A Case of Intraprocedural Plaque Protrusion during Carotid Artery Stenting Using the Stent-in-Stent Technique for Carotid Artery Stenosis with Unstable Plaque

Hidehiko Taguchi,^{1,2,3} Katsutoshi Takayama,¹ Hayato Kishida,¹ Takeshi Wada,¹ Kaoru Myouchin,³ Toshihiro Tanaka,³ and Kimihiko Kichikawa³

Objective: Carotid artery stenting (CAS) using the stent-in-stent technique was reported to prevent intraprocedural plaque protrusion (PP) in patients with carotid artery stenosis with unstable plaque. We report a case of intraoperative PP after CAS despite the use of stent-in-stent technique.

Case Presentation: A 63-year-old man presented with rapid progression of right carotid artery stenosis with unstable plaque during follow-up and was admitted to undergo CAS. Under local anesthesia with Mo.Ma Ultra and FilterWire EZ protection, CAS was performed using the stent-in-stent technique. The first 8 mm × 29 mm Carotid Wallstent (CWS) was placed. The second CWS (6 mm × 22 mm) was placed in a stent-in-stent manner to match the stenotic lesion, and conservative postdilation was performed. Then the third CWS (6 mm × 22 mm) was added due to the presence of PP on intravascular ultrasonography (IVUS). No postoperative neurological abnormalities were found, and no new high-signal areas were observed on diffusion-weighted MRI the day after surgery. The patient was discharged without postoperative complications. No stroke and restenosis were observed at 3 months after CAS.

Conclusion: PP can occur even with stent-in-stent technique, suggesting the importance of diagnosis by IVUS.

Keywords ▶ CAS, plaque protrusion, stent-in-stent, IVUS

Introduction

Carotid artery stenting (CAS) is approved for high- and standard-risk patients for carotid endarterectomy (CEA).^{1,2)}

¹Department of Interventional Neuroradiology and Radiology, Koseikai Takai Hospital, Tenri, Nara, Japan

²Department of Radiology, Higashiosaka City Medical Center, Higashiosaka, Osaka, Japan

³Department of Radiology, Nara Medical University, Kashihara, Nara, Japan

Received: December 4, 2020; Accepted: March 14, 2021

Corresponding author: Hidehiko Taguchi. Department of Radiology, Higashiosaka City Medical Center, 3-4-5, Nishiiwata, Higashiosaka, Osaka 578-8588, Japan

Email: hidehiko0912@gmail.com

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives International License.

©2021 The Japanese Society for Neuroendovascular Therapy

However, cerebral infarction as a perioperative complication related to CAS is an issue, and previous studies reported that risk factors for cerebral infarction included protection devices, operator's skill, patient age, plaque properties, stent design, and statin³⁾ use. Kotsugi et al.⁴⁾ reported that plaque protrusion (PP), as a new risk factor, was strongly associated with cerebral infarction. To prevent perioperative cerebral infarction, it may be necessary to select a procedure and devices that do not induce PP. Furthermore, Kotsugi et al.⁴⁾ found that unstable plaque and open-cell stent use were predictive factors for PP. As a method to prevent PP in the case of unstable plaque, Myouchin et al.⁵⁾ proposed the stent-in-stent technique with closed-cell stents. At our institution, CAS for unstable plaque is usually conducted with two overlapping closed-cell stents to prevent PP according to this article. In this study, we report a patient in whom the stent-in-stent technique with closed-cell stents was performed to treat carotid artery stenosis with unstable plaque, but PP occurred during CAS.

Fig. 1 Right common carotid angiography before CAS (lateral view) showing 90% long stenosis (arrow) at the origin of the right ICA. CAS: carotid artery stenting; ICA: internal carotid artery

Case Presentation

Patient: A 63-year-old man.

Chief complaint: Progression of right internal carotid artery (ICA) stenosis.

Present illness: During follow-up of right ICA stenosis, it rapidly progressed from 50% to 90% on the North American Symptomatic Carotid Endarterectomy Trial (NASCET) method in 6 months, and the patient was admitted for CAS.

Neurological findings on admission: No abnormal findings.

Medical history: Clipping of an unruptured anterior communicating artery aneurysm (2018).

Risk factors for arteriosclerosis: Hypertension, dyslipidemia, and previous smoking (10 cigarettes per day for 40 years).

Neuroradiological findings

Cerebral angiography

At the origin of the right ICA, NASCET 90% stenosis measuring approximately 2.5 cm in length was observed (**Fig. 1**).

