Changes in the Ganglion Cell Complex after Inner Limiting Membrane Peeling for Epiretinal Membrane in Glaucoma Patients

Yusuke Umemoto, Tsutomu Igarashi, Kenji Nakamoto, Takeshi Arima,
Maika Kobayashi, Yutaro Tobita and Hiroshi Takahashi

Department of Ophthalmology, Nippon Medical School, Tokyo, Japan

Background: Epiretinal membrane (ERM) is a disease that affects the vitreoretinal interface and causes metamorphopsia, anorthopia, and decreased visual acuity. In this study, ERM patients who underwent internal limiting membrane (ILM) peeling were classified as those with glaucoma (Group G) and a control group (Group C). Changes in ganglion cell complex (GCC) thickness were compared between these groups to investigate whether such changes had an effect on progression of glaucoma from structural change.

Methods: This was a retrospective, observational study that included 27 eyes of 27 patients. Group C included 22 eyes, and Group G included 5 eyes. Patients underwent ILM peeling, and cataract surgery was combined with vitrectomy for 16 phakic eyes; 2 phakic eyes and 9 aphakic eyes were treated only with vitrectomy. GCC thickness was measured preoperatively and at 2 weeks and 1, 3, and 6 months postoperatively, and these values and the rates of thinning were compared between the two groups.

Results: The mean age of patients was 66.7 ± 12.8 years (range 30–84 years). There was no significant difference between groups in the thickness of the GCC or its rate of thinning after ILM peeling.

Conclusions: The present results suggest that this procedure does not cause structural exacerbation of glaucoma in glaucoma patients. Although further studies of the functional effects of ILM peeling are required, the present results suggest that there is no significant difference between the two groups.

(J Nippon Med Sch 2021; 88: 97–102)

Key words: glaucoma, internal limiting membrane, peeling, ganglion cell complex, epiretinal membrane

Introduction

Epiretinal membrane (ERM) is a disease that affects the vitreoretinal interface, thereby causing metamorphopsia, anorthopia, and decreased visual acuity^{1–3}. These symptoms are believed to occur when ganglion cells are damaged by ERM, which decreases retinal sensitivity and disrupts the alignment of visual cells^{4–6}. There is no sex difference in the prevalence of ERM, but prevalence increases with age: from 2% in those aged <60 years to 12% in those aged ≥ 70 years. ERM often occurs in conjunction with retinal vein occlusion^{1,7,8}.

In recent years, internal limiting membrane (ILM) peeling has become standard during ERM surgery. Peeling the ILM rather than just the ERM alone reduces the rate

of ERM recurrence⁹. Because the ILM is a colorless and transparent membrane, it is extremely difficult to detach, but Kadonosono *et al.* found that use of indocyanine green (ICG) to dye the ILM and make it visible enables it to be peeled safely and reliably¹⁰. Because ICG is retinotoxic, brilliant blue G (BBG) is now generally used as a safer alternative for dyeing the ILM¹¹. ILM peeling completely removes the suprachoroidal cellular components, thereby releasing traction on the retina and improving its extensibility. The indications for ILM have been expanded from macular hole only to include conditions such as macular edema and ERM^{1,12}.

Because the ILM itself is a basement membrane bound to the ends of Muller cells, its removal can cause func-

Correspondence to Tsutomu Igarashi, Department of Ophthalmology, Nippon Medical School, Sendagi, Bunkyo-ku, Tokyo 113–8602, Japan

E-mail: tutomu@nms.ac.jp

https://doi.org/10.1272/jnms.JNMS.2021_88-202

Journal Website (<https://www.nms.ac.jp/sh/jnms/>)

