner Zone of southwest Japan. Late Paleozoic high-pressure schists associated with jadeitite–bearing antigorite serpentinite and meta–serpentinite (Banno, 1958; Tsujimori et al., 2000; Kunugiza et al., 2004; Tsujimori, 2017; Tsujimori and Harlow, 2017) and Paleozoic to lower Mesozoic (Middle Ordovician to Upper Triassic) clastic rocks are the most characteristic components. The high-pressure schists of the Hida–Gaien Belt have been named the ‘Renge Schists’; they mainly record greenschist–to amphibolite-facies metamorphism and locally preserve blueschist–to eclogite–facies metamorphism, indicating subduction zone metamorphism (Nakamizu et al., 1989; Tsujimori et al., 2000). In the Omi area, the Renge Schists are divided into two distinct groups: an ‘eclogitic unit’ and a ‘non-eclogitic unit’ (Tsuji-mori, 2002; Tsujimori and Matsumoto, 2006; Shinji and Tsujimori, 2019) (Fig. 1b). In the eclogitic unit (EC unit), glaucophane-bearing eclogite and garnet blueschist occur as mafic layers within paragonite-bearing metasedimentary schists; low-grade mafic schist commonly contains glaucophane and/or barroisite. The peak eclogite–facies condition was estimated as ~ 2.0–2.2 GPa and 550–600 °C (Tsujimori, 2002). Whereas, in the non-eclogitic unit (non-EC unit), garnet amphibolites occur as layers and lenses within garnet– and biotite-bearing metasedimentary schists (Matsumoto et al., 2011); the low-grade mafic schist contains actinolitic to hornblenditic amphiboles. Although blueschist– and eclogite–facies mineral assemblages have not yet been confirmed from the non-EC unit, prograde-zoned garnet porphyroblasts of garnet amphibolite include rare paragonite (Tsujimori and Matsumoto, 2006). The mineral assemblage suggests that the peak pressure condition of the non-EC unit garnet amphibolite was ~ 1 GPa lower than that of the EC unit at 550–600 °C (Tsujimori, 2002). Antigorite serpentinite occurs in both units. However, discrimination of serpentinites in the two units is difficult in terms of mineralogical and textural observation. A few differences include that some EC unit serpentinites are schistose and some non-EC unit serpentinites preserve relict chromian spinel (Tsujimori, 2004).

In this study, we investigated three serpentinites from Yunotani and Kotaki-gawa in the EC unit and two from Omi-gawa in the non-EC unit in the Itoigawa-Omi area (Fig. 1b).

METHODS

Boron isotope ratios ($^{11}$B/$^{10}$B) were determined by a LA–MC–ICPMS at the Japan Agency for Marine–Earth Science and Technology (JAMSTEC). The OK Laboratory OK-EX2000 (OK Lab, Tokyo, Japan) 193-nm excimer laser ablation (193ExLA) system with a ~ 20 ns pulse duration at repetition rate of 5 Hz was used for the analyses. The 193ExLA was coupled to a modified Neptune (ThermoFisher Scientific, Bremen, Germany) MC–ICPMS. The spot diameter was 200 μm and ~ 35 μm depth
crater was generated after single spot analysis. Mass bias correction was carried out by standard–sample bracketing method using the SRM 612 synthetic glass ($^{11}\text{B}/^{10}\text{B} = 4.042$; Jochum and Stoll, 2008) provided by the National Institute of Standard and Technology (NIST). We used the same method as presented in Kimura et al. (2016), which enabled accurate boron isotope ratio analyses of various materials with different matrix; see the details of analytical protocol in Kimura et al. (2016) and an example of application using the same method as Yamada et al. (2019). Isotopic compositions for B are normalized to the reference isotope composition $^{11}\text{B}/^{10}\text{B} = 4.048$ (Catanzaro et al., 1970) of NIST® SRM 951 boron acid by $\delta^{11}\text{B} = \left[ \frac{^{11}\text{B}/^{10}\text{B}}{^{11}\text{B}/^{10}\text{B}}_{\text{SRM951}} - 1 \right] \times 1000$. Both the reproducibility and the laboratory bias of $\delta^{11}\text{B}$ measurements were within ±1‰ (2SD). We also measured the solid rock slabs of JB–2 basalt to check the data quality. The JB–2 yielded an average $\delta^{11}\text{B}$ of +7.04‰, consistent with literature values (e.g., +7.24 ± 0.33‰: Brand et al., 2014).