Fig. 2 Preoperative magnetic resonance plaque imaging with T1-weighted black blood method (axial image). A high signal intensity area is evident at the origin of the right ICA (arrow). The black circle shows the ROI at the carotid plaque (mean signal intensity: 877) and the yellow circle shows the ROI at muscle (mean signal intensity: 432). The signal intensity ratio is 2:1, suggesting the presence of unstable plaque. ICA: internal carotid artery; ROI: region of interest

Magnetic resonance plaque imaging

Plaque at the site of right ICA stenosis showed markedly high signal intensity in T1-weighted image (axial image) using the black blood method. The sternocleidomastoid muscle and plaque signal intensity ratio was 2:1, suggesting unstable plaque (**Fig. 2**).

Neuroendovascular treatment

100 mg of aspirin and 75 mg of clopidogrel were orally administered 2 weeks before CAS. Using a VerifyNow system (Accumetrics, San Diego, CA, USA), we confirmed that the aspirin reaction units and P2Y12 reaction units were 570 and 77, respectively, being almost within the effective ranges, 10 days before the procedure (**Fig. 3**).

Under local anesthesia, an 8-Fr. long sheath was inserted through the right femoral artery. After intraoperative systemic heparinization (activated clotting time ≥ 275), the following two protection devices were inserted: an 8-Fr. Mo.Ma Ultra (Medtronic Vascular, Santa Rosa, CA, USA) and FilterWire EZ (Boston Scientific, Natick, MA, USA). The lesion was crossed with the FilterWire EZ and predilation at the site of stenosis was performed using a 3.0 mm $\times$ 40 mm balloon catheter (Bellona; Medicos

Fig. 3 CAS using a closed-cell stent-in-stent technique to treat 90% stenosis with unstable plaque at the origin of the right ICA. **(A)** Fluoroscopic image of the first stent placement (lateral view). An 8 mm × 29 mm CWS was guided to cover the stenotic site, with proximal protection provided by a Mo.Ma Ultra device and distal protection by a FilterWire EZ. The first CWS was placed. **(B)** The second CWS (6 mm × 22 mm) was guided inside the first stent. The second stent (arrows in **B** and **C**) was placed in a stent-in-stent manner. **(C)** Post-dilation was performed using a 4 mm × 40 mm balloon catheter. **(D)** The first (arrowheads), second (white arrows), and third stents (black

arrows) were placed. **(E)** IVUS after placement of the second stent. The isoechoic area in the vascular lumen indicates intraluminal PP (arrow). **(F)** IVUS after placement of the third stent. Intravascular ultrasound was performed at the level of minimum lumen diameter, confirming no PP. **(G)** Right common carotid angiography following additional third CAS (lateral view). Right common carotid angiography following CAS showed successful dilatation of the lesion without in-stent defect (arrow). CAS: carotid artery stenting; CWS: Carotid Wallstent; ICA: internal carotid artery; IVUS: intravascular ultrasonography; PP: plaque protrusion

Hirata, Osaka, Japan). Subsequently, a Carotid Wallstent (CWS) 8.0 mm × 29 mm (Boston Scientific) was inserted into the internal to common carotid arteries and another CWS (6 mm × 22 mm) was inserted using the stent-in-stent technique in accordance with the stenotic lesion. Postdilation was conservatively conducted using a 4.0 mm × 40 mm Balloon catheter (Sterling; Boston Scientific). Intravascular ultrasonography (IVUS) (Volcano Visions PV 0.014P catheter with Chroma Flo; Volcano, Rancho Cordova, CA, USA) immediately postdilation showed PP, which was consistent with the area where the two stents overlapped. The third CWS (6 mm × 22 mm) was additionally inserted to cover the PP area considering distal embolism and acute occlusion. IVUS and DSA immediately after insertion confirmed the disappearance of PP. There was no neurological symptom. No PP was noted on angiography after 10 min, and the procedure was completed.

Postoperative course

After CAS, there was no abnormal neurological finding. On diffusion-weighted MRI the day after CAS, there was no high signal intensity area. On the fifth postoperative day, it was difficult to evaluate PP using ultrasonography (US), and angiography was performed on the seventh postoperative day (**Fig. 4**). Slight in-stent narrowing was observed, suggesting PP. 100 mg of cilostazol was additionally administered and followed up. On the 10th postoperative day, US revealed no progression of PP, and the patient was discharged on the 14th postoperative day. During follow-up, there was no stroke. Follow-up angiography 3 months later confirmed the disappearance of PP. There was no restenosis (**Fig. 5**). At this point, Cilostazol administration was discontinued and switched to dual antiplatelet therapy (DAPT) with 100 mg of aspirin and 75 mg of clopidogrel.

