Interventional Treatment of Severe Portal Vein Thrombosis after Living-Donor Liver Transplantation

Youichi Kawano¹, Satoru Murata², Nobuhiko Taniai¹, Masato Yoshioka¹, Atsushi Hirakata³, Yoshiaki Mizuguchi¹, Tetsuya Shimizu¹, Tomohiro Kanda¹, Junji Ueda¹, Hideyuki Takada¹, Hiroshi Yoshida¹, Koho Akimaru¹, Shiro Onozawa², Shinichirou Kumita² and Eiji Uchida¹

¹Department of Gastrointestinal and Hepato-Biliary-Pancreatic Surgery, Nippon Medical School, Tokyo, Japan
²Department of Radiology, Nippon Medical School, Tokyo, Japan
³Department of Surgery, Nippon Medical School Tama Nagayama Hospital, Tokyo, Japan

Portal vein thrombosis (PVT) is a rare complication of liver transplantation which can lead to graft failure and patient death. Treatment can be difficult, especially in cases of PVT from the intrahepatic portal vein to the proximal jejunal veins. A 55-year-old woman had undergone living-donor liver transplantation with splenectomy for end-stage liver cirrhosis due to hepatitis C with hepatocellular carcinoma. Ten months after transplantation, massive ascites and slight abdominal pain developed, and computed tomography revealed a PVT between the intrahepatic portal vein and the superior mesenteric vein. Repeated interventional radiology procedures were used in combination with thrombolysis, thrombectomy, and metallic stent replacement to obtain favorable portal flow to the graft. Five years after being treated, the patient is well, with favorable portal flow having been confirmed. In conclusion, repeated and assiduous interventional radiological treatment combined with thrombolytic therapy, thrombectomy, and metallic stent replacement could be important for severe PVT.
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Introduction

Portal vein thrombosis (PVT) is a rare complication of liver transplantation (LT) which can lead to graft failure and patient death. Because PVT can cause symptoms of portal hypertension, such as a rupture of esophageal varices or ascites, both its diagnosis and treatment are important. However, the treatment of PVT is difficult and complicated, especially when the PVT extends from the intrahepatic to the proximal superior mesenteric vein (SMV). Significant progress has been made in interventional radiology procedures regarding complications following LT, and these procedures should be used to treat PVT even in cases of severe PVT after LT. Therefore, we report a 55-year-old woman with severe portal vein thrombosis after living-donor liver transplantation who could be treated by repeated, assiduous and precise interventional radiological treatments combined with thrombolytic therapy.

Case Report

A 55-year-old woman underwent living-donor LT with splenectomy at our hospital in June 2008 because of end-stage liver cirrhosis due to hepatitis C with hepatocellular carcinoma. The patient did not have PVT at the time of the operation, and a left lobe from the patient’s son was used for the graft. Portal vein reconstruction was performed via end-to-end continuous anastomosis with a 6-0 monofilament suture and resulted in a graft portal vein orifice 15 mm in diameter. For immunosuppression tacrolimus and methylprednisolone were administered.
IVR for Severe PVT after LDLT

On day 10 after LT, bleeding from the abdominal drains suddenly occurred and caused the patient to go into shock. Emergency arterial embolization with interventional procedures was performed to rupture the right subphrenic artery and achieved complete hemostasis. The subsequent postoperative course was uneventful, and the patient was discharged on day 88 after LT.

Six months after LT, combination therapy for hepatitis C was started with peginterferon α-2b and ribavirin. Computed tomography (CT) revealed moderate portal vein stenosis (PVS) without a thrombus, but suitable for portal perfusion. Because massive ascites with liver dysfunction and slight abdominal pain developed 3 months after treatment, the patient was re-admitted to the hospital for assessment and treatment 10 months after LT. A CT examination revealed massive ascites and a PVT that was between the intrahepatic portal vein and the SMV and extended to the jejunal veins (Fig. 1A, B). However, major collateral veins around the hepatic hilum and the SMV were unable to be used for portal vein reconstruction. We chose not to perform operative treatment for the PVT because the thrombus extended to the intrahepatic portal vein; instead we decided to perform interventional radiology procedures (Fig. 2).

In the first interventional radiology procedure, a radiologist punctured the umbilical portion of the liver, which had hyperechoic material in the lumen, under ultrasonographic guidance and confirmed with Doppler ul-
Arterial portography revealed tiny collateral vessels and total occlusion of the portal venous system (Fig. 3A). Direct portography revealed total occlusion of the portal venous system, including the graft, by a thrombus (Fig. 3B).

The intrahepatic portal vein was recanalized in the fourth session of interventional radiological treatment (Fig. 4A). Furthermore, penetration of a catheter through the thrombus from the umbilical portion to the distal jejunal veins could be done in the same procedure (B).

Confirmation angiography after the seventh interventional radiological procedure revealed improvement of the stenosis.