tional and mechanical damage to the retina¹³, and ILM risks a gradual reduction in the thickness of the retina and ganglion cell complex (GCC)¹⁴. Glaucoma is a disease that causes retinal ganglion cell loss, and optical coherence tomography (OCT) scanning shows both the progress of the disease and reductions in the GCC and retinal nerve fiber layer (RNFL). Because the ILM is adjacent to the retinal ganglion cells, these nerve ganglion cells may be damaged by ILM peeling. Several studies have addressed the effect of ILM peeling on the RNFL, but no consensus has emerged¹⁵⁻¹⁷. In a meta-analysis of 16 studies of 1,286 eyes, Azuma *et al.* reported that addition of ILM peeling reduced ERM recurrence rate, with no effect on visual acuity or foveal thickness¹⁸. Ito *et al.* investigated the appearance of a dissociated optic nerve fiber layer (DONFL) and retinal function after ILM peeling and found that, although a DONFL appeared postoperatively, it had no effect on visual acuity or retinal sensitivity, suggesting that ILM peeling is effective and safe¹⁹. Tsuchiya *et al.* investigated changes in mean visual field sensitivity (MVFS) in glaucomatous eyes and reported that this tended to be exacerbated in glaucomatous eyes, whereas no change was observed in the eyes of a control group without glaucoma²⁰. Different study methods have thus produced varying results concerning changes in retinal structure and the effect on retinal function. Further investigation is therefore required.

In this study, ERM patients who underwent ILM peeling were classified as a glaucoma (Group G) and control group (Group C), and change in GCC thickness was compared between these groups to determine whether changes had an effect on glaucoma progression caused by structural change.

Materials and Methods

Study design

This was a retrospective, observational study that adhered to the tenets of the Declaration of Helsinki and was approved by the Institutional Review Board of the Nippon Medical School Hospital (approval number: 30-06-941). Patients who underwent ILM peeling and were observed for more than 6 months during the period from April 2013 through March 2018 were registered retrospectively using opt-out in our outpatient section. The study included 27 eyes of 27 patients (mean age 66.7±12.8 years); 22 eyes were classified as Group C and 5 eyes as Group G.

Inclusion and exclusion criteria

The study inclusion criteria included presence of a nor-

mal anterior segment and good-quality OCT images with retinal ERM. The exclusion criteria included severe ophthalmic disease, such as corneal dystrophy, degenerative retinal disease, macular degenerative disease, uveitis, and diabetic retinopathy, and any ophthalmic surgery during the previous 3 months. Eyes with indeterminate optic disc appearance were excluded from the study. Eight eyes with signs of retinal detachment or other retinal disease intraoperatively or postoperatively were also excluded.

Surgical procedures

Surgeries were performed by 3 surgeons (H.T., T.I., T.A.) using 25-gauge pars plana vitrectomy with the Stellaris PC (Bausch + Lomb, Rochester, NY, USA) or Constellation Vision System (Alcon Surgical, Fort Worth, TX, USA). Triamcinolone acetonide (TA; MaQaid, Wakamoto Pharmaceutical, Tokyo, Japan) was used to visualize the vitreous²¹. After cutting the core vitreous, TA was re-injected over the macula to grasp and peel the ERM with end-gripping forceps (Alcon Surgical). After removing the ERM, brilliant blue G (BBG; #0770 Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA)-the use of which was approved by the Institutional Review Board of the Nippon Medical School Hospital (approval number: 2012-033)-was used for ILM staining. ILM peeling was performed with an extendible diamond dusted sweeper (Alcon Surgical) and end-gripping forceps (**Fig. 1**). Cataract surgery was combined with vitrectomy for 16 phakic eyes; 2 phakic eyes and 9 aphakic eyes were treated by vitrectomy only. Fluid-gas exchange (FGX) and intraocular gas tamponade with 20% SF6 were performed for all eyes with ERM in which intraoperative retinal breaks were found during a thorough peripheral vitreous shaving.

GCC thickness

The layer structure of the GCC comprises 3 layers: the nerve fiber layer (NFL), ganglion cell layer (GCL), and inner plexiform layer (IPL) (**Fig. 2**). The NFL around the fovea could not be measured by our OCT (RS-3000 Advance), but peripapillary NFL was measurable. Because this study was retrospective and investigated patients undergoing ERM surgery, no patient with glaucoma underwent peripapillary NFL measurement.

GCC thickness was measured preoperatively and at 2 weeks and 1, 3, and 6 months postoperatively, and these values and the rates of thinning were compared between the 2 groups. The thickness of the GCC in a 4.5-mm radius measured by OCT (RS-3000 Advance, NIDEK, Aichi, Japan) was compared preoperatively and postoperatively. Linear approximations were produced to compare rates

of thinning in each group in relation to preoperative thickness. Because the GCC is thinner in glaucomatous eyes, the changes in thickness at 1, 3, and 6 months postoperatively were measured with reference to GCC thickness at 2 weeks postoperatively.