Discussion

The purpose of CAS is to prevent cerebral infarction. Therefore, cerebral infarction as a perioperative complication must be particularly avoided if possible. However, its incidence reportedly ranges from 2.5% to 6.0%,^{1,2)} and the incidence of perioperative cerebral infarction is slightly higher than that after CEA.^{1,2)} Previous studies reported many risk factors for perioperative cerebral infarction related to CAS. In 2017, Kotsugi et al.⁴⁾ reported PP as a new risk factor. They noted PP in 2.6% of 328 patients

who underwent CAS and found that it was strongly associated with perioperative cerebral infarction, whereas protection devices were not associated. Furthermore, they emphasized unstable plaque and open-cell stent use as predictive factors for PP. Considering the pathogenesis of PP, they hypothesized that stent use with a strong radial force and postdilation leads to the destruction of the fibrous capsule, resulting in protrusion of soft plaque through a stent. In the present case, unstable plaque and marked long-area stenosis were present, corresponding to a high risk for PP.

Considering the pathogenesis of PP, fine-mesh stents may be appropriate for the prevention of PP. Micromesh stents, which have finer mesh than standard stents, are applied in clinical practice. A meta-analysis⁶⁾ of four studies regarding micromesh stents showed that stent insertion was successful in all 556 patients, the incidence of perioperative stroke was 1.08% (n = 6), and the severity of stroke was mild in these patients. The incidence of perioperative stroke is markedly lower than that in patients treated using conventional stents, which may have decreased through the inhibitory effects on PP. A recent multicenter prospective cooperative study⁷⁾ of a CASPER micromesh stent (Terumo, Tokyo, Japan) in Japan also reported an incidence of perioperative cerebral infarction of 1.4%, being lower than that in patients treated using standard stents. In the future, the use of micromesh stents may improve treatment results in clinical practice.

As a method to prevent PP using standard carotid stents, Myouchin et al.⁵⁾ proposed the stent-in-stent technique with closed-cell stents and noted no PP or perioperative cerebral infarction in all 35 patients. Furthermore, they emphasized that, when adopting this technique, the stent cell size was theoretically similar to or smaller than that of a micromesh stent. However, based on optical frequency domain imaging analysis, PP occurred even when a micromesh stent was used. Yamada et al.⁸⁾ reported the development of PP in 44% of patients treated using CASPER stents. According to Umemoto et al.,⁹⁾ PP was noted in 10.8% of those treated using C-guard stents and in 20.7% of those treated using Road Saver stents. Even when a micromesh stent is used, it may be impossible to completely prevent PP. The present case suggests that PP cannot be completely prevented even when adopting the stent-in-stent technique, as described for micromesh stents.

The optimal treatment for PP following CAS has not been established. Due to the limitation of additional stent insertion for PP after the stent-in-stent technique, the metal

Fig. 4 Right common carotid angiography 1 week after CAS (lateral view). Lateral angiographic view at 1 week after CAS showing PP (arrow). CAS: carotid artery stenting; PP: plaque protrusion

Fig. 5 Right common carotid angiography 3 months after CAS (lateral view). Lateral angiographic view at 3 months after CAS does not show PP. CAS: carotid artery stenting; PP: plaque protrusion

volume is larger than that of a standard stent, and the incidences of restenosis and occlusion may increase. Therefore, long-term DAPT may be necessary to prevent restenosis or occlusion. Broussalis et al.¹⁰⁾ recommended that DAPT be continued for ≥ 6 months when adopting a micromesh stent. Regarding the prevention of restenosis after CAS, Takayama et al.¹¹⁾ reported that cilostazol significantly prevented restenosis in patients treated by CAS using CWS. Hashimura et al.¹²⁾ also suggested the usefulness of additional cilostazol administration in patients with PP within 1 week after CAS. Based on this, in the present case, 100 mg of cilostazol was administered in addition to DAPT when angiography 7 days after CAS revealed PP. As angiography after 3 months confirmed the disappearance of PP and absence of restenosis, cilostazol administration was discontinued. Additional cilostazol administration may be considered as a treatment option for PP after CAS. Considering the treatment methods in the presence of PP, Kotsugi et al.⁴⁾ recommended that a stent can be additionally inserted until the disappearance of PP when the shape of PP is evaluated as convex, and that follow-up can be

conducted for 5–10 min in patients with non-convex-type PP, followed by careful clinical follow-up within 30 days after CAS.