In the sixth interventional radiological procedure, a 10-cm-long segment of a 10-mm-diameter E-Luminex™ vascular stent (Bard Peripheral Vascular, Tempe, AZ, USA) was implanted. Transonicography the absence of portal flow. Arterial portography revealed tiny collateral vessels and total occlusion of the portal venous system (Fig. 3A). Direct portography revealed total occlusion of the portal venous system, including the graft, by a thrombus (Fig. 3B). Catheters were placed into the umbilical portion and the superior mesenteric artery, and urokinase was continuously administered at the rate of 120,000 U/day.

In the second to fifth interventional radiological procedures, repeated thrombectomy and thrombolysis were performed. We were able to penetrate a catheter through the thrombus from the umbilical portion to the patient’s jejunal vein and recanalize the intrahepatic portal vein in the fourth treatment session (Fig. 4A, B).
USA) was placed in the portal vein extending to the SMV, and a 6-cm-long segment of a 10-mm-diameter Wallstent™ stent (Boston Scientific Corp., Marlborough, MA, USA) was also placed from the intrahepatic portal vein to the portal vein by means of the partial stent-in-stent technique.

In the seventh interventional radiological procedure, confirmation angiography revealed improvement of the stenosis (Fig. 5).

Several days after the seventh procedure CT revealed a marked decrease in ascites, and favorable perfusion of the contrast medium from the SMV to peripheral intrahepatic portal veins without thrombus in the stents were observed. After the patient was discharged, warfarin potassium was administered, and a target international normalized ratio of approximately 2 was set for the rest of the patient’s life. Five years after treatment, the patient is well and without ascites or liver dysfunction, and favorable portal flow has been confirmed with ultrasonography.

Discussion
Reported risk factors for PVT include the causes of many cases of PVT following LT, smaller graft size, pre-existing PVT, small diameter of the portal vein, pre-LT surgical shunt operation, splenectomy, PVS, and use of either jump or interposition grafts for portal vein reconstruction. In the present case, the patient had several of these risk factors for PVT, such as a previous LT, PVS, and splenectomy.

A case of PVT after LT can be categorized as “early” (detected within 3 months after LT) or “late” (detected more than 3 months after LT). Furthermore, PVT is clinically classified as “acute” if symptoms developed within 60 days before hospital assessment or as “chronic” if symptoms developed after that. For late PVT, the management is less well defined because the clinical implications vary and range from asymptomatic, due to sufficient portal flow being derived from collateral veins around the hepatic hilum, to symptomatic, such as massive ascites and gastrointestinal bleeding due to portal hypertension. Acute PVT can easily be differentiated from chronic PVT by portoportal collateral vessels being absent or insignificant on imaging studies and by a lack of evidence for portal hypertension, including splenomegaly and esophageal varices. In the present case, the PVT could be clinically classified as late and acute. The patient had massive ascites, slight liver dysfunction, and abdominal pain that were suspected to have been caused by intestinal congestion. Furthermore, CT revealed only fine collateral veins around the PVT. Because the development of collateral veins in short time to circumvent the PVT as a compensatory mechanism called “venous rescue” for the portal vein obstruction completes within 3 to 5 weeks, we diagnosed acute PVT in the patient. Cases of PVT have been classified into 4 categories depending on the extent of thrombosis. Cases of type IV, as the present PVT could be classified, may have the worst prognosis.

Treatments for PVT are generally chosen from systemic or local thrombolysis, surgical treatment such as thrombectomy, portosystemic shunting, or percutaneous intervention. In a case of the entire PVT extending to the intrahepatic portal vein and the branch of the SMV having symptomatic conditions, the treatment would be difficult and complicated. In the present case, although urgent treatment was required, deciding which treatment to perform was difficult. Shunt surgeries creating a portal or portosystemic shunt were rejected because there was no adequate place to create the shunt in the graft. Although only a few cases with interventional radiological treatment for PVT after LT have been reported, this technique is appropriate for many complications following LT because of its minimal invasiveness, low rate of complication, and high success rate. Especially in PVT after LT, combination therapy, including via systemic venous circulation, the superior mesenteric artery, or the transhepatic portal vein; endovascular thrombectomy and stent replacement seem to be effective. We also decided to perform combination therapy for the severe PVT with thrombolysis and transhepatic approach thrombectomy, passing through the thrombus with a guide-wire from the intrahepatic portal vein to the distal jejunal vein, and balloon dilatation and stent replacement for the PVs.

To prevent PVT in the present patient, interventional radiological balloon dilatation or anticoagulation therapy should have been performed when the PVS had been determined with CT. Periodic imaging examination with Doppler ultrasonography or enhanced CT or both and preemptive balloon dilatation could be extremely important to avoid PVT following late onset PVS after LT.

Conclusion
Repeated, assiduous and precise interventional radiological treatments combined with thrombolytic therapy, thrombectomy, and metallic stent replacement could be important for treating severe PVT extending from the intrahepatic to the proximal SMV without a steady collat-
eral vein after LT. Further studies are needed to determine the optimal treatment of severe PVT.
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