Statistical analysis

In this experiment, means and SDs of the measurements were calculated for each group. Data were statistically analyzed by unpaired *t*-tests, with $p < 0.05$ considered significant.

Results

Study population

This retrospective study analyzed 27 eyes of 27 patients: 22 eyes in the control group (Group C) and 5 in the glaucoma group (Group G). The mean age of the patients was 66.7 ± 12.8 years (range 30–84 years). Patient characteristics are shown in Table 1.

Fig. 1 Image of ILM peeling during surgery
ILM stained with BBG was peeled with end-gripping forceps.

ILM: internal limiting membrane, BBG: brilliant blue G

The mean thicknesses of the GCC preoperatively and postoperatively were compared in the 2 groups (Table 2, Fig. 3a). There was no significant difference in GCC thickness between Group C and Group G preoperatively or at 2 weeks or 1, 3, or 6 months postoperatively. As shown in Figure 3b, linear approximations of changes in GCC thickness were compared between the groups. The equation for the linear approximation was $y = -9.91x + 151.95$ for Group C and $y = -8.82x + 133.04$ for Group G; the slope was only slightly steeper for Group C. Because the GCC is thinner in glaucoma patients (Fig. 4a), the rate of thinning of the GCC at 1, 3, and 6 months postoperatively was evaluated in relation to the value at 2 weeks postoperatively (Fig. 4b). The rate of thinning of the GCC at 1, 3, and 6 months postoperatively was almost the same in Groups C and G. There was no significant difference between the 2 groups.

Discussion

Because the introduction of OCT has dramatically increased the diagnostic efficiency of ERM³, few cases of

Fig. 2 The layer structure of the GCC

The layer structure of the GCC comprises three layers: the NFL, GCL, and IPL.

GCC: ganglion cell complex, NFL: nerve fiber layer, GCL: ganglion cell layer, IPL: inner plexiform layer.

Table 1 Demographic and ocular characteristics of control (Group C) and glaucoma (Group G) patients with ERM

Characteristic	Total (27)	Group C (22)	Group G (5)	<i>p</i> value
Male/Female	19/8	14/8	5/0	
Age (y)	67 ± 12.8	67 ± 10.5	64 ± 20.6	0.57
Right/Left eye	16/11	14/8	2/3	
Visual acuity (log MAR)	-0.32 ± 0.36	-0.29 ± 0.38	-0.46 ± 0.20	0.36
GCC thickness (μm)	143.5 ± 28.7	147.6 ± 28.9	125.8 ± 21.9	0.13
FGX	6	5	1	
Combined cataract surgery	16	14	2	

ERM: Epithelial retinal membrane; GCC: Ganglion cell complex; FGX: Fluid gas exchange

Table 2 Change in GCC thickness in control (Group C) and glaucoma (Group G) patients

	Preop	2W	1M	3M	6M
Total GCC					
Group C (μm)	147.6 $\pm$ 28.9	130.7 $\pm$ 21.6	114.7 $\pm$ 31.6	108.4 $\pm$ 24.4	108.4 $\pm$ 16.7
Group G (μm)	125.8 $\pm$ 21.9	114.8 $\pm$ 8.1	105.3 $\pm$ 10.6	96 $\pm$ 12.0	91.1 $\pm$ 15.0
<i>p</i> value	0.13	0.32	0.62	0.41	0.14

GCC: Ganglion cell complex

Fig. 3 Inner retinal layer thickness and thinning

(a) Comparison of mean preoperative and postoperative GCC thicknesses in Group C and Group G. There was no significant difference in GCC thickness between Group C and Group G (preop, $p=0.12$; 2W, $p=0.32$; 1M, $p=0.62$; 3M, $p=0.41$; 6M, $p=0.14$).

(b) Thinning of inner retinal layer

The slope of the linear approximation is greater in Group C than in Group G.

Fig. 4 OCT images and thinning of the GCC (with 2 weeks postoperatively as baseline)

(a) Typical OCT images of normal retina and preoperative and postoperative epiretinal membranes

The photographs show typical retinas preoperatively and at 3 months postoperatively in Group C and Group G.

(b) GCC thinning in Group C and Group G (with 2 weeks postoperatively as baseline). There was no significant difference in GCC thinning rate between Group C and Group G (1M, $p=0.79$; 3M, $p=0.91$; 6M, $p=0.68$).