In the present case, the shape of PP was evaluated as convex and a CWS was additionally inserted. After confirming the disappearance of PP, the procedure was completed and there was no postoperative ischemic complication. However, DSA after 7 days revealed PP again. As PP may recur despite its disappearance during procedure, careful follow-up may be necessary within 1 week after CAS in high-risk patients for PP with unstable plaque, a long lesion, and large plaque volume such as the present case. Several investigators reported the usefulness of IVUS for PP diagnosis. The incidence of PP on IVUS during CAS ranges from 7.8 to 10%.^{13,14)} Kotsugi et al.⁴⁾ reported that PP was detectable using IVUS in 27 (7.6%) of 352 patients, and that DSA revealed PP in nine (2.6%) of these. Additionally, they reported that there were no cases that could not be detected by IVUS but were detected by DSA, suggesting the importance of IVUS in the diagnosis of PP. In the present case, a diagnosis of PP was made using IVUS before eliminating

protection, and we again recognized the importance of PP diagnosis using IVUS postdilation.

Conclusion

Even when CAS for carotid artery stenosis with unstable plaque is performed using the stent-in-stent technique, PP may occur. The importance of PP diagnosis using IVUS was suggested.

Disclosure Statement

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- 1) Brott TG, Hobson RW, Howard G, et al: Stenting versus endarterectomy for treatment of carotid-artery stenosis. *N Engl J Med* 2010; 363: 11–23.
- 2) Rosenfield K, Matsumura JS, Chaturvedi S, et al: Randomized trial of stent versus surgery for asymptomatic carotid stenosis. *N Engl J Med* 2016; 374: 1011–1020.
- 3) Takayama K, Taki W, Toma N, et al: Effect of pitavastatin on preventing ischemic complications with carotid artery stenting: a multicenter prospective study--EPOCH-CAS study. *Cardiovasc Intervent Radiol* 2014; 37: 1436–1443.
- 4) Kotsugi M, Takayama K, Myouchin K, et al: Carotid artery stenting: investigation of plaque protrusion incidence and prognosis. *JACC Cardiovasc Interv* 2017; 10: 824–831.
- 5) Myouchin K, Takayama K, Wada T, et al: Carotid artery stenting using a closed-cell stent-in-stent technique for unstable plaque. *J Endovasc Ther* 2019; 26: 565–571.
- 6) Stabile E, de Donato G, Musialek P, et al: Use of dual-layered stents in endovascular treatment of extracranial stenosis of the internal carotid artery: results of a patient-based meta-analysis of 4 clinical studies. *JACC Cardiovasc Interv* 2018; 11: 2405–2411.
- 7) Imamura H, Sakai N, Matsumoto Y, et al: Clinical trial of carotid artery stenting using dual-layer CASPER stent for carotid endarterectomy in patients at high and normal risk in the Japanese population. *J Neuro Intervent Surg* 2020 Sep 15. doi: 10.1136/neurintsurg-2020-016250. [Epub ahead of print]
- 8) Yamada K, Yoshimura S, Miura M, et al: Potential of new-generation double-layer micromesh stent for carotid artery stenting in patients with unstable plaque: a preliminary result using OFDI analysis. *World Neurosurg* 2017; 105: 321–326.
- 9) Umemoto T, de Donato G, Pacchioni A, et al: Optical coherence tomography assessment of newgeneration mesh-covered stents after carotid stenting. *EuroIntervention* 2017; 13: 1347–1354.
- 10) Broussalis E, Griessenauer C, Mutzenbach S, et al: Reduction of cerebral DWI lesion burden after carotid artery stenting using the CASPER stent system. *J Neurointerv Surg* 2019; 11: 62–67.
- 11) Takayama K, Taoka T, Nakagawa H, et al: Effect of cilostazol in preventing restenosis after carotid artery stenting using the carotid wallstent: a multicenter retrospective study. *AJNR Am J Neuroradiol* 2013; 33: 2167–2170.
- 12) Hashimura N, Mutoh T, Matsuda K, et al: Evaluation and management of plaque protrusion or thrombus following carotid artery stenting. *Neurol Med Chir* 2015; 55: 149–154.
- 13) Chiocchi M, Morosetti D, Chiaravalloti A, et al: Intravascular ultrasound assisted carotid artery stenting: randomized controlled trial. Preliminary results on 60 patients. *J Cardiovasc Med* 2019; 20: 248–252.
- 14) Shinozaki N, Ogata N, Ikari Y, et al: Plaque protrusion detected by intravascular ultrasound during carotid artery stenting. *J Stroke Cerebrovasc* 2014; 23: 2622–2625.