TDPAC and Its Application to Chemistry

Y. Ohkubo, a Y. Murakami, b W. Sato, c and A. Yokoyamad

a Research Reactor Institute, Kyoto University, Kumatori, Osaka 590-0494, Japan
b Graduate School of Science, Kyoto University, Kyoto 606-8502, Japan
c Graduate School of Science, Osaka University, Toyonaka, Osaka 560-0043, Japan
d Graduate School of Natural Science and Technology, Kanazawa University, Kanazawa, Ishikawa 920-1192, Japan

Received: October 25, 2006; In Final Form: December 26, 2006

Time-differential perturbed angular correlation (TDPAC) is a powerful tool in material science for measuring the local electromagnetic fields at probe nuclei through hyperfine interactions. We have been applying this technique with reactor-made radioactive nuclei to several different material systems. In this paper, following a brief description of the TDPAC technique, our recent results are reviewed.

1. Introduction

This paper aims to fulfill two objectives. The first one is to outline the theory and technique of the time-differential perturbed-angular-correlation (TDPAC) method, which is a nuclear spectroscopic technique for investigating local structures, electronic states, etc., in materials via hyperfine interactions. A detailed account of the perturbed-angular-correlation theory is given by Frauenfelder and Steffen. The second is to give a brief account of TDPAC experiments using the Kyoto University research reactor (KUR). Our recent results are those on the ferroelectric phase transition of LiTaO₃, local magnetic fields in the Mo layer of Mo/Fe multilayer, hopping motion of Ce in graphite, and hyperfine fields in a protein, mavidcyanin.

2. Outline of the TDPAC Theory

The two γ rays in a cascade process emitted from an excited nucleus exhibit the angular correlation between them, which depends primarily on nuclear properties such as the multipolarities of the γ rays and the spins of the relevant levels. The angular correlation W(θ) is expressed as follows: W(θ)Ω is the relative probability that the second γ ray is emitted into the solid angle Ω at an angle θ with respect to the emission direction of the first γ ray.

\[ W(\theta) = \sum_{k} A_{k}(1)A_{k}(2)P_{k}(\cos \theta), \]

where the summation index k takes non-negative even integers with the upper limit determined by the values of the multipolarities of the two γ rays and the spin of the intermediate nuclear level, and \( P_{k} \) is the kth-order Legendre polynomial. \( A_{k}(1) \) and \( A_{k}(2) \) are quantities concerning the first and second γ transitions, respectively, determined only by the nuclear properties.

When a nucleus in its intermediate level interacts with an extranuclear field, the angular correlation is perturbed. The general expression for the perturbed angular correlation is as follows:

\[ W(\tilde{p}_{1}, \tilde{p}_{2}, t) = \sum_{k,k_{1},k_{2}} A_{k}(1)A_{k}(2)G_{k_{1},k_{2}}^{N_{1},N_{2}}(t)((2k_{1}+1)(2k_{2}+1))^{1/2} \times Y_{k_{1}}^{*} (\theta_{1}, \phi_{1})Y_{k_{2}}^{*} (\theta_{2}, \phi_{2}), \]

where \( \tilde{p}_{1} \) and \( \tilde{p}_{2} \) are the momenta of the first and second γ rays, respectively, and \( \theta_{1} \) and \( \phi_{1} \) are the spherical coordinates. Like \( A_{k}(1) \) and \( A_{k}(2) \) in eq 1, \( A_{k_{1}}(1) \) and \( A_{k_{2}}(2) \) are quantities concerning the first and second γ transitions, respectively, determined only by the nuclear properties. The parameters \( k_{1} \) (or \( k_{2} \)) are non-negative even integers and \( N_{1} \) and \( N_{2} \) are integers satisfying \(-k_{1} \leq N_{1} \leq k_{1} \). The perturbation factor \( G_{k_{1},k_{2}}^{N_{1},N_{2}}(t) \) contains information on the interaction of the nucleus in its intermediate state having spin \( I \) with extranuclear fields and is written as