Identification of serpentine minerals was performed by HORIBA XploRA PLUS Confocal Raman Microscope at the Graduate School of Environmental Studies, Tohoku University. A 532 nm solid-state Nd–YAG laser with 10 mW power was used as the light source. The Raman Spectra were measured ranging from 200 to 1194 cm$^{-1}$ and 3446 to 3922 cm$^{-1}$ in 1.1 cm$^{-1}$ steps (2400 gr/mm). The measured area is ~ 2 µm; the exposure time is 50s (5s × 10). The Raman shift was calibrated using a reference silicon.

**PETROGRAPHIC FEATURES OF SAMPLES**

The textures of each serpentine are shown in Figure 2. The Yunotani sample (YT19) is a weakly schistose serpentinite, consisting of mainly antigorite with a small amount of chlorite and magnetite. Raman spectrum of antigorite shows diagnostic peaks at 232, 375, 527, 1040, and 3665 cm$^{-1}$ with a weak inflection at 3619 cm$^{-1}$ and ~ 3698 cm$^{-1}$ (Petriglieri et al., 2015) (Fig. 3a). The Kotaki–gawa samples (KT47 and KT77) were collected from outcrops near barroisite-bearing mafic schist. It consists mainly of antigorite with chlorite, brucite and minor amount of dolomite, pentlandite, and magnetite. Antigorite is partially replaced by lizardite. The Omi–gawa samples (OM58 and OM63) are massive antigorite serpentinites with pseudomorphic texture after olivine. Although the samples do not preserve relict olivine, the abundant mesh-texture without bastite texture suggests a dunite-origin. The samples contain a minor amount of magnetite and chlorite after chromite, and antigorite is replaced by lizardite. Tsujimori (2004) reported relict high–Cr chromite in a serpentinite boulder derived from the same outcrop of OM58 and OM63. The relict chromite is remarkably zoned from the chromite core [Cr/(Cr + Al) = 0.75–0.77] to the ferrichromite rim and contains up to 0.3 wt% TiO$_2$ in the cores. The high–Ti and compositional zoning support that the serpentinite along the Omi–gawa was metamorphosed serpentinite of dunite–origin. Although Yokoyama (1985) found metamorphic olivine, our study could not confirm it. Raman spectrum of retrograde lizardite shows diagnostic peaks at 226, 380, 685, 3683, and 3701 cm$^{-1}$ with a weak inflection at 346 cm$^{-1}$ and ~ 3656 cm$^{-1}$ (Petriglieri et al., 2015) (Fig. 3b).

**BORON ISOTOPES OF SERPENTINITES**

Table 1 shows the 40 spot analyses for 5 samples from Yunotani (YT19), Kotaki–gawa (KT47 and KT77) and Omi–gawa (OM58 and OM63). Note that the Kotaki–gawa sample (KT77) includes one analysis of brucite. The back-scattered electron images of representative laser ablation craters are also shown in Figure 2. Mag, magnetite; Brc, brucite.
craters are also shown in Figure 2. Boron concentration and $\delta^{11}$B value of the analyzed samples were vary widely, ranging from 9.1 to 315 $\mu$g·g$^{-1}$ and +6.2 to +14.9‰, respectively (Fig. 4). The Yunotani sample (YT19) of the EC unit shows moderate boron concentration (12.5–48.4 $\mu$g·g$^{-1}$) with relatively low $\delta^{11}$B value (+6.6–+12.3‰, mostly <+9‰). Two EC unit serpentinites from Kotaki-gawa are characterized by very high boron [200–315 $\mu$g·g$^{-1}$ (KT77)] and moderate boron concentration [18–123 $\mu$g·g$^{-1}$ (KT47)]. The two serpentinites show similar range of $\delta^{11}$B (+6.2–+12.7‰, mostly <+10‰). Brucite in KT77 is characterized by lower boron concentration (37.5 $\mu$g·g$^{-1}$) but similar $\delta^{11}$B value (+5.8‰) to those of serpentine. Notably, the boron isotope range of the Kotaki-gawa serpentinite overlaps with that of the Yunotani serpentinite.

