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Abstract

We report a case of perforation of the esophagus associated with mediastinitis and pneumomediastinum during endoscopic treatment. The patient was successfully treated by means of nonsurgical computed tomography-guided mediastinal drainage. Esophagoscopy demonstrated a scar on the 14th day at the perforation site. This nonsurgical treatment with computed tomography-guided mediastinal drainage is proposed as a less invasive treatment for iatrogenic perforation of the esophagus.
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Introduction

The causes of esophageal perforation are various, and the mortality rate is relatively high. Surgical treatment is often suggested in emergency cases, but postoperative complications are not infrequent1. Therefore, the therapeutic strategy remains controversial2-3. We report a case of iatrogenic perforation of the esophagus associated with mediastinitis and pneumomediastinum that was successfully treated by nonsurgical computed tomography (CT)-guided drainage of the mediastinum. Despite the small amount of fluid obtained, drainage was effective for treating the pneumomediastinum.

Case Report

A 66-year-old woman was transferred to our hospital because of iatrogenic perforation of the cervical esophagus associated with mediastinitis. The perforation had occurred at the referring hospital when the over-tube of the endoscope was inserted in the esophagus for endoscopic mucosal resection (EMR) of a gastric tumor. When the endoscope was withdrawn from the stomach, a large perforation was confirmed on the left side of the cervical esophagus (Fig. 1a). A plain chest X-ray film showed a translucent area along the esophagus indicating air accumulation (Fig. 2a). A CT scan revealed air accumulation in the neck and the
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mediastinum which was especially notable around the esophagus and trachea (Fig. 3a). Approximately 2 hours after the perforation occurred, the patient was transferred to our hospital. Upon admission, she complained of severe pain of the left side of the neck, and marked tenderness and swelling with subcutaneous emphysema were noted. However, vital signs were normal. The patient had been treated with a calcium antagonist for hypertension and an antidepressant for depression for more than a decade.

Body temperature was 38°C. Laboratory studies showed a peripheral leukocyte count of 17,500/mm³ but showed no other abnormalities. A CT scan revealed no significant increase in the accumulation of air and exudates in the neck and mediastinum. Eating and drinking were not permitted, and the patient received antibiotics by infusion. On the following day, the pain and swelling of the neck continued and laboratory studies showed a peripheral blood leukocyte count of 22,800/mm³ and an elevated serum C-reactive protein concentration of 9.0 mg/ml. Therefore, CT-guided mediastinum drainage using a 5-Fr catheter was performed through the left side of the neck (Fig. 2b). Only 20 ml of a dark brownish exudate, indicating pooled blood, was drained during the first 2 days, but the drainage resulted in a significant reduction in inflammatory signs and the resolution of the pneumomediastinum (Fig. 3b). On the 8th day of drainage, the catheter was removed. On the 14th hospital day, esophagography was performed, and a small amount of soluble contrast medium pooled in the injury location in the cervical esophagus. On the same day, esophagoscopy revealed linear, white-coated ulceration in the injured esophageal mucosa.

Fig. 1 a; Endoscopy revealed a large extraesophageal space from a large perforation of the cervical esophagus. The true esophageal lumen was compressed (asterisk). b; A linear white-coated ulceration was shown at the site of perforation after 14 days. c; The perforation was completely healed after 18 days.
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(Fig. 2) a: A plain chest X-ray film immediately after perforation showed a translucent area along the esophagus indicating air accumulation (arrow), b: Mediastinal drainage tube along the trachea is shown (arrow).

(Fig. 3) a: A CT scan after perforation revealed marked air accumulation in the neck and mediastinum, especially notable around the esophagus and trachea, b: Mediastinal drainage resulted in a significant reduction of air in the mediastinum.

(Fig. 1b), and liquid food was permitted. Esophagoscopy performed on the 18th hospital day showed only a scar in the same location (Fig. 1c). Solid food was then permitted. Because of the patient’s depression, the hospital stay was lengthened, but she was finally discharged on the 32nd hospital day.

Discussion

There are several causes of perforation of the esophagus. It is reported that among benign disorders, foreign bodies and idiopathic esophageal rupture are the most frequent causes of esophageal perforation (Boerhaave's syndrome). However, the incidence of iatrogenic perforation has recently increased along with endoscopic treatments for gastrointestinal tumors. EMR is the most frequent cause of perforation of the esophagus and the stomach. However, esophageal perforation caused by the over-tube of the endoscope, as in the present case, is extremely rare. In this case, the endoscope had been positioned in the stomach when the overtube was inserted through the endoscope and injured the cervical esophagus. Since such a perforation is unlikely to occur methodologically in this procedure, the exact reason remains unknown.

For treatment of the esophageal perforation,
closure of the perforation and drainage for mediastinitis and pyothorax are most important. When perforation occurs during EMR, clipping of the perforation is recommended. However, because severe pneumomediastinum might develop while clipping is performed, critical judgment is required. In this case, the perforation was too large and was located in the cervical esophagus and, therefore, endoscopic closure might have been extremely dangerous. Whether esophageal perforation is treated surgically or conservatively is still controversial. For Boerhaave’s syndrome, surgical closure is recommended within several hours after perforation. As surgical procedures, simple closure of the perforation and patching with the omentum are recommended. The prognosis of surgical treatment is not favorable when the patients’ general condition is poor or when a considerable time has passed since the perforation, because infection may occur. This present patient was transferred to our hospital within several hours after the perforation. A CT scan at admission revealed no fluid and no increase in air accumulation in the mediastinum when compared with scans obtained at the referring hospital. Since the perforation was probably too large for simple closure, and more importantly, since surgery might have increased the risk of infection and delayed wound healing, we selected nonsurgical treatment. However, CT-guided drainage through the neck was performed. Despite the small amount of fluid obtained, drainage was effective for treating the pneumomediastinum and successfully improved the inflammatory signs and symptoms. Because CT-guided puncture is a less-invasive technique for drainage of deep tissues, it is beneficial for patients, such as the present patient, requiring upper mediastinal drainage. Esophagoscopy demonstrated 10 to 14 days of the healing process from the large perforation to the scar; thus, it is thought the wound healing is not delayed with nonsurgical treatment if drainage is sufficient.

In conclusion, the combination of nonsurgical treatment and CT-guided mediastinal drainage is proposed as a less-invasive treatment for iatrogenic perforation of the esophagus.
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