\[ G_{k_{1},k_{2}}^{N_{1},N_{2}}(t) = \sum_{m_{1},m_{2}} (-1)^{m_{1}+m_{2}} \langle m_{1} | A(t) | m_{2} \rangle \langle m_{2} | A(t) | m_{1} \rangle, \]

where the eigenvalues such as \( m_{i} \) in the 3-j symbols are referred to an arbitrarily chosen z-axis. The quantity \( A(t) \) reflects the interaction of the nucleus with extranuclear fields. In the case of static interactions, \( A(t) \) is written as \( \exp(-iH_{\text{int}}t/\hbar) \), where \( H_{\text{int}} \) is the time-independent interaction Hamiltonian. If there is no effective extranuclear field, i.e., \( A(t) = 1 \), \( G_{k_{1},k_{2}}^{N_{1},N_{2}}(t) \) is equal to \( \delta_{k_{1},k_{2}} \delta_{N_{1},N_{2}} \) and eq 2 is thus reduced to eq 1, as it should be. Using the eigenvector \( |n\rangle \) of \( H_{\text{int}} \) with the eigenvalue \( E_{n} \), eq 3 is rewritten as

\[ G_{k_{1},k_{2}}^{N_{1},N_{2}}(t) = \sum_{m_{1},m_{2}} \sum_{N} (-1)^{2s_{1}+s_{2}} \langle m_{1} | (2k_{1}+1)(2k_{2}+1) \rangle^{1/2} e^{-i(N_{1}-N_{2})t} |n| m_{1} \rangle \langle n | m_{2} \rangle \langle n | m_{1} \rangle \langle m_{2} | m_{1} \rangle \langle m_{1} | m_{2} \rangle \langle m_{2} | m_{1} \rangle, \]

If the perturbing field is of axial symmetry and the z-axis is chosen parallel to the symmetry axis,
When the sample is a powder source, i.e., an ensemble of randomly oriented microcrystals, the perturbation factor must be averaged over the Euler angles which transform the laboratory coordinate system to the coordinate system of each microcrystal. As a result, the perturbation factor does not depend on the parameters \(N_1\) and \(N_2\):

\[
G_{k_1k_2}(t) = \sum_{m_1, m_2} \sum_{m_1', m_2'} (-1)^{2m_1+m_2+m_1'} \binom{I}{I} \binom{I}{I} \binom{k}{m_1-m_2N} \binom{k}{m_1-m_2N} e^{-i(\omega_I t - \omega_{k_1} t)}.
\]

The angular correlation \(W(\vec{\theta}_1, \vec{\theta}_2, t)\) has the form

\[
W(\vec{\theta}_1, \vec{\theta}_2, t) = W(\theta, t) = \sum_k A_k(1)A_k(2)G_{kk}(t)P_k(\cos \theta),
\]

where \(\theta\) is the angle between \(\vec{\theta}_1\) and \(\vec{\theta}_2\). Since in this form the differences from the expression for the unperturbed angular correlation, eq 1, are only the factors \(G_{kk}(t)\), they are particularly called the attenuation factors. When each microcrystal is of axial symmetry, \(G_{kk}(t)\) has the simpler form

\[
G_{kk}(t) = \sum_{n,n'} \binom{l}{l} \binom{l}{l} \binom{k}{n-n'} e^{-i(\omega_I t - \omega_{k_1} t)}.
\]

Note that the perturbed angular correlation is observable even for polycrystals.

As a simple example we give the expression for the case where a uniform static magnetic field is applied perpendicular to the plane on which two \(\gamma\) detectors and a sample containing TDPAC nuclei are situated. The magnetic field \(\vec{B}\) is axially symmetric, and the relevant expression is eq 5 with \(E_w = m\hbar \omega_0\) (\(z\)-axis is taken parallel to \(\vec{B}\)). Here, \(\omega_0\) is the Larmor frequency, and using the nuclear \(g\)-factor and nuclear magneton \(\mu_n\), it is defined as \(\omega_0 = -g\mu_n B/h\). The exponential factor depends on \(N = m - m'\) and thus can be moved outside the summation symbol. Using the orthogonality relation of the 3j-symbols, the perturbation factor is written as