ERM are now overlooked and prevalence has risen. ILM peeling is now widely used worldwide, but it has been suggested that removal of the ILM may damage the retina functionally and structurally^{1,22,23}. However, previous studies found that although such damage was associated with the appearance of a DONFL it did not extend to problems with visual acuity, visual field, or electroretinography (ERG) findings, and changes in macular local ERG findings were slight^{19,24,25}. In our hospital, functional assessment other than visual acuity was difficult because of the lack of testing devices to measure multifocal ERG, local macula ERG, and microperimetry.

In this study, the effect of ILM peeling on the macula, which is responsible for visual function, was investigated by comparing the postoperative rate of GCC thinning in relation to preoperative thickness in glaucoma patients. There was no significant difference between glaucoma patients and a control group in GCC thickness or its rate of thinning after ILM peeling. The present results suggest that, at a minimum, this procedure does not cause structural worsening of glaucoma in glaucoma patients.

No previous studies have evaluated the GCC but several have investigated changes in the RNFL. Reddy *et al.* reported that the RNFL decreased only in the lower quadrants¹⁷, Kim *et al.* reported that it decreased only in the upper and lower quadrants⁵, Balducci *et al.* reported that it decreased in the upper, lower, and temporal quadrants¹⁵, and Lyssek-Boron *et al.* reported that the decrease in RNFL was transient, with no subsequent decrease¹⁶. Existing evidence suggests that, even if a decrease in the RNFL does occur, it is only slight and does not indicate a major invasion in glaucoma patients. However, Tuchiya *et al.* reported that the MVFS is decreased by IML peeling in glaucoma patients only²⁰; thus, future studies should perform preoperative and postoperative functional assessments of these patients.

In summary, as both ERM and glaucoma become more prevalent in an aging population, the number of patients with both conditions is expected to rise. Although further studies of the functional effects of ILM peeling are required, we found no significant difference between the 2 groups.

Conflict of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

1. Chang WC, Lin C, Lee CH, Sung TL, Tung TH, Liu JH. Vitrectomy with or without internal limiting membrane peeling for idiopathic epiretinal membrane: A meta-analysis. *PloS One*. 2017;12(6):e0179105.
2. Guber J, Pereni I, Scholl HPN, Guber I, Haynes RJ. Outcomes after epiretinal membrane surgery with or without internal limiting membrane peeling. *Ophthalmol Ther*. 2019;8(2):297–303.
3. Stevenson W, Prospero Ponce CM, Agarwal DR, Gelman R, Christoforidis JB. Epiretinal membrane: optical coherence tomography-based diagnosis and classification. *Clin Ophthalmol (Auckland, NZ)*. 2016;10:527–34.
4. Chiba N, Imasawa M, Goto T, Imai M, Iijima H. Foveal sensitivity and visual acuity in macular thickening disorders. *Jpn J Ophthalmol*. 2012;56(4):375–9.
5. Kim HJ, Kang JW, Chung H, Kim HC. Correlation of foveal photoreceptor integrity with visual outcome in idiopathic epiretinal membrane. *Curr Eye Res*. 2014;39(6):626–33.
6. Oster SF, Mojana F, Brar M, Yuson RM, Cheng L, Freeman WR. Disruption of the photoreceptor inner segment/outer segment layer on spectral domain-optical coherence tomography is a predictor of poor visual acuity in patients with epiretinal membranes. *Retina (Philadelphia, Pa)*. 2010;30(5):713–8.
7. Fang XL, Tong Y, Zhou YL, Zhao PQ, Wang ZY. Internal limiting membrane peeling or not: a systematic review and meta-analysis of idiopathic macular pucker surgery. *Rr J Ophthahmol*. 2017;101(11):1535–41.
8. Mitchell P, Smith W, Chey T, Wang JJ, Chang A. Prevalence and associations of epiretinal membranes. The Blue Mountains Eye Study, Australia. *Ophthalmology*. 1997;104(6):1033–40.
9. Park DW, Dugel PU, Garda J, et al. Macular pucker removal with and without internal limiting membrane peeling: pilot study. *Ophthalmology*. 2003;110(1):62–4.
10. Kadosono K, Itoh N, Uchio E, Nakamura S, Ohno S. Staining of internal limiting membrane in macular hole surgery. *Arch Ophthalmol (Chicago, Ill: 1960)*. 2000;118(8):1116–8.
11. Enaida H, Hisatomi T, Hata Y, et al. Brilliant blue G selectively stains the internal limiting membrane/brilliant blue G-assisted membrane peeling. *Retina (Philadelphia, Pa)*. 2006;26(6):631–6.
12. Nakajima T, Roggia MF, Noda Y, Ueta T. Effect of internal limiting membrane peeling during vitrectomy for diabetic macular edema. Systematic review and meta-analysis. *Retina (Philadelphia, Pa)*. 2015;35(9):1719–25.
13. Nakamura T, Murata T, Hisatomi T, et al. Ultrastructure of the vitreoretinal interface following the removal of the internal limiting membrane using indocyanine green. *Curr Eye Res*. 2003;27(6):395–9.
14. Pichi F, Lembo A, Morara M, et al. Early and late inner retinal changes after inner limiting membrane peeling. *Int Ophthalmol*. 2014;34(2):437–46.
15. Balducci N, Morara M, Veronese C, Torrazza C, Pichi F, Ciardella AP. Retinal nerve fiber layer thickness modification after internal limiting membrane peeling. *Retina (Philadelphia, Pa)*. 2014;34(4):655–63.
16. Lyssek-Boron A, Wylegala A, Polanowska K, Krysiak K, Dobrowolski D. Longitudinal changes in retinal nerve fiber layer thickness evaluated using avanti rtvue-XR optical coherence tomography after 23G vitrectomy for epiretinal membrane in patients with open-angle glaucoma. *J Healthc Eng*. 2017;2017:4673714.
17. Reddy RK, Lalezary M, Kim SJ, et al. Prospective Retinal and Optic Nerve Vitrectomy Evaluation (PROVE) study: findings at 3 months. *Clin Ophthalmol (Auckland, NZ)*.