In contrast, the non-EC unit serpentinites from the Omi-gawa (OM58 and OM63) yielded a wide range of boron concentration (9.1–147 $\mu$g·g$^{-1}$) and relatively high $\delta^{11}$B values (+11.6–+19.5‰, mostly >+14‰) than those of the EC unit serpentinite.

**DISCUSSION**

As shown in Figure 4, the systematic difference of boron isotopic trends is confirmed between the two units in the same mélangé. The EC unit serpentinites from Yunotani and Kotagi-gawa are characterized by lower $\delta^{11}$B value (or mostly smaller than +10‰) than the non-EC unit serpentinites (or mostly higher than +14‰).
It is also important that the very high decrease with increasing depth because of the strong fractionation of $^{11}$B into the fluid phase. Using the Arc Basalt Simulator version 3 (ABS3) code (Kimura et al., 2010), Yamada et al. (2019) calculated systematic changes of boron concentration and boron isotopic composition for different slab materials and slab fluids of an oceanic plate subduction. They expected the presence of slab fluids with high $\delta^{11}$B value $> +15\%$ in the depth of $< 2$ GPa (see Fig. 7 of Yamada et al., 2019). However, the further dehydration of the subducting slab releases fluids with $\delta^{11}$B lower than $+10\%$ in the depth of $> 2.5$ GPa. The observed $\delta^{11}$B signature of the EC unit serpentinites might have affected by the upward fluids released from the subducting slab in the depth of $> 2.5$ GPa. In this sense, the non-EC unit serpentinites might have been formed at shallower portion where fluid with heavier $^{11}$B is active. Another alternative idea is that primary low $\delta^{11}$B signature gained from a deep slab fluid was overprinted by heavy boron at a shallow depth during retrogression. In any case, our preliminary data in this study found the systematic boron isotopic difference between the EC unit and the non-EC unit serpentinites. In short, this study confirmed the potential sensitivity of the boron isotope signature of serpentinites reflecting variation of high-pressure metamorphism.

Some experiments and geochemical modeling proposed the behavior of boron released from a subducting slab during progressive dehydration (e.g., Peacock and Hervig, 1999; Wunder et al., 2005; Marschall et al., 2007; Konrad-Schmolke and Halama, 2014). These studies conclude that boron concentration and $\delta^{11}$B value will decrease with increasing depth because of the strong fractionation of $^{11}$B into the fluid phase. Using the Arc Basalt Simulator version 3 (ABS3) code (Kimura et al., 2010), Yamada et al. (2019) calculated systematic changes of boron concentration and boron isotopic composition for different slab materials and slab fluids of an oceanic plate subduction. They expected the presence of slab fluids with high $\delta^{11}$B value $> +15\%$ in the depth of $< 2$ GPa (see Fig. 7 of Yamada et al., 2019). However, the further dehydration of the subducting slab releases fluids with $\delta^{11}$B lower than $+10\%$ in the depth of $> 2.5$ GPa. The observed $\delta^{11}$B signature of the EC unit serpentinites might have affected by the upward fluids released from the subducting slab in the depth of $> 2.5$ GPa. In this sense, the non-EC unit serpentinites might have been formed at shallower portion where fluid with heavier $^{11}$B is active. Another alternative idea is that primary low $\delta^{11}$B signature gained from a deep slab fluid was overprinted by heavy boron at a shallow depth during retrogression. In any case, our preliminary data in this study found the systematic boron isotopic difference between the EC unit and the non-EC unit serpentinites. In short, this study confirmed the potential sensitivity of the boron isotope signature of serpentinites reflecting variation of high-pressure metamorphism.
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