\[
G_{kk}(t) = \exp(-i\omega_0 t),
\]

where \(k_1 = k_2 = k\). Substituting eq 9 into eq 2 leads to the expression

\[
W(\theta, t) = \sum_{k=0}^{k_{\max}} A_k(1)A_k(2)P_k[\cos(\theta - \omega_0 t)],
\]

taking \(\theta = \theta_0 = 90^\circ\) and \(\varphi_0 = \varphi = \theta\). Coincidence counts \(N(\theta, t)\) of the cascade \(\gamma\) rays are given by

\[
N(\theta, t) = \exp(-t \ln 2/t_{1/2})W(\theta, t),
\]

where \(t_{1/2}\) is the half-life of the intermediate state of the probe nucleus. Assuming that the maximum value of \(k\) is 2, the ratio \(R(t)\) obtained with the coincidence counts at \(\theta = 180^\circ\) and \(90^\circ\) becomes

\[
R(t) = \frac{N(180^\circ, t) - N(90^\circ, t)}{N(180^\circ, t) + N(90^\circ, t)} = \frac{3}{4} A_2(1)A_2(2)\cos(2\omega_0 t),
\]

From the measurements of the angular correlation, \(\omega_0\) can be obtained. Once \(\omega_0\) is obtained and when either of the two quantities \(g\) or \(B\) is known, the other quantity can be derived. TDPAC technique gives the product of such two quantities. One is concerned with nuclear properties such as \(g\)-factor.

**TABLE 1: Characteristics of \(\gamma-\gamma\) cascades appropriate for TDPAC**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Decay mode</th>
<th>Parent → Probe</th>
<th>Half-life</th>
<th>(I^+)</th>
<th>Mean life / ns</th>
<th>(\mu / \text{nm})</th>
<th>(Q / b)</th>
<th>(\gamma / \text{keV})</th>
<th>(\gamma / \text{keV})</th>
<th>(A_{22})</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(^{99})Mo</td>
<td>(\beta) → (^{27})d</td>
<td>(^{99})Tc</td>
<td>5/2(^+)</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>+3.291</td>
<td>740</td>
<td>181</td>
<td>+0.10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(^{99})Rh</td>
<td>(\text{EC} \rightarrow )</td>
<td>(^{99})Ru</td>
<td>3/2(^+)</td>
<td>29.6</td>
<td>-0.284</td>
<td>+0.231</td>
<td>528</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>-0.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(^{100})Pd</td>
<td>(\text{EC} \rightarrow )</td>
<td>(^{100})Rh</td>
<td>2(^+)</td>
<td>309</td>
<td>+4.324</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>+0.17</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(^{111})Ag</td>
<td>(\beta) → (^{7.5})d</td>
<td>(^{111})Cd</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(^{111})Cd</td>
<td>(\text{EC} \rightarrow )</td>
<td>(^{111})Cd</td>
<td>5/2(^+)</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>-0.7656</td>
<td>+0.77</td>
<td>151</td>
<td>245</td>
<td>+0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(^{111})In</td>
<td>(\text{EC} \rightarrow )</td>
<td>(^{111})Cd</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(^{117})Cd</td>
<td>(\beta) → (^{2.5})h</td>
<td>(^{117})In</td>
<td>3/2(^+)</td>
<td>77.3</td>
<td>+0.938</td>
<td>(-)0.59</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>344</td>
<td>-0.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(^{140})La</td>
<td>(\beta) → (^{1.7})d</td>
<td>(^{140})Ce</td>
<td>4(^+)</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>+4.35</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>329</td>
<td>487</td>
<td>-0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(^{181})Hf</td>
<td>(\beta) → (^{42})d</td>
<td>(^{181})Ta</td>
<td>5/2(^+)</td>
<td>15.6</td>
<td>+3.29</td>
<td>(+)2.35</td>
<td>133</td>
<td>482</td>
<td>-0.20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
slow electronic modules and two γ detectors. However, it is often that four γ detectors are used and placed at right angles with each other on a plane. By doing this, 4 sets of $N(180°, t)$ and those of $N(90°, t)$ can be obtained at one measurement, so that a high measurement efficiency is gained. Moreover, this arrangement can avoid the unwanted effects owing to different detection efficiencies (which include the effect of different source-to-detector distances). For γ detection, scintillation detectors with high Z elements and good timing response are used. BaF$_2$ is such a scintillator. Figure 1 displays the block diagram of our TDPAC measurement system using four BaF$_2$ detectors.