- 2013;7:1761–9.
18. Azuma K, Ueta T, Eguchi S, Aihara M. Effects of internal limiting membrane peeling combined with removal of idiopathic epiretinal membrane: A systematic review of literature and meta-analysis. *Retina* (Philadelphia, Pa). 2017;37(10):1813–9.
19. Ito Y, Terasaki H, Takahashi A, Yamakoshi T, Kondo M, Nakamura M. Dissociated optic nerve fiber layer appearance after internal limiting membrane peeling for idiopathic macular holes. *Ophthalmology*. 2005;112(8):1415–20.
20. Tsuchiya S, Higashide T, Sugiyama K. Visual field changes after vitrectomy with internal limiting membrane peeling for epiretinal membrane or macular hole in glaucomatous eyes. *PloS One*. 2017;12(5):e0177526.
21. Peyman GA, Cheema R, Conway MD, Fang T. Triamcinolone acetonide as an aid to visualization of the vitreous and the posterior hyaloid during pars plana vitrectomy. *Retina* (Philadelphia, Pa). 2000;20(5):554–5.
22. Uemoto R, Yamamoto S, Aoki T, Tsukahara I, Yamamoto T, Takeuchi S. Macular configuration determined by optical coherence tomography after idiopathic macular hole surgery with or without internal limiting membrane peeling. *Br J Ophthalmol*. 2002;86(11):1240–2.
23. Uemura A, Kanda S, Sakamoto Y, Kita H. Visual field defects after uneventful vitrectomy for epiretinal membrane with indocyanine green-assisted internal limiting membrane peeling. *Am J Ophthalmol*. 2003;136(2):252–7.
24. Spaide RF. “Dissociated optic nerve fiber layer appearance” after internal limiting membrane removal is inner retinal dimpling. *Retina* (Philadelphia, Pa). 2012;32(9):1719–26.
25. Terasaki H, Miyake Y, Niwa T, et al. Focal macular electroretinograms before and after removal of choroidal neovascular lesions. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci*. 2002;43(5):1540–5.

(Received, December 13, 2019)

(Accepted, February 26, 2020)

Journal of Nippon Medical School has adopted the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International License (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>) for this article. The Medical Association of Nippon Medical School remains the copyright holder of all articles. Anyone may download, reuse, copy, reprint, or distribute articles for non-profit purposes under this license, on condition that the authors of the articles are properly credited.