5. Recent TDPAC Experiments Using the Kyoto University Research Reactor

5.1. Ferroelectric transitions in LiNbO$_3$ and LiTaO$_3$.

Lithium niobate, LiNbO$_3$, and isostructural lithium tantalate, LiTaO$_3$, are ferroelectrics and are known as, for example, important non-linear optic materials. LiNbO$_3$ and LiTaO$_3$ adopt an ilmenite (FeTiO$_3$)-related structure (not the perovskite structure) because of the similar ionic sizes of Li$^+$ (76 pm), Nb$^{5+}$ (64 pm), and Ta$^{5+}$ (64 pm). These oxides undergo a structural phase transition (corresponding to a ferroelectric-to-paraelectric phase transition) at temperatures ($T_C$) of 1483 K and 938 K, respectively. The ferroelectric structure belongs to the $R3c$ space group. The atomic arrangement consists of oxygen octahedra sharing faces along the polar trigonal axis. When the spontaneous polarization direction is downward, the Nb (Ta) ion on this axis is displaced downward from the center of its octahedron [Figure 2(a)]. The next octahedron above contains a Li ion displaced upward from its center and the one more above has no metal ions inside it. The paraelectric structure belongs to the $R3c$ space group. The Nb (Ta) ion is located at the center of its octahedron [Figure 2(b)]. The average Li position is on the upper-triangle oxygen base of the octahedron; the Li ion is disordered and occupies the previously empty octahedron half the time (dynamic disorder) or in half the unit cells (static disorder). In order to examine whether dynamic disorder takes place or static one does, TDPAC measurements using $^{11}_{7}$In$^{(−11}^{7}$Cd) and $^{11}_{7}$In$^{(−7}$Cd) are effective. From a PIXE/channeling experiment, it is known that Cd (and also In) occupy the Li sites. If dynamic disorder is the right phenomenon, the temperature dependence of the quadrupole frequencies for $^{11}$In and $^{11}$Cd can be quite different, since the ionic radius of Cd$^{2+}$ (95 pm) is so large that local dynamic disorder may be difficult to take place. On the other hand, the ionic radius of In$^{3+}$ (80 pm) is comparable to that of Li$^+$ (76 pm), so that local dynamic disorder is not hampered. Since the bonding of In$^{3+}$ with oxygen is stronger due to the higher charge, local dynamic disorder might take place at lower temperature than $T_C$, as is suggested by the fact that replacing a part of Li with In reduces $T_C$ (e.g., $T_C$ of Li$_{0.8}$In$_{0.067}$TaO$_3$ is 818K,7 120 K reduction). Typical TDPAC spectra of $^{11}$In and $^{11}$Cd in polycrystalline LiTaO$_3$ are shown in Figures 3(a) and 3(b), respectively. The ordinate $A_{22}G_{22}(t)$ was obtained as follows:

$$A_{22}G_{22}(t) = \frac{N(\pi, t) - N(\pi/2, t)}{N(\pi, t) + 2N(\pi/2, t)} .$$

(13)

The quantity $A_{22}$ is the same as $A_3(1)A_3(2)$ in eq 7. The pattern in each spectrum is modulated in a manner characteristic of a single electric quadrupole interaction in polycrystalline samples, depending on the spin value of the intermediate nuclear state. Since the Li site is of axial symmetry, the expected expressions of $G_{22}(t)$ for $^{11}$In ($I = 3/2$) and $^{11}$Cd ($I = 5/2$) are, respectively,
Figure 3. TDPAC spectra $A_2G_{22}(t)$ of (a) $^{117}\text{In}(\leftrightarrow^{117}\text{Cd})$ and of (b) $^{111}\text{Cd}(\leftrightarrow^{111m}\text{Cd})$ in LiTaO$_3$ at 4.2 and 1073 K with the solid curves representing the least-squares fits of eqs 14 and 15 in the text taking into account of $\delta Q$ distributions.

$$G_{22}(t) = \frac{1}{5}\left[1 + 4\cos(6\delta Q t)\right], \quad (14)$$

and

$$G_{22}(t) = \frac{1}{5}\left[1 + \frac{13}{7}\cos(6\delta Q t) + \frac{10}{7}\cos(12\delta Q t) + \frac{5}{7}\cos(18\delta Q t)\right], \quad (15)$$

from eq 8 with $E_\nu = \frac{3n^2 - 1(n + 1)}{2}\hbar \omega_\nu$, where $\omega_\nu$ is the quadrupole frequency and is defined, using the nuclear electric quadrupole moment of the intermediate state $Q$ and the largest component of the electric field gradient $V_{zz}$ in the principal axis system, as $\delta Q = eQV_{zz}/[4I(2I-1)]$. In the actual data analyses, $G_{22}(t)$ expressions without the assumption of axial symmetry were used and the results were consistent with the case of axial symmetry. Figure 4 displays the temperature dependences of $V_{zz}(\text{lattice})$ at $^{117}\text{In}$ and $^{111}\text{Cd}$ derived from dividing the obtained $V_{zz}$ by a factor $(1 - \gamma)$ for each probe, where $\gamma$ is the so-called Sternheimer antishielding factor. For comparison, also shown in Figure 4 is the temperature dependence of $V_{zz}(\text{lattice})$ at $^7\text{Li}$ obtained from $^7\text{Li-NMR}$.\(^8\)

As can be seen in Figure 4, the increase rate of $V_{zz}(\text{lattice})$ at $^{117}\text{In}$ is very large, comparable to the case of $^7\text{Li}$, while in the case of $^{111}\text{Cd}$ it is small. In a certain temperature range above $T_C$, $V_{zz}(\text{lattice})$ at $^{117}\text{In}$ is constant, which is also true for the case of $^7\text{Li}$, while in the case of $^{111}\text{Cd}$ there is no such constant region. The existence of the constant part means that the local system consisting of Li or In and oxygen ions is extremely stable, despite the high temperatures. These features fit very well the idea of dynamic disorder: Li or In of small ionic size comes closer to the center of three oxygen ions surrounding it together with other three oxygen ions, as the temperature increases; Above $T_C$, since there is enough space among the three oxygen ions due to thermal expansion, Li or In goes back and forth through this hole. This state is considered to be a sort of resonance state of high stability. On the contrary, Cd of large ionic size cannot move much toward the center of the three oxygen ions and thus cannot form a resonance state. Since the lowest temperature of the constant part of $V_{zz}(\text{lattice})$ at $^{117}\text{In}$ coincides with $T_C$, ions which actually disorder must be oxygen, not Li or In (Figure 5).

Although we did not measure the TDPAC spectra for LiNbO$_3$ at temperatures near $T_C$ because of high $T_C$, we expect that the similar dynamic disorder of oxygen ions takes place also in this material.

5.2. Local magnetic fields in the Mo layer of Mo/Fe multilayer.\(^3\) Metallic multilayers consisting of ferromagnetic layers and nonmagnetic layers in alternate sequence display interesting magnetic phenomena. Oscillatory interlayer exchange coupling is an important example. As the nonmagnetic-layer thickness is varied, the coupling between two ferromagnetic layers sandwiching a nonmagnetic layer periodically

Figure 4. Temperature dependences of the lattice part of $V_{zz}$ at $^{117}\text{In}$ (closed squares) and at $^{111}\text{Cd}$ (open squares) in LiTaO$_3$, with $T_C = 938$ K, together with that for $^7\text{Li}$ in LiTaO$_3$ (Reference 8) (solid line), which is multiplied by a factor of 4.

Figure 5. The local structure around a Li ion in the paraelectric phase of the LiNbO$_3$-LiTaO$_3$ system. Li is represented by the hatched, and O by the open circles. The two upper oxygen triangles represent a single one as being dynamically disordered.
changes from being ferromagnetic to antiferromagnetic. Oscillatory interlayer exchange coupling is basically understood as due to the spin polarization of conduction electrons in the nonmagnetic layer, but local information about magnetic structure in the nonmagnetic layer is valuable. Mo/Fe multilayer samples were prepared with the electron-beam evaporation technique: Fe(10 nm)/[Mo(d nm)/Fe(2.0 nm)]\textsubscript{120} (designed values of the Mo layer thickness d are 0.4, 0.7, 0.9, 1.1, and 1.3), the 10-nm Fe being a buffer layer, where the subscript indicates the number of the bilayers. These samples have bcc(110) texture and two neighboring Fe layers are ferromagnetically coupled with each other. The parent nuclei \(^{99}\)Mo of the TDPAC probe \(^{99}\)Tc were obtained by irradiating the samples with thermal neutrons. Figure 6 displays the room-temperature TDPAC spectra for Mo(d=1.1 nm) and Mo(d=1.3 nm). Assuming the perturbing fields are magnetic hyperfine fields, the TDPAC spectra were analyzed with the following expression:

\[
R(t) = \frac{N(\pi,t) - N(\pi/2,t)}{2^{1/2}} = \frac{3}{4} A_{22} \sum_j P_j \left( a_{j0} + a_{j1} \cos(\omega_j t) + a_{j2} \cos(2\omega_j t) \right),
\]

where \(A_{22}\) is the half-life of the intermediate state of the TDPAC probe, \(P_j\) is the fraction of the probe nuclei perturbed by the hyperfine magnetic fields \(B_j\), and the values of \(a_{j0} (j = 0 - 2)\) depends on the orientation of the hyperfine field with respect to the detector plane, satisfying \(\sum_j a_{j0} = 1\). \(\omega_j\) is the Larmor frequency corresponding to \(B_j\). Different from the case of the uniform magnetic field (see eq 1), here the term of \(\cos(\omega_j t)\) appears in addition to \(\cos(2\omega_j t)\), because in the present case the magnetic field is not axially symmetric. The solid curves in Figure 6 represent the results of the fit, which are summarized in Table 2. We consider that the different hyperfine fields roughly correspond to different Mo monolayers in a Mo layer, and that the monolayers for which the hyperfine fields are relatively large are those near the Mo/Fe interfaces. In Table 2, we note that especially the value of the hyperfine field near the Mo/Fe interface, \(B_1\), for Mo(d = 1.3 nm) is larger than that for Mo(d = 1.1 nm).

It is expected that the magnetization, \(M\), in the Mo layer is a superposition of the magnetic profiles induced by the two interfaces \([M(x) = m(x) + m(d - x), where x is the distance from one of the interfaces] For large nonmagnetic layer thicknesses (d \(\geq 10\) monolayers), the magnetization caused by spin polarization from one side of the interface can be regarded as oscillating as

\[
m(x) = C_x \sin(2\pi x/\Lambda + \phi),
\]

where \(\Lambda, \phi, \) and \(\alpha\) are, respectively, the oscillation period of interlayer coupling, a constant given by the electronic structure of the interlayer material, and the attenuation parameter. The thickness \(d\) affects coherence in the superposition of the spatially oscillating magnetizations arising from the two interfaces. Based on this model, the difference in the magnitude of the hyperfine field between the two Mo/Fe multilayer samples can be ascribed to the difference of the Mo layer thicknesses. For the present Mo(110)/Fe(110) multilayers, \(\Lambda\) is 1.2 nm and \(\phi\) is 0 or \(\pi/10\). Assuming that the \(x\) dependence of \(B_1\) is the same as that of \(M(x)\), the value of the attenuation parameter \(\alpha\) was derived from the dependence of \(B_1\) on \(d\) to be about 2. This is in agreement with a theoretical value based on the RKKY mechanism for Cu/Fe and Ag/Fe.\(^{11}\) We thus tentatively conclude that interlayer exchange coupling is due to RKKY interactions. Details of the experiments will be published elsewhere.

### Table 2: Hyperfine magnetic fields \((B_i, i = 1 - 4)\) at \(^{99}\)Tc in (A) Fe(10 nm)/[Mo(1.1 nm)/Fe(2.0 nm)]\textsubscript{120} and (B) Fe(10 nm)/[Mo(1.3 nm)/Fe(2.0 nm)]\textsubscript{120} at room temperature obtained with TDPAC

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>(B_i/T)</th>
<th>Fraction</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>13.6(3)</td>
<td>7.6(10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>14.9(4)</td>
<td>9.6(4)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.3. Hopping motion of Ce in graphite.\(^4\) Related to TDPAC studies on Ce inside the cages of fullerenes, C_{82} and C_{80}\(^{12,13}\) it is natural to extend the study to the cases of the other allotropes, graphite, and diamond. When Ce exists as Ce\(^{3+}\), it has one 4f electron, and when Ce exists as Ce\(^{4+}\), it has no unpaired electron. It is interesting to examine the state of Ce in these materials. Both samples containing \(^{140}\)Ce were prepared by implanting 100-keV \(^{140}\)Cs ions into highly oriented pyrolytic graphite (HOPG) and diamond samples using the isotope separator installed at KUR. The nucleus \(^{140}\)Cs decays to \(^{140}\)Ba, then \(^{140}\)La, and finally \(^{140}\)Ce.

The TDPAC spectra for diamond show that the relevant interaction is a weak static quadrupole one, indicating that Ce exists as Ce\(^{4+}\); it has one 4f electron, and when Ce exists as Ce\(^{3+}\); it has no unpaired electron. It is interesting to examine the state of Ce in these materials. Both samples containing \(^{140}\)Ce were prepared by implanting 100-keV \(^{140}\)Cs ions into highly oriented pyrolytic graphite (HOPG) and diamond samples using the isotope separator installed at KUR. The nucleus \(^{140}\)Cs decays to \(^{140}\)Ba, then \(^{140}\)La, and finally \(^{140}\)Ce.
In order to examine the valence of Ce in graphite, a TDPAC spectrum was obtained under an external magnetic field of 0.84 T. If Ce exists as Ce$^{3+}$ and has one 4f electron, the magnetic moment tends to be aligned with the direction of the applied magnetic field, so that the effective magnetic field at the Ce nucleus will be different from the external one, whereas if Ce exists as Ce$^{4+}$ and has no unpaired electron, such a thing will not take place. The magnitude of a parameter of the so-called paramagnetic correction factor deduced from the TDPAC spectrum clearly indicates that Ce exists as Ce$^{3+}$. For both cases of HOPG and fullerenes, it was thus concluded that Ce exists as Ce$^{3+}$, and it is considered that this is related to the fact that both materials are electron donors.

5.4. Hyperfine fields in a protein, mavicyanin. The structure around the metal site of mavicyanin, a relatively small protein molecule with a single copper site, has been investigated with $^{117}$In- or $^{111}$Cd-TDPAC. The main problem so far is that the parent nuclei, $^{117}$Cd or $^{111}$Cd, are hard to locate at the copper site within a time comparable to their short half-lives (see Table 1). Preparing mavicyanin being also not an easy task, it is difficult to obtain TDPAC spectra with good statistics. We are planning to use $^{111}$Ag as a parent nucleus to produce $^{111}$Cd.

The preliminary results so far obtained are the electric field gradient ($V_{zz}$) values determined from the TDPAC spectra at pH 6.0, 7.5, and 8.0 for a mutant-type mavicyanin, Thr15Ala-Mav, as well as those for the wild-type. For both proteins there seems to be an abrupt change of $V_{zz}$ between pH 6.0 and 7.5. What is interesting is that the $V_{zz}$ values for the mutant-type mavicyanin are smaller than the corresponding values for the wild-type. This observation indicates that $V_{zz}$ is sensitive to some structural change between the two types of mavicyanin, related to redox potential change found for them, although their UV-Vis spectra are identical with each other and thus do not provide information on the structural